

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>25.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 386/2021</u></b></p> <p>Smt Rekha P. Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today is the first date. The matter is mentioned in the morning and circulation is given in the afternoon.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant presses for interim relief, because it is the order of reversion.</p> <p>4. In this matter show cause is given to the applicant. The Respondents have issued the impugned order dated 6.4.2022 and 13.4.2022, which is challenged in this Original Application.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the order dated 2.2.2002 by which Mr Sunil Pote and Mr Awale are promoted to the post of Office Superintendent from where the applicant is reverted. She submits that Mr Awale was promoted and posted as Office Superintendent at Kolhapur where the applicant was working. She points out that on 3.2.2022 Mr Awale has refused to accept the promotion to the post of Office Superintendent on the ground of his family difficulties. Learned counsel therefore submits that thus the post where she is working at present is vacant. Secondly, in the impugned order dated 6.4.2022, learned counsel for the applicant submits that she is covered by clause no. 4 of the G.R dated 7.5.2021.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant further relies on the minutes of the D.P.C meeting dated 12.12.2019 and 20.1.2022.</p> <p>7. Matter adjourned to 29.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 643, 645 &amp; 892/2021</u></b></p> <p>R.R Rathod R.B Jaybhay S.B Kharat ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p><b>Late on:</b></p> <p>1. Mrs Swati M. Nanal, Deputy Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, who is present, informs that in respect of the G.R dated 23.2.2022, the file was not sent to the Law &amp; Judiciary Department. This decision was taken at the level of the Minister. She produces the noting in respect of the said G.R dated 23.2.2022. This noting dated 27.1.2022 was prepared by the Section Officer, Dhupal. On the same day it was signed by the Deputy Secretary, Mrs Swati Nanal. On 28.1.2022 it was signed by the Addl. Chief Secretary and then thereafter it was signed by Ms Aditi Thakare, Minister of State, Sports and on 9.2.2022 it was signed by Mr Sunil Kedar, Hon'ble Cabinet Minister, Sports.</p> <p>2. The Respondent-State is directed to file a short affidavit as to whether the G.R dated 23.2.2022 is applicable to the persons who are presently working in Government service on the basis of false Sports Certificate and persons who are selected on the basis of false Sports Certificate.</p> <p>3. Mr Talekar, Senior Counsel for the applicant submits that in case tomorrow morning if he is not able to attend the Court, he will hand over the matter to his colleague Ms Madhvi Ayyappan, who will argue the matter.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.4.2022 at 10.30. Part Heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>25.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 643, 645 &amp; 892/2021</u></b></p> <p>R.R Rathod R.B Jaybhay S.B Kharat</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, with Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.P Dhote, Principal Secretary, Law &amp; Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to remain present before this Tribunal, today at 2.30 pm and Ms Swati M. Nanal, Deputy Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, is also directed to remain present at 3.30 pm to assist this Tribunal in respect of G.R dated 23.2.2022, especially about its implementation as today there are four matters for hearing about the issue of bogus Sports Certificates.</p> <p align="right">/s/</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.892 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.B. Kharat .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Talekar, learned Advocate along with Mr. A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The powers of the Tribunal under Section 22 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 which states as follows :-</p> <p>22. <i>Procedure and powers of Tribunals</i></p> <p>(1) <i>A Tribunal shall not be bound by the procedure laid down in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), but shall be guided by the principles of natural justice and subject to the other provisions of this Act and of any rules made by the Central Government, the Tribunal shall have power to regulate its own procedure including the fixing of places and times of its inquiry and deciding whether to sit in public or in private.</i></p> <p>(2) <i>A Tribunal shall decide every application made to it as expeditiously as possible and ordinarily every application shall be decided on a perusal of documents and written representations and [after hearing such oral arguments as may be advanced].</i></p> <p>(3) <i>A Tribunal shall have, for the purposes of [discharging its functions under this Act], the same powers as are vested in a civil court under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), while trying a suit, in respect of the following matters, namely:-</i></p> <p>(a) <i>summoning and enforcing the attendance of any person and examining him on oath;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>requiring the discovery and production of documents;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>receiving evidence on affidavits;</i></p> <p>2. As the issue involved is of forgery of sports certificate, it is the right of the Applicant to get the fair hearing. We find that since the issue of forgery</p> <p align="right">P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

of sports certificate is raised by the learned C.P.O., we find it is necessary to get the original sports certificate for the perusal and so also it is to be made available to the Dr. Nitin Kareer, then President and Mr. R.R. Pahaniya, then General Secretary of the Maharashtra Amateur Trampoline Association Regd. Affiliated to Trampoline Federation of India as we want Dr. Nitin Kareer to file affidavit to that effect that whether he has issued this certificate in favour of Mr. Shrikusna Kharat.

3. Learned C.P.O. pointed out that today Respondent-State has brought the original record of the list of 1998 Trampoline Association and the said record is to be made available in the presence of Advocate Mr. Mangesh Joshi i/b, Commissioner, Sports and Youth Services, M.S. Pune for inspection to learned Advocate for the Applicant Mr. Talekar and also Applicant at 2.30 p.m.

4. Today, at 2.30 p.m.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>LATER ON :</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.892 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.B. Kharat .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Law Officer, Mangesh Joshi, Director Sports and Youth Service, has shown the record which is produced by the office of Maharashtra Amateur Trampoline Association disclosing the names of the persons who have participated in the competition held in between 1997 to 2002.</p> <p>2. After perusal of the record, learned Advocate Mr. Talekar raised objection that the record shown to him is not the original record but it is the photocopy. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant played in 1998. However, his name does not appear in the said record.</p> <p>3. Mr. Dhote, Principal Secretary, Law and Judiciary is present before the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. A query was put to Mr. Dhote, Principal Secretary whether at the time of issuing this G.R. dated 23.02.2022 the Law and Judiciary was consulted especially on the point of his illegality as per Clause 2(i) of the G.R.</p> <p>5. Mr. Dhote informs that before making any policy decision and issuance of such G.R. by the Departments, the Law and Judiciary is generally not consulted. However, we want to know whether while issuing this particular G.R. the Law and Judiciary was consulted.</p> <p>6. For further hearing adjourned to 26.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.04.2022</u></p> <p><b>M.A 227/2022 in O.A 935, 940/2020, O.A 858, 930, 977, 1146, 1146/20221 (Nagpur Bench) and O.A 36, 492, 587/2021 (Aurangabad Bench)</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Arvind P. Lokhande &amp; Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and none present for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 8.6 .2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 8.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1020/2021</u></p> <p>J.G Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar with Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that copy of the Original Application was served on 13.4.2022 and therefore learned C.P.O request for one weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is granted time to file affidavit in reply by 29.4.2022.</p> <p>4. Matter to be placed for hearing on 2.5.2022.</p> <p align="center">^</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>2</u> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>25.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 848/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.D Chopde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from Mr Ganesh Gaikwad, Desk Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai submits that the applicants are similarly situated persons like the applicants in O.A 353/2021, O.A 970/2018.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, we direct the Home Department to send the applicants for training to the post of P.S.I.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.350 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. Shaikh M.A.S. ....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is transpired that Respondent No.1 and 3 has not filed affidavit in reply. Reply is filed by Respondent No.2.</li> <li>3. This seems to be the case of over payment of GPF. The Applicant was serving in Zilla Parishad and withdrew certain amount from his GPF account. It appears that the GPF account was not maintained properly. The Applicant stands retired in 2014. The Applicant has filed this O.A. challenging recovery of Rs.1,56,584/- and also claimed that sum of Rs.1,43,307/- is still in balance in his GPF account which is not paid to him.</li> <li>3. Today, the officials from the office of A.G. are present. They stated that for want of correct information from the office in which the Applicant was serving, they could not reconcile the account. Whether there was actually over payment of Rs.1,56,584/- from GPF and secondly as to whether Rs.1,43, 307/- is still in balance at the account of GPF of Applicant, are the important issues in the present O.A.</li> <li>4. For this purpose, affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 3 is necessary. Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 3 should file the same on next date without fail.</li> <li>5. Apart, the Respondent No.2 is also directed to make correspondence with the concerned office where the Applicant was serving and at liberty to file affidavit in reply with necessary documents to show the correct position of GPF account of Applicant.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 02.05.2022. No further time will be granted.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.398 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. B. M. Dahiphale ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.316 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R. B. Nirmale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply for Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 06.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1046 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Bhawe</b> .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, during hearing, it is transpired that the pay of the Applicant is reduced in terms of G.R. dated 21.04.2018 (Page 67 of PB) as seen from affidavit in reply filed by Respondent Nos.1 and 2. However, there is no challenge to G.R. dated 21.04.2018 in the present O.A.</p> <p>3. One week, therefore, granted to learned Counsel for the Applicant to take necessary steps to <sup>challenge</sup> G.R. dated 21.04.2018 by filing M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>25.04.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 353/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Angad S. Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has applied in EWS category. As per 1<sup>st</sup> answer key Applicant secured 298 marks and as per 2<sup>nd</sup> answer key he secured 281 marks. The cut-off marks in EWS category is 253.20 and for Open General Category is 268 marks.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents informs that in the Booklet 'D' :</p> <p>(a) In Paper-1, Question No.88 and in Paper-2, Question Nos.67 and 90 are cancelled. Therefore there is no scope of grievance for these questions.</p> <p>(b) In Paper-1, Question No.70, the applicant has not raised any objection and the answer is not challenged in the revised answer key. At this stage, Applicant's objection cannot be accepted.</p> <p>(c) In Paper-1, Question No.45 the M.P.S.C. has received 12 complaints were raised sent to the experts.</p> <p>(d) Considering the Applicants 1<sup>st</sup> answer key the Question No.45 was revised.</p> <p>(e) So far as Question No.20 is concerned 42 objections were raised, but the answer was maintained by the M.P.S.C. because the Applicant did not raised objection to this question in the revised list. Therefore, the objection at this stage cannot be considered</p> <p>(f) In Question 96, 8 objections were raised and thereafter after sending the matter to the expert the answer key was changed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Learned Advocate relies on clause 6.9, page 7 with respect to Question No.45 and 96</p> <p><b>Later on:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Learned C.P.O points out that in O.A No. 339/2022, there was a gap of 10 days, and therefore, no interim relief was granted by this Tribunal.</li> <li>2. We have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the applicant and the learned C.P.O. The result of the examination was declared on 25.3.2022 and the Original Application is filed on 18.4.2022. Thus, the Original Application is filed after three weeks.</li> <li>3. We do not find any merit to grant interim relief.</li> <li>4. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</li> <li>5. S.O to 15.6.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.619/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.S. Choudhari</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.08.2021 whereby he is transferred from Nashik to Amravati.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is serving in the cadre of Junior Engineer in Forest Department. He is due for transfer in general transfer of 2021. He had given 10 options, but none of the option was considered and was posted to Amaravati which was not in his option.</p> <p>4. According to Respondents, the options given by the Applicant were earmarked for Assistant Engineer (Grade-II) and Applicant being not in the cadre of Assistant Engineer (Grade-II), his options were not considered.</p> <p>5. On previous date, when matter was partly heard, directions were given to learned P.O. to explore the possibility of accommodating the Applicant in general transfers of 2022. Accordingly, learned P.O. has taken instructions from Respondents and submit that one post of Junior Engineer, Shahapur, District Thane would fall vacant in general transfers of 2022 and that time, Applicant's case can be re-considered for giving him posting at Shahapur, Thane.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Applicant to make representation to the Respondents for modification of transfer order dated 06.08.2021 within 8 days and Respondents shall consider it sympathetically for transfer of the Applicant at Shahapur, Thane in general transfers of May, 2022.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 25.04.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------

**O.A.194/2021**

**Dr. A.S. Bendre** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Respondent No.5 along with Annexures. It is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file Rejoinder and requested for two days' time.
4. Adjourned to 27.04.2022.
5. Matter will be heard finally on that day and no further time will be granted.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**25.04.2022**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>25.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 643, 645 &amp; 892/2021</u></b></p> <p>R.R Rathod R.B Jaybhay S.B Kharat</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, with Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.P Dhote, Principal Secretary, Law &amp; Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to remain present before this Tribunal, today at 2.30 pm and Ms Swati M. Nanal, Deputy Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, is also directed to remain present at 3.30 pm to assist this Tribunal in respect of G.R dated 23.2.2022, especially about its implementation as today there are four matters for hearing about the issue of bogus Sports Certificates.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.129 of 2015 in O.A.No.142 of 2015 with O.A.No.143 of 2015 with O.A.No.144 of 2015</b></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.P. Nalavade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Department has not yet received the details of the calculations from the Applicant, so that the Department can verify the difference in the calculations made by the Department and the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that he is filing the copy of Applicant's calculation and now is tendering the same to learned P.O. in our presence.</p> <p>4. On the basis of the said calculations the Department to go through and compare the same.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 25.04.2022**

**O.A.No.361 of 2022**

L.K. Shinde .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 23.06.2022.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.744 of 2021</b></p> <p>R.S. Funde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 16.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder,</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 25.04.2022**

**O.A.No.362 of 2022**

L.K. Shinde .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 23.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.845 of 2022</b></p> <p>V.T. Bandri .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 13.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.158 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.B. Kobalkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. S.B. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2022.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.202 of 2022 in O.A.No.312 of 2022</b></p> <p>R.N. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.312 of 2022</b></p> <p>R.N. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 08.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">// →</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.358 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.R. Narale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 20.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.853 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.D. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 14.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.169 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.S. Pawar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant who is aspiring for the post of Police Constable in the Recruitment-2019 from the VJ-A Category has secured 122 marks whereas another candidate who has appeared in the same category has secured 102 marks has been selected.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. request for adjournment of the matter to 26.04.2022 for taking instructions.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.04.2022

O.A.No.950 of 2019 with M.A.No.516 of 2019 with  
O.A.No.1018 of 2019 with M.A. No.561 of 2019 with  
O.A.No.489 of 2021 with M.A.No.276 of 2021 with  
O.A.No.494 of 2021 with M.A.No.402 of 2021 with  
O.A.No.500 of 2021 with M.A.No.580 of 2021

S.K. Inamke

G.F. Patil

R.R. Asawa

M.P. Jamadar

H.G. Kulkarni & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Savita Surayawanti, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A.950/19 with M.A.516/19 & 1018/19 with M.A.561/19), Shri R.R. Asawa, Applicant-in-person in (O.A.489/21 with M.A.276/21), Shri M.P. Jamadar Applicant-in-person (O.A.494/21 with M.A.402/21), Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A.500/21 with M.A.580/21) and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In O.A. No.489/2021 with M.A.276/2021, Applicant-in-Person seeks to delete prayer clause (10). He states that during the course of the day he will file Pursis to this effect.

3. Connected matter bearing O.A. No.465/2021 is kept tomorrow. Hence, this matter be kept tomorrow.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1023 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. Ingawale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she will take instructions from G.A.D. in respect of filing of Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Koli .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that today she places on record Reply of the Respondent No.3 and serve its copy to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.789 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Naikwadi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. one week time is granted as last chance to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.359 of 2022</p> <p>R.K. Tanpure .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Perused content of Application and papers.</li> <li>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>The respondent has resisted grant of interim relief. At this stage, I find that an opportunity is required to be given to the Respondents to file Reply. In case Reply is not filed by the Respondent on the returnable date, the Applicant shall be at liberty to agitate the question of passing of interim order.</li> <li>Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2022.</li> <li>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.357 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.V. Solankhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1133 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.J. Dange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that during the course of the day he will place on record an affidavit to which copy of <del>submissions</del> <sup>state verified</sup> recorded during enquiry are attached as well as report of the enquiry officer.</p> <p>3. He is permitted to do so.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.759 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.N. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that today he will supply copy of the amended OA to the respondent-department.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.125 of 2022 in O.A. No.163 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.Y. Ghadge &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of Ld. PO last chance granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.138 of 2022 in O.A. No.63 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Lande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perused the contents of application and record.</p> <p>3. By the proposed amendment subsequent developments have been sought to be brought on record. It is apparent that the proposed amendment is necessary to decide the OA fully and finally.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Amendment shall be carried out within two weeks from today and amended OA shall be served on all concerned. Liberty to file reply to the amended portion.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.269 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.G. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.135 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.D. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply of respondents no.2 &amp; 3 filed by Ld. PO is taken on record. It is submitted that this reply is sufficient to decide the matter finally.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 20.6.2022 with liberty to file rejoinder, if deemed necessary.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.18 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.K. Borhade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply of the respondent filed by Ld. PO is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 20.6.2022 with liberty to file rejoinder, if deemed necessary.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.242/2022 in OA.266/2021 with OA.712/2021</u> <u>And</u> <u>MA.243/2022 in OA.266/2021 with OA.712/2021</u></p> <p>The Principal, Govt. College of Education, Panvel, District Raigad ..Applicant Vs. Smt. Sanjivani S. Paithankar ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent and and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar states that he is appearing for original applicant-respondent herein. He seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A. No.1118 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.T. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of Ld. PO last chance granted for filing reply to delay condonation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.380 of 2022</u></p> <p>O.G. Taware ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M. A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.375 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.K. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.374 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.R. Hindlekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.371 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.R. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.366 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.T. Ghodke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. /</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.365 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.A. Kotkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- I V P (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.341 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.N. Deore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 25.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>