

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.71/2024 with O.A.No.72/2024 with  
O. A. No.73/2024**

**DISTRICT: Washim  
Subject: Sports Validity Certificate**

**N. N. Nalegaonkar & Ors. )....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ) ...Respondents.**

Shri N. G. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson  
Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)**

**DATE : 25.01.2024**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri N. G. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In all these Original Applications, the Applicants in O.A. No.71/2023 and O.A.No.73/2023 are from Police Department and they are working as Police Constables since 2018-2019. Their Sports Certificates are invalidated. Both the Applicants had played the sport of "Power Lifting". The Applicant in O.A.No.72/2023 is working as 'Superintendent' in a Government Ashram School since 2018. All three Applicants were selected through recruitment process under 'Sports Category' and they have played the sport of 'Power Lifting' and have secured ranking in 'Power Lifting' competitions and received 'Sports Certificates' issued by 'Vidharbh Power Lifting Association', Nagpur'.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that 'Sports Certificates' issued by 'Vidharbh Power Lifting Association', Nagpur were verified by the concerned Administrative Departments and all these Applicants had been given appointment respectively in Police Department and Government Ashram School. However, these 'Sports Certificates' are now invalidated by Respondents and hence all these Applicants have apprehension that some

severe action will be taken against them. Hence, they are all before the Tribunal seeking protection in respect of their appointments in Government Service.

4. Learned Counsel further submits that the sport of 'Power Lifting' was deleted from the recognized 'Sports' Category from G.R. of 01.07.2016. However, these Applicants had played the sport of 'Power Lifting' before 2016 when it was recognized sports in 5% Sports Reservation as per GR dated 01.7.2016.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicants then states that all these Applicants are 'In Service' since last more than 4 years and after proper verification of their 'Sports Certificates' by concerned Administrative Departments and then they have been given appointment under 5% Sports Reservation.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicants relied on order dated 22.11.2022 in **W.P. No.13875/2022 (Ganesh B. Gaikwad V/s The State of Maharashtra)** passed by Hon'ble High Court, wherein the Petitioner who has similarly situated was granted interim protection on the ground of consequences to the Petitioners are undoubtedly grave. It is held that.

*"The consequences to the Petitioners are undoubtedly grave. He will be rendered jobless. His initial appointment stands jeopardized and this is not on account of any action, inaction or breach on the part of the Petitioner".*

7. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that this Tribunal in O.A.No.364/2020 (Shri Rahul Kadam V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors), dated 15.02.2023 has held 'Power Lifting' is not a recognized sport for the purpose of 5% Sports Reservation and no protection was given to those Applicants. She submits that sport of 'Power Lifting' is not recognized after 2016 and because all these Applicants have secured appointment in Government Service after 2017, their 'Sports Certificates' of 'Power Lifting' are rightly 'Invalidated'. The Tribunal has dismissed the O.A. No.364/2021 in Rahul Kadam's case (cited supra) who has sought recruitment in 5% Sports Category as he has played sport of 'Power Lifting'. However, Applicant in O.A.No.364/2022 was not 'In Service' Candidate and secondly, 'Sports Certificates' was of 2017.

8. In present O.A.s, Applicants are 'In Service' since last more than 4 years, therefore, in our view and also considering the 'Interim Order' of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P. No.13875/2022 dated 22.11.2022, we therefore direct the Respondents not to take any coercive action of removing all these Applicants from Government Service without following due process of law.

9. Learned Counsel for the Applicants seeks leave to amend the 3 Original Applications by adding respective Appointment Authority as party Respondent.
10. Leave Granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and copy to be served to all Respondents.
11. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2024.
13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
15. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
16. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
17. S.O. to 22.02.2024.

Sd/-  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 25.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1187 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Kharat</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. is informed by way of last chance to submit copy of (i) Home Department 'G.R.' or 'Circular' or 'Order' or (ii) D.G.P., Maharashtra State 'Circular' or 'Order' by which guidelines have been issued for posting of the 'Police Constable' invariably to 'Police Headquarters' in cases of 'Default Transfer (कसुरीक्सन बदली)'.  3. Learned P.O. to also provide information about nomenclature of 'Default Transfer (कसुरीक्सन बदली)' in the context of provision of 'Section 22 N(1)' and 'Section 22 N(2)' of the 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.  4. S.O. to 06.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chandra barty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 25.01.2024

O. A. No.1489 of 2023

P. T. Jagtap

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant produces letter of Respondent No.1 - Labour Commissioner issued on 18.01.2024 which was received by the Applicant on 19.01.2024 at 10 A.M. It is taken on record.
4. Pursuant to order dated 04.01.2024, learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant will prefer alternative remedy by filing an Appeal.
5. It is to be noted that enquiry was initiated in the year 2001 for incident of 1994-2000 and enquiry was concluded on 29.12.2023. The State of Maharashtra took 22 years to conduct and conclude one DE. The age of delinquent Officer is now 75 years.
7. In view of above, we direct the Respondents to place this fact before the Appellate Authority so that the Appellate Authority may complete enquiry within short period. If appeal is preferred, it be decided within stipulated period of 45 day.
8. In view of above, Original Application is disposed off with no order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

P.R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1555/2023**

**S.L. Ingawale**

... Applicant

Vs.

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Pursuant to the Order dated 24.01.2024, the learned CPO produces letter of RDC, Kolhapur dated 30.12.2023 addressed to the 'Additional Settlement Commissioner, Pune' who is Respondent No.2 also the 'State Examination Coordinator'. The letter of RDC, Kolhapur dated 30.12.2023 shows Sub-Division-wise Vacancies of posts of 'Talathis' for Kolhapur District.

3. We have gone through the 'Chart of Districts' shown in 'Annexure-1' of the 'Notice' issued on 26.06.2023 by 'Additional Settlement Commissioner, Pune' and 'State Examination Coordinator, Pune' for recruitment to posts of 'Talathis'. In respect of Aurangabad, Jalgaon, Sangli, Gondia Districts, etc. One or Two posts of 'Talathis' are shown as reserved for 'Orphans'. However, being dependent on total vacancies available in the district, it is necessary to prima-facie observe some discrepancies such as in Nanded where 119 posts are shown vacant and 1 post is shown for 'Orphans', in Beed, where 187 posts are shown vacant and 1 post is shown for 'Orphans', in Nagpur where 177 posts are shown vacant and 2 posts are shown for 'Orphans', in Wardha where 78 posts are shown vacant and 1 post is shown for 'Orphans', in Sangli where 98 posts are shown vacant and 2 posts are shown for 'Orphans', in Gadchiroli where 158 posts are shown vacant and 1 post is shown for 'Orphans', in Solapur where 197 posts are shown vacant and 2 posts are shown for 'Orphans'.

4. Having examined this 'Chart of Districts' about number of reserved posts for 'Orphans' as

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

mentioned above, we find that there is inconsistency amongst the district in respect of application of reservation in 'Orphan Category'. We take judicial note that in Districts like Aurangabad, Jalgaon, Sangli, Gondia, which have several Sub-Divisions; if the method as adopted by District Collector, Kolhapur is to be accepted and reservation of 'Orphan Category' is to be considered Sub-Division-wise and not District-wise, then to achieve 1% reservation for 'Orphan Category', 50 vacancies would be required to exist in at least one Sub-Division, which appears to be rare possibility. Otherwise, no Sub-Division can give 1% reservation for 'Orphan' Category and the policy of granting 1% reservation to them will be frustrated in this ongoing recruitment process for post of 'Talathis'.

4. We expect from the State Examination Coordinator and Additional Settlement Commissioner, Pune as Respondent No.2 to look into the matter and after examining the reservation for 'Orphans Category' as provided against 'Advertised Posts' in each district to inform if 'Uniform Policy' is required to be implemented in respect of 1% reservation for 'Orphans Category'.

5. Thus, we are of the view that prima-facie case is made out by the Applicant, and therefore, we direct Respondent Nos 1 to 3 to keep 'One Post' vacant for 'Orphan Category' within 'Open Category', as present Applicant belongs to Kolhapur District.

6. S.O to 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2024 to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Member-A Chairperson  
25.01.2024 25.01.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1329 of 2023 to O.A. No.1332 of 2023</p> <p>R.P. Jadhav, D.B. Choudhari, B.S. Tachale, V.A. Kale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad &amp; Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant insists that there is still no order from 'District Collector Pune' for withdrawal of 'Memorandum' dated 01.10.2023 issued by 'S.D.O. Pune, District Pune' and 'Order' dated 01.10.2023 issued by 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. therefore informed to forthwith convey to 'District Collector Pune to issue directions in this regard to (i) 'S.D.O. Pune, District Pune' and (ii) 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune'. The 'District Collector, Pune' in any case must submit 'Compliance Report' by 'Next Date' to learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2024</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No.911 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Vaishnav &amp; Ors.,</b>  <b>Vaibhav Pilare</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 22.01.2024 on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant in 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 22.01.2024 has given details of 'General Transfer:2023' of officers in the cadre of 'Tahsildar' effected the 'Revenue and Forest Department', to contend that in case of '54 officers' they were transferred in 'Adjourning' or 'Same District' within the earlier allotted 'Revenue Divisions'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks some time to go through the contents of the 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 22.01.2024 filed by Applicants and if required seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of 'Revenue and Forest Department'.</p> <p>5. SO to 05.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date: 25.01.2024**

**O.A. No.1350 of 2023**

**T.B. Jadhav** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.


1. Heard Shri A.A. Tambe, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.A. Agashe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he would like to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' and also examine whether request made by the Applicant to 'Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department' on grounds of 'Heart Surgery' of his 'Wife' is covered under provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018.

4. Learned Advocate on behalf of 'C.E.O., Z.P., Ratnagiri' states that although Applicant has submitted request to be transferred as 'B.D.O., Panchayat Samiti, Rajapur, District: Ratnagiri', there is no requirement of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri' as the request of Applicant will have to be decided by 'Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department' taking into consideration all requirements of work expected to be accomplished by 'B.D.O., Panchayat Samiti, Rajapur, District: Ratnagiri' and in observance of provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

5. S.O. to 02.02.2024.

Sd/-  
  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1532/2023</u></b></p> <p>K.S Binnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1246/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.M Algat ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1211/2023</u></b></p> <p>A.A Dharanguttikar ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinde, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 22.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1006/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.B Yewale &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 965/2023</u></b></p> <p>P.J Waghmare ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 961/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.V Pashte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 872/19</u></b></p> <p>S.J Gorve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 29.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1261/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.H Shipkule &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1269 &amp; 1270/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.K Mengal S.K Bhadange ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed today in O.A 1269/2023 and seeks time to file reply in O.A 1270/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1321/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.P Pathak ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ameya Tawade i/b Vaidehi Pradeep and V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1359/2023</u></b></p> <p>P.N Chattar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO request for time to file reply. Time granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1299/2022</u></b></p> <p>U.H Bhosale ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 865/2022</u></b></p> <p>A.V Gavhane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 361/2022 &amp; Ors</u></b></p> <p>L.K Shinde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that as per directions of this Tribunal the file along with the necessary documents sent by the Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Mumbai in a sealed cover is produced before this Tribunal. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O 29.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 884/2017</u></b></p> <p>S.C Thote ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 29.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1422/2023</u></b></p> <p>H.A Divate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Dhole, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1531/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.S More ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1360/2023</u></b></p> <p>B.N Borse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 22.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>25.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1422/2023</u></b></p> <p>H.A Divate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Dhole, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Final Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.787/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>A.A. Deokar</b> <span style="float:right">... Applicant</span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">... Respondents</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 &amp; 4 wants time to file Additional Affidavit-in-Reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. It is made clear that if promotion orders are issued, it will be subject to outcome of this OA No.787/2023. The concerned Respondents to inform this order while issuing promotion orders.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2024.</p> <p align="center">    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Member-A</b> <span style="float:right"><b>Chairperson</b></span>  <b>25.01.2024</b> <span style="float:right"><b>25.01.2024</b></span> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 25.01.2024

O. A. No.1061 of 2023

V. S. Jorkar ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Reply.

3. S.O. to 08.02.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 25.01.2024

O. A. No.1479 of 2023

**B. P. Godage** ....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through Video Conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of MPSC.

3. In this matter, reply filed by Tahsildar, MHADA has admitted mistake that in place of State Certificate Format, Certificate of EWS in Central Format was inadvertently issued.

4. Learned CPO submits that MPSC however raised the issue of 'Certificate'.

5. The office of CPO is directed to inform MPSC to serve the copy of reply to learned Counsel for Applicant by email.

6. Interim Relief to continue till next date.

7. S.O. to 01.02.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

**Sd/-**  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**Sd/-**  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 25.01.2024

M. A. No.769 of 2023 O. A. No.1543 of 2023

S. A. Tamboli & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.

3. S.O. to 15.02.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.1414 of 2023 With O.A.No.1436 of 2023 With O.A.No.1437 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A. S. Shirsat &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel through Video Conference and Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel holding for Shri N. V. Adkine, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 in O.A.1414/2023 and for MPSC in O.A.Nos.1436 &amp; 1437/2023.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 in O.A.1414/2023 seeks time to file Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel seeks one week time to file Vakalatnama in O.A.Nos.1436/2023 and 1437/2023 on behalf of MPSC as a 'Special Counsel'.</p> <p>5. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.02.2024.</p> <p><b>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.88 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>Purshottam D. Kokare</b> ....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. D. Sharma, learned Counsel for the Applicant through Video Conference along with Shri R. N. Mandal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant challenges the General Merit List of Maharashtra State Services Main Examination published on 18.01.2024 by Respondent No.2- MPSC. He contends that Respondent No.2- MPSC has wrongly placed candidates in Select List in the category of 'Multiple Disability' as contemplated under Section 34(1) (e) of The Rights of Persons with Disability Act, 2016.</p> <p>3. The Applicant had appeared for the Maharashtra State Service Main Examination conducted by MPSC. He has applied under the 'Reserved Category' of 'Disability' as he suffers from 60% Permanent Disability as 'Mental Illness'. The Disability Certificate is at Exb. 'B' (Page 28 of O.A.). The diagnosis in case of Applicant is 'Obsessive Compulsive Disorder'.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel for the Applicant states that Applicant is at Sr. No.1807 in General Merit List whereas the Candidates who are at Sr. Nos.1763, 1784, 1795, 1800 and 1806 though do not fulfil the criteria of 'Multiple Disability' under Section 34(1) (e) of The Rights of Persons with Disability Act, 2016 yet they have been considered by Respondent No.2- MPSC and placed in the General Merit List.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Today is the first date of hearing of O.A. even to consider 'Interim Relief', it is necessary that Candidates whose selection in General Merit List is challenged by the Applicant i.e. Candidates at Sr. Nos. 1763, 1784, 1795, 1805 and 1806 are necessary and proper parties as General Merit List is already published on 18.01.2024. The Applicant is directed to implead them as party respondents and accordingly, MPSC to furnish their Names and Addresses, if such application is made by the Applicant to MPSC. The information is to be furnished by MPSC on or before 30.01.2024.

6. The concerned officers from MPSC through Video Conference submit that the General Merit List was prepared after completion of Interviews and published on 18.01.2024.

7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.01.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 30.01.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 25.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1250 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that 'District Collector, Pune' has still not passed the order to post the Applicant on the post of 'Talathi'. So by way of last chance 'District Collector, Pune' is directed to permit the Applicant to join on any 'Vacant Post' of 'Talathi' and issue order within 'One Week'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that he has been in contact with office of 'District Collector, Pune' and they have informed that appropriate orders will soon be issued to post Applicant on some 'Vacant Post' of 'Talathi'. The 'District Collector, Pune' to accordingly forward copy of order to learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2024

O.A. No.818 of 2023

Dr. D.A. Shengal

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. produces copy of letter from 'Section Officer, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries and Dairy Development Department' dated 25.01.2024 wherein it is mentioned that in the meeting of 'C.S.B.' decision has been taken not to consider the request made by 'Dr. Arjun N. Pawar' 'Live Stock Development Officer, Pali, District Beed' to transfer him on the post occupied by Applicant which is 'Live Stock Development Officer, Nannaj, Taluka Jamkhed, District Ahmednagar'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that request of Applicant be therefore considered independently on merit for redressal of grievance based on implementation of G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 and G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2022 which entitles the Applicant for posting by precedence over others in the cadre of 'Livestock Development Officers' having worked in 'Tribal and Remote Areas'.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also requests to keep O.A. No.818/2023 for 'Final Hearing' (P.H.). As prayed for O.A. No.818/2023 is kept for 'Final Hearing (P.H.)'.
5. S.O. to 01.02.2024.



(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.376 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. A. S. Kudale ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned to 02.02.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.01.2024

O.A.No.91 of 2024

R. D. Akrupe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 08.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.64 of 2024</p> <p>P. P. Dhuri &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</li><li>3. S.O. to 08.02.2024</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.967 of 2023</p> <p>M. B. Magar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1413 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.L. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 25.1.2024 of respondent no.1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.736 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Nalawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.3.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1373 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 2.1.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1313 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.P. Khajone ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.1298 of 2023

G.D. Patil ..Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. I.d. PO files reply dated 24.1.2024 of respondent.
3. S.O. to 5.2.2024 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1158 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.J. Chiprikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.3.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1126 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.2.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1075 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Tate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply is sent for affirmation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.809 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.W. Shridhankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both the absent.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.3.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.300 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.2.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.43/2024 in RA.2/2024 in OA.1016/2018</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. C.S. Atkar ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents. Shri S.G. Ranjane, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that there is delay of 9 months in the RA. She states that the delay is on account of administrative procedure.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the respondent-original applicant states that there has been delay in filing RA and he prays that may be dismissed.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the MA the delay is condoned in the interest of justice. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

RA.2/2024 in OA.1016/2018

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
C.S. Atkar ..Respondent

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents. Shri S.G. Ranjane, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission in RA returnable on 22.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice, to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the RA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the RA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

25.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.435 of 2023 in O.A. No.461 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.B. Asan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Dubey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant to serve the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.277 of 2023 in O.A. No.458 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Ghadigavkar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that there is delay of 8 months.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that cause of action arose in 1995 when the applicants were due for Time Bound Promotion and for second Time Bound Promotion after 12 years. The applicants retired between the span of 2016 to 2022. Hence there is inordinate delay in the matter which is not explained.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the MA the delay is condoned in the interest of justice. However, the applicants are directed to deposit cost of Rs.5000/- in the office of this Tribunal. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.458 of 2023

D.S. Ghadigavkar & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.50 of 2024 in O.A. No.87 of 2024

B.L. Dadge

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

25.1.2024

(sgl)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.49 of 2024 in O.A. No.86 of 2024

B.L. Dadge ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.81 of 2024

R.C. Adakul ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.33 of 2024 in O.A. No.50 of 2024</u></p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Police Patil Mahasangh &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.50 of 2024

Maharashtra Rajya Police Patil  
Mahasangh & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri N.W. Sawant holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

25.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.72 of 2023 in O.A. No.124 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person is absent.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person was absent on 26.9.2023, 10.10.2023, 8.11.2023, 4.12.2023 &amp; 2.1.2024. Today also the applicant in person is not present. Neither the copy of OA nor MA is served in the office of Ld. CPO. It appears that applicant is not interested in prosecuting his case.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.2.2024 for dismissal for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1597 of 2023</p> <p><b>B.S. Sathe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she has received information from 'District Collector, Sangli' and would file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on the specific query made in 'Para 3' of Order dated 12.01.2024 by 30.01.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O to 02.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.13 of 2023 in O.A. No.512 of 2022</u></p> <p>H.B. Yelde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perused the order dated 3.10.2023 passed in W.P. No.10699 of 2023. As per the liberty given RA is filed. We direct the Ld. PO to go through the said order of the Hon'ble High Court and accordingly file affidavit in respect of vacancies as demonstrated by the applicant in his affidavit dated 17.3.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.6 of 2023 in O.A. No.187 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.N. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.114 of 2023 in O.A. No.1033 of 2015</u></p> <p>T.D. Tayde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of appointment order dated 23.1.2024 of the applicant wherein applicant is directed to join on 1.3.2024 at Training Centre, Nashik.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is on maternity leave. He informs that applicant has delivered a baby in the last week. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he will ask the applicant to inform about the delivery to the concerned authority.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.86 of 2023 in O.A. No.599 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.734/2023 in O.A. No.1163 of 2023

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
O.B. Adake & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants-original Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.

2. MA is filed by the State in OA for extension of time to comply the order dated 3.11.2023 passed in the above OA. Ld. CPO submits that these respondents-original applicants were not given posting in Special Protection Unit (SPU) because their probation period was not completed.

3. Ld. Advocate for the respondents-original applicants submits that all the 7 applicants have completed their probation period from January 2022 to May, 2022 and it is demonstrated through police notice informing completion of probation period. The select list of SPU was published in February 2023.

4. Under Secretary or Dy. Secretary of Home Department to file an affidavit as to what are the norms/rules in respect of appointment in SPU and how much time is required to be put in by PSI on his regular post after completion of probation period to be eligible to be posted in SPU.

5. Ld. CPO informs that she does not have assistance of any officer from the office of DGP as all the concerned officers are in the Hon'ble High Court and not available. Reply is to be given till 1.2.2024.

6. In view of this we keep this matter on 2.2.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
25.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.276 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Prabhavale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is retiring in the year 2015.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to verify whether respondent no.2 has filed reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.794 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.N. Chavan &amp; 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. All 3 applicants seek directions against respondents no.1 to 3 to consider the candidature of the applicants on the vacant post in Open Female category on the post of Sales Tax Inspector as per advertisement dated 3.11.2016. The applicants seek declaration that part of sub Rule 8(ii) of Rule 10 is to be held unconstitutional, ultra vires of Article 14 &amp; 16 of the Constitution and respondent no.1 should be restrained from implementing the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.2.2024 for dismissal for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.815 &amp; 513 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.M. Patil H.B. Sonawane &amp; 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.G. Panchal holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.513/2017 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.737 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.J. Mohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024 for dismissal for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.466 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.N. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 1.2.2024 for dismissal for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024 (sgj)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024</td></tr></table>	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024 (sgj)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2024 (sgj)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.423 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.D. Zambare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. The applicant has made an application for the post of Special Auditor, Class-II as 60 posts of the said cadre were requisitioned by the Govt. to the MPSC. It is the case of the applicant that ratio of appointment by nomination and promotion is 1:1. The applicant appeared in the written examination on 22.1.2012. Out of 17000 candidates 209 cleared it. The applicant was called for interview on 22.6.2012 which was scheduled between 18.6.2012 and 22.6.2012 as per letter issued by MPSC on 26.5.2012. However, by letter dated 14.6.2012 the requisition for 60 posts of Special Auditor Class-I and II was withdrawn by the Govt.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO informs that withdrawal of requisition was on account of work of Auditor was shifted to be one by Chartered Accountants on the panel of NABARD in accordance with the recommendations of Vaidyanathan Committee by notification dated 2.5.2008.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.1.2024 for dismissal for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.361 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.N. Itkyl ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. The applicant a retired govt. servant is challenging order dated 25.7.2011 and 13.10.2016 and prays for all retirement benefits. The order dated 25.7.2011 is passed after completion of DE directing recovery of 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of 92 lacs suffered by the Govt. with 24% interest and it is also held that 100% pension and 100% gratuity is to be withheld permanently. Thereafter order in appeal was passed on 13.10.2016 confirming the punishment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.67 of 2017

Asmita A. Janvalekar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant working as Clerk-cum-Typist in the office of respondent no.2 challenges order dated 15.12.2016 passed by respondent no.1 to the extent that no post of Senior Clerk is available in its establishment and hence she has been denied promotion. The applicant further seeks declaration that it is failure of respondent no.1 to frame recruitment rules for various posts and seeks directions that the respondents be directed to consider case of the applicant for promotion to the post of Senior Clerk.

3. The applicant joined on 7.8.1991 as Peon. On 5.9.1997 she was promoted to the post of Junior Clerk and on 7.11.2009 she received Time Bound Promotion of the post of Senior Clerk w.e.f. 8.2.2002. The applicants belongs to SC and in the year 2000 itself she has become eligible for promotion to the post of Senior Clerk as there were vacancies in 2000-2002. In 2003 total 690 posts were approved for Class-I to IV and out of that 51 posts lapsed and the Govt. approved staffing pattern of 640 posts. Applicant has filed affidavit dated 18.1.2023. The applicant produced order dated 27.4.2018 by which it is informed that applicant was promoted to the post of Sr. Clerk. She was further promoted to the post of Dy. Accountant which is equivalent to Head Clerk on 3.11.2021.

4. I.d. PO is allowed to reconstruct their brief as their brief is misplaced. The concerned officer from the office of respondents is directed to remain present on the next date and explain whether deemed date can be given to the applicant on the post of Senior Clerk from 2000-2002.

5. S.O. to 1.2.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
25.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.50 of 2017

H.S. Koli ..Applicant  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is pointed out by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant that the order dated 10.8.2023 passed by this Tribunal calling for more information from the respondents is not yet complied with.

3. Ld. PO seeks time.

4. S.O. to 8.2.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
25.1.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
25.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2020,</u> <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u></p> <p>L.K. Shinde &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that in these matters Dr. Uday Dongare, Secretary, Maharashtra Fencing Association has sent a letter dated 24.1.2024 stating that he will not be able to attend the Tribunal on account of preparation of Republic Day i.e. on 26.1.2024 and because to blockage of road due to Maratha Rally.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.555 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Lokhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Shruti Jagtap i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant submits that she has already given written notes of arguments.</p> <p>3. I.d. CPO seeks time to prepare.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1101 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.K. Gujale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Vipin Vilas Joshi, Additional Public Prosecutor, Directorate of Prosecution, Mumbai is present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.803 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Walunj &amp; 40 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. I.d. Advocate for the applicants has tendered an application dated 25.1.2024 requesting for deleting the names of 10 applicants mentioned in the application, out of remaining 31 applicants from the title of this OA as they have been selected in other government job.</p> <p>4. I.d. Advocate for the applicants submits that earlier by order dated 12.10.2023 permission was granted to delete the names of 10 applicants out of 41 applicants.</p> <p>5. Permission granted to delete the names of 10 applicants out of 31 applicants as enlisted in the application dated 25.1.2024. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2024 25.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>