

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.101 of 2023</p> <p>A.M. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 26.12.2022 whereby the Applicant is suspended in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1)(a) of M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 and his Headquarter is kept at Aurangabad. At the time of suspension the Applicant was Special Auditor, Class 1, Co-operative Societies (Sugar), Pune.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief for change of Headquarter in view of circulation dated 19.03.2008 issued by Government specifically instructing the Departments that where Government servant is suspended Headquarter should normally be assume to be his last place of duty. It is only in case of request of change of Headquarter by employee in that event Headquarter can be changed by competent authority if it is satisfied that such course would not put Government into any extra expenditure like T.A. etc. or any other complexion.</p> <p>4. In present case the Applicant was at Pune and his Headquarter is kept at Aurangabad though he has not made request. As such change of Headquarter is totally impermissible. Indeed, it would result into extra expenditure which is to be avoided as clarified in Circular dated 19.03.2008.</p> <p>5. It is really disgusting that the Tribunal has come across several such cases of change of Headquarter and Tribunal passed order in terms of Circular dated 19.03.2008 keeping Headquarter at the last place of duty. However, those seem to be totally ignored and orders of change of Headquarter are mechanically passed by</p>

Government. Respondent No. 2 – Principal Secretary, Cooperation Department is therefore required to take note of this issue.

6. When the above aspect is brought to the notice of P.O. she expresses her inability to justify change of Headquarter. She could not point out any Circular contrary to the Circular dated 19.03.2008. All that she submits that Government is passing orders.

7. Tribunal hope and trust that such instances would not occur again else it would be viewed seriously.

8. For aforesaid reasons order of suspension dated 26.12.2022 to the extent of Headquarter is quashed and set aside. The Applicant Headquarter be kept at Pune.

9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2023.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

15. S.O. to 15.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2023

O.A. No.23 of 2023

G.M. ShaktiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.Hegde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 25.07.2022 issued by Government whereby claim of the Applicant for interest on pay and allowance for the period of suspension is denied.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 22.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.58 of 2019</p> <p>R.C. IndulkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that Office has transferred this matter to Single Bench in view of Office order dated 22.12.2022 whereby five additional subjects are allotted to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Perusal of Office order dated 22.12.2022 reveals that subject No.3 which is relevant here is as under. "Service Benefits, Pensionary <u>other than Pay Scale</u>"</p> <p>4. This, matter pertains to Pay Scale and therefore obviously it does not fall in Office order dated 22.12.2022. Indeed, subject of revision of Pay Scale pertains to Division Bench as per Office order dated 28.05.2021.</p> <p>5. Thus, Office seems to have transferred this O.A. to this Bench wrongly.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. has rightly requested to send back the matter to Division Bench.</p> <p>7. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2023

O.A. No.276 of 2018

S.S. Deshmukh & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. The perusal of record reveals that Office has transferred this matter to Single Bench in view of Office order dated 22.12.2022 whereby five additional subjects are allotted to Single Bench.
4. Perusal of Office order dated 22.12.2022 reveals that subject No.3 which is relevant here is as under.
"Service Benefits, Pensionary other than Pay Scale"
5. This, matter pertains to Pay Scale and therefore obviously it does not fall in Office order dated 22.12.2022. Indeed, subject of revision of Pay Scale pertains to Division Bench as per Office order dated 28.05.2021.
6. Thus, Office seems to have transferred this O.A. to this Bench wrongly.
7. Learned P.O. has rightly requested to send back the matter to Division Bench.
8. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1156 of 2022 with O.A. No.1157 of 2022</p> <p>D.V. SuradkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.1156/2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.1157/22 as last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1130 of 2022 with O.A. No.1131 of 2022 with O.A. No.1141 of 2022 with O.A. No.1142 of 2022</p> <p>L.S. Patil A.B. Sali S.B. Chaudhari S.R. AmbadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These O.As. were before Division Bench and recently transferred to this Bench and today for the first time it is listed before me. It is taken on board in view of circulation.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in connected O.A. No.640/2022, Respondents have already filed Reply and that matter is fixed for hearing on 09.02.2023. These matters be therefore kept on 09.02.2023.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit-in-Reply on next date so that all matters could be heard together.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 09.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) [P.T.O. Member (J) </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A. No.1118 of 2019</p> <p>D.T. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that matter is being handled by Shri A.J. Chougule but he is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.472 of 2022 in O.A. No.780 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. 4. Since Respondents fail to file Reply, M.A. is already proceeded without Reply. 5. However, today learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. In the interest of justice allowed to file the same. It is taken on record. 6. S.O. to 24.02.2023. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.371 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. ShelkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder along with Annexure on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.733 of 2022</p> <p>R.H. AarsiddhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1005 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. ParabApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 25.01.2023

O.A.No.1259/2022

G.R. Shelar & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that Advertisement and examination for selection of Deputy Education Officer was conducted in the year 2017 and result was declared on 01.04.2022.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply.

4. Adjourned to 01.02.2023. To be tagged along with similar matter i.e. O.A.No.327/2022.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A. No.608 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. M.V. Pande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that pursuant to order dated 18.1.2023 passed by this Tribunal the applicant has filed affidavit dated 23.1.2023 before the Deputy Director. In the said affidavit dated 23.1.2023 he has mentioned about the earlier affidavit dated 26.9.2006 filed before the Deputy Director. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant is 77 years old.</p> <p>3. Shri V.A. Dhotre, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department is directed to remain present today in the Court.</p> <p>4. A query is made by Finance Department directing the applicant to bring the certificate of Superintendent of Police. Under Secretary is called upon to explain the certificate of which Superintendent of Police is required and under what provisions the said certificate is asked for.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Dhotre, Deputy Secretary, who is present in the Court, relies on clause 3 of GR dated 2.6.2003 regarding Rule 63(6) of MCS (Leave) Rules.</p> <p>6. In the present matter the applicant is not given pension and the order dated 18.10.2021 passed the Tribunal in the above OA is not implemented. Further objection by the Finance Department is regarding certificate of Superintendent of Police that for the period from 10.6.1985 to 12.8.1997 (period of absenteeism) no criminal case was registered against the applicant is required to be submitted to the Finance Department.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. We called upon the Finance Department to point out the rule from MCS or in GR/Circular issued by the Government in Finance Department or GAD that such certificate of Superintendent of Police is required. The applicant has submitted his affidavit dated 23.1.2023 wherein in para 4 he has mentioned that no criminal case was registered against him during the period of absenteeism and he was not employed during that period. This affidavit is sufficient.</p> <p>8. It is necessary to make clear to the respondent-State especially Finance Department and GAD that no Superintendent of Police can give such certificate because Superintendent of Police of a particular district has knowledge and is responsible for record of the criminal in that particular district only. Moreover, a person can go out of Maharashtra or out of country and commit offence. So it cannot be made applicable to any Superintendent of Police.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 1.2.2023 for reporting compliance of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1227/2022</p> <p>V.V. Chandole.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.D. Pote, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that in view of order dated 17.01.2023 applicant is called for verification of documents on 30.01.2023.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.01.2023

O.A.No.1115/2022 to O.A.No.1118/2022

S.R. Khade & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits the reply is already filed.

3. Admit. Adjourned to 22.03.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 25.01.2023
---	---

M.A.No.163/2022 in O.A.No.286/2022

M.H.I. ShaikhApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.
Learned Advocate submits that as per list of
assignment matter should be placed before the
Single Bench.

3. Registry is directed to place this matter
before Single Bench.

4. Adjourned to 08.02.2023 for rejoinder. To
be placed before Single Bench.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1204/2022</p> <p>M.V. Haldekar.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 01.02.2023 to file reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 25.01.2023
---	---

C.A.No.9/2023 in O.A.No.194/2021

Dr. A.S. BendreApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave to send pre-contempt notice along with amendments.

3. Allowed with appropriate amendments in the C.A.

4. Adjourned to 08.03.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 25.01.2023</p>
	<p>M.A.No.283/2022 in O.A.No.652/2015</p> <p>The Settlement Comm. & Directorate of Land Records.Applicant (Org. REspd.) Vs. S.K. NikaljeRespondents. (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Offier for the Applicant (Org. Respondents)</p> <p>2. Registry to take note name of learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar is not to be shown on the board in this matter.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1081 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Jamkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are not present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that copy of OA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO. Applicant to serve office of CPO.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.71 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Bundele ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO raised a preliminary objection of maintainability that applicant is employee of Zilla Parishad, Thane and which is not covered under the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to satisfy on this point.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.45 of 2023 in O.A. No.97 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. MA is for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2021 in O.A. No.970 of 2014</u></p> <p>V.D. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that name of the applicant was considered as per directions of this Tribunal. However, he was not promoted for the reasons that he does not possess requisite educational qualification and the list of eligible candidates for promotion is not reached to him as he is junior to others. Ld. CPO relied on para 9 of the affidavit dated 25.11.2022 filed by Shri Shivdas Mahadeo Dhule, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya wherein it is stated that, the applicant holds post graduate diploma from Shri Chitra Tirunal Institute of Medical Science and Technology, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala and its D.P.H. course of one year, which is not equivalent to qualification accepted as equivalent by Medical Council of India.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment and order dated 4.2.2022 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.849 of 2018 Dr. Vinod A. Kakde & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 1.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.27 of 2022 in O.As. No.645/2017 & 509/2020</u></p> <p>M.A. Damale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 4.1.2023 passed in the above matter. The word 'paucity' in para 3 of the order dated 4.1.2023 is to be read as 'laxity'.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that writ petition (EC-HCBM01-00451-2023) is filed yesterday in the Hon'ble High Court and circulation is given on 1.2.2023.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that such type of filing writ petition at last stage is unfair and it is an attempt to defeat the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In all fairness, we keep the matter on 7.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.474 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.M. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces order dated 24.1.2023 issued by Senior Accounts Officer/PR3, office of AG, Mumbai and submits that proposal of the same date for interest on delayed payment in respect of 7th Pay Commission is submitted and payment will be deposited within one week.</p> <p>3. CA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1321/2022</p> <p>M.L. GamreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1321/2022</p> <p>M.L. GamreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.956/2022</p> <p>M.S. KembalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant should be considered for promotion, subject to outcome of D.E. On this point he relies on the judgment of Bombay High Court in the case of Prabhakar Jagguji Rangari Versus Hon'ble Minister of Industries and Chairman.. & Ors. 2016 (1) Mh.L.J.828.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.02.2023 by way of last chance. In between if D.P.C. meeting is conducted and if any promotion orders are issued, the same should be made subject to outcome of this O.A.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.921/2022</p> <p>S.R. VajareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.02.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.920/2022</p> <p>B.M. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.02.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ ~ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.909/2022</p> <p>A.S. RupnarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Lad, learned Advocate of KLT for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lad seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 22.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.5/2023 in O.A.No.914/2022</p> <p>Dr. K.D. Dayal ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Applicant seeks implementation of order dated 13.02.2022. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 9. Adjourned to 01.03.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.No.373/2021 with M.A.No.104/2022</p> <p>A.K. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant seeks implementation of order dated 22.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.8/2023 in O.A.No.287/2020</p> <p>Dr. P.N. KakadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave to send pre-contempt notice along with amendments.</p> <p>3. Allowed with appropriate amendments in the C.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a compilation dated 24.1.2023 showing payments made to the applicant pursuant to order dated 25.6.2021 passed by this Tribunal in the above OAs. Thus joint compliance of the order of the Tribunal in both the matters. The same is taken on record and marked Court Exhibit C for identification.</p> <p>2. Shri Anil Deokate, Deputy Secretary and Smt. Shilpa Magdum, Executive Engineer are present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions submits that pension is calculated as per 6th Pay Commission and it is paid. (page 2 & 3).</p> <p>4. Pension as per 7th Pay Commission is calculated and paid to the applicant. (page 4).</p> <p>5. Payment of GIS. (page 5).</p> <p>6. Payment of leave encashment (page 7).</p> <p>7. Payment of excess recovery (page 8). Officers who are present in the Court inform today that they have come along with cheque of Rs.1,50,000/- which is in the name of the applicant towards excess payment.</p> <p>8. Difference of increment is also paid. (page 10)</p> <p>9. Arrears of 7th Pay Commission. It is paid in 3 months instalment and 2 instalments will be paid in June, 2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. Department is directed to call the applicant to handover the cheque.</p> <p>11. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Government has challenged the order dated 25.6.2021 passed in OA No.280 of 2021 in W.P. No.124 of 2023. However, the said W.P. is dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 10.1.2023.</p> <p>12. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to consider all the above points.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 27.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.13 of 2023</p> <p>D.P. VarpeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is really disgusting to note that the Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2018 but he is not paid his retired dues till date. True, he was subjected to D.E. in which order of censure has been passed on 09.02.2022. Even from that day also period of one year is over but the Applicant is deprived of his retiral benefits for no reasons.</p> <p>3. On previous date, two weeks time was granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. Today again learned P.O. sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Apparently, Respondents are sitting over the matter. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. O.A. be proceeded without Reply and be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.954 of 2022</p> <p>A.U. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. In view of leave note, adjourned to 13.02.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.699 of 2022 in O.A. No.1110 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. PingleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.736 of 2022 with O.A. No.737 of 2022 with O.A. No.738 of 2022</p> <p>J.B. Patil R.P. Pawar M.R. VavhalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was before Division Bench and recently transferred before this Bench and today for the first time it is listed before me.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that pleading is complete and matter is ripe for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.02.2023 for Final Hearing.</p> <p align="right">h a. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.959 of 2022 & O.A. No.962 of 2022</p> <p>M.R. Jadhav L.G. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply as common Reply in both the O.As. It is taken on record in O.A. No.959/2022.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1067 of 2022</p> <p>R.C. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri G. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 to 4. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1140 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri G. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed within a week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1170 of 2022</p> <p>Y.P. BhoiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1225 of 2022</p> <p>R.M. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, matter is for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that if possible Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5 S.O. to 15.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1231 of 2022</p> <p>A.R. SonawaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1300 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. Avhad & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Two weeks time was granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. Applicant is under suspension for more than 18 months and O.A needs to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.05 of 2023</p> <p>A.R. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rely.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- W' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>