

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.262 of 2020 with O.A.No.263 of 2020</p> <p>L.J. Thakur (O.A.262/2020) K.J. Mhatre (O.A.263/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to place the matter as per the new office order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.10.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 448/2021 in O.A 1460/2021(Nagpur)</u></p> <p>Smt Babita A. Kosarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is from Yevatmal, which comes under the territorial jurisdiction of M.A.T, Nagpur Bench. However, other similarly situated persons have filed applications which are before the M.A.T, Principal Bench at Mumbai and the same is fixed on 16.11.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Misc Application is allowed. The record and proceedings in O.A 1460/2021 (Nagpur) be transferred to the Principal Bench at Mumbai.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.443 of 2021 in O.A.No.647 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. ShelarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today officers are present without the concerned files. Though it is specifically directed that the affidavit-in-reply is to be filed today itself.</p> <p>3. Today 2.30 p.m. for bringing file and also to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gaugu) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.443 of 2021 in O.A.No.647 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. ShelarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though 833 posts were advertised there are number of vacancies due to various reasons. He further points out that the Home Department (Transport) had written letter dated 31.07.2020 to the M.P.S.C. requesting for extension of the waiting list for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector in view of the pandemic. However, he further pointed out that the M.P.S.C. by their letter dated 12.10.2020 rejected the claim of the Government quoting the G.A.D. circular. They also referred to two Government Resolutions dated 31.05.2016 and 02.08.2019 which referred to the period where there is no pandemic. He further pointed out the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in M.A.No.665/ 2021 in SMW(C)No.3/2020 dated 23.09.2021, wherein paragraph 8 reads as below :-</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">8. Therefore, we dispose of the M.A.No. 665/2021 with the following directions :-</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">I. In computing the period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2021, if any, shall become available with effect from 03.10.2021.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">II. In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 03.10.2021. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 03.10.2021, is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.655/2020</u></p> <p>Dr. K.S. Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The Commissioner of Police Mumbai & Anr. ... Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Speaking to Minutes</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The matter is taken on today's Board for Speaking to Minutes to correct typical mistake of date/year in order dated 21.10.2021 passed by this Tribunal.3. On Page No.6 of order, the Tribunal indicated that Respondents may consider a representation of the Applicant for his transfer in general transfer of next year. As such, next general transfer would be in 2022. However, on Page No.6, in Paragraph No.10 as well as in operative order, the year is inadvertently typed as 2020 instead of 2022.4. As such, 2020 be corrected as 2022.5. Necessary correction be carried out and Judgment be uploaded afresh. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 25.10.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.10.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 469/2012 with O.A 682/2013 with O.A 897/2015</u></p> <p>Dr A.R Tarale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 469/2012, Shri Rajendra Sarda, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 682/2013 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that they have come from Beed, Buldhana and Pune. In view of this, we don't want to cause further inconvenience to the Members of the Bar as the matter was already fixed for informing progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. Hence, we are constrained to direct the concerned Secretary, G.A.D to file affidavit in reply taking into account the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 16.3.2017 in Dr Maruti L. Chougale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>4. We would like to mention that this matter is pending on the file of this Tribunal since 2012 and in the special drive which is taken up by this Tribunal, this matter is to be decided before 28.10.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O to 26.10.2021 at 12.00 noon.</p> <p align="center">A</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">21/11 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.474 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 along with order of grant of provisional pension. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Indeed, it was for Respondent No.1 – Joint Director, Vocational Education of Training to file Affidavit since the Court issued notice as to why cognizance of Contempt of the order should not be initiated. However, since issue was pertaining to release of provisional Pension which seems to have been issued. P.O. is allowed to file Affidavit which is tendered today. However, hereinafter P.O. to note that Affidavit should be filed strictly in terms of orders passed by the Tribunal without exemption or modification.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.309 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri (Dr.) Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that issue involved in the O.A. is of seniority with other consequential service benefits, and therefore, O.A. pertains to the Division Bench.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to examine the O.A. and place it before appropriate Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.281 of 2018</p> <p>R.J. PandharpurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Surayawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks one week time to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.1134 of 2017</p> <p>M.L. PendumApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.297 of 2020</p> <p>M.N. ChaudharyApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos1 to 3 and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered order dated 10.08.2021 to show that Respondent No.4 who was serving as Assistant Commissioner (Food) Zone – X is already transferred to Palghar, and therefore, he is not necessary party. He prayed to delete the name of Respondent No.4.</p> <p>3. Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 have no objection to delete name of Respondent No.4 in view of order dared 10.08.2021.</p> <p>4. Order dated 10.08.2021 is marked by letter 'X' for identification and taken on record.</p> <p>5. In view of above, name of Respondent No.4 be deleted from O.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.475 of 2019</p> <p>D.T. LawateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered short Affidavit along with Annexure about the issue raised by learned Advocate for the Applicant during the hearing. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 28.10.2021 for Final Hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2019</p> <p>N.W. Dandekar & 9 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit along with Annexure. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two days time is granted for filing remaining arguments.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.357 of 2021 with O.A. No.358 of 2021</p> <p>J.P. Chavan & Anr D.R. Nikam & 2 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. Hence O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.447 of 2021 in O.A. No.795 of 2021</p> <p>K.U. NirbhavaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is for Condonation of Delay caused in filing O.A. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.11.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 22.11.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.209 of 2021</p> <p>D. K. DokeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 830 of 2021</p> <p>D. V. GhagApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 26.09.2019 whereby directions were given for recovery in view of refixation of pay. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 25.11.2021 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.826 of 2021 with O.A. No.828 of 2021</p> <p>T.M. Rathod A.K. ChausApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the O.As the Applicants are seeking direction to the Respondents to relieve them so as to join the promotional post. They seem to have been not relieved because of Claus No.5 in promotional order dated 28.04.2021.</p> <p>3. Perusal of order dated 28.04.2021 reveals that 539 Police Personnel were temporarily promoted to the post of A.P.I. but in Clause No.5 of promotional order it is stated that where they are suspended or undergoing punishment of withholding of increment etc. in that event they should not be relieved from their present post.</p> <p>4. Thus it appears that while issuing promotional order the issue of suspension or punishment is not at all considered by the concerned authority which is very surprising and needs to be explained by Respondent No.2 – The Director General of Police.</p> <p>5. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 16.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 16.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.177 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. Mulani & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 20.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.340 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. ThombareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Avanti Inamdar learned Advocate h/f Ms. R.N. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the reply of Respondent No.2 is already filed whereas reply of Respondent No.1 is not required.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to place the matter as per the new office order.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.827 of 2021</p> <p>A.P. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Geeta Chavan, D.C.P. Head Quarter III is present today with instructions. She has placed on record the list of analysis based on the attendance sheet along with the distribution of question paper sheets. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is shown at Sr. No.18. Before the Applicant there were 17 candidates. We put following queries to Ms. Chavan :-</p> <p>(i) As per the list of analysis candidate at Serial No.1 is absent. Whether the candidate at Serial No.2 was given Question Series 'A', if he is not then which Series was given to him/her and why ?</p> <p>(ii) Candidates at Serial Nos.5 & 6 are shown absent. Candidate at Serial No.5 was supposed to get Question Series 'A' and Serial No.6 was supposed to get Question Series 'B'. Whether the candidate at Serial No.7 was given Question Series 'A', if not given which Question Series was given to him and why Question Series A was not given to him.</p> <p>(iii) Candidate at Sr. No.9 is shown absent against whom he was to get Question Series 'A'. Whether the candidate at Sr. No.10 was given Question Series 'A', if not given which series was given.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(iv) Candidate at Sr. No.17 is shown as absent, who was to get Question Series 'A'. Whereas Candidate at Sr. No.18 i.e. Applicant however was shown that he was given Question Series 'B', why is it that he was not given Question Series 'B', but he was given Question Series 'A'.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to verify the record and answer these questions in the affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.10.2021 at 12.00 noon.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p><u>LATER ON :</u></p> <p>The learned Advocate Mr. Dere on instructions from the Applicant, who is present in the Court, submits that he wants to pursue his representation again and he feels that it is likely to be considered. Hence, he prays to withdraw the O.A. with liberty.</p> <p>Allowed to withdraw with liberty. We appreciate the efforts taken by Ms. Geeta Chavan, D.C.P. Head Quarter-III and her team, who are present in the Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">I.)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.91 of 2020</u></p> <p>Mangesh N. Paste ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a withdrawal letter dated 22.10.2021 of the applicant and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA. The said letter dated 22.10.2021 is taken on record and marked Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.831 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.D. Giri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- 2</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.839 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.R. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Police Constable since 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.838 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Bhujang ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Police Constable since 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.837 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.R. Rupekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Police Constable since 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.836 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.R. Telange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Police Constable since 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.835 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.D. Dhavale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Police Constable since 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2021 in O.A. No.21 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.A. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- = (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.187 of 2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 20.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.182 of 2020 in O.A. No.326 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.S. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sejal A. Hariyan holding for Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that there is delay of sixty days in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. In the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice the delay is condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. MA is allowed and delay is condoned.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.326 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.S. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sejal A. Hariyan holding for Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.24 of 2021 in O.A. No.734 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that respondents have complied with the order dated 22.3.2021 passed in the above OA. Swetha Boddu, Assistant Conservator of Forest, Nashik has filed affidavit of apology dated 11.10.2021.</p> <p>3. Apology accepted. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has no objection if the CA is disposed off.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, CA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.411 of 2019</p> <p>S. P. KapileApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1104 of 2019</p> <p>A.S.TejiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge holding for Ms Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing reply to the amendment made in O.A. but no reply is filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1133 of 2019</p> <p>A.J. DangeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for producing papers of inquiry along with depositions and inquiry report.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.809 of 2021</p> <p>N. J. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to file M.A. for amendment to produce representations on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.840 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. G. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed for claiming deemed date in the post of professor w.e.f. 29.06.2011 as against 03.05.2018 on which the promotion is given. 3. Perusal of record reveals that before filing of O.A., no such representation was made which is essential. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought short time to produce the copy of representation. 5. S.O. to 28.10.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.90 of 2021 in O.A.No.164 of 2021</p> <p>R. B. PingleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri C. T. Chandratore, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.402 of 2021 in O.A.No.494 of 2021</p> <p>M. P. JamadarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.543 of 2021</p> <p>P. D. KedarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 03.02.2021 whereby he was suspended pursuant to registration of crime under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter of Government addressed to him dated 24.09.2021 wherein it is stated that review committee in its meeting dated 21.09.2021 has taken decision to revoke the suspension and reinstate the Applicant in service and necessary orders could be issued within two months. The letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>4. Thus, the review committee has already taken decision to revoke the suspension and to reinstate the Applicant in service,</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to issue further appropriate posting order within a months from today.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.584 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. Mali ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Anuradha Khot holding for Shri S. B. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. On request of Respondent's Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U. S. SorateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.785 of 2021</p> <p>J. H. DhotrekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>