IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021
	O.A.No.262 of 2020 with O.A.No.263 of 2020
	L.J. Thakur (O.A.262/2020) K.J. Mhatre (O.A.263/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-
	in-reply.
	3. Registrar is directed to place the matter as
	per the new office order.
	• 1
	Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadigil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson
	prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	25.10.2021
	<u>M.A 448/2021 in O.A 1460/2021(Nagpur)</u>
	Smt Babita A. Kosarkar Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is from Yevatmal, which comes under the territorial jurisdiction of M.A.T, Nagpur Bench. However, other similarly situated persons have filed applications which are before the M.A.T, Principal Bench at Mumbai and the same is fixed on 16.11.2021.
	3. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.
	4. Misc Application is allowed. The record and proceedings in O.A 1460/2021 (Nagpur) be transferred to the Principal Bench at Mumbai.
	/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021
	O.A.No.204/2021 with O.A.No.205/2021 with O.A.No.429/2021 with O.A.No.642/2021 with O.A.No.693/2021 with O.A.No.674/2021 with O.A.No.675/2021 with O.A.No.754 of 2021 with O.A.No.755/2021 with O.A.No.767/2021 with O.A.No.798/2021
	 B.B. Nimgire (O.A.204/2021) S.J. Katkar (O.A.205/2021) A.R. Ghemud & Ors. (O.A.429/2021) R.H. More (O.A.642/2021) S.V. Ghanwat (O.A.693/2021) L.M. Ragji & Ors. (O.A.674/2021) K.A. Pagare (O.A.675/2021) S.M. Nigave (O.A.754/2021) S.D. Dhumal (O.A.755/2021) S.A. Bansode (O.A.767/2021) M.S. Giri (O.A.798/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.204/2021, O.A.No.205/2021, O.A.No.429/2021, O.A.No.674/ 2021, O.A.No.675/2021, O.A.No.754/2021, O.A. No.755/2021, O.A.No.767/2021 and O.A.No.798 /2021, Mr. A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.642/2021 and O.A.693/2021 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned P.O. on instructions from G.A.D. and Finance Department submits that the Government needs two weeks time to comply with the order of Tribunal dated 05.10.2021.
	3. Time granted by way of last chance. We however, make it clear that as the time extension has been asked by the Government, it will be excluded from the period of limitation.
	4. The learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.
	5. Adjourned to 16.11.2021.
	Sd/- Sd/- (MedWa Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 25.10.2021 M.A.No.443 of 2021 in O.A.No.647 of 2020	
	 S.D. ShelarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today officers are present without the concerned files. Though it is specifically directed that the affidavit-in-reply is to be filed today itself. 3. Today 2.30 p.m. for bringing file and also to file affidavit in reply. 	
	Sd/- (Meäha Gaagu) Member(J) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Date : 25.10.2021 M.A.No.443 of 2021 in O.A.No.647 of 2020 S.D. ShelarApplicant
A 11
S.D. ShelarApplicant
 Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though 833 posts were advertised there are number of vacancies due to various reasons. He further points out that the Home Department (Transport) had written letter dated 31.07.2020 to the M.P.S.C. requesting for extension of the waiting list for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector in view of the pandemic. However, he further pointed out that the M.P.S.C. by their letter dated 12.10.2020 rejected the claim of the Government quoting the G.A.D. circular. They also referred to two Government Resolutions dated 31.05.2016 and 02.08.2019 which referred to the period where there is no pandemic. He further pointed out the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in M.A.No.665/ 2021 in SMW(C)No.3/2020 dated 23.09.2021, wherein paragraph 8 reads as below :- 8. Therefore, we dispose of the M.A.No. 665/2021 with the following directions :- I. In computing the period of limitation for
any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2021, if any, shall become available with effect from 03.10.2021.
II. In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 03.10.2021. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 03.10.2021, is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply. [P.TO.

 23(4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conclusion Act, 1996, Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and (c) of Section 13B of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of proceedings. IV. The Government will be allowed for medical emergencies, provision og essential goods and services, and other necessary functions, such as, time bound promotions, including for lega purposes, and educational and job related requirements." 3. In the present case, there is a balance of six months for the wait list of the above mentioned posts which can be extended. 4. The learned P.O. seeks time to verify the position and check the number of vacancies. 5. Adjourned to 29.10.2021. 	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
months for the wait list of the above mentioned posts which can be extended. 4. The learned P.O. seeks time to verify the position and check the number of vacancies. 5. Adjourned to 29.10.2021.	directions and Registrar's orders	 Interpretend from fourther bencher and operations 02.10.2021 shall also stand excluded in computing the periods prescribed under Sections 23(4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and (c) of Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of proceedings. IV. The Government of India shall amend the guidelines for containment zones, to state. "Regulated movement will be allowed for medical emergencies, provision of essential goods and services, and other necessary functions, such as, time bound promotions, including for legal purposes, and educational and job-
 4. The learned P.O. seeks time to verify the position and check the number of vacancies. 5. Adjourned to 29.10.2021. Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson) 		months for the wait list of the above mentioned
position and check the number of vacancies. 5. Adjourned to 29.10.2021. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) Chairperson		posts which can be extended.
5. Adjourned to 29.10.2021. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) Chairperson		4. The learned P.O. seeks time to verify the
Sd/- Sd/- (Medh# Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) Chairperson		position and check the number of vacancies.
Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) Chairperson		5. Adjourned to 29.10.2021.
(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) Chairperson		•
(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member(J) Chairperson		Sd/- Sd/-
prk		(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
pi K		nd
		рк

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>0.A.655/2020</u>	
	Dr. K.S. Deshpande Vs.	Applicant
	The Commissioner of Poli Mumbai & Anr.	ice Respondents
	Speaking to M	<u>linutes</u>
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Band for the Applicant and Sh Presenting Officer for the Resp	liwadekar, learned Advocate ri A.J. Chougule, learned pondents.
	2. The matter is taken of to Minutes to correct typical in dated 21.10.2021 passed by it	n today's Board for Speaking nistake of date/year in order nis Tribunal.
	3. On Page No.6 of order, the Tribunal indicated that Respondents may consider a representation of the Applicant for his transfer in general transfer of next year. As such, next general transfer would be in 2022. However, on Page No.6, in Paragraph No.10 as well as in operative order, the year is inadvertently typed as 2020 instead of 2022.	
	4. As such, 2020 be corrected as 2022.	
	5. Necessary correction be carried out and Judgmen be uploaded afresh.	
		Sd/-
		A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 25.10.2021
	(skw)	
		· .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Tribunal's orders		
<u>25.10.2021</u>		
O.A 469/2012 with O.A 682/2013 with O.A 897/2015		
Dr A.R Tarale & Ors Applicants Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 469/2012, Shri Rajendra Sarda, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 682/2013 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that they have come from Beed, Buldhana and Pune. In view of this, we don't want to cause further inconvenience to the Members of the Bar as the matter was already fixed for informing progress in the matter.		
3. Hence, we are constrained to direct the concerned Secretary, G.A.D to file affidavit in reply taking into account the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 16.3.2017 in Dr Maruti L. Chougale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.		
4. We would like to mention that this matter is pending on the file of this Tribunal since 2012 and in the special drive which is taken up by this Tribunal, this matter is to be decided before 28.10.2021.		
5. S.O to 26.10.2021 at 12.00 noon. $2/1/1$		
Sd/- Sd/-		
(Medha Gadgil) ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.10.2021
	O.A. No.474 of 2020
	S.M. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 along with order of grant of provisional pension. It is taken on record.
	3. Indeed, it was for Respondent No.1 – Joint Director, Vocational Education of Training to file Affidavit since the Court issued notice as to why cognizance of Contempt of the order should not be initiated. However, since issue was pertaining to release of provisional Pension which seems to have been issued. P.O. is allowed to file Affidavit which is tendered today. However, hereinafter P.O. to note that Affidavit should be filed strictly in terms of orders passed by the Tribunal without exemption or modification.
	4. S.O. to 27.10.2021.
	N · Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.10.2021
	O.A. No.309 of 2021
	S.B. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	 Heard Shri (Dr.) Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwac learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that issue involved in the O.A. is of seniority with othe consequential service benefits, and therefore, O.A pertains to the Division Bench.
	3. Registrar is directed to examine the O.A. an place it before appropriate Division Bench.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[PTO.

. .

....

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.10.2021
	O.A. No.281 of 2018
	R.J. PandharpurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The state of Manalashtra & Ors.
	 Heard Ms. Savita Surayawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks one week time to file M.A. for amendment.
	3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.
	Sd/-
	∨• (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[<i>PT.O.</i>

of 20

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 25.10.2021	
	O.A. No.1134 of 20	17
	M.L. Pendam Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	 Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learn Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Officer for the Respondents. 	
	 On request of learned Applicant, adjourned for Final He direction that no further time will be 	earing with specific
	3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.	
		Šd/-
		Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 25.10.2021	
	O.A. No.297 of 20	020
	M.N. Chaudhary Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learn Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learn for the Respondent Nos1 to 3 Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate No.4.	ed Presenting Officer and Shri Arvind V.
	2. Today, learned Advocate f tendered order dated 10.08.20 Respondent No.4 who was se Commissioner (Food) Zone – X is a Palghar, and therefore, he is not prayed to delete the name of Resp	D21 to show that erving as Assistant Ilready transferred to necessary party. He
	3. Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocat for Respondent No.4 have no objection to delete nam of Respondent No.4 in view of order dared 10.08.2021.	
	4. Order dated 10.08.2021 is for identification and taken on reco	
	5. In view of above, name of deleted from O.A.	Respondent No.4 be
	6. S.O. to 26.10.2021.	
		Ŝd/-
	•	. Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

. ,

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 25.10.2021	
	O.A. No.475 of 20	19
	D.T. Lawate Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	 Heard Shri Arvind V. Ban Advocate for the Applicant and learned Presenting Officer for the R 	Smt. Archana B.K.,
	2. Today, learned P.O. has ten along with Annexure about the iss Advocate for the Applicant during taken on record.	ue raised by learned
	3. On request of learned Applicant adjourned to 28.10.2021	
		Sd/-
	(A.P.	Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.10.2021
	O.A. No.166 of 2019
	N.W. Dandekar & 9 OrsApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit along with Annexure. It is taken on record.
	3. On request of learned P.O. two days time is granted for filing remaining arguments.
	4. S.O. to 27.10.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.10.2021
	O.A. No.357 of 2021 with O.A. No.358 of 2021
	J.P. Chavan & Anr D.R. Nikam & 2 OrsApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in- Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.
	3. Hence O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.
	4. S.O. to 26.11.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Date: 25.10.2021

M.A. No.447 of 2021 in O.A. No.795 of 2021

K.U. Nirbhavane	Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. is for Condonation of Delay caused in filing O.A.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.11.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 22.11.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's c	orders
	Date : 25.10.2021	
	O.A.No.20	09 of 2021
	D. K. Doke	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & O	rsRespondents.
	1. The Applicant and his Chougule holding for Smt. Kran Officer for the Respondents is p	Counsel are absent. Shri A. J ti Gaikwad, learned Presenting present.
	2. On request of learned F	P.O., one week time is granted
	for filing reply by way of last cha	
	3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.	
		N Sd/-
	vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		[<i>PT.O.</i>

Date : 25.10.2021

O.A.No. 830 of 2021

D. V. Ghag

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 26.09.2019 whereby directions were given for recovery in view of refixation of pay.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 25.11.2021

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

•

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.10.2021 O.A. No.826 of 2021 with O.A. No.828 of 2021
	T.M. Rathod A.K. ChausApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. In both the O.As the Applicants are seeking direction to the Respondents to relieve them so as to join the promotional post. They seem to have been not relieved because of Claus No.5 in promotional order dated 28.04.2021.
	3. Perusal of order dated 28.04.2021 reveals that 539 Police Personnel were temporarily promoted to the post of A.P.I. but in Clause No.5 of promotional order it is stated that where they are suspended or undergoing punishment of withholding of increment etc. in that event they should not be relieved from their present post.
	4. Thus it appears that while issuing promotional order the issue of suspension or punishment is not at all considered by the concerned authority which is very surprising and needs to be explained by Respondent No.2 – The Director General of Police.
	5. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 16.11.2021.
	[<i>P.T.O</i> .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal a this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall no be issued.
	7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o

Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. S.O. to 16.11.2021.

١ Sd/-Ŵ

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers
	<u>25.10.2021</u>	
	<u>M.A 333/2021 in O.A</u>	206/2021
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Applicants (Ori Respondents)
	Vs. Mr S.R Narale	Respondent (Ori Applicant)
	1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, applicants (Ori Respondents) and learned advocate for the Responder	Smt Punam Mahajan,
	2. By way of last chance learned P.O is directed to make statement about progress in the matter.	
	3. S.O to 18.11.2021.	
	Sd/-	Sd/- 7
	(Medha Gadgil) (M Member (A) Akn	Iridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021 O.A.No.177 of 2021 J.M. Mulani & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed by learned
	P.O. 3. Matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 20.12.2021. Sd/- (Medha ^J Gadg ^{f1}) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson prk

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders The set is the set is the set of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Avanti Inamdar learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is already filed whereas reply of Respondent No.1 is not required. 3. Registrar is directed to place the natter as the reply of Respondent No.1 is not required. 3. Registrar is directed to place the natter as the reply of Respondent No.1 is not required. 3. Medha Gadgil / Mridula Bhatkar, J.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021
	O.A.No.827 of 2021
	A.P. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ms. Geeta Chavan, D.C.P. Head Quarter III is present today with instructions. She has placed on record the list of analysis based on the attendance sheet along with the distribution of question paper sheets. The same is taken on record.
	3. The Applicant is shown at Sr. No.18. Before the Applicant there were 17 candidates. We put following queries to Ms. Chavan :-
	(i) As per the list of analysis candidate at Serial No.1 is absent. Whether the candidate at Serial No.2 was given Question Series 'A', if he is not then which Series was given to him/her and why ?
	(ii) Candidates at Serial Nos.5 & 6 are shown absent. Candidate at Serial No.5 was supposed to get Question Series 'A' and Serial No.6 was supposed to get Question Series 'B'. Whether the candidate at Serial No.7 was given Question Series 'A', if not given which Question Series was given to him and why Question Series A was not given to him.
	 (iii) Candidate at Sr. No.9 is shown absent against whom he was to get Question Series 'A'. Whether the candidate at Sr. No.10 was given Question Series 'A', if not given which series was given.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	 (iv) Candidate at Sr. No.17 is shown as absent, who was to get Question Series 'A'. Whereas Candidate at Sr. No.18 i.e. Applicant however was shown that he was given Question Series 'B', why is it that he was not given Question Series 'B', but he was given Question Series 'A'. 4. Respondents are directed to verify the record and answer these questions in the affidavit-in-reply.
	5. Adjourned to 26.10.2021 at 12.00 noon.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson
	The learned Advocate Mr. Dere on instructions from the Applicant, who is present in the Court, submits that he wants to pursue his representation again and he feels that it is likely to be considered. Hence, he prays to withdraw the O.A. with liberty.
	Allowed to withdraw with liberty. We appreciate the efforts taken by Ms. Geeta Chavan, D.C.P. Head Quarter-III and her team, who are present in the Court.
	Sd/- Sd/- (Medba Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, - Member(J) Chairperson

I.)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Spi.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. N</u>	0.91 of 2020
	Mangesh N. Paste	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents
	-	Dere, learned Advocate for the Gaikwad, learned Presenting
	2. Not on board. Ment	ioned and taken on board.
	withdrawal letter dated 22 seeks leave to withdraw the	the applicant produces a 2.10.2021 of the applicant and above OA. The said letter dated ord and marked Exhibit 'A' for
	4. Leave granted. OA disposed off as such.	is allowed to be withdrawn and
		o li 1
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No</u>	.831 of 2021	
	S.D. Giri Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents	
		diwadekar, learned Advocate for Archana B. Kololgi, learned espondents.	
	2. The office objection court-fees to be paid, if not	s, if any, are to be removed and already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before 6.12.2021. The respondents	ore admission returnable on are directed to file reply.	
	Respondents intimation/no authenticated by Registry, of O.A. Private service is COVID-19 Pandemic situ	tized and directed to serve on tice of date of hearing duly along with complete paper book allowed in view of this present ation. Respondents are put to be taken up for final disposal at ng.	
	the Maharashtra Administra	ce is ordered under Rule 11 of ative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, such as limitation and alternate	
	post/courier and acknow produced along with affida	e done by hand delivery/ speed vledgement be obtained and vit of compliance in the Registry ant is directed to file affidavit of	
		Sd/-	
	Sd/- (Medha (dadgil)) Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's crders
	<u>O.A. No.839 of 2021</u>
	R.R. RautApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advoca for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presentir Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant is working as Police Constable sind 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date.</u>
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable of 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve a Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunel (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice.
	۱٬ Sd/-
	Sd/- (Medhá Gadģil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021 (sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.838 of 2</u>	<u>021</u>
	S.B. Bhujang Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpa for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaik Officer for the Respondents.	ande, learned Advocat wad, learned Presenting
	2. The applicant is working as 2017. <u>No coercive action should applicant till next date.</u>	Police Constable sinc be taken against th
	3. The office objections, if any, court-fees to be paid, if not already p	
	4. Issue notice before adm 15.11.2021. The respondents are dire	nission returnable c ected to file reply.
	5. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along wi of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. R notice that the case would be taken the stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing du th complete paper boo in view of this prese espondents are put
	6. This intimation/notice is ord the Maharashtra Administrative Trib 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	ounal (Procedure) Rule
· · ·	7. The service may be done be post/courier and acknowledgeme produced along with affidavit of co- within one week. Applicant is dire compliance and notice.	ent be obtained an mpliance in the Regist
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) ' (Mridu Member (A) 25.10.2021	ala R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

O.A. No.837 of 2021 .. Applicant P.R. Rupekar Ve ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The applicant is working as Police Constable since 2. 2017. No coercive action should be taken against the applicant till next date. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and 3. court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4. 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on 5. Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of 6. the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed 7. post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. Sd/-

Tribunal's orders

Sd/-(Medha Galdgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021

(sgj)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

25.10.2021

 $\mathbf{2}$

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.836 of 2021</u>	
	R.R. TelangeApplic	cant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespo	ndents
	Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned A for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pr Officer for the Respondents.	Advocate resenting
	2. The applicant is working as Police Constal 2017. <u>No coercive action should be taken aga</u> applicant till next date.	ble since ainst the
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be remainded to be paid, if not already paid.	oved and
	4. Issue notice before admission return 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file rep	
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to Respondents intimation/notice of date of hear authenticated by Registry, along with complete pa of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of thi COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents ar notice that the case would be taken up for final d the stage of admission hearing.	ing duly aper book is present e put to
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Ruthe Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedur 1988, and the questions such as limitation and remedy are kept open.	re) Rules,
	7. The service may be done by hand delive post/courier and acknowledgement be obtai produced along with affidavit of compliance in the within one week. Applicant is directed to file af compliance and notice.	ned and e Registry
	Sd/-	
	Sd/- (Medda Gallgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021 (sgj)	J.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ders
	<u>O.A. No.835</u>	<u>of 2021</u>
	D.D. Dhavale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri Anand S. De for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Officer for the Respondents.	eshpande, learned Advocat Gaikwad, learned Presentin
	2. The applicant is workin 2017. <u>No coercive action shapplicant till next date.</u>	g as Police Constable sinc nould be taken against th
	3. The office objections, if court-fees to be paid, if not alread	any, are to be removed ar ady paid.
	4. Issue notice before 15.11.2021. The respondents an	admission returnable of edirected to file reply.
	5. Applicant is authorized Respondents intimation/notice authenticated by Registry, alon of O.A. Private service is allo COVID-19 Pandemic situation notice that the case would be t the stage of admission hearing.	g with complete paper bo owed in view of this prese n. Respondents are put
	6. This intimation/notice is the Maharashtra Administrative 1988, and the questions such remedy are kept open.	is ordered under Rule 11 e Tribunal (Procedure) Rule as limitation and alterna
	7. The service may be do post/courier and acknowled produced along with affidavit of within one week. Applicant is compliance and notice.	one by hand delivery/ spe gement be obtained a of compliance in the Regiss s directed to file affidavit
		. 1.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Member (A) 25.10.2021 (sgj)	Sd/- Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.10.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribuna	al's orders		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	The S the A	<u>C.A. No.22 of 20</u> Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra Heard Shri B.A. B Applicant and Smt. er for the Responden Ld. Advocate for der. S.O. to 22.11.2021)21 in O.A. No.21 o & Ors. andiwadekar, learne K.S. Gaikwad, lear ts. r the applicant see Sd/-	.Applicant .Respondent ed Advocate rned Presen ks time to	e for ting file
	(sgj)	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021	Sd/- (Mridula R. Bh Chairper 25.10.20	atkar, J.) son	2
	:			[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021 O.A.No.187 of 2021 D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs.
	 The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed by learned
	P.O. 3. Matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 20.12.2021. Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	M.A. No.182 of 2020 in O.A. No.326 of 2020 A.S. Raut Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents Heard Ms. Sejal A. Hariyan holding for Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that there is delay of sixty days in filing the above OA. 3. In the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice the delay is condoned. 4. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal. 5. MA is allowed and delay is condoned. 4. Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021 (sgj) State S

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.326 of 2020</u>
	A.S. RautApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Sejal A. Hariyan holding for Shri P Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed a court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable 6.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.
-	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper bo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this press COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regis within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice.
	Sd/-
	Sd/- (Media Gadgil) '(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.10.2021 25.10.2021 (sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙΝ

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
		<u>C.A. No.24 of 202</u>	<u>1 in O.A. No.73</u>	<u>34 of 2020</u>
	M.H.	Gaikwad Vs.		Applicant
	The S	tate of Maharashtra &	è Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. Ld. PO submits that respondents have complied with the order dated 22.3.2021 passed in the above OA. Swetha Boddu, Assistant Conservator of Forest, Nashik has filed affidavit of apology dated 11.10.2021.			
	3. has no	Apology accepted. objection if the CA		e for the applicant
	4.	In view of the above	e, CA is dispos	ed off accordingly.
	,	Sd/-	Sd	/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.10.2021		Bhatkar, J.) person).2021
	(sgj)			

[*P.T.O.*

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 25.10.2021		
	O.A.No.411 of 2019		
	S. P. KapileApplicant		
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the		
	matter is adjourned for final hearing.		
	3. S.O. to 16.11.2021.		
	۸		
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Т	ribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.202	L
		O.A.No.1104 of 2019
	A.S.Teji	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Mahai	rashtra & OrsRespondents.
	Todankar, learned	R.M. Kolge holding for Ms Ranjana Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. learned Presenting Officer for the
	2. Today, the r	natter is for filing reply to the amendment
	made in O.A. but no	
	3. O.A. be kept	for hearing at the stage of admission.
	4. S.O. to 22.11	2021.
		•
		Sd/-
	vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021	
	O.A.No.1133 of 2019	
	A.J. Dange	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
		Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel fo Archana B. K., learned Presenting ts.
	2. On request of lea	rned P.O., the matter is adjourned
		nquiry along with depositions and
	inquiry report.	
	3. S.O. to 22.11.2021	
		• • • •
		Sd/-
	vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	V on	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021
	O.A.No.809 of 2021
	N. J. KoliApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to file
	M.A. for amendment to produce representations on record.
	3. S.O. to 15.11.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	;
	Date : 25.10.2021	
	O.A.No.840 o	of 2021
	Dr. S. G. Kadam	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri A. V Bandiwad the Applicant and Shri A. J. Cho Officer for the Respondents. 	lekar, learned Counsel for ugule, learned Presenting
	2. This O.A. is filed for claimin of professor w.e.f. 29.06.2011 as ag	
	the promotion is given.	
	3. Perusal of record reveals th such representation was made which	
	4. Learned Counsel for the Ap	
	to produce the copy of representation	
	5. S.O. to 28.10.2021.	
		Sd/-
		. Kurhekar) ember(J)
		1870
	1	[<i>P.T.O</i> .

$(G.C.P.) = J_{-}2737_{-}(50,000-4-2019)$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙΝ

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021 M. A. No.90 of 2021 in O.A.No.164 of 2021 R. B. PingleApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	2. Shri C. T. Chandratare, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note.
	3. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing
	4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021 M. A. No.402 of 2021 in O.A.No.494 of 2021 M. P. JamadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A.
	3. S.O. to 16.11.2021.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021
	O.A.No.543 of 2021
	P. D. KedarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 03.02.2021 whereby he was suspended pursuant to
	registration of crime under Section 7 of the Prevention of
	Corruption Act, 1988.
	3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter of
	Government addressed to him dated 24.09.2021 wherein it is
	stated that review committee in its meeting dated
	21.09.2021 has taken decision to revoke the suspension and
	reinstate the Applicant in service and necessary orders could
	be issued within two months. The letter is taken on record
	and marked by letter 'X' for identification.
	4. Thus, the review committee has already taken
	decision to revoke the suspension and to reinstate the
	Applicant in service,
	5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to
	the Respondents to issue further appropriate posting order
	within a months from today.
	6. No order as to costs.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 25.10.2021	
	O.A.No.584 of	2021
	A. M. Mali	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Ms Anuradha Khot Thorat, learned Counsel for the A Chougule, learned Presenting Office Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned No.4. 	Applicant and Shri A. J. er for the Respondents.
	2. On request of Respondent's (Counsel, two weeks time
	 On request of Respondent's Counsel, two weeks ti is granted for filing reply by way of last chance. 	
	3. S.O. to 18.11.2019.	
		Sd/-
		(urhekar) nber(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021
	O.A.No.719 of 2021
	U. S. SorateApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Vsm Member(J)
	[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.10.2021 O.A.No.785 of 2021 J. H. Dhotrekar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents. 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)