

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Government, Tribunal's orders or
Directors and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.1217 of 2023

S. V. Lonkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that upon revocation of the Suspension Order of 25.09.2023 by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Zone-5, Pune City, the Applicant came to be posted on 10.07.2023 in Hadapsar Police Station. The Applicant thereupon submitted his joining report to the Sr. Police Inspector of Hadapsar Police Station on 11.07.2023. Applicant continues to work on that post as Assistant Police Sub Inspector at Hadapsar Police Station since 11.07.2023. Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that subsequently the Applicant came to be posted by order of the 'Commissioner of Police, Pune City,' dated 11.08.2023 under the assumption that the Applicant was awaiting posting since revocation of suspension order on 10.07.2023 and thus was posted in Court Company, Pune City.

3. Learned P.O. states that Deputy Commissioner of Police, Zone -5, Pune City though empowered to revoke the 'Suspension Order' was not the 'Competent Authority' to give Applicant posting and those powers are only with the 'Commissioner of Police, Pune City'.

4. Learned P.O. further stated that the Applicant having been suspended could not have been given posting back at Hadapsar Police Station. The Applicant still continues to work on the post of Assistant Police Sub Inspector in Hadapsar

Police Station. In this context, it is necessary for 'Commissioner of Police, Pune City' to state by way of 'Affidavit in Reply' as to whether 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Zone -5, Pune City' was competent or not to revoke the 'Suspension Order' on 10.07.2023 and then to post the Applicant back as 'Assistant Police Sub Inspector' in Hadapsar, Police Station, Pune and whether these powers were to be exercised only by the 'Commissioner of Police, Pune City'. The relevant provisions relating to revocation of 'Suspension Order' under 'GAD-G.R.'s and Circulars' applicable, as well as orders, if any of 'Delegation of Power' to be included in the 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. In the aforesaid background, the Applicant by way of 'Interim Relief' is allowed to continue on the post of 'Assistant Police Sub-Inspector' in Hadpsar Police Station till Next Date.

5. Steno copy granted.
6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.10.2023.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
12. S.O. to 25.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
..... Applicant/s
vsm
(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....

OFFICE Notes, Office Memoranda, of Cleram,
proceedance, Tribunal's orders or
sections and Registrars orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.871 of 2023

Dr. M. P. BankarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, four weeks' time is granted to file 'Affidavit in Rejoinder'.
3. Time is granted as requested by learned Advocate.
4. S.O. to 25.10.2023

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>25.09.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 875/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Toke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that against the order of the Disciplinary Authority, the applicant has filed appeal on 20.3.2023 and the same is still pending and six months are already over on 20.9.2023. Learned counsel submits that the applicant claims limited relief that Respondent No. 1, be directed to decide the appeal dated 20.3.2023 filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the limited prayer, we direct Respondent No. 1, Addl. Chief Secretary, Respondent No. 1, to decide the appeal dated 20.3.2023 filed by the applicant within a period of three months and communicate the decision to the applicant.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, the Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">11 13 1</p> <p>Sd/- (^UMedha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm.
Appointments, Tribunal's orders or
directors and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.1215 of 2023

A. G. PawarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he has been transferred on 'Administrative Grounds' from 'Traffic Branch, Bhiwandi, District Thane' to 'Thane Nagar Police Station' by order dated 18.08.2023.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the Applicant has been relieved. No information has been received whether Applicant has joined at Thane Nagar, Police Station. Learned P.O. further states that there were certain complaints against him on the basis of which reports were sent by Deputy Commissioner, of Police, Bhiwandi' to 'Commissioner of Police, Thane.'
4. Learned P.O. is directed to file 'Affidavit in Reply' about the reasons by which he was transferred before completion of his tenure from 'Traffic Branch, Bhiwandi, District Thane'.
5. Learned Advocate on behalf of Applicant requests for 'Interim Relief' to allow him to continue to serve on the earlier post of 'Traffic Branch, Bhiwandi, District Thane'. However, as the Applicant is already relieved, 'Interim Relief' is not granted to Applicant.

6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2023.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
12. S.O. to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Address: _____)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm.
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
Directives and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

M. A. No.598 of 2023 in O.A.No.1129 of 2023

T. N. Daundkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. None is present on behalf of Respondent No.2
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that he has moved 'M.A. No.598 of 2023' for amendment to the 'Grounds' and 'Prayer Clause.'
3. Learned P.O. states that they are filing 'Short Affidavit' of 'Respondent No.1' regarding compliance of the order dated 11.09.2023 which is taken on record. In this 'Affidavit in Reply' dated 15.09.2023, it is stated that request of the Applicant is under consideration of the State Government.
4. 'M.A. No.598 of 2023' is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs. Amendments to be carried out within a week to the 'O.A.No.1129 of 2023'. Two weeks' time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply to amendments made in 'O.A. No.1129 of 2023.'
5. S.O. to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO 62 OF 2023

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 194 OF 2022

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri Shrikant A. Shinde

)...**Applicant**

Versus

Shri Vijay Waghmare,
Principal Secretary, Food, Civil Supply
And Consumer Protection Dept,

)...**Respondents**

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.
Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **25.09.2023**

ORDER

1. The present Contempt Application is filed for not complying with the order of the Tribunal dated 29.9.2022 in O.A 194/2022.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed affidavit in reply dated 11.9.2023 to the affidavit in sur-rejoinder of the Respondent. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that in O.A 194/2022, the Tribunal by order dated 29.9.2022 directed the Respondent to ensure the release of pension as per entitlement of the Applicant in the rules and the monetary benefits be paid to

him within six weeks from the date of the order without fail. If the amount is not paid, it shall carry interest at the rate applicable to GPF from the date of default till the date of actual payment. Learned counsel has submitted that in para 6 of the order, it is mentioned that pension is to be determined on the basis of pensionable pay which Government servant gets at the time of retirement. Learned counsel has submitted that the Respondent-State has fixed the pensionable pay of the applicant in the post of Technical Officer, Group-B. Learned counsel has submitted that the applicant is holding the post of Technical Officer, Group-A, and he was drawing basic salary of Rs. 15600-39100 with Grade Pay of Rs. 5000/-. The applicant retired on 31.12.2017 as Technical Officer, Group-A. Learned counsel has submitted that the Respondent-State has treated the applicant as Technical Officer, Group-B and has accordingly done the pay fixation which is erroneous and it is not in compliance with the order of the Tribunal dated 29.9.2022. Learned counsel for the applicant has relied on Exh. A. page 28, which is a letter dated 21.5.2005, wherein by Corrigendum it is mentioned that Technical Officer, Group-B, is substituted as Technical Officer, Group-A Gazetted from the date of Notification dated 21.5.2005. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant was given the post of Technical Officer, Group-A by order dated 27.9.2016.

3. Learned P.O has submitted that this issue was not decided whether the Technical Officer is a Group-A or Group-B post. The Respondent-State has treated the post of the applicant as Group-B. We do not want to go into this matter, though learned counsel for the applicant wants to show how the applicant is working as Technical Officer, Group-A. We observe that in the order of the Tribunal dated 29.9.2022, there is no discussion about determination of Group-A or Group-B post. Learned P.O pointed

out to the affidavit in reply dated 1.9.2023 filed by Tatoba M. Kolekar, Joint Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, and referred to para 5.1, wherein it is clearly stated that group of the post of Technical Officer will be same as the group mentioned in the Recruitment rules or in the guidelines. The group of the post of Technical Officer should not be determined based on the pay scale. Therefore, it is clear that the post of Technical Officer belongs to Group-B, so the person in that post cannot be given the same benefits as Group-A post. As the applicant has retired from Group-B post, he will not be entitled to Group-A benefits. Learned P.O further submitted that the issue whether the Applicant belongs to Group- A or Group-B was discussed during the course of arguments before this Tribunal. Learned P.O further pointed out that by letter dated 17.9.2023, the Deputy Commissioner, Food & Civil Supply Department, Pune, has informed that Technical Officer is a Group-B post. When there is such a dispute whether post of Technical Officer fall in Group-A or Group-B, it is beyond the scope of the authority which decides only Contempt Application. We are of the view that it is a subject of regular Original Application.

4. In view of the above, the Contempt Application stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 25.09.2023

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate: _____)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others
Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: _____

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
objections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.631 of 2023

S. S. BawulgaveApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.767 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.09.2023

O.A.No.909 of 2023

S. S. SagwekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punām Mahajan, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed 'Affidavit in Rejoinder'. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 11.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
 Applicant/s
 (Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and other
 Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda, of Coram,
 Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
 Directives and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.1061 of 2023

V. S. JorkarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that so far no action has been taken to promote the Applicant from the post of 'Head Constable' to 'Police Sub Inspector' and that the DGP Maharashtra State has still not given any advice as sought by 'Commissioner of Police' Pune' on 04.09.2023.

3. The Applicant was earlier shown on 'Supernumerary Post' and not therefore considered for promotion to the post of 'Police Sub Inspector' although the Applicant was found eligible to be promoted to the post of 'Police Sub Inspector' having achieved the 'Benchmark Criteria' for promotion in the meeting of DPC held on 21.02.2022.

4. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit in Reply' which must include specific information as to when the Applicant will be promoted to the post of 'Police Sub Inspector' and thereupon by when consequential 'Service Benefits' of 'Pay and Allowances' of the post of 'Police Sub Inspector' would be paid to the Applicant.

5. S.O. to 03.10.2023.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.415 of 2023 with O.A. No.384 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.D. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that respondents are not served.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to serve the respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1203 of 2023

A.R. Kadam ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.10.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Signature)
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
25.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.512 of 2023 in O.A. No.917 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.R. Sawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.G. Kathane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.10.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.466 of 2023 in O.A. No.134 of 2019,</u> <u>M.A. No.467 of 2023 in O.A. No.1076 of 2019,</u> <u>O.A. No.1077 of 2019 with</u> <u>M.A. No.468 of 2023 in O.A. No.1078 of 2019</u> <u>O.A. No.266 of 2019,</u> <u>M.A. No.484/2023 in O.A. No.461/2019</u></p> <p>V.I. Kardile T.R. Wagh & Ors. S.S. Nikam & Ors. P.K. Jadhav & Ors. B.F. Gaikwad W.Z. Pahilwan & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.10.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.300 of 2022 in O.A. No.484 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Kasab ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and advocate both the absent.</p> <p>3. This matter is detagged from MA No.550/2019 with OA No.989/2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No, of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.550 of 2019 in O.A. No.989 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.L. Navghane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and advocate both the absent.</p> <p>3. This matter is detagged from MA No.300/2022 with OA No.484/2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20____
Applicant/s

(As per the order of the Tribunal dated _____)
versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.
Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: _____

M.P. Note, M.T. Management of Employees, Government, India and other provisions of the Act and other provisions.

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.1169 of 2023

S. S. SupeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2, and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.
2. On request of learned Advocate for Respondent No.3, the matter is adjourned to 27.09.2023.
3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
4. S.O. to 27.09.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20____, _____ Applicant/s

Against _____

vs

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer _____

Office of the Officer-in-Charge, Maharashtra
Administrative Tribunal, Section of
Functions and Registration, Mumbai

Mumbai, _____

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.1113 of 2023

Dr. S. A. Rokade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. This O.A. be tagged with 'O.A.No.1051/2023-Group Matters.'
4. S.O. to 06.10.2023.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
 Applicant/s
 vsm
 (Advocate: _____)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others
 Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: _____

At _____
 State of Maharashtra
 on _____
 before _____
 Member(A)

Tribunal

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.871 of 2023

Dr. M. P. BankarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, four weeks' time is granted to file 'Affidavit in Rejoinder'.
3. Time is granted as requested by learned Advocate.
4. S.O. to 25.10.2023

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1255/2022</u></p> <p>Bhagwat R. Bightot ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 30.10.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 100px;">Sd/-</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 601/2023 in O.A 339/2021</u></p> <p>Mrs Asim Salim Shah & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed to amend the Original Application as per Annexure M1, that is to add Respondent No. 10, Additional Director of Health (Nursing), D.H.S, M.S, Arogya Bhavan, Mumbai 400 001 in the array of the Respondents in the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Amendment is allowed. Amendment to be carried out and copy be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Misc Application is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 472/2023 in O.A 241/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Dilip K. Ghogewad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 3 years and 2 months in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 280/2023 in O.A 1047/2019</u></p> <p>S.R Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed for preponing the date of hearing of the Original Application to 6.6.2023 from the date namely 6.7.2023.</p> <p>3. As the date 6.6.2023 for preponing the hearing of the Original Application has already lapsed, the Misc Application has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Misc Application stands accordingly disposed of.</p> <p>5. O.A No. 1047/2019 to be placed on board for hearing on 2.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.86/2023 in O.A.No.599/2023 with C.A.No.87/2023 in O.A.No.598/2023</p> <p>D.D. Patil & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.28/23 in O.A.No.101/2022</p> <p>S.T. GhawaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has placed on record short affidavit-in-reply dated 25.09.2023 filed on behalf of Respondent No1 to the C.A.</p> <p>3. In view that the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. is complied with, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1091/2016</p> <p>A.G. PhulsangeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has relied on the affidavit dated 04.03.2020 filed by Mr. Jeetendra B. Patil, Deputy Transport Commissioner (Admn.) in the office of Transport Commission. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that pursuant to the directions given by order dated 04.08.2023 and on instructions from the concerned Department on the point of requisite experience it is stated that the applicant does not have requisite experience and so also no posts of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector is available as on today of the selection list of recruitment process of the year 2014-15.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 27.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

O.A.No.466/2018

M.R. Tambe & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicants challenge order of promotion dated 02.12.2017 passed by Respondent No.2 to the extent of not including the names of the three Applicants. All the three applicants aspire for the promotion to the post of Excise Inspector, State Excise from the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise. Further applicants prays for directions to the Respondents to declare that the applicants are eligible for promotion to the post of Excise Inspector from 19.10.2011, April 2015 and 20.12.2017, when their junior colleagues came to be promoted and thus the applicants are entitled to all consequential service benefits.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has submitted that the persons who are going to be promoted on the post of Excise Inspector who have 165 cm height. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment dated 02.05.2000 passed in O.A.Nos.215 to 218 of 2000, Shri Jacky Rocky Karbhari Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

4. Adjourned to 03.10.2023. H.O.B.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.80/23 in O.A.No.729/2019</p> <p>Dr. S.V. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the 2nd D.E. which is pending against the Applicant the proposal is forwarded.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.10.2023 for reporting further compliance in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2023

C.A.No.95/2023 in O.A.No.732/2022

S.P. PoleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Sarang S. Gundajwar, i/b. Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission in Contempt returnable on 16.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 16.10.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.88/2023 in O.A.No.110/2020</p> <p>A.S. Azami S. NadeemApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. C.A. is filed for non-compliance of order dated 05.12.2022 passed in O.A.No.110/2020.</p> <p>3. We direct all the Respondents to file affidavit including G.A.D. regarding movement of the file of the present Applicant. All the Joint Secretaries of the three Departments (Respondents) shall file affidavit in compliance of the order dated 05.12.2022 wherein only six week time was given to the Department for compliance of the order. Applicant retired in the year 2013 and he is not getting the provisional pension since 2013.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.10.2023. Last chance granted to the Respondents for compliance of the order.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The Applicant is present. He informs that the letter dated 28.01.2023 was never communicated to him. He had filed in January, 2023 itself 'Contempt Application No.01/2023'.</p> <p>6. Ld. CPO then submits that reply to that 'Contempt Application No.01/2023' was filed on 07.02.2023 before MAT Bench at Aurangabad and in the said reply, the decision taken by the Respondents on the representation dated 28.01.2023 is enclosed as 'Annexure'.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate is directed to 'State On Oath' that he did not receive the reply filed on 07.02.2023 by the Respondents in 'Contempt Application No.01/2023' till today. He prayed that the examination be stopped which is going to be conducted. We are not going to grant 'Interim Relief' of stay to the examination for following reasons :-</p> <p>(i) We are <i>prima-facia</i> of the view that Applicant was aware of the decision dated 28.01.2023 as it was 'Annexure' filed in 'Contempt Application No.01/2023' pending before MAT Bench at Aurangabad.</p> <p>(ii) Even though examination is being conducted tomorrow, by Respondents it will take nearly 'Four Weeks' for decision on promotion to the posts of 'Sub-Inspector of Police (Motor Transport Section)'.</p> <p>8. Hence, Two Weeks' time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 29th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debasish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member-A Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">15.09.2023 15.09.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.839 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Kekane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 29.9.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.9.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.914 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.A. Desale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1, 3 & 4 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5. Advocate for Respondent No.2 is not present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.9.2023 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.707 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.O. Dahad .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 5.10.2023. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.9.2023 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.412 & 413 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.V. Tamhankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The subject matter of these OAs pertains to Time Bound Promotion and Pay Fixation. Hence, as per the assignment the matter be placed before the Single Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>Medha Gadgil</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.9.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.9.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1205 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Sapkal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Kalyani Margaul i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.10.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.9.2023 25.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 322/2023 with O.A 360, 361 and 370/2023</u></p> <p>Dr M.M Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarfaraj J. Sheikh holding for S.S.Gite, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No. 1 & 2 and Shri Siddhant Sinha i/b Hammurabi & Solomen Partners for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of Respondent No. 2 and she requires time to file reply on behalf of Respondent No. 1. Time granted. Learned counsel Shri Siddhant Sinha for Respondent no. 3, has already filed reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 323/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.N Dhole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Bhagyashri Mangale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 760/2023</u></p> <p>Shri K.S Kadlag ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 823/2023</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Taware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.B Taware, applicant absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that M.P.S.C, Respondent no. 1, has yet to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwdekar, for Respondent no. 4 files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 9.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 395/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Yogita Shah & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that in this matter, the Tribunal has passed order that the matter to proceed without reply. The Respondents have tendered apology and requested that they be allowed to file reply. In view of the above, learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply and the same is taken on record to adjudicate the issue properly.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.10.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 484/2023</u></p> <p>U.G Komwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents No 4, 5 & 6.</p> <p>2. Shri Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents No. 4, 5 & 6 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.09.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 759/2023</u></p> <p>Shri R.N Kaningdhwaj ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O, on instructions from Shri Deepak Kendre, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department, submits that the matter regarding deemed date of promotion of the applicant will be decided within a week.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 3.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 25.09.2023
	<p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit in Reply'. 3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 4. S.O. to 04.10.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Charrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1144 of 2023</p> <p>L. N. GondhaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that no consideration has yet been given to the four representation made by the Applicant to 'Superintendent Engineer, Raigaid, P.W.D.' to consider his request to post him back as 'Peon' in the office of Respondent No.2-'Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Panvel.'</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to forthwith take instructions within 'One Week' from 'Superintending Engineer, Raidgad, P.W.D.' as to whether they are willing to consider the request of the Applicant to continue him on the post of 'Peon' in the office of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.690 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. DoiphodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None is present on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit in Rejoinder.'</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.09.2023
	O.A.No.872 of 2023
	A. A. PawarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit in Reply'. 3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 4. S.O. to 04.10.2023.
	<p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p>
	vsm