

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 25.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.474 of 2023 (Nagpur) (Through Video-Conferencing)</p> <p>S.N. PatweApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and also Heard Shri M.I. Khan, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 as well as Shri G.K. Bhusari, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 through Video-Conference.</p> <p>2. The Applicant was posted as Deputy Director of Education, Amravati by order dated 30.12.2021 in view of his request for Transfer by virtue of Rule 12 of cadre Allotment Rules, 2021. As per provision of Transfer Act, 2005 he is entitled for 3 years tenure at Amravati. However Government by order dated 23.05.2023 posted Respondent No.2 – Nilima R. Takey in place of the Applicant on medical ground without issuance of posting and Transfer order of the Applicant and he is left in abeyance. In transfer order dated 23.05.2023 it is stated that the Applicant's posting and transfer order will be issued later-on independently. Thus the effect of order dated 23.05.2023 is displacement and shifting of the Applicant from his present post though he has not completed normal tenure.</p> <p>3. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore sought interim relief and has also pointed out that no reason much less special reason is forthcoming to shift and displace the Applicant from the present post. He has further pointed out that indeed CSB had recommended to transfer Respondent No.2 at Amravati in place of one Shri Ulhas Narad who was also transferred by order dated 23.05.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 opposed the interim relief stating that Respondent No.2 has already joined in place of the Applicant on 24.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>5. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule has also tendered file for the perusal of Tribunal.</p> <p>6. The perusal of file reveals that CSB as well as Department recommended for transfer of Respondent No.2 in place of Mr. Ulhas Narad. However Hon'ble Chief Minister at his level passed order to post Respondent No.2 as Deputy Director, Amravati. Notably, no reason for displacement of the Applicant much less special reason or administrative exigency is mentioned in the file. Indeed, in sofaras the Applicant is concerned there was no such recommendation of CSB neither Department placed any such proposal for the transfer of the Applicant. It is only at the level of C.M. the Respondent No.2 is ordered to be posted in place of the Applicant which indicate that only to favor Respondent No.2 the Applicant is displaced without there being any such special reasons or Administrative exigency.</p> <p>7. Merely because Respondent No.2 joined in haste that would not come in way of the Applicant to claim interim relief since <i>ex-facie</i> his displacement is in contravention of provision of Transfer Act, 2005.</p> <p>8. It may be noted that there are two posts at Amravati and this being so Respondent No.2 could have been accommodated on another post which is lying vacant in view of transfer of Shri Ulhas Narad instead of disturbing the Applicant from his present post.</p> <p>9. In view of above, I am satisfied that it is fit case to grant interim relief and to stay implementation of transfer order dated 23.05.2023 and to continue the Applicant in his present post.</p> <p>10. In meantime Respondent No.1 is at liberty to pass appropriate order for giving posting to the Respondent No.2 on another post which was held by Mr. Ulhas Narad and presently vacant.</p> <p>11. Since, Respondents are already served and the counsel for Respondent including Private Respondent made appearance, issuance of notice is dispensed with. They shall file Affidavit-in-Reply by next date.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 25.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.360 of 2023 in O.A. No.612 of 2023 (Division Bench matter placed before Single Bench in Vacation)</p> <p>A.S. Khade & 5 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 25.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.612 of 2023 (Division Bench matter placed before Single Bench in Vacation)</p> <p>A.S. Khade & 5 Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have challenged Show Cause Notice dated 19.05.2023 asking them to submit explanation as to why their selection should not be cancelled having found not possessing requisite experience certificate for the post of Health Worker and also sought interim relief.</p> <p>3. The Applicants were appointed on the post of Health Worker in the year 2022 and since then they are working. They were appointed on the basis of qualification and experience certificate tendered by them. Now Respondent No.4 had issued Show Cause Notice dated 19.05.2023 stating that on verification of experience certificate they are found ineligible, and therefore Show Cause Notice has been issued asking them to submit explanation within 5 days as to why their appointment should not be cancelled.</p> <p>4. The Applicants are still in service and they will have to submit Reply to the Show Cause Notice dated 19.05.2023. However, they apprehend cancellation of appointment. According to them they possess requisite experience.</p> <p>5. In view of above, some protection needs to be given to the Applicants.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, Respondents are directed to maintain status-qua and the Applicants services to be continued till the filing of Reply.
7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.06.2023.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
13. S.O. to 19.06.2023.
14. Matter be placed before Division Bench

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.389/2023</u> <u>(From A'bad Bench through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>Smt. V.G. Sukale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned Presenting Officer for Respondents through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 24.05.2023 whereby he is transferred from the post of Senior Clerk, Ground Water Survey and Development Agency, Aurangabad to the post of Senior Clerk, Ground Water Survey and Development Agency, Beed <i>inter-alia</i> contending that though he asked for extension of one year at Aurangabad, it was not considered.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that for medical treatment, the Applicant sought one year extension at Aurangabad by representation dated 04.04.2023, but it was not considered and on the other hand, Respondent No.3 though he has not completed normal tenure, he is transferred in his place. He, therefore, sought stay to the transfer order dated 24.05.2023.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. opposed the interim relief stating that Applicant has already completed normal tenure, and therefore, question of extension of one year does not survive.</p> <p>5. Admittedly, the Applicant has completed normal tenure at Aurangabad and was due for transfer. As such, he cannot ask for extension as a legally vested right. As such, no case is made out to stay the transfer order.</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>6. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 9th June, 2023.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 9th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 25.05.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 25.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.611 of 2023</p> <p>R.A. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged placement in final seniority list dated 11.05.2023 and also challenged communication dated 15.05.2023 issued by Government.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant submit that he want to amend O.A. and also to Annex some more documents but instead of amending he want to withdraw this O.A. and to file fresh O.A. with full pleading and Annexure with liberty to file fresh O.A. after vacation.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders</p> |
|--|---------------------------------|
| | |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.473 OF 2023

(From Nagpur Bench through Video Conferencing)

Shri Sanjay G. Pujalwar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar , Advocate for Applicant.

Learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 25.05.2023

ORDER

1. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 22.05.2023 whereby he is transferred from the post of Sub-Divisional Police Officer/Deputy Superintendent of Police, Wani, District Yeotmal to Headquarter, Yeotmal and in his place, Respondent No.4 – Ganesh R. Kindre is posted who was at Satara.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though Applicant was due for transfer having completed normal tenure of two years, he made representation asking for one year extension since he is retiring on 31.03.2024 and also gave options of Pandharkawada, District Yeotmal and Deulgaoraja, District Buldhana. He has pointed out that as per Section 5(1) of 'Transfer Act 2005', the tenure of the Applicant can be extended in view of his retirement within a year. He, therefore, sought stay to the transfer order. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has further pointed out that one post of Pandharkawada, District Yeotmal is

huk

still vacant which was the option given by the Applicant and it should have been accepted.

3. The learned P.O. opposed the interim relief *inter-alia* contending that the Applicant as well as Respondent No.4 – Ganesh R. Kindre is already relieved from their present post and further pointed out that if transfer order is stayed, it would have cascading effect and transfers of various Police Officers will be stuck.
4. Though Applicant has completed normal tenure at Wani, District Yeotmal in terms of Section 5(1) of 'Transfer Act 2005', his tenure could have been extended in view of his retirement on 31.03.2024. No reason is forthcoming for not accepting his request for extension. However, at the same time, one should not be oblivious of the fact that by transfer order dated 22.05.2023, 119 Police Officers in the cadre of Deputy Superintendent of Police are transferred among themselves and if transfer of Applicant is stayed, it would have cascading effect to stay and affect the transfers of various Police Officers, since it is a chain transfer. Therefore, it would not be appropriate to stay the transfer order, particularly when the Applicant is transferred in the same District and is posted at Headquarter.
5. Indeed, the Applicant being retiring within a year either his request for extension ought to have been considered or he ought to have been given posting as per his option.
6. Respondent No.1 – Government is, therefore, directed to consider the request of the Applicant for transfer at Pandharkawada, if possible, positively. The Applicant shall make representation afresh within three days and it should be decided within two weeks from the date of receipt in the light of observation made by the Tribunal as above. Till then, the post of Pandharkawada should not be filled-in.

7. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 9th June 2023.
8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. S.O. to 9th June, 2023. Steno-copy allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J