

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/9/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.337 of 2017 in O. A. No.35 of 2017</p> <p>S. A. AdakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the requests of the learned Advocate for the Applicant the matter is adjourned to 26.09.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 821 OF 2018

DISTRICT : NASIK

Dr Shivaii S/o Sambhaji Lahade)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri Ashwin V. Sakolkar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : **25.09.2018**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Ashwin V. Sakolkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 10.12.2018
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. Heard on interim relief.

9. Applicant is suspended on the ground of engaging in private practice and on various other charges.

10. Admittedly applicant is due for retirement on 30.9.2018. Impugned order of suspension is passed on 9.8.2018, and served on 31.8.2018. Reason which has led to suspension is filing of FIR against the applicant for various sections under IPC, Drugs and Cosmetic Act, MTP Act, etc.

11. From the bunch of papers tendered by learned P.O it transpires that:-

- (a) The FIR of Crime No. 1245/2017 is registered on 16.4.2017.
- (b) Investigation has been completed and charge sheet is made ready on 5.7.2017.
- (c) I.O has approached the Government by letter dated 16.2.2018 for permission / sanction for prosecution.

12. This Tribunal has perused all papers produced by learned Presenting Officer. The bunch of papers also contains one of the orders of Hon'ble High Court, where Private Practice by Medical Officers is protected.
13. In the aforesaid background, this Tribunal has to examine the challenge to the suspension and as to whether applicant has made out case for grant of interim relief.
14. Admittedly, in the background that applicant is on the verge of retirement. suspension in contemplation of enquiry furtherance to FIR registered on 16.4.2017.
15. It is not shown as to what emergency cropped up due to which applicant is required to be suspended, particularly in the background investigation is completed way back in May, 2017.
16. During the course of argument, learned Chief Presenting Officer has intervened and argued that now the applicant is on the verge of retirement and if he retires without being under suspension, the legal dues will have to be paid.
17. This submission made by learned C.P.O and P.O speaks in volumes about the agenda underlying the suspension. Moreover, pensionary benefits would not be deniable barely by virtue of fact of suspension. though it is intended to be used for that purpose.
18. On enquiry, learned Presenting Officer is not able to confirm the fact as to whether charge sheet is ready, nor can it be committed.
19. In the aforesaid background, no bonafide object or purpose will be served by suspending the applicant. If at all the Government was keen on holding enquiry against the applicant, such enquiry ought to have been punctually initiated and even completed, and even in future it may be initiated.

20. Prima facie, impugned suspension appears to be ordered for show of the power. The existence of power does not essentially connote or presupposes that such powers must be exercised.

21. In the result on what prima facie appears, applicant has made out a case for stay of suspension.

22. Hence by way of ad interim relief stay of order of suspension dated 9.8.2018, is granted.

23. S.O to 10.12.2018.

24. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 25.09.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>V.V. Joshi</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>order passed</u> <u>in the Tribunal's</u> <u>colcum.</u> <u>written scab mission</u> <u>Filed by Applicant.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.335 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.S. Raghatwan ..Applicant Vs: The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out within four weeks and amended copy be served on all respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.9.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. : Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/10/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.245 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.Y. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.10.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.9.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.353 of 2018

S.S. Dhumal & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO mentions that she proposes to file reply on behalf of GAD.

3. S.O. to 11.10.2018, *as last chance.*

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
25.9.2018

(sgl)

DATE 25/9/18

CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/smt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 11/10/18
as a last chance.
@/adj

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S.S. Wagh <u>holding Power N.B. Wagh</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/10/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.476 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. V.P. Sonone & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.10.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.9.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./H.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.520 of 2018

S.S. Kshirsagar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 16.10.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
25.9.2018

DATE 25/9/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 16/10/18

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : M.N.S. Gohad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>9/10/18.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.437 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. M.B. Bansode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take fresh instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.10.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.9.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.198 of 2018

S.N. Shelwane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.
3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.

DATE 25/9/18

FORAM

By Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member)

Sd/-

(P.N.Dixit)
Member (A)
25.9.2018

(sgj)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri~~ Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri~~ Ms. S. Suryawanshi

~~Presenting Officer for the Respondents~~
Reply filed by P.No. 1 to 4.

~~Admit. To come~~
up for final hearing
in due course.

Reply filed by [Signature]
P.No. 1 to 4.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>16/10/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.197 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.P. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2018 by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.9.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. N. S. Rao <u>for the applicant.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi <u>G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/10/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.333 of 2017</p> <p>Colonel P. N. Dhole & othersApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. the matter is adjourned to 26.10.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Ms. N. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/10/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.378 of 2018 in O. A. No.686 of 2018</p> <p>D. D. RawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply, the matter is adjourned to 23.10.2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2018

M. A. No.486 of 2018 in O. A. No.132 of 2018
with M.A. No.101 of 2018 in O.A.132 of 2018

V. S. Zarekar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. N. Naikwadi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer with Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Misc. Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 09.10.2018.

DATE 25/9/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.N. Naikwadi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 9/10/18

With
MA 484/18

[Signature]

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2018

M. A. No.484 of 2018 with M.A. No.485 of 2018 in
O.A.984 of 2017

R. N. Fulzele & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. N. Naikwadi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer with Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Misc. Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 09.10.2018.

DATE 25/9/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.N. Naikwadi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 9/10/18
ce:ith

M.A. 486/18

[Signature]

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>WARRANT: Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Appli. CMA 3117 M.P. Patil, Adv. for the Applicant Advocate for the Applicant in COA 1052/16</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Sceryeconshe C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s. M.D. Lonkar with M.P. Patil for R. No. 12/164 in M.A. 3117</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan for R. No. 9 to 14. and A.V. Bandiwadekar for R. No. 4 to 8 COA 1052/16</p> <p>M.A. and O.A. be heard together in due course.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.03 of 2017 in O.A. No. 1052 of 2016</p> <p>M. M. PatankarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.03/17, Shri M. R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.1052/16. Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri M. D. Lonkar with Shri M. R. Patil, the learned Advocates for Respondent Nos.1 & 164 in M.A.03/17. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 9 to 14 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 4 to 8 in O.A.1052/16.</p> <p>2. M.A. and O.A. be heard together in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.09.2018

M. A. No.499 of 2018 in O. A. No.1212 of 2016

B. S. Kachare & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants seeks to modify the order issued on 04.09.2018. Only the Misc. Application No.14/2018 is withdrawn and Original Application will be continued and restored.

DATE 25/9/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. : Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

~~C.P.O. for the Respondent/s~~

~~Admission order passed in the Tribunal's Coram.~~

VSM

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.09.2018

M.A. No.469 of 2018 in O. A. No.227 of 2017

S. D. DhakpadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Respondent Nos.1, 3 & 6.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Misc. Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 22.10.2018.

DATE 25/9/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad
G.P.O. for the Respondent/s
1,326.
Adj./S.O. to 22/10/18.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Ms. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/10/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.436 of 2018</p> <p>C. R. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants adjourned to 09.10.2018. 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to make the statement about arrears of payment on the promotional post on the next date. 4. S.O. to 09.10.2018. <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.V. Joshi</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.</u> P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>16/10/18</u>.....</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.240 of 2018 in O. A. No.398 of 2018</p> <p>R. S. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Vikrant Desai</u> holding for <u>K.P. Lad</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>22/10/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.1054 of 2017</p> <p>S. A. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vikrant Desai holding for Shri K. P. Lad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.481 of 2017 in O.A.No.1054 of 2017, the order of dismissal of the O.A. is restored to its original number.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2018.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. 5.O. to 22.10.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Vikrant Desai</u> holding for <u>K.P. Lad</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ans to M.A. is disposed off.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.481 of 2017 in O. A. No.1054 of 2017</p> <p>S. A. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Vikrant Desai holding for Shri K. P. Lad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Misc. Application 481 of 2017 is allowed. The matter is restored. On restoration of O.A.No.1054 of 2017, fresh notice be issued to the Respondents. 3. Misc. Application is disposed off without any order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; padding-right: 50px;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE <u>None for the Applicant.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/mt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/10/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.314 of 2018 in O. A. No.1118 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. P. Thakare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Original Application be heard along with O.A. Nos.1091/15 with 1118/15 with 1119/15 with 1120/15 with 1124/15 with 1125/15 with 1126/15 with 1128/15 with 1129/15 with 1130/15 with 3/16 with 4/16 with 28/16 with 29/16 with 32/16 with 33/16 with 34/16 with 35/16 with 62/16 with 67/16 with 135/16 with 144/16 with 148/16 with 1153/17 with 1187/17 with O.A.24/18 with M.A. 13/18 with O.A.25/18 with 26/18 with 27/18 with 1128/17, 629/16 with 92/16 with 117/18, 118/18.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.10. 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. for the applicant. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. S.O. to The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for necessary orders.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.326 of 2018 in R.A. No.15 of 2018 in O. A. No.606 of 2014 with R.A. No.15 of 2018 in O.A.No.606 of 2014</p> <p>D. S. WarkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for necessary orders.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Applicant in person Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for necessary orders.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.09.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.258 of 2018</p> <p>S. P. KhotApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for necessary orders. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/9/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.V. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/10/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>O.A. No.623 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. D.J. Chaurasia ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.9.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>25.09.2018</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 803/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.K. Javkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 26.11.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 26.11.2018 <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 17/2018 in O.A 586/2016</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Chappalwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O has tendered affidavits, those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate Shri Bandiwadekar prays for four weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 27.11.2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, A.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2018</u></p> <p><u>C.A 28/2018 in O.A 199/2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.K Solanki & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S.Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 6.10.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>R.G. Panchal</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N. G. Gohad</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>4.10.18</u> steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p>	<p>25.09.2018</p> <p><u>O.A No 981/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.U Sherkhane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. It is found that Respondent no. 1 has not filed reply. 3. Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, is directed to file his own affidavit answering para 5(a) & 5(b) of O.A. 4. Reply be filed within one week. 5. S.O to 4.10.2018. 6. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A. S. Patki</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Ad/ S.O. to</u> <u>5/10/18</u> with <u>MA 212-18</u></p>	<p><u>25.09.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 273/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Mali Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt A.S Patki, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states on instructions as follows:-</p> <p>(a) Impugned order dated 2.7.2018 shall be withdrawn forthwith.</p> <p>(b) The second appeal shall be heard by Commissioner on 28.9.2018 at 12.00 pm as far as possible and shall be decided soon.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for applicant states that applicant shall appear before Commissioner, Sports, Pune at 12.00 pm on 28.9.2018.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing, hearing of O.A is adjourned to 5.10.2018.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/9/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Applicant in person</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant- Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>27/9/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>25.09.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 912/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.C Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that additional affidavit on merit needs to be filed and is being filed during course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.9.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>14.06.2018 Tribunal's orders <u>25.09.2018</u></p>
	<p><u>O.A No 848/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Salunkhe & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 5.12.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O 5.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi (J.)) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>14.06.2018</p> <p><u>25.07.2018</u></p> <p>M.A 487/2018 in O.A No 849/2018</p> <p>Shri R.S Salunkhe & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>14.06.2018</u>
	<p><u>25.09.2018</u></p> <p><u>M.A 487/2018 in O.A No 848/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Salunkhe & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A. is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, JJ) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p>21.06.2018 Tribunal's orders <u>25.04.2018</u></p>
	<p align="center"><u>O.A No 849/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Salunkhe & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice returnable on 5.12.2108. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1938, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courer and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. S.O 5.12.2018. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

O.A.No.1091/2015 with O.A.No.1118/2015 with O.A.No.1119/2015 with
O.A.No.1120/2015 with O.A.No.1124/2015 with O.A.No.1125/2015 with
O.A.No.1126/2015 with O.A.No.1128/2015 with O.A.No.1129/2015 with
O.A.No.1130/2015 with O.A.No.3/2016 with O.A.No.4/2016 with O.A.No.28/2016 with
O.A.No.29/2016 with O.A.No.32/2016 with O.A.No.33/2016 with O.A.No.34/2016 with
O.A.No.35/2016 with O.A.No.62/2016 with O.A.No.67/2016 with O.A.No.135/2016 with
O.A.No.144/2016 with O.A.No.148/2016 with O.A.No.1153/2017 with O.A.No.1187/2017
with O.A.No.24/2018 with M.A.No.13/2018 with O.A.No.25/2018 with O.A.No.26/2018
with O.A.No.27/2018 with O.A.No.1128/2017 with O.A.No.629/2016 with
O.A.No.92/2016 with O.A.No.117/2018 with O.A.No.118/2018

Dr. K.D. Lohite (O.A.No.1091/2015)
P.B. Thakare & Ors. (O.A.No.1118/2015)
Dr. S.U. Pawar & Ors. (O.A.No.1119/2015)
Dr. K.V. Shegaonkar & Ors. (O.A.No.1120/2015)
Dr. S.D. Shinde (O.A.No.1124/2015)
Dr. R.D. Kolekar & Ors. (O.A.No.1125/2015)
Dr. B.M. Dahat (O.A.No.1126/2015)
Dr. S.N. Ghawade & Ors. (O.A.No.1128/2015)
Dr. R.S. Toshniwal & Ors. (O.A.No.1129/2015)
Dr. J.R. Tambe & Ors. (O.A.No.1130/2015)
M.A. Margiya & Ors. (O.A.No.3/2016)
Dr. A. Rathod & Ors. (O.A.No.4/2016)
Dr. A.N. Bhingare & Ors. (O.A.No.28/2016)
Dr. P.M. Deshmukh (O.A.No.29/2016)
Dr. S.D. Ahire (O.A.No.32/2016)
Dr. S.P. Gophane & Ors. (O.A.No.33/2016)
Dr. P.D. Myakalwar & Ors. (O.A.No.34/2016)
R. Bhendarkar (O.A.No.35/2016)
Dr. R.K. Waychanl & Ors. (O.A.No.62/2016)
Dr. M.M. Patil & Ors. (O.A.No.67/2016)
Dr. Gaikwad S.S. & Ors. (O.A.No.135/2016)
Dr. Sangeeta Chopkar (O.A.No.144/2016)
Dr. M.D. Chavan (O.A.No.148/2016)
Dr. M.N. Narwade (O.A.No.1153/2017)
Dr. Y.G. Fegade (O.A.No.1187/2017)
A. Kute (O.A.No.24/2018 with M.A.No.13/2018)
Dr. P.P. Sirsat (O.A.No.25/2018)
Dr. P. Sonawane (O.A.No.26/2018)
Dr. R.A. Mundhe (O.A.No.27/2018)

Dr. V.T. Thakur & Ors. (O.A.No.1128/2017)
 Dr. N.N. Dhumeey (O.A.No.629/2016)
 Dr. P.V. Galkwad & Ors. (O.A.No.92/2016)
 Dr. M. Wankhade (O.A.No.117/2018)
 Dr. P.R. Muley (O.A.No.118/2018)

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Shri Vishal chauhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, Shri S. Kusale, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale and Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri S.K. Nair, the Special Counsel, Ms. N.G. Gohad, Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officers with Ms. S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
 Shri P.N. Dixit, Member(A)

DATE : 25.09.2018.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, Shri S. Kusale, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale and Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.K. Nair, the Special Counsel with Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned Special Counsel Nair time for compliance of order passed on 19.6.2018 is extended till next date.
3. Interim order shall continue till next date.
4. S.O. to 23.10.2018.

(P.N. Dixit)
 Member(A)

Sd/-
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.09.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A 774/2018</u></p> <p>Shri N.N Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Mehta, learned advocate for applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>3. S O to 6.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 609 OF 2016

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Shri Prakash R. Acharekar)
Service as Addl. Superintendent of Police)
[Technical], Motor Transport,)
Pune Range, Pune.)
R/o: D/303, Matoshree Park,)
Bhandup Village (E),)
Mumbai 400 042.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra)
Through the Chief Secretary,)
General Administration Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai.)
2. The Addl. Chief Secretary,)
Home Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai.)
3. The Director General of Police,)
M.S, Shahid Bhagatsing Marg,)
Colaba, Mumbai.)
4. The Special Inspector General of)
Police, Motor Transport Division,)
M.S, Pune Range, Pune.)...**Respondents**

Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **25.09.2018**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwd, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has approached this Tribunal with following prayer:-

“10(a) To issue appropriate mandatory directions to the respondents to forthwith take up for consideration the case of the Applicant’s regular promotion to the cadre of SP/DCP (Tech), M.T along with grant of deemed date of such promotion to the applicant w.e.f 2.4.2013 with all consequential benefits including arrears of pay, increments and all other benefits of continuous regular service from such deemed date of promotion.”

(Quoted from page 17 of O.A)


3. During pendency of O.A. applicant is promoted as Deputy Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport, Mumbai, by order dated 23.6.2017.


4. Applicant wants to pursue the claim on the basis of fact that:-

- (a) Vacancy existed
- (b) Selection process was initiated.
- (c) Promotion has been delayed.

5. Applicant’s claim is based on averment contained in paras 6.17 and 6.18.

6. Applicant has failed to base his claim on legal right and foundation of his claim is expectation than, based on legal rights.
7. Hence, Original Application has no merit and is dismissed.
8. Parties are directed to bear own costs.


Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)


Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, JJ)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 25.09.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date:- 25.09.2018</p> <p><u>O.A.No.923 of 2017 with O.A.No.1009 of 2017 with</u> <u>O.A.No.1011 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.Z. Zople (O.A.No.923 of 2017) C.D. Shahane (O.A.No.1009 of 2017) L.P. Patil & Ors. (O.A.No.1011 of 2017) ... Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:- That the matter of consideration of Applicants' representation is in process and the file is put up before the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. Awaiting the decision, adjourned to 28.12.2018 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) U Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 25.09.2018

O.A.No.806 of 2018

V.M. Nawale

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Heard for some time. What is transpired is as follows:-
 - (a) Admittedly Applicant had completed statutory tenure.
 - (b) Applicant was due for transfer.
 - (c) Applicant had requested for retention at Mumbai or transfer him at Aurangabad.
 - (d) The Competent Authority has power to transfer the Applicant or decline the choice of the Applicant.
3. During hearing, it has transpired that the allegations are malafide and are difficult to prove as much easy those are to make.
4. At this stage, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has taken instructions from the Applicant and states that Applicant would elect to withdraw the O.A. and prefer to pursue the representation made by him against the order of transfer before the Government.
5. It shall suffice to note that let the Applicant's request for posting at Aurangabad be considered as and when the occasion to fill in the vacancy on the post of Deputy Director at Aurangabad is to be filled in.
6. With directions as contained in foregoing para, Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date:- 25.09.2018</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A.No.27 of 2018 in O.A.No.1033 of 2016</u></p> <p>1</p> <p>5.B. Tokare & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today when the case was called out, the Assistant Conservator of Forest Shri K.M. Dabholkar was present. He was asked to ascertain availability of legal adviser of the Forest Department for Borivali National Park who has written the reply dated 9.3.2018.</p> <p>3. The Officer agreed that the legal adviser would remain present as and when will be called.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.9.2018 for appearance of Shri K.M. Dabholkar as well as the legal adviser Shri Dhiraj U. Mirajkar.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p>

Sba

25.09.2018O.A No 394/2018

Shri S.B Rathod & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale with Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. O.A was taken up for hearing.
3. It is an admitted fact that:-
 - (a) The judgment of Hon'ble High Court in W.P 2797/2015 and accompanying Writ Petitions is challenged before Hon'ble Supreme Court.
 - (b) Hon'ble Supreme Court has heard the SLP and has reserved the order.
4. Therefore, going by propriety, hearing of O.A deserves to be deferred.
5. Learned Advocate for applicant prays for leave to add affected candidates as Respondents and prays that Learned C.P.O be directed to furnish list thereof.
6. Learned C.P.O undertakes immediately to furnish to the applicants list of 184 candidates (who according to applicants are wrongly retained in training despite the judgment in W.P no 2797/2015 and other Writ Petitions).
7. Notice of this Tribunal to the added Respondents is made returnable on 28.9.2018.
8. Added Respondents be served through Head of P.T.S concerned.
9. S.O to 28.9.2018.
10. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No: _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

25.09.2018

M.A 472/2018 in O.A No 394/2018

Shri S.B Rathod & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale with Shri S.S Dere,
learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati
Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O to 28.9.2018.

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 25.09.2018**O.A.No.718 of 2018****D.S. Gavit**

... Applicant

Vs.**The State of Maharashtra & Ors**

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 5.10.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, JM)
Chairman

Sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date:- 25.09.2018</p> <p><u>O.A.No.493 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. T.G. Kulkarni ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. L. Patne, the learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 10.12.2018 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J)  Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date:- 25.09.2018</p> <p><u>O.A.No.560 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.S. Pawar ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.2. Interim relief to continue till next date.3. S.O. to 5.10.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>