



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M. T. Joshi (CJ)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri A. R. Chadha (M/A)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj.S.O. to 26/7/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 25.07.2018.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.868 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>K.G. Patil</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar submitted that he would go through Government Resolution regarding making it mandatory to pass Marathi typing test within certain period and in case of failure, the effect thereof.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2018.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.R. Chaudha) Member(A)         </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (M.T. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman         </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;"><i>prk</i></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <del>Shri Smt. ....</del> <i>None for the applicant.</i> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Shri Smt. ....</del> <i>K. S. Gaikwad</i> G.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.88 of 2017</p> <p>S.S. Dhobale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 26.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sb</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s.

(Presenting Officer:.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.191 of 2017</u></p>
<p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p>	<p>M.A. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>
<p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/7/18</u></p>	<p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply to the amended OA and the same in taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.7.2018.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p>
	<p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

25.07.2018

M.A 360/2018 in O.A 670/2018

Shri Vikas T. Chinchwadkar & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.
4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.
5. M.A is allowed.

25/7/18

Coram - Hon' Justice Shri  
M.T. Joshi (Vice-Chairman)  
Shri A.P. Chadha (M.A.)

Shri L.S. Deshmukh Adv  
for the applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule PO for  
the Respondents.

Heard

order passed in Tribunal  
column.

M.A is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Chadha)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.678 of 2018</u>  M.D. Kedar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents  Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. The Applicant has retired on 31.8.2017. There appears to be no reason why he has not been paid the gratuity which was due to him.  3. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to make gratuity payment if there is no legal impediment within a period of one month. If there is any difficulty even then the Respondents are directed to communicate the same and pass appropriate orders. The Applicant is thereafter at liberty to approach the Tribunal, if required. OA disposed off accordingly.</p>
<p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p>	<p>(sgl)  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p>
<p><del>Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed off.</del> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate \_\_\_\_\_)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed off.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 602 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.P. Karape ..... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant prays for early decision in treating his period of suspension. The Labour Commissioner has requested the Principal Secretary to take decision in the matter on priority. The same has been sent on 4.10.2017.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to take suitable decision on merits within a period of two months.</p> <p>4. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj.S.O. to MA 322/18 is rejected and O.A. is disposed off.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.322 of 2018 in O.A. No.603 of 2018 with O.A. No.603 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.P. Karape ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant requests for condoning the delay in filing OA.</p> <p>3. The reasons stated therein are not found satisfactory and hence request for condoning the delay is rejected.</p> <p>4. MA is rejected.</p> <p>5. In view of rejection of MA, OA does not survive and is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgl)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M.T. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>A.R. Chadha</u> (M.A.)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/8/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p><b>Date :</b> 25.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.789 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S.K. Pawar</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar seeks time to file rejoinder, if any,</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.08.2018.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><u>(A.R. Chadha)</u> Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><u>(M.T. Joshi J.)</u> Vice-Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**25.07.2018**

**O.A nos 498 & 505/2015**

**DATE :** 25/7/18

**GORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman)  
A.R. Chaudhary (m.k.)

Shri V.V Dharmadhikari

Shri V.R Salodkar

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P. & P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to Heard

order passed in  
Tribunal Column.

dtim 2/8/18.

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. During hearing, learned counsel for the applicants mention that if necessary amendment application along with documents would be filed.

3. At his request, adjourned to 2.8.2018.

Sd/-

(M. B. Chaudhary)  
Member (A)

Aka

Sd/-

(M.T Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> <u>M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>A.R. Chadha (M.A.)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire S.P. Counsel</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>no 3</u> <u>with S.P. Manchekar C.P.O.</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>for the Respondents</u></p> <p>Heard order passed in Tribunal column. By consent. Adj to 2/8/18.</p> <p>with OA-1104/17, OA 808/17, 809/17, 810/17, OA 811/17. Group number reply filed in OA 810/17.</p>	<p>Date : 25.07.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.807 of 2017 with O.A.No.1104 of 2017 with O.A.No.808 of 2017 with O.A.No.809 of 2017 with O.A.No.810 of 2017 with O.A.No.811 of 2017</p> <p>N.M. Tile &amp; Drs. (O.A.No.807/2017) K.R. Jagdale (O.A.No.1104/2017) R.S. Khot (O.A.No.808/2017) V.A. Pathare (O.A.No.809/2017) V.D. Kasture (O.A.No.810/2017) D.B. Kshirsagar (O.A.No.811/2017)</p> <p>.....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.3 with Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Affidavit-in-reply, if any, be filed on the next date.</li> <li>3. Be kept with similar matters bearing O.A.No.610 of 2017 and O.A.No.926 of 2017.</li> <li>4. By consent, adjourned to 02.08.2018.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- (A.R. Chadha) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.T. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 25/7/18</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman) &amp; Shri D.R. Chaudha CM-0</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Miss G. Manchekar C.P.O. C.P.O. for the Respondents 1 &amp; 3 Adj./S.O. to Shri D.B. Khaire for the Respondent no-2 Head order passed in Tribunal column. S.O. 7/8/18.</p>	<p><b>Date : 25.07.2018.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.136 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>K.V. Patil</b> ....Applicant. <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 &amp; 3, and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. It appears that Hon'ble Single Judge vide order dated 09.07.2018 ordered that the present O.A. be placed before the Hon'ble Division Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of the said recommendation the O.A. be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for issuing directions that may be deemed fit by Hon'ble Chairman.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.R. Chaudha) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M.T. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>O.R. Chakla cm-0</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>23/8/18 for hearing.</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 25.07.2018.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.770 of 2014 with M.A.No.268 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>The Maharashtra Rajya S.V.J.P.V.C. Karmachari Sanghata</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply be filed. Rejoinder, if any, be filed in the meantime.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2018 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.R. Chadha) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(M.T. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>A.R. Chadha (M.A.)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Sd/- <u>Sachin Bhaskar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Sd/- <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.F./S.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p><u>order passed in Tribunal column 5020618/18</u></p>	<p><b>25.07.2018</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 815/2015</u></b></p> <p>Dr Deepak Balvatkar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sachin Bhaskar, learned advocate for the applicants 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 13 &amp; 14, remaining applicants absent and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It was pointed out by learned advocate for the applicants that the G.R dated 7.9.2010 relied upon by the Respondents is not produced.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in this regard.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.8.2018 for taking instructions and or file copy of the G.R.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.R Chadha) Member (A)</p> <p>(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M.T. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>A.R. Chadha</u> (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Applicant <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> <i>order passed in Tribunal Column 4 5020 28/8/18.</i></p>	<p><b>25.07.2018</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 666/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri Mohan B. Jane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 28.8.2018.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O to 28.8.2018.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.R. Chadha) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>GORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Vice</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>A.R. Chaudha (m.A.)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u> <u>5070 8018/18</u></p>	<p><b>25.07.2018</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 595/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.D Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 31.8.2018.</p> <p>9. Without filing any affidavit, Respondents are directed to go through Exh. F, page 67 received from Administrative Officer, Transport Department, under Respondent no. 2, which states that the present applicant would be considered for promotion to the post of Police Havaldar as and when the seniority list would be finalized. At page 68, we have draft gradation list of 2017. Mr Lonkar makes a statement that this draft gradation list was ultimately finalized.</p> <p>10. At Exh. G, page 69, the promotion list dated 31.5.2018 would shows that the present applicant was shown at Sr. no. 1 in the gradation list. But he was not promoted.</p> <p>11. Taking into consideration, all these facts, concerned Respondents are directed to take conscious decision regarding promotion of the applicant, if not, taken by the earlier D.P.C and file report regarding the same on the next date.</p> <p>12. S.O to 30.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p><b>A.R. Chaudha</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p><b>(M.T Joshi, J.)</b> Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>m.t. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>A.H. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>A.R. Chadda (m.A)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u> Applicant for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> P.O. for the Respondents Adj. S.O. to <u>Heard</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column.</u> <u>5010 30/8/18.</u></p>	<p align="center"><b>25.07.2018</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 669/2018</u></b></p> <p>Smt Smita R. Varkhande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.8.2018.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O to 30.8.2018.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.R Chadda) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M.T. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>A.R. Chaudhari</u> (M.A)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>D.D. Khair</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents <u>No. 1, 2</u> <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> for <u>res-3</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p><u>50 to 23/8/18</u></p> <p><u>2</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 25.07.2018.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.810 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>N.P.Koli</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri D.B. Khaire, adjourned to 23.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  <b>(A.R. Chaudhari)</b>  Member(A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  <b>(M.T. Joshi J.)</b>  Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.07.2018. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.417 of 2016

Dr. P.S. Awate .....Applicant.  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By hearing both sides, it appears that as per law laid down in the decision in O.A.No.360/2012 with O.A.No.94/2013 with O.A.No.95/2013, M.A.T. Mumbai, dated 27.01.2014 (copy whereof is at page 75, Exhibit-Q), <sup>that</sup> though additional charge of the higher post for a longer period shall also be considered as experience (relevant paragraph No.33 at page 112 on word and since the said judgment has been attended by further judgment of Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition No.1936/2014 decided on 01.08.2014 (copy at page 117, Exhibit 'R'), the learned P.O. is directed to take instructions as to how many candidates had applied for the post from O.B.C. Category and the result of the candidature like rejection of application for want of experience and etc. and to file short affidavit in this regard.

3. This is required to be done particularly in view of the fact that in application form the applicant fails to give details of the holding of the additional charge and only in the Original Application pleadings to that effect are made.

4. S.O. to 20.08.2018, for filing of affidavit.

Sd/-

(A.P. Chaudha)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

DATE : 25/7/18.

CORAM : m.T. vice.  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.P. Joshi (Chairman)  
B.P. Chaudha (m.B.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.  
P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to H.C. order

order passed in  
Tribunal column  
50 to 2018/18 for  
filing of affidavit.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.07.2018.

O.A.No.265 of 2016

P.J. Ganjale .....Applicant.  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

**DATE :** 25/7/18

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri <sup>M.T. Joshi</sup> (Chairman)  
11- <sup>A.P. Chaudhary</sup> (M-1)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri <sup>V.S. Deobani</sup>

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri <sup>Archana B.K.</sup>  
C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to <sup>Head</sup>

order passed in  
Tribunal Column.

S.O. 23/8/18.

Steno copy and Hamdast  
is allowed to both.

1. Heard Shri V.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Heard both sides. Upon hearing it appears that present Applicant was terminated by the Respondent No.3 on the very date when he approached to join the duty on the ground that Respondent have carried out a faulty selection process.

3. According to the Respondent No.3 the Committee should have followed Government Resolution dated 05.09 2013. (Exhibit 'F', page 21) It is clear that the selection process was started in 2006 not only regarding the present applicant but <sup>of</sup> number of candidates from villages from various Talukas within Thane District. As such it appears that the entire selection process has been cancelled for Respondent's own fault thereby causing much inconvenience and incurring of the costs by the number of Applicants.

4. In the circumstances, the then members of Selection Committee shall file explanation as to under what circumstances they started defective selection process and as to why their act should not be a cause for disciplinary action by Respondent No.1.

5. 5.O. to 23.08.2018, for filing explanation and for hearing.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both.

Sd/-

(A.P. Chaudhary)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi J.)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN,

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> G.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1211 of 2016</p> <p>H.D. Mandavkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar has filed his vakalatnama replacing the earlier Advocates.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate thereafter seeks four weeks time for the preparation.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sb</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.07.2018 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.866 of 2017

S.R. Zagade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the mother of the Applicant is Central Government Employee. The father of the Applicant was State Government Employee who is deceased.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore proposes to amend the pleadings and prayers.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents opposes the same stating that at the stage of final hearing it cannot be permitted.

5. The Applicant is permitted to amend his pleadings as well as the prayers within two months.

6. After amending the O.A., the learned Advocate be served the amended copy of the O.A. on the Respondents.

7. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time thereafter to file reply to the amended O.A.

8. S.O. to 03.09.2018.

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/9/18

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
Member (A)

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.402 of 2018

T.P. Rawale ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.S. Tamhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 23.8.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Tamhane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18

100/

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
25.7.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.401 of 2018

R.L. Boga .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. PO mentions that she has received para wise remarks and proposes to file reply within two weeks.
- S.O. to 6.8.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
25.7.2018

DATE 25/7/18  
CORAM  
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

(sg)

**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 6/8/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate \_\_\_\_\_)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 400 of 2018

B.R. Ghadge ..... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

1. Ld. PO file reply and the same is taken on record.
2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 10.8.2018.

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri P.N. Dixit~~ Applicant in person

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri P.N. Dixit~~ Archana B.K.

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s  
Reply filed by R.No. 3.

Adj. S.O. to 10.8.18

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
25.7.2018

(sg)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.07.2018 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.277 of 2017 with O.A.No.278 of 2017  
with  
O.A.No.379 of 2017

M.S.Shete (O.A.No.277of 2017)  
B.N. Mane (O.A.No.278 of 2017)  
A.D. Dalvi (O.A.No.379 of 2017)

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that by order dated 07.02.2018 issued by this Tribunal, all three Applicants have been reinstated from suspension.

3. Learned Advocate therefore states that the prayer regarding suspension/revocation is already acted upon. Learned Advocate mentions that three Applicants have been posted at different places.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the posting order of revocation of suspension cannot be decided by the same O.A.

5. According to the learned P.O. fresh cause of action has arisen.

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicants proposes to file affidavit on behalf of all three Applicants.

7. After filing the affidavit, the copy of same should be served on the learned P.O.

8. S.O.to 30.08.2018.

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM

Honble P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANTS:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 30/8/18

<Groupy

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrur's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. S.O. O.A. is disposed of.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.403 of 2017</p> <p>M.P. Sonawane .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions received from the Applicant, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant has been reinstated from suspension.</p> <p>3. Therefore, learned Advocate requests to dispose of O.A.</p> <p>4. In view of the statement made by the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Original Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. DIXIT)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM  
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:  
Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar  
Advocate for the Applicant

K.B. Bhise  
Advocate for the Respondent/s No. 1 & 3  
None for R.No. 2

Adj. S.O. to 2/8/18

Date : 25.07.2018  
O.A.No.747 of 2017

K.Y. Deshmukh .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3. None for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks two weeks time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 02.08.2018.

Sd/-  
(P.N. DIXIT)  
Member (A)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE</b> <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. T. Sangle,</u> <u>holding for P.S. Pathak,</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><del>Adj./S.O. to O.A. is dismissed.</del></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 25.07.2018</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.463 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>V.G. Karande</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. T. Sangle, the learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced the communication dated 14.06.2018 received from the Commissioner, Disability, Pune. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. On perusal of order, it shows that the Respondents have considered her request and after considering the same, she has posted at Akola.</p> <p>4. I do not find any reasons to interfere with the order of the Respondent No.2 as the reasons are acceptable.</p> <p>5. Hence there is not merit in the O.A. the same is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(P.N. DIXIT)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.389 of 2017 in O.A. No.844 of 2017

A.J. Hodarkar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file reply in the MA.

3. S.O. to 3.8.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
25.7.2018

(sgl)

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M. B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. M. S. Suryawanshi

~~C.P.O.~~ O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/8/18

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam, holding for J.N. Kamble Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>2/8/18</u></p> <p></p>	<p><u>R.A. No. 11 of 2018 in O.A. No. 693 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.S. Pawale ..... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> holding <u>Doc A.M. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.242 of 2016</p> <p>A.D. Raste .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.M. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale states that he will file vakalatnama on the next date.</p> <p>3. If the vakalatnama is not filed on the next date, the O.A. shall stand dismiss for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate \_\_\_\_\_)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Reply filed by Reg. No. _____ Adj./S.O. to <u>13/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.12 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.M. Kamble &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits communication from respondent no.2. She also provides copy of the same to Ld. Advocate for the applicant. Ld. PO undertakes to file reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N<sup>t</sup> Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.07.2018

O.A.No.523 of 2018

G. V. Zunjar .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.M. Kamtam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice made returnable on 29.08.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 29.08.2018.

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM


Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V. S. Deokar,  
holding for S.M. Kamtam,  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 29/8/18



Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.619 of 2018

P.R. Wanjale ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 23.8.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
25.7.2018

(sgj)

DATE 25/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 514 of 2018

N.K. Gaikwad ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO contends that the affidavit to be filed is ready but not affirmed. He proposes to provide copy of the same to Ld. Advocate for the Applicant. He would file the reply within a week.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 9.8.2018.

DATE 25/7/18

**CORAM**

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.P.O.~~ for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 9/8/18

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
25.7.2018

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><del>Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed off.</del> Steno copy and hamdast is granted.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.674 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.D. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant was transferred on 5.6.2018 as per his request to meet family problems. However, in spite of this in absence of relief which was expected the Applicant is not being relieved expeditiously.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to ensure that necessary relief is arranged on priority and decision taken as per transfer order within a period of one month. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018.</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/8/18</u></p> <p>steno copy and handast is granted.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1132 of 2017</u></p> <p>U.V. More ..... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Ld. Advocate for the Applicant contends that the Applicant was working in the Bomb Detection and Destruction Squad (BDDS) of Mumbai Police. He is in receipt of special allowance from 1.5.2018 as per various GRs issued from time to time. The Applicant had joined BDDS from 11.9.2014 and contends that he has not received the allowances for the period from 11.9.2014 to 1.5.2018.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are therefore directed to ensure that as per the available GRs necessary allowances should be disbursed to him and if there is no legal impediment the orders to this effect may be passed within 15 days.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.8.2018.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and handast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>25/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</del> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj.S.O. to <u>16/8/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.422 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.R. Dhanaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 25.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>