

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 670 OF 2020 WITH M.A 392/2021**

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Dr A.S Chandanwale)...**Applicant**

And

Dr S.D Nandankar)...**Intervenor/
Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Ms Madhvi Ayyappani/b Talekar & Associates learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **25.02.2022**

PER : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

ORDER

1. At the time of hearing, the interpretation of the G.R dated 29.5.2019 in respect of reservation for the Disabled persons is argued by both the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned C.P.O. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the roster which is mentioned in the G.R should have been made applicable from 1995, when the Act came into existence. In view of clause 8 of the said G.R, we want both the parties to assist us. Therefore, we call upon the Respondent-State to furnish the data of the vacancies of the post of Joint Director from 1995 till

2021 within three weeks. The said Data is to be furnished to the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned counsel for the applicant is directed to show how the roster should be given effect as per clause of 8 of the G.R dated 29.5.2019.

2. However, on 7.9.2021, learned C.P.O has made statement that the scrutiny of the applications is going and it will take nearly three weeks and hence nothing is going to happen for three weeks. Considering the statement made by the learned C.P.O, no need to take up the application for interim relief at this stage.

3. Resultantly, till today the selection process of the post of Joint Director is stalled. We are of view that such important posts especially in the Medical field are not to be kept vacant and the selection process should not be stopped.

4. Therefore, we direct the M.P.S.C to go ahead with the selection process for appointment to the post of Joint Director and the appointment to the said post by recruitment will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application. We further direct M.P.S.C to make it clear to all the candidates about this particular condition. The applicant is permitted to participate in the said selection process.

5. S.O to 17.3.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 25.02.2022
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.318 of 2021</p> <p>C.J. YemalApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate a/w. Mr. V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. Gaikwad states that the applicant has sought admission in M.Sc Psychiatric for the year 2021-23 in Respondent no.4. In the selection list for the post-graduation course she stood 2nd in the first selection list in the said college. However, she was not able to complete the necessary formalities in time as she was not relieved by Respondent No.2 in time. She sought paid study leave to complete the said course as per G.R. dated 17.04.2014 and as she was not relieved in time, she took provisional admission in Respondent No.5 college which is a private college for the post of obstetrics/gynecology (OBGY).</p> <p>3. However, she did not inform Government before taking admission in Director, Wanless Hospital, College of Nursing, Miraj for OBGY course. She has now already completed one year. She has given an undertaking to the Department stating that she will complete the course in M.Sc. Nursing in OBGY utilizing her Earned Leave.</p> <p>4. This is a peculiar case because the Government policy clearly states that admission to a Private Nursing course is not allowed by the Public Health Department vide circular dated 07.02.2020 However, looking at the peculiar circumstances of</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

the case as the applicant was eligible to get admission in a Government institute in view of her rank in the merit-list and it was not possible for her to get admission, because of the delay in reliving her, she prays that she may be allowed to finish the M.Sc. course as she has already completed 1 year utilizing her balance Earned Leave approx. 88 days and rest of the leave is to be treated as balance earned leave subject to verification.

5. In view of the special circumstances of the case and looking into the fact that she was a meritorious student who was eligible to get admission in a Government the College for M.Sc., we partially allow the O.A. The Department should grant her permission to complete the remaining one year of her M.Sc as a special case utilizing her balance earned leave (subject to verification) and the remaining days are to be treated as unpaid leave. Applicant is further directed to join back to Government service on the next day after completion of her final M.Sc. examination.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.424 of 2021</p> <p>P.P. KashidApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Devendra Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.513 of 2021 in O.A. No.383 of 2021 with M.A. No.514 of 2021 in O.A. No.385 of 2021 with M.A. No.515 of 2021 in M.A. No.207 of 2021 in O.A. No.393 of 2021 with M.A. No.516 of 2021 in O.A. No.434 of 2021 with M.A. No.517 of 2021 in M.A. No.229 of 2021 in O.A. No.440 of 2021 with M.A. No.518 of 2021 in M.A. No.230 of 2021 in O.A. No.441 of 2021 with M.A. No.519 of 2021 in M.A. No.235 of 2021 in O.A. No.445 of 2021 with M.A. No.520 of 2021 in M.A. No.236 of 2021 in O.A. No.446 of 2021 with M.A. No.545 of 2021 in M.A. No.205 of 2021 in O.A. No.391 of 2021 with M.A. No.546 of 2021 in O.A. No.386 of 2021 with M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>G.J. Mhatre & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A. as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.447 of 2021 in O.A. No.795 of 2021</p> <p>K.U. NirbhavaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.392 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Sali & 1 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1047, 1048 & 1049 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. Ramtirth Chougule & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants sought two weeks time to file M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file M.A. for condonation of delay. If M.A. is filed within two weeks from today, it be listed before the Tribunal along with this O.A. for further orders. If M.A. is not filed within two weeks, these three Original Applications will stand dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.807 of 2020</p> <p>J.R. GundApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admittedly, the Applicant stands retired on the post of Police Inspector on 31.05.2019. However, his grievance is that his Juniors were promoted to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police but his is not given promotion. Therefore, he is claiming promotion w.e.f. 29.07.2017.</p> <p>3. As such, basically the Applicant claim is for promotion which he did not get till retirement. Subject of Promotion pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. This is not a case of Deemed Date of Promotion pertaining to Single Bench since the Applicant is asking promotion on the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police with retrospective effect.</p> <p>5. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.340 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. ThombareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashwin Pimpale, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Ashwin Pimpale submits that Shri R.N. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicant is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties, hence requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.175 of 2020</p> <p>M.J. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder along with Annexure on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.918 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. G. SukumaranApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They seem not interest in the matter. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.384 of 2021 with M.A. No.17 of 2022 in O.A. No.435 of 2021 with M.A. No.18 of 2022 in M.A. No.231 of 2021 in O.A. No.442 of 2021 with M.A. No.19 of 2022 in M.A. No.233 of 2021 in O.A. No.443 of 2021 with M.A. No.20 of 2022 in M.A. No.234 of 2021 in O.A. No.444 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. More S.S. Raorane Mrs.S.V. Kelkar & Ors Smt.S.S. Nilwan & Ors Smt. S.M. Khedkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A. as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.299/2019</u></p> <p>J.V. Bhandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. In the afternoon session, Advocate Shri Rupesh Dubey holding for V.P. Shirke, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and requested to restore the matter on the ground that in the morning session, he was held-up in other Court.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, O.A. is restored.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission to 22nd March, 2022 since time is over.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 25.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.180 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby he was transferred from the post of Naib Tahsildar, Revenue Ambarnath, Dist. Thane to the Election Naib Tahsildar, Javar, Dist. Palghar on vacant post. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is physically handicapped and he was entitled for posting as per his options in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.03.2022. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 10. S.O. to 10.03.2022. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.02.2022

M. A. No.581 of 2021 in O.A.No.1018 of 2021

S. S. SutarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. R. Ghanvat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay on the ground that under bonafide belief the Applicant initially approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P.No.9523/2018 but has withdrawn the same on 22.11.2020 with liberty to approach this forum.

3. Perusal of order of the Hon'ble High Court reveals that liberty was granted to the Applicant to approach this forum with further directions that the forum shall consider the Applicant to approach the Court under bonafide belief while considering the aspect of delay.

4. It is on this background, O.A. is filed with this application for condonation of delay.

5. However, as pointed by learned P.O., indeed, the claim of the Applicant to count the service done in Group-D for the period from 24.04.1994 to 24.03.1998 is already rejected by the Government by communication dated 03.10.2005 but the Applicant did not approach the Court within limitation. Thus according to her, the order dated 26.12.2017 which is challenged in the O.A. is nothing but communication of initial orders and O.A. is barred by limitation.

6. In M.A. all that Applicant prayed to condone the delay of 3 years, 11 months & 20 days spent before the Hon'ble High Court. However, the facts are otherwise since there is already the order passed by the department in 2005 thereby rejecting the claim of the Applicant.

7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has no knowledge about the order dated 03.10.2005 filed by the Respondents in M.A. and he needs to take instructions from the client about the same.

8. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for further hearing of M.A.

9. S.O. to 04.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.02.2022

O.A. No.06 of 2021

U.R. Harmalkar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. On perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They seem not interested in the matter.
4. In view of above O.A. is dismissed in default.
5. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 25.02.2022

O.A. No.221 of 2020

S.V. MoreApplicant
VersusRespondents.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. Matter is for hearing at the stage of admission.
4. On perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They seem not interested in the matter.
5. In view of above O.A. is dismissed in default.
6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

L.O. : NMN

1. In afternoon session, Shri L.K. Kalel, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and requested to restore O.A. stating that in the morning session, he was held-up in other Court.

2. In the interest of justice, O.A. is restored.

3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission to 22nd March, 2022 since time is over.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
25.02.2022

(skw)

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.299 of 2019</p> <p>J.V. BhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. On perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They seem not interested in the matter.4. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default.5. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.38 of 2022</p> <p>Umesh KarandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant retired as Pharmacist on 31.12.2018 but his retiral benefits are not paid except provisional pension for some time. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there is no D.E. or criminal prosecution at the time of retirement and as such there was no legal hurdle to withhold the retiral benefits. According to her, the issue was about regularizing absence for some period from 04.06.2010 to 31.05.2012. 4. Indeed, as per provisions of Section 122 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the process of preparation of pension papers ought to have been completed at least before six months of the retirement of the ^{the} Government servant so that service book is updated in all respect. Section 122 of MCS (Pension) Rules reads as under:- <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"Completion of pensions papers : The Head of Office shall complete Part I (and Part III) of Form 6 not later than six months, before the date of retirement of the Government servant."</i></p> 5. As pointed by learned Counsel for the Applicant that the Respondent No.2 – Director, Commissionerate of Health Services, Central Building, Pune had written letter dated 23.11.2020 to Respondent No.5 – Chief Medical Officer, State TB and Demonstration Centre, Pune to take all necessary steps to release retiral benefits of the Applicant but till date no action is taken. 6. Since the Applicant retired from the establishment of Respondent No.5, it was his obligation to complete the pension process and to ensure the payment of retiral benefits. 7. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction on phone as to why retiral benefits are not paid. 8. The matter be kept in the afternoon session. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>9. The matter is taken in the afternoon session. Learned P.O. on instructions states that the issue of leave for the period from 04.06.2010 to 31.05.2012 is not yet decided and after the decision thereon steps will be taken to release the retiral benefits to the Applicant.</p> <p>10. Indeed, all such steps of entitlement of the Applicant to pension, regularization of leave period and other benefits were required to be taken much earlier and pension should have been granted within one month from the date of retirement.</p> <p>11. Admittedly, there was no legal hurdle for grant of retiral benefits and only because of laxity on the part of Respondents in completing service book and for not passing appropriate order about his leave period, the matter is stuck up for more than three years.</p> <p>12. In view of above, there is nothing to be adjudicated in this O.A. and it can be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>13. Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondent Nos.1 to 5 to ensure passing of appropriate orders in respect of leave of the Applicant within a one month from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>14. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the same, he may avail further legal remedy.</p> <p>15. The Respondents are further directed to pass further appropriate orders about the retiral benefits of the Applicant in accordance to rules within two weeks from the date of decision on the issue of leave and the monetary benefits be released accordingly.</p> <p>16. The Applicant is at liberty to redress his grievance on interest independently.</p> <p>17. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v v v (A.P. Kurhekar) [P.T.O. Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.55 of 2021 in O.A. No.663 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Panindre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the order is complied with.</p> <p>3. Hence, CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.2.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- <i>medha</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.2.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.2.2022	Sd/- <i>medha</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.2.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.2.2022	Sd/- <i>medha</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.2.2022		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.37 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. NagareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mancheker, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter fixed on 28.02.2022. Till then NTD posts are not to be filed in</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Kulkarni wants to file affidavit in view of G.R. dated 16.07.2021 and circular issued by the Additional D.G. Training on 11.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.02.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.694 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R.C. KuwarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.171 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Goud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.2.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.2.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.150 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.C. Mule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.175 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.R. Kendre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.2.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.2.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.130 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.K. Adhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- M? NA Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022 (sgj) </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2019 in O.A. No.1134 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.R. Nalawade & 11 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents <u>With</u> <u>O.A. No.1068 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Umbrajkar & 29 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CA.44/2019 in OA.1134/2016, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA.1068/2021 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has dismissed Civil Appeal No.7323 of 2021 (SLP (C) No.4862 of 2020 on 1.12.2021 filed by the State Government. Now, the department is yet to finalize the seniority and promotions of the applicants in the above OAs who are regularized from 21.10.1995 and 10.3.2005 respectively.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Sanjay Bankar, Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, who is present in the Court, states that respondents need a period of three months time to finalize the seniority and subsequent promotions of the cadre of Class-III in the office of Settlement Commissioner, Land Records, Pune.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file reply to this effect in both the matters by 2.3.2022.</p> <p>5. We direct that no promotions will be given till the matter of seniority of applicants in both the above matters and similarly placed persons, is finalized.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 2.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.299 of 2021 in O.A. No.370 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri H.S. Chitaley, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is peremptorily fixed on 28.3.2022 at 12 noon. If matter is not completed we will take it on 29.3.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.56 of 2021 in O.A. No.990 of 2019</u></p> <p>K.N. Gawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces letter dated 2.2.2022, which she has written to the respondents.</p> <p>3. Respondent no.1 is required to take decision and comply the order dated 24.2.2020. However, till today no compliance has been done of the order dated 24.2.2022 which is passed two years back. The order is only to decide the representation of the applicant. We are surprised, not a single person from the Home Department is present to give instructions to the Ld PO, in spite of communication in writing from the Ld. PO.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya is directed to comply with the order within two weeks and responsible officer to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.645 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Jaybhay ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder, if any, to be filed by 7.3.2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.3.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.536 & 537 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply and rejoinder are already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.4.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.252 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. A.R. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.4.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.2.2022 25.2.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.405 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.N. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.4.2022 for final hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>25.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>25.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.64 of 2022 with O.A.No.1055 of 2021</p> <p>B.B. Shelake (O.A.64/2022) M.S. Mhaske & Ors. (O.A.1055/2021)....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.64/2022 and Ms. S.P. Mancheker, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate L.S. Deshmukh for the Applicant in O.A.No.1055/2021 is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day in O.A.No.64/2022. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed in O.A.No.1055/2021.</p> <p>4. Reply be taken on record. Admit. Adjourned to 08.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.695 of 2021</p> <p>N.K. Chavan & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Mancheker, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that she wants to give list of the matters with same issue and wherein the Government servants who are diploma holders and subsequently acquired the degree and claims seniority on the basis of their period when they joined as a diploma holder. We hope that learned C.P.O. will give the list of those matters till 01.03.2022.</p> <p>3. The Registry to club all the matters and keep those matters after two weeks.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.34 of 2022</p> <p>K.A. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Mancheker, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Party in person filed rejoinder. Taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.412 of 2021 in O.A.No.781 of 2021</p> <p>S. A. DmelloApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply to M.A. but not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. M. A. be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 646 of 2021 with O.A.No.731 of 2021</p> <p>T. A.Sayyad & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumkar holding for Shri Y. Patil , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D. K. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that his senior Shri Chavan is in personal difficulty and unable to attend the Tribunal today and also requested for adjournment.</p> <p>B. S.O to 25.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.02.2022

O.A.No.53 of 2022

M. K. Sirsat ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant stands retired as Deputy Commissioner, (Training), Mahatma Gandhi Administrative Training Institute, Pune on 29.02.2020 but his retiral benefits are withheld, and therefore, he has filed this O.A.
3. Perusal of record reveals that the Government by order dated 25.05.2021 passed the order for initiation of joint inquiry against the Applicant and nine other Government servants.
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant however submits that till date there is no initiation of D.E. and there being no such initiation of D.E. or criminal prosecution on the date of retirement, the Respondents cannot withhold the retiral benefits.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.03.2022.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 10.03.2022. Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.02.2022

O.A.No.176 of 2022

N. K. Mujawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 08.12.2021 whereby he was given posting as Talathi Ule, Tal. South Solapur.
3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was suspended by order dated 13.10.2021 and being aggrieved by it, he filed O.A.No.852/2021. The O.A. was allowed and suspension order was quashed by order dated 03.12.2021 besides directions were given to reinstate the Applicant with all consequential service benefits with liberty to proceed with D.E. in accordance to law.
4. In view of above, *prima-facie* the Applicant was required to be reposted in same post. However, he is given different post by order dated 08.12.2021.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.03.2022.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. S.O. to 23.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 777, 792 & 793 of 2021</p> <p>Y. B. Akolkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. P. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered reply in all these Original Applications. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.564 of 2021</p> <p>K. T. Bargaje & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the reply is under preparation and same will be filed in the Tribunal on Monday.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file the same on Monday in the office and copy be served to other side.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.784 of 2021</p> <p>D. T. Chavan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of most last chance and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S. Y. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. B. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.09 of 2021 in O.A.No25 of 2021 with M.A. No.10 of 2021 in O.A. No.26 of 2021</p> <p>W. B. Pawar & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri C.T. Chandrater, learned Counsel for the Applicants has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note on behalf of learned Counsel, the matter is adjourned for hearing of M.As</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>