

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.No.149/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.K. Naravane</b>                      ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>              ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Ms. Shalu Tanwar holding for Shri A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>25.08.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 25.08.2022 Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">O.A.No.847 of 2022 With O.A.No.850 of 2022</p> <p>R. A. Hajare V.D.Georaikar <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both these Original Applications, the Applicants were appointed on deputation for one year w.e.f. 21.09.2021 by order dated 11.10.2021. The Applicants thereafter made representations dated 22.06.2022 and 23.06.2022 respectively contending that the deputation ought to have been for minimum three years in terms of G.R. dated 16.02.2018. However, no decision is taken till date, and therefore, under apprehension, the Applicants have filed the present O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that since the Applicants are already made representations, those will be considered and the decision will be taken soon. According to him, at this stage Original Applications are premature.</p> <p>4. In view of above, both the Original Applications are disposed of since as of now there is no adverse order against the Applicants and Original Applications are premature.</p> <p>5. The Respondents are at liberty to take appropriate decision on the representations made by the Applicants and it shall be communicated to them.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.933 of 2021</p> <p>M.D. Radaye .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. After the matter is heard for some time, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation to the Respondent No.2 for his transfer <sup>P.D.M</sup> Police Station, Nate on family difficulties.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation.</p> <p>4. If any such representation is made, it be decided in accordance to law.</p> <p>5. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 25.08.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.846 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>Shri P. V. Chandak</b> <span style="float:right"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p align="center"><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to the Respondents not to relieve him since he is apprehending that he will be relieved as he has already completed one year period of deputation. According to him, the deputation period should have been minimum three years in terms of G.R. dated 16.02.2018. However, he was deputed only for one year by order dated 29.10.2021 w.e.f. 09.08.2021. His period of one year came to an end on 09.08.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions however submits that the department is going to submit the proposal for appropriate extension of deputation period of the Applicant and the order to that effect will be issued within a week.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with directions to the Respondents to take the decision about extension of the Applicant within two weeks and the decision shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">   <b>Sd/-</b> </p> <p align="right"> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <span style="float:right">[P.T.O.]</span>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.282 of 2021 in O.A. No.552 of 2021 with M.A. No.283 of 2021 in O.A. No.553 of 2021</p> <p>K.T. More P.G. Kulkarni .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 in M.A. No.282/2021 in O.A.552/2021 and also filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in M.A. No.283/2021 in O.A.553/2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.747 of 2022</p> <p>V.H. Sawant .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.745 of 2022</p> <p>A.A. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.181 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Bhoir .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.56 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Vanjari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Darshana Kadekot, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.797 of 2022</p> <p><b>S. R. Kamble</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. seeking directions to the Respondents to release his some of the retiral benefits which are withheld on account of pendency of Criminal Prosecution as well as Departmental Proceedings.</p> <p>3 The Applicant stands retired from the post of Talathi on 31.07.2019. Before his retirement, the FIR for offences under Section 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 478, 166 and 120B of Indian Penal Code were registered against him and others on 18.03.2017 alleging that he made certain forged and false entries in the record of right. After investigation, the Criminal Case was filed in the Court on 17.10.2017. Insofar as D.E. is concerned, he was served with the charge-sheet dated 29.07.2019 on 11.09.2019 for the alleged forgery committed by him in record of right in respect of which offence was registered against him on 18.03.2017. It is on this background, after waiting for three years, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. for directions to the Respondents to release his withheld retiral benefits.</p> <p>4. Since the Criminal Case is still pending, the Applicant cannot claim gratuity as provided under Rule 130(c) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. The Criminal Prosecution was filed much before his retirement. True, the D.E. has been recently closed by order dated 12.08.2022 (after filing of O.A.) but the Criminal Prosecution being still pending, he cannot claim gratuity. Suffice to say, the claim of gratuity in view of pendency of <sup>IPFO</sup>Criminal Prosecution is premature.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

5. The Applicant also raised grievance for withholding of leave encashment. It appears that leave encashment was withheld on account of pendency of D.E. It is because of pendency of D.E. 'No Due Certificate' was issued by the department. However now, since D.E. is closed recently, there is no hurdle to release leave encashment.

6. Learned P.O. fairly stated that the orders of releasing leave encashment will be issued soon.

7. Next grievance of the Applicant is about irregular payment of Provisional Pension. Admittedly, the Provisional Pension has been paid up to January, 2021. However, onward February, 2021 the Provisional Pension is not paid. Indeed, during pendency of Criminal Case as well as D.E., the Provisional Pension is required to be paid regularly. The Respondents are required to take necessary steps to continue to pay Provisional Pension regularly. The Applicant has also raised grievance about pay and arrears as per 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission. The Respondents are also required to examine the Applicant's case and required to pay difference of arrears as per his entitlement.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in this O.A. and it deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions as under :-

(A) The Respondents are directed to pay leave encashment within two months from today.

(B) The Respondents are further directed to pay arrears of Provisional Pension within a month and shall continue to pay Provisional Pension regularly.

(C) The Respondents shall also examine the entitlement of the Applicant for arrears in terms of 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission and, if found entitled, the arrears be paid within two months.

(D) The Applicant is at liberty to raise grievance about verification of Time Bound Promotion by making representation to the Respondents.

(D) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 28/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.D Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 488/2021 with O.A 660, 677 &amp; 740/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.C Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply is filed in all the Original Applications except O.A 660/2021 and seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.08.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.53 of 2022 in O.A.No.960 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.S.A.N. Ali .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. produces copy of promotion and posting order dated 24.08.2022. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. She submits that the order of the Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to<sup>29</sup>...08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 25.08.2022
	<p align="center"><b>C.A.No.16 of 2022 in O.A.No.223 of 2020</b></p> <p>D.N. Kamble &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate states that there are six Applicants, wherein Applicant No.1 has been given the benefits regarding Time Bound Promotion of 24 years though his scale has been restored.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. produces copy of compliance letter dated 24.08.2022 indicating compliance made by the officer of Women and Child Department. Taken on record marked as Exhibit-A. Copy served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate seeks time to go through the letter.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 664/2018</u></b></p> <p>Ms Amrita P.B Lohar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaiwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 2.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 381/2018</u></b></p> <p>Ms M.A Avhad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 2.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 772/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.A Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 219/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Waghmare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amruta Kharade i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 833/2022</u></b></p> <p>Rizwan Allauddin Kamle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges the rejection of his candidature for the post of Associate Professor, Forensic Medicine as per Advertisement No. 98/2021 dated 17.9.2021 as he is fulfilling the all the terms and conditions. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the M.P.S.C has rejected the candidature of the applicant vide letter dated 24.8.2022 as "Said publication is Index Copernicus which is not acceptable as per NMC norms of 2020. However, paper published in 2017, the date of acceptance should be produced to verify if the date of acceptance is on or before 5.6.2017".</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application to bring the letter dated 24.8.2022 on record.</p> <p>4. Permission granted to amend the Original Application within two week and serve copy of the same on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that first paper 'Correlation of Finger Prints with Blood Groups as an Identity Tool was accepted on 12.4.2017n and the second paper Study of correlation of organ and body weight during autopsy with regard to age and sex in adult population at Solapur region on 28.4.2017.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that both the papers were published prior to 5.6.2017. The Respondent-Department is directed to verify the above fact.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, the Respondents are directed to allow the applicant to appear for the interview scheduled on 26.8.2022, subject to the outcome of the Original Application and the results of the said interview should not be declared before the next date.</p> <p>8. S.O to 29.9.2022. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 25.08.2022**

**O.A.No.790 of 2022 with O.A.No.791 of 2022**

V.L. Ghumare & Ors (O.A.790/2022)

G. Pawar & Ors. (O.A.791/2022)

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Pranav Avhad a/w Mr. Darshna Naval, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Avhad submits that though the Applicants names were mentioned in the select list they are not been appointed on the post of Police Constable at Navi Mumbai and Thane respectively because they have applied from only one District as per the Rules.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar submits that he will be filing vakalatnama in O.A.No.790/2022 for Respondent No.9 and in O.A.No.791/2022 for Respondents No.4 and 5 today.

4. Learned Advocate Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate submits that she will be filing vakalatnama for private Respondents No.4, 5 and 6 in O.A.No.790/2022 today.

5. Learned C.P.O. seeks one week time to file reply.

6. We direct Additional Director Training, Mr. Sanjay Kumar to appoint somebody and comply with the orders of the Tribunal.

7. For reporting compliance adjourned to 29.08.2022

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.08.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.504 of 2022 in R.A.No.16 of 2022 in O.A.No.859 of 2021</b></p> <p>N.G. Kadadi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 29.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 676/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Later on:</b></p> <p>C.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been given hearing by the Hon'ble Governor of Maharashtra on 22.7.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to check with the Department regarding the position of the appeal.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) AkN</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p><b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b></p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>Date : 25.08.2022</b></p>
	<p><b>O.A.No.243 of 2022</b></p> <p>D.P. Pathare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Prasad Warkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. prays Ms. K.S. Gaikwad prays for adjourned as learned P.O. Ms. Archana B.K. is not present today.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.09.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 25.08.2022**

**O.A.No.828 of 2022**

N.G. Kadadi .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.09.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 29.09.2022

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.883 of 2018</u></p>
	<p>M.N. Patel ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Shri M.M. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 18.9.2018 by which the disciplinary authority compulsorily retired the applicant. He challenged the said order by way of this OA and this Tribunal by order dated 3.10.2018 stayed the said order dated 18.9.2018 with a finding that he has already been punished. Thereafter he was continued.</p>
	<p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 26.6.2020 submits that during pendency of this OA the applicant has retired on 30.6.2020 on attaining the age of 58 years from the post of Secondary Teacher. Ld. Advocate submits that by way of punishment the applicant was reverted from the post of Head Master to the post of Secondary Teacher. The applicant was prosecuted for the offences punishable under Section 354-A IPC and also under the Prevention of Atrocities Act. By judgment dated 14.12.2017 the Additional Sessions Judge, Nashik convicted the applicant for the offences punishable under Section 354-A IPC and was sentenced to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- and suffer S.I. of one month.</p>
	<p>4. S.O. to 25.11.2022.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p>
	<p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span></p>
	<p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p>
	<p align="center">25.8.2022 <span style="float: right;">25.8.2022</span></p>
	<p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.08.2022

**O.A.No.775 of 2022 with O.A.No.776 of 2022  
with  
O.A.No.777 of 2022 with O.A.No.778 of 2022  
with  
O.A.No.779 of 2022**

V.R. Sarole & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Assoc. learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.

3. Learned Advocate Mr, Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar submits that he will be filing vakalatnama for private Respondents in O.A.No.775 /2022 and O.A.No.776/2022 today and reply on next date. He submits that private Respondents have not been served with the copy of O.A.

4. Learned Advocate Ms. Kharade is directed to furnish the copy of O.A. to all the Respondents.

5. Learned Advocate Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate submits that she will be filing vakalatnama for private Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.778/2022 and O.A.No.779/2022 today.

6. Adjourned to 02.09.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.726 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.V. Sidam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. A writ dated 3.8.2022 has been received from the Hon'ble High Court by this Tribunal on 17.8.2022. The writ of the order dated 22.6.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.7097 of 2022 is received directing this Tribunal to dispose of the OA as early as possible.</p> <p>3. The applicant was dismissed by order dated 18.12.2012. He challenged the said dismissal order by filing OA No.332 of 2014 and this Tribunal by order dated 20.7.2015 allowed the OA and quashed and set aside the order of dismissal. The respondents were directed to reinstate the applicant to the post which he was removed from within four weeks with continuity of service and all service benefits except back wages. The State Government challenged the said order by filing W.P. No.8394 of 2015 and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 9.4.2018 directed the appellate authority to decide the appeal on merits and set aside the order of the appellate authority dated 20.12.2014 and also the order dated 20.7.2015.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO informs that after DE the applicant was dismissed from service. But after the order dated 9.4.2018 was passed by the Hon'ble High Court the appeal was freshly decided by the Law &amp; Judiciary Department and appeal was dismissed by the Law and Judiciary Department by order dated 2.6.2018. This order is again challenged by the applicant in this Tribunal in present OA No.726 of 2018.</p> <p>5. We take note of the order dated 22.6.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court. At present we are dealing with the matter of 2015. So immediately thereafter we will take this matter. It is also to be noted that there are many holidays and non availability of the staff on account of Ganpati festival which is going to get over on 9.9.2022.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.8.2022</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.8.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.8.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.8.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.8.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.8.2022				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.08.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.908 of 2019 with M.A.No.497 of 2019</b></p> <p>A.K. Gogavle &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Tanmay A. Sawant, learned Advocate holding for M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the instance of learned Advocate Mr. Sawant matter is adjourned to 19.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medna Gangli) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.370 of 2021 with M.A. No.299 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri H.S. Chitale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 28.4.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.148 of 2021 &amp; Ors. Para 5 of the said order reads as under: .</p> <p align="center"><i>"5. There is merit in the submissions of learned Counsel appearing for Petitioner. Accordingly Respondents-State is directed not to take any coercive action qua the Petitioner/Applicant."</i></p> <p>3. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that now the writ petition is scheduled on 27.9.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of this, we keep the matter on 10.11.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.8.2022 25.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 483/2022 in O.A 427/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri Pandurang B. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.S Satpute, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed to restore the Original Application No. 427/2021 which was dismissed for want of prosecution on 16.6.2022.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in the Misc Application, the Original Application 427.2021 is restored.</p> <p>4. Since the subject matter of the Original Application, that is deemed date of promotion relates to Single Bench, the same be placed before the Single Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.08.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 830/2022 with M.A 501/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Anil B. Pakhare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None preset for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.9.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 2.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.08.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.676 of 2022</b></p> <p>C.S. Gaikwad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter called out, however, learned Advocate Mr. Chandratre is not available.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.711 of 2019 with O.A. No.741 of 2021</p> <p>M.N. Gaikwad C.P. Yelve .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. submits that the issue which is pending before Division Bench is not yet decided, and therefore requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to. 22.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.721 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. Shelke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder in mean time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 25.08.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.500 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P.B. Jagtap</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note.</li> <li>2. Applicant in person is present.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. Enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous date last chance was granted but in vain. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>6. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.54 of 2018</p> <p>R.G. Nandgude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that on previous date also Applicant and his Advocate were absent but matter was adjourned to given one opportunity but in vain. Thus it appears that they are not interested in the matter.</li> <li>4. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default.</li> <li>5. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.471 of 2022</p> <p>K.G. Patkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous date last chance was granted but in vain.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2022</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. Birajdar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 but the same is not filed. Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is already filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.08.2022

O.A. No.128 of 2022

M.N. Katte .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. One week time is granted to learned P.O. to file Reply to the amendment made in O.A.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice to Respondent No.4 who is newly added by way of amendment before admission returnable on 19.09.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.08.2022

O.A. No.827 of 2022

D.D. Sonawane .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 11.03.2022 thereby refixing his pay and allowance resulting into recovery.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.801 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. Menda &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.857 of 2017</p> <p>B.R. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made in O.A. on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.703 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Tambdi &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.689 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Chandaliya .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Anita Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.674 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. Suryawanhi .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. Today, matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. On previous date last chance was granted but the Reply is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.647 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.G. Sangar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.616 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. Pimparkhede .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.P. Pimparkhede, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.543 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.D. Barad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondent No.3 is directed to file short affidavit by tomorrow stating that how many persons from 1987 batch have been promoted from Open category.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.8.2022. Hamdast.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.8.2022 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.8.2022 </p>