

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Final Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1220 of 2023</p> <p>D. S. DevmareApplicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Thombare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The registry is directed to accept Affidavit of Service.</p> <p>3. The matter is fixed on 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.638/2023 in O.A.No.1081/2017</p> <p>D.B. Ghalpade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has filed M.A. to add Applicants No. 36³⁷ to 161 in the array of Applicants in the O.A.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of as allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.880 of 2023 to O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 with O.A. No.1131 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No. 983 of 2023 with O.A. No.887 of 2023 with O.A. No. 958 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni Dr. S.M.K. Borate A.H. Meshram Dr. U.N. Khemani Dr. J.T. Sankpal Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. M.S. Umate Dr. B.A. Verma Dr.U.Rajwade @ Dr.U.R. Badole Dr. R.M. Nimbalkar D.R. Katke C.D. Hathial Dr. R.V. Deshpande Dr. S.V. Mahadeshwar Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D. TurbadkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Salary & Allowances of 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors' for the period from 'Date of Interim Relief' to 'Date of Joining' have still not been disbursed because 'Salary Bills' had been returned by the 'Treasury Office, Mumbai' with certain objections that the absence period from the 'Date of Interim Relief' to</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>'Date of Joining' will have to be regularized by Orders of the Medical Education & Drugs Department. The 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' has already submitted report in this regard to the Medical & Drugs Department.</p> <p>3. Medical Education & Drugs Department to therefore to issue orders in this regard within a period of 'One Week' so that the 'Salary and Allowances' of the Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors from the 'Date of Interim Relief' to 'Date of Joining' can be disbursed by the 'Treasury Office, Mumbai.'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.395 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. Y. ShahApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2023

O.A.No.230 of 2023.

M. P. Bagul

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2023

O.A.No.1128 of 2023

A. T. SakoreApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Interim relief granted earlier be continued till next date.
3. The matter is fixed on 07.11.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circumstances, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.633 of 2023 in O.A. No.273 of 2023</u></p> <p>Bhimraj R. Ghadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Kachi i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is a High Court remand back matter which is to be decided within two months starting from 25.9.2023, as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court given on 13.9.2023 in W.P. No.10142 of 2023 filed by the applicant. The OA was decided by this Tribunal on 7.7.2023 and the same was challenged by the applicant in above W.P. and order in W.P. was submitted by the applicant in this Tribunal along with his letter dated 22.9.2023.</p> <p>3. Applicant has filed above MA No.633 of 2023 on 3.10.2023 for adding prayer clause d(i) in the OA as per Schedule-I annexed to the MA, which reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>"d(i) That the Respondent no.3 be directed to quash and set aside the suspension order dated 26.8.2015 issued with retrospective effect that is from 23.8.2015."</i></p> <p>4. The relief trying to be sought by way of amendment is different from the reliefs as already prayed in OA. Therefore, it is necessary to reproduce the earlier relief in OA which were decided and remanded back. It reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>(a) This Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 16.2.2023 by which the respondent declined to regularize the suspension period from 23.8.2015 to 28.11.2018 till the decision of the criminal appeal.</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(b) <i>This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to direct the respondent to regularize the suspension period from 23.8.2015 to 28.11.2018 as a duty period for all purposes in view of the discharge of the applicant from criminal case as well as charges not proved in the departmental enquiry hence with all consequential service benefits.</i></p> <p>(c) <i>That, the action of the respondents not treating the suspension period from 23.8.2015 to 28.11.2018 not deciding as a duty period merely on the ground of decision of criminal case which will be filed by the department may kindly be declared as illegal, arbitrary and unjust.</i></p> <p>(d) <i>That, the respondent no.3 may kindly be directed to regularize the period of suspension from 23.8.2015 to 28.11.2018 as per judgment passed by this Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No.524/2020 and as per decision on 1.2.2023 passed in OA No.1294/2022."</i></p> <p>5. Hence, initially this Tribunal will have to decide the MA for amendment.</p> <p>6. Ld. PO submits that by way of amendment the applicant seeks to challenge the suspension order dated 26.8.2015, which is beyond limitation and it is filed without MA for condonation of delay. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply to the MA.</p> <p>7. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that his senior will argue the MA and seeks adjournment till 2.11.2023.</p> <p>8. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 2.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1139 of 2023 With M.A.533 of 2023 in O.A.670 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S. K. Phule @ S.M. Gawde R. H. MetkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.670/2023 and S. P. Machekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 02.11.2023.</p> <p>3. If appointment order in respect of Respondent No.4 is issued, it will be subject to outcome of this O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1107 of 2023 With O.A.No.1121 of 2023 With O.A.No.761 of 2023 With O.A.1021 of 2023 With O.A.1059 of 2023 with O.A.No.1297 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. N. P. Banage & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the beginning we put query to learned C.P.O. how many Medical Officers, Group-A have retired.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the perusal of the proviso to Section 10(1) of the Maharashtra Civil Service (Pension) Rules, 1982, vide amendment dated 23.02.2022 reads as such :-</p> <p>“(iv) For both the provisos as so added, the following provisos shall be substituted and shall be deemed to have been substituted with effect from the 1st June, 2022 namely:</p> <p>“Provided that, the officers in (i) District Civil Surgeon, (ii) Specialist, (iii) Police Surgeon and (iv) Medical Officers cadres in Maharashtra Medical and Health Service, Group-A and (v) Medical Officers Cadre in Maharashtra Medical Insurance Services, Group A (In pay Level in Pay Matrix S-20 and above as per 7th Pay Commission) shall retire from the service on the afternoon of the last day of the month in which he attains the age of 60 years.....</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Provided also that, the above proviso shall be in force till 31st May, 2023."

4. Today, 15-20 Medical Officers Cadre in Maharashtra Medical Insurance Services, Group A (in Pay Level in Pay Matrix S-20 and above) are before us. However, amended Rules covers all the five Cadres as mentioned in the proviso.
5. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file Affidavit.
6. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, O.A.No.1370/2023 be tagged with O.A. Nos.1107/2023 & Ors. and matter is fixed on 30.10.2023 at 10.30 A.M.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

J N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1304 of 2023</p> <p>S. B. ChavanApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stated that she had appeared under 'Orphan Category' for 'Maharashtra State Gazetted Civil Services Combined Preliminary Examination, 2023' under 'Orphan Category'. The Applicant has secured 48.50 marks for the Preliminary Exam and cut off marks for Orphan (Type A & B Institutional) is 38.50. However, in the result declared on 06.09.2023, the name of the Applicant though her total marks is shown as 48.50 but her name is not appeared in the said list. 3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions about New Policy announced by Women and Child Development G.R. dated 06.04.2023 about Orphan Category published on 06.04.2023 was taken into account at the time of declaring result of 06.09.2023. 4. Learned P.O. submits that Advertisement was published by the M.P.S.C. on 24.02.2023. Thereafter, M.P.S.C. declared corrigendum on 23.08.2023 before declaration of result about the changes in posts, seats variation etc. In corrigendum, MPSC takes support that the Government Women and Child Development Department announces a new Policy about Orphan Category Reservation which was declared on 06.04.2023. As per GR of Government Women and Child Development Department, the Institutional and Non-Institutional types are introduced newly after the said

Tribunal's orders

advertisement of Maharashtra Gazetted Civil Services Combined Preliminary Examination, dated 24.02.2023.

5. The Applicant in person relies on 'Institutional' category for Orphan. The Respondent to take into account Clause 5 and 6 of G.R. of 2023 as the claim of the Applicant that she is 'Non-Institutionalized Orphan'. However, while considering her case, Clause 4(5) and 6 of the said G.R. of 2023 is to be considered.

6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.11.2023.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

12. S.O. to 08.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 25.10.2023

O.A.No.1242 of 2023

Shri Ajit Dessai & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri -U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. All the Applicants are Assistant Block Development Officers seeking promotion to the post of Block Development Officer, Group-A and, therefore, they seek direction to Respondent No.1 to consider their names for promotion to the post of Block Development Officer, Group-A.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that all the Applicants were declared fit for promotion in DPC meeting held on 27.04.2023. However, no options are invited from the Applicants and, therefore, in communicated dated 22.09.2023, their names are not included.
4. Learned C.P.O. submits that she has not received instructions though intimation is sent to the concerned department.
5. Learned Counsel for the Applicants presses for interim relief.
6. Already two dates are over though enough time was granted for instructions.
7. Under such circumstances, by way of interim relief, we direct the Respondent No.1 to consider the names of the Applicants, if they found eligible for promotion to the post of Block Development Officer, Group-A.
8. S.O. to 29.11.2023 for reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2023

M. A. No.663 of 2023 in O.A.No.1369 of 2023

Dr. A. M. Naik & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C. holding for Shri S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.
4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.
5. M. A. No.663 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chandra barty**)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

Date : 25.10.2023

O.A.No.1369 of 2023

Dr. A. M. Naik & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C. holding for Shri S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicants are challenging a communication dated 28.07.2023 by which the Government has expanded the scope of eligibility criteria i.e. one year after Advertisement dated 18.02.2022 for 289 posts of Dental Surgeon.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.11.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 02.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Case. 25.10.2023

O.A. No.1363 of 2023

J.R. ShelkeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant who is working on the post of 'Talathi' in Tahsil - Mhasla, District Raigad' under the establishment of 'District Collector, Raigad' had requested for 'Inter District Transfer' to the establishment of 'District Collector, Beed'.

3. The 'District Collector, Beed' had by letter dated 20.07.2023 already informed 'District Collector, Raigad' that the Applicant can be taken on 'Inter District Transfer' on 'Vacant Posts' available under 'S.D.O'. The 'District Collector, Beed' has however given period of only 3 Months from the date of his letter dated 20.07.2023 to 'District Collector, Raigad' to relieve the Applicant on 'Inter District Transfer'.

4. The 'District Collector, Raigad' has still not taken decision to relieve the Applicant, and therefore 'District Collector, Beed' is directed to extend the period of 3 Months further and await reply to her letter of 20.07.2023 from the 'District Collector, Raigad'.

5. The 'District Collector, Raigad' is directed to forthwith and in any case within next 'One Week' take decision to relieve the Applicant on 'Inter District Transfer' so as to enable him to join the establishment of 'District Collector, Beed'.

6. S.O. to 30.10.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.782 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.T. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Responsible officer is directed to remain present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/— (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.599 of 2023 in O.A. No.1197 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anup Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to check why retirement benefits have been withheld.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgi) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.512 of 2023 in O.A. No.917 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.R. Sawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Copy of OA be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.As. No.272 & 230 of 2023 in O.A. No.786 of 2022</u> with <u>O.As. No.787 & 788 of 2022</u></p> <p>T.D. Pednekar K.D. Garud A.K. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.11.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.631 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.M. Pandhare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in sur-rejoinder dated 23.10.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1073 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to verify whether recovery order to the tune of Rs.6,96,582/- issued against the applicant is on the basis of DE.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has not received any communication regarding enquiry in the letter dated 19.12.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1042 of 2023</u></p> <p>E.D. Mahadik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. Shri Sandeep Choure, RFO, Tungareshwar is present in the Court. He is directed to ensure that all the retirement benefits are given to the applicant within 30 days. In the mean while he is directed to ensure that applicant is paid provisional pension from June, 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.995 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Sherkhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Despite repeated directions no responsible officer is remaining present.</p> <p>3. I direct the Collector, Solapur to ensure that responsible officer from the office of SDO, Solapur is present in the Court on the next date. He has also to verify why the amount has not been released as per PPO dated 7.6.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.934 of 2023

S.E. Desai ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO is directed to verify whether review is taken of suspension order dated 3.3.2023.
4. S.O. to 27.10.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
25.10.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.642/2023 in RA.10/2023 in OA.555/2021</u></p> <p>S.N. Rane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. Sahil Pandire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 6.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA, RA & OA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

O.A. No.349 of 2023

S.P. Kalgutkar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3.

3. Admit.

4. S.O. to 1.12.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

25.10.2023

(sgj)

Date : 25.10.2023

O.A.No.1368 of 2023

Dr. D. B. Lad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C. holding for Shri S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant seeks to assail the act of omission and commission of Respondent who vide order dated 17.10.2023 have disqualified the candidature of the Applicant for the post of Dental Surgeon in Advertisement No.85 of 2015 dated 31.07.2015, in violation of Clause 4.2 of the Advertisement No.85 of 2015 dated 31.07.2015 and also in violation of Rule 3(b)(i) of the Recruitment Rules for the post of Dental Surgeon dated 31.07.1990.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant was working for the Government of Maharashtra on contractual basis as a dental surgeon. At the time of applying for the said post he was 41 years. Learned Counsel further submits that as per clause 4.2 of the Advertisement No.87/2015, upper age limit is not applicable for employees serving with the Government of Maharashtra. Therefore, the Respondent No.1 could not have disqualified the Applicant on the ground of being overage. Learned Counsel points out that though the Applicant was second highest scorer, his name was included in the list of not eligible overage candidate.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions.

5. S.O. to 26.10.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.420 of 2023 With O.A.1178 of 2023</p> <p>D. M. BhamrayApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that as per the order passed by this Tribunal, dated 19.10.2023, the DPC meeting was held on 23.10.2023 and now the file is submitted to Hon'ble Minister, Medical Education. And Drugs Department.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1354 of 2023

A.M. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate to serve copy of OA on the respondents.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha^oGadgil)
Member (A)
25.10.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1279 of 2023

M.R. Pisal ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Mr. Yogesh Birajdar holding for Mr. A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate to serve copy of OA to the respondents.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
25.10.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1262 of 2023

V.G. Ingawale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant challenges recovery orders dated 6.1.2023, 25.1.2023 & 30.8.2023. Ld. Advocate is directed to produce of any notification wherein show cause notice is required to be given to the applicant before issuing order of recovery. Ld. PO is directed to verify whether show cause notice was issued to the applicant.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
25.10.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1291 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.D. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. Sahil Pandire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1290 of 2023</u></p> <p>O.M. Laddha ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. Sahil Pandire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gaḍgil) Member (A) 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1247 of 2023

S.S. Mirgal
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Mr. Sahil Pandire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
25.10.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.879/2015</p> <p>G.P. WadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, holding for Mr. N.Y Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchkear, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions from Mr. Deepak Kendre, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya learned C.P.O. informs that file was placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 09.10.2023 and is still pending.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.303/2023 in O.A.No.491/2021</p> <p>Dr. S.V. SambhareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 31.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.549/2023 in O.A.No.1080/2023</p> <p>P.P. IngleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 14 months.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the delay is not of 14 months, but the Selection of the year 2016 for the post of Police Constable is in fact challenged, hence, there is delay of almost 5 to 6 years.</p> <p>4. Today learned C.P.O. files reply. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.733 of 2023 with M.A. No.483 of 2023</p> <p>V.J. Mane S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Head Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.733/23, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.483/23 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2023 for 'Final Hearing (Part Heard)'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.719 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahaja, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.939 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. KaradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2023 for Final Hearing (P.H.).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1022 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.N. BhaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to be continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.868 of 2023</p> <p>N.N. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.P. Kharkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondents. Time as prayed is granted. Mr. Shrikant Jambhavadekar, Under Secretary, G.A.D. & Mr. Suresh Naik, Under Secretary, Revenue both are present to provide information to the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to be continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1189 of 2023</p> <p>S. K. BholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf on the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1173 of 2023</p> <p>P. S. RenusheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf on the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by Respondent Nos.2 to 4 will be filed during the 'Course of the Day.' Statement is accepted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.10.2023 for Final Hearing (H.O.B.).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.940 of 2023</p> <p>Y.M. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Pandire, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf on the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.909 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. SagwekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. & learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf on the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 respectively. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.871 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. M.P. BankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. had filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent on 25.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf on the Applicant. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.792 of 2023</p> <p>A.H. SarodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant does not wish to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Pleading is complete, matter is adjourned for 'Final Hearing.'</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S. V. LonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf on the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.605/2023 in O.A.No.1205/2023</p> <p>S.A. JawaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 01.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.67/2023 in O.A.No.993/2019 with C.A.No.68/2023 in O.A.No.995/2019 with C.A.No.85/2023 in O.A.No.996/2019 with C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 with O.A.No.13/2022</p> <p>V.D. Kalsait & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants in C.A.No.67/2023 in O.A.No.993/2019 with C.A.No.68/2023 in O.A.No.995/2019 with C.A.No.85/2023 in O.A.No.996/20219 was not present when the matter was called out. Mr. G.L. Dudhe, learned Counsel for the Applicant in C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 with O.A.No.13/2022 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that file was placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 10.10.2023 and the same is still pending before the Hon'ble Chief Minister for signature. The Additional Principal Secretary of G.A.D. to pursue the matter for compliance of the order dated 12.08.2022 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.7/2023 with O.A.No.1012/2016 with O.A.No.1013/2016 with O.A.No.763/2017 With C.A.No.11/2023 with O.A.No.1013/2016 with O.A.No.642/2016 with O.A.Nos.746 to 766/2017</p> <p>S.S. Mirgal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Ms. P. Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.88/2023 in O.A.No.110/2020</p> <p>A.S. Azami S. NadeemApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humane holding for Ms. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the file of the Applicant was approved by the Additional Chief Secretary on 11.10.2023 and sent to Hon'ble Minister wherein it was approved on 13.10.2023 and sent to Hon'ble the Chief Minister on 17.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 20.10.2023 on behalf of Respondent No.2, Deputy Secretary, Minority Development Department, Mantralaya showing movement of file and also stated that the file has last been sent to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister on 17.10.2023.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 01.11.2023 for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.93/2023 in O.A.No.691/2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. Chavan & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter be placed before the II Division Bench today itself.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.10.2023

C.A.No.80/2023 in O.A.No.729/2019

Dr. S.V. PatilApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has relied on the affidavit-in-reply dated 21.09.2023 filed on behalf of Respondent No.1, through Mr. M.J. Mhaskar, Add. Chief Secretary, PHD, Mantralaya. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that by order dated 15.02.2023 passed in O.A.No.729/2019 Respondents were directed to complete the D.E. and the decision was to be taken on proposal dated 29.02.2019.

3. On query learned P.O. informs in all there are four Departmental Enquiry :

- 1) D.E. initiated on 21.08.2013 wherein the Enquiry Officer had submitted report on 31.05.2019.
- 2) D.E. initiated on 07.03.2017 wherein the Enquiry Officer had submitted report on 15.06.2022. Applicant has filed an appeal with regard to this D.E and the decision is pending.
- 3) D.E. initiated on 04.07.2017 wherein the Enquiry Officer had submitted report on 30.05.2019.
- 4) D.E. was over on 17.10.2023.

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that with regard to D.E. at serial Nos.(1) and (3) file was moved to M.P.S.C. on 08.02.2022. The affidavit dated 21.09.2023 states movement of the file of these two D.Es. which are mentioned at serial Nos.(1) and (3) above.

5. Adjourned to 26.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1081/2017</p> <p>D.B. Ghalpade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 08.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1299 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.H. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for one week's time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.11.2023 for final hearing. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2023 25.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.862 of 2016

A.J. Telvekar & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.101.

2. In the above matter MA No.383/2017 for amendment by way of substitution was allowed by order dated 11.9.2017 and amendment was carried out on 14.9.2017. Thereafter the MPSC has not filed the reply.

3. Shri Gajanan Bhimrao Gurav, Under Secretary, GAD on behalf of respondent no.1 has filed reply dated 22.1.2018, which is at page 176-A of the OA. Shri Sunilsingh Shivaji Nikam, Desk Officer, GAD on behalf of Respondent No.101 has filed reply dated 19.7.2018, which is at page 221 of the OA.

4. Ld. PO submits that Shri Jadhav from MPSC has filed reply.

5. We have observed in order dated 10.10.2023 that we have to proceed without affidavit in reply of MPSC. Today also it is pointed out by Ld. Advocate for the applicants that reply is filed by MPSC before amendment and no reply is filed after amendment.

6. It is made clear on the earlier dates that this matter is of the year 2016 and will be given priority. It was further made clear that matter will proceed without reply against the MPSC and other respondents if they choose not file reply to amended OA. As no reply is filed we have no choice but we consider to proceed against MPSC without their reply to amended OA.

7. S.O. to 7.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
25.10.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
25.10.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1244 of 2022

S.G. Kochure ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants time to file affidavit in reply especially on the point, when his immediate senior was promoted and to show that persons who are covered by GR dated 15.12.2017, though criminal cases were pending, were promoted violating condition (g) of said GR.

3. S.O. to 1.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member (A)	Chairperson
25.10.2023	25.10.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.472/2023 in O.A.No.241/2023</p> <p>D.K. GhogewadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.G. Hartalkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that O.A. is filed for directions to the Respondents to consider the case of the Applicant for promotion to the post of Sub Inspector (Engine Driver). Learned P.O. has submitted that O.A. is filed on 22.02.2023 and the Respondent has filed reply to O.A. on 19.04.2023 raising objection of delay and thereafter applicant has filed this application for condonation of delay in month of July 2023. She states that the reason for not giving promotion to the Applicant was that the Applicant was not holding the certificate of competency at the relevant time as the Applicant submitted the said certificate on 01.02.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that on 24.09.2023 the Applicant was promoted to the post of Assistant Police Sub Inspector (Engine Driver). Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant should have been considered in D.P.C. conducted on 09.08.2019. But he was not considered because he was not holding the certificate. The competency certificate was issued by the Government of Maharashtra on 08.02.2017 and it was produced by the Applicant on 01.02.2021 and not on 09.08.2019 when the D.P.C. was held.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Though the Applicant was holding the competency certificate he did not submit the same immediately. On 09.08.2018 when the D.P.C. was held he was not considered for promotion for want of competency certificate. Moreover, he did not come to the Tribunal immediately. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the Applicant that he made applications to the Department praying that his case should have been considered as other persons who were not having the competency certificate have submitted marks list. On the basis of the statement of marks list they were given promotions. These persons are not made party Respondents.

5. Considering these facts, we ask the question to learned Counsel why the O.A. is not to be dismissed. Learned Counsel has submitted that to put up certain facts upon instructions from the Applicant he needs time.

6. Time granted. Adjourned to 01.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson