

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Corrections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p>TOPICAL:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. P. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>Advocate P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>O.A. is admitted.</u></p> <p><u>to 16/8/16.</u></p> <p><i>FM</i></p>	<p><u>25.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 388/2016</u></p> <p>Shri V.B Bagayatkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed. Shri Chandratre, states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 16.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>25/7/16 Coram - Hon'ble R.B. Malhotra (M-5)</p> <p>Smt Punam Mahajan Adv for the applicants. Miss. N.G. Gokul holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurani, the learned C.P.O for the respondents.</p> <p>Heard order passed in Tribunal 01/4/16 5020 1/8/16.</p> <p>with [O.A. 447, 448, 452, 462 to 464, 468, 470, 474, 495, 494, 496, 497, 504 and 506/16]</p>	<p><u>O.A.446/2016 with 447, 448, 452, 462 to 464, 468, 470, 474, 493, 494, 496, 497, 504 & 506/2016.</u></p> <p>Shri M.G. Kale & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gokul holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurani, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Mentioned by Smt. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants requesting that the matters be heard. It now appears that the Affidavit-in-replies in the last six matters have not filed. This group was adjourned for final hearing today. Either the learned C.P.O. or the learned P.O. Smt. Gaikwad appeared to be present in this group or some of them. The replies filed are taken on record and now this group is set down for final hearing to be held on 25.07.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malhotra) Member (B) 25.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>25/7/16</u> Coram - Hon'ble R. B. Mahle (M.J.) (O.B.)</p> <p>Shri S.P. Dighe holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh Adv for the applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad PO for the Respondents. Held: Admit. Liberty as mention granted.</p>	<p><u>O.A.190/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.P. Sontakke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Dighe holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri Dighe, the learned Advocate made a statement that the Applicant does not want file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. Admit. Liberty as mention granted.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Mahle) Member (J) 25.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer).....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.300 & 353/2016

Shri P.L. Nagare & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Rejoinder taken on _____ Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Interim relief shall continue in terms of orders, but in case the Applicant was dragging his feet, appropriate steps will be taken.

Sd/-

(R.B. Maikar)
Member (C)
25.07.2016

(skw)

25/7/16

Coram - Hon'ble R.B. Maikar (M.T.)
(O.A.)

Shri C.T. Chandratre Adv.
for the Applicants.
Miss. A.G. Gohad P.O. for the Respondents.

Heard -
Admit. Liberty to
mention granted.

(with O.A. 353/16)

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>25/7/16</u> Hon'ble Mr. B. Malik (M-T) Adv for the Applicant Shri K. B. Bhise P.O. for the Respondents - Head. orders passed in Tribunal Column. S.O. No. 318/16.</p>	<p><u>O.A.573/2016</u></p> <p>Shri K.S. Kondvilkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Avinash Patil, Administrative Officer, District Mumbai.</p> <p>The learned P.O. on instructions intimates that the Applicant has been given temporary appointment for 364 days effective from 10.7.16. Therefore, in so far as the interim relief aspect of the matter is concerned, the immediate need is obviously subsided and the OA is accordingly adjourned for Affidavit-in-reply to 31.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Manna) Member (A) 25.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>25/7/16</u> Coram - Hon'ble R.B. Malik (R.B.) (M.S.)</p> <p>Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar Adv for the Applicant Shri R.B. Bhisre for the Respondents.</p> <p>Head order passed in Tribunal column. So to 31/8/16.</p>	<p><u>O.A.737/2016</u></p> <p>Shri V.Y. Makasare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri R.B. Bhisre, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Avinash Patil, Administrative Officer, District, Mumbai.</p> <p>On his instructions, the learned P.O. informs that the Applicant has been given order for temporary appointment for 11 months as Staff Nurse. This order has been issued on 25.7.2016. This statement is recorded and the OA stands adjourned for Affidavit-in-reply to be filed by August, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (A) 25.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer,.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>25/7/16</u> Coram - Hon'ble R.B. Malik (M-J) Smt Punam Manajan Adv for the applicant miss N.G. Gokul holding for Smt K.S. Gaikwad P.O. for the respondents. Heard - Admit. 5020 1/8/16. </p>	<p><u>O.A.465/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.D. Indalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Manajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gokul holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Smt. Manajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant informs that the Applicant do not want to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. Considering the facts, this matter is set down for final hearing to 1st August, 2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member 25.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/7/16.</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. J. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri B.M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u> P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>As to O.A. is admitted. to 22/8/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>F4</i></p>	<p><u>25.07.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 973/2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply and affidavit in rejoinder have been filed. O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 22.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ/A/C.A. No. _____ of 20____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 25/7/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. B. MATIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> By <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> for the Applicant By <u>K.B. Bhise</u> for the Respondents <u>Reminder filed by Applicant.</u> <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>to 8/8/16</u> <i>F.H.</i></p>	<p><u>25.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 112/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Munj & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar files affidavit in rejoinder. O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 8.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

P.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or corrections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p><u>Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p><u>Shri. MALIK (Member)</u></p> <p><u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Applicant</p> <p><u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>16/8/16.</u></p> <p><i>FK</i></p>	<p><u>25.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 335/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Patekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 16.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p>SHRI. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>SHRI. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><u>M.D. Lonkar</u> for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Ms. Archana B.K.</u> S.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>O.A. is admitted.</u> to 8/8/16.</p> <p>FH <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p><u>25.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 566/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.G Rahate & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 8.8.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Corrections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 25/7/16</u></p> <p><u>FORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Shri. P. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>S.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. to 8/8/16.</u></p>	<p><u>25.7.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 535/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.C Barhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors...Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>This Tribunal by order dated 11.7.2016 directed the Deputy Director, Health Services, Nasik, Respondent no. 3 to file affidavit covering the issues mentioned in para 3 of the said order.</p> <p>Learned P.O has placed on record copy of Government letter dated 22.7.2016 whereby Respondent no. 3 has been directed to place the case of the Applicant for review of his suspension before the Committee headed by the Divisional Commissioner, Nasik. That letter more or less cover the point which was mentioned in the order dated 11.7.2016 in para 3. Copy of letter is taken on record.</p> <p>Respondent now may file their affidavit in reply clarifying whether the case has since been placed before the Committee headed by the Divisional Commissioner, Nasik. If so, the decision of the Committee in this regard.</p> <p>S.O to 8.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

25.7.2016

O.A No 679/2016

Shri R.M Bhapkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O for Respondent no 1 to 3 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.

Learned Chief Presenting Officer has produced the concerned Mantralaya file for my perusal. It is seen that though the transfer order has the approval of the Hon. Chief Minister, the note put up by the concerned department does not mention anything as to why these orders have been issued in a month other than April-May.

Learned Advocate Mrs Mahajan stated that the order does not disclose any special reason or exceptional circumstances for transferring the Applicant in a month other than April-May, so the order is ab-initio, void and may be stayed.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar for Respondent no. 4 stated that the Applicant has already been relieved and Respondent no. 4 has joined in his place. The Applicant has also been sent for training for 3-4 months and even if stay order is granted, he will not be able to join the post. No purpose will be served by staying the transfer order.

I find there is lot of force in the argument of Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar. It will be possible with the cooperation of all the parties that before the training of the Applicant is over the Original Application can be disposed of finally. In view thereof, no interim relief is granted.

Respondent no. 1 to 3 will file affidavit before the next date.

S.O to 9.8.2016.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarkar)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 25/7/16
Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Shri. B. MAJUR (Member)

for the Applicant
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit
for the Respondents No. 1 to 3
Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar
for Respondent No. 4.

25/7/16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 25.07.2016
<p>DATE: <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p>Member: Sri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Member: _____ (Member)</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P. (LRO) for the Respondents No. 1 to 2 (<u>M.D. Lonkar</u> for R.No.3 and <u>M.D. Wadgaonkar</u>)</p> <p>Admitted by R.No.2 to 9/8/16.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A No 688/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Patekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, learned C.P. for Respondent no 1 to 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply. Shri Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 request two weeks time to file reply. First and final chance is given to the learned advocate Shri Lonkar to file reply.</p> <p>Matter will be heard finally on 9.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 25.07.2016
<p><u>DATE: 25/7/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Sd. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Sd. P. WALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N.K. Rajpuront</u> C.P.O for the Respondents Reply filed by P.No. 2. Att. to O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 8/8/16. FH @12/</p>	<p><u>O.A No 331 & 332/2016</u></p> <p>Shri T.S Lamane & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri N.K.Rajpuront, learned C.P.O for Respondents'</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed. Snn Lonkar states that he does not wish to me rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 8.8.2016:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A.742/2016
---	--

Shri S.S. Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The OA in so far as even the interim relief is concerned, would require the proper consideration of the 100 point roster, generally with particular emphasis on VJ(A) and NT(B) category. The Respondents were served on 21st July, 2016 and the private Respondent being Respondent No.2 was sent a copy by RPAD. As of today, therefore, I am not so inclined as to grant any interim relief, but liberty is reserved for the Applicant to renew the request for interim relief and the Respondents must, therefore, in the manner of speaking pull up their socks and ensure that the Affidavit-in-reply must be filed and if need be, the record be kept ready for perusal.

Issue notice returnable on 03.08.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the

Handwritten notes:
- 12/11/16
Contam - Honistari R.B. malik (DIA) (M.J)
Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar
Adv for the Applicant.
Smt K.S. Gaikwad for
the Respondents -
Heard
order passed in
Tribunal column
Soro 318/16.
Hamolash.

Handwritten signature

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

questions such as limitation and alternative
remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery
/ speed post / courier and acknowledgement
obtained and produced along with affidavit of
compliance in the Registry within four weeks.
Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of
compliance and notice.

S.O. to 3rd August, 2016. The learned
P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
25.07.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754 & 755/2016</u></p> <p>Shri Tanaji S. Nikam & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Original Applications have been filed challenging the transfer order issued on 26.5.2016 by Respondent no. 2. The persons transferred are Police Head Constable/Police Naik who have been transferred before completion of their tenure of 5 years as per Maharashtra Police Act. This Tribunal by order dated 8.7.2016 in a group of four O.As no 663/2016 & ors have directed the Respondent no. 2 to file affidavit in reply as per para 13 of that order, which reads:-</p> <p>“13. In this background it is considered necessary that the Commissioner of Police as well as Additional Commissioner of Police should file their own affidavit on the following points:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) Whether they have acquainted themselves with Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act.(b) Whether they have read the said Section 22N before taking decision as recorded in the minutes dated 26.5.2016.(c) In which of the clause or clause of Section 22N, the impugned transfer is permissible.(d) The facts which constituted the grounds and circumstances leading to the decision to transfer, do fit in to the particulars prescribed by Section 22N enabling the Transfer.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 25/7/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Smt. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Mr. RAJESH K. WADKAR (Member)</p> <p>Present: <u>Sudhakar Mahajan</u> Counsel for the Applicant <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.T.R.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Att. To: <u>S.O. to 4/8/16.</u> <u>Hamdast.</u></p>	<p>In the present O.As also a similar affidavit may be filed by Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 4.8.2016.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O 4.8.2016. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>