

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.67 OF 2022

Shri Sopan R. Kasar.

)...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra.)
Through Secretary of Revenue &)
Forest Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai.)...Respondent

Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicant.

Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for Respondent.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 25.01.2022

ORDER

1. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 21.01.2022 whereby he is transferred from the post of Sub Divisional Officer, Yeola to Deputy Collector Office (Land Acquisition) Wardha Project, Wardha *inter-alia* contending that he is victimized and punished by mid-term and mid-tenure transfer though Internal Committee formed under Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Rules, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules of 2013' for brevity) gave clean chit to him as regard the main incident of sexual harassment as alleged by the complainant in her complaint dated 24.08.2021.



2. The perusal of record and on hearing, the learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned Presenting Officer, following aspects are noted :-

(i) Complainant Smt. Manisha P. Igave who was serving as Talathi on the establishment of Applicant lodged complaint dated 24.08.2020 with Internal Committee alleging that on 03.08.2020, the Applicant called her at home and tried to outrage her modesty. In complaint, she further stated that after the incident dated 03.08.2020 also, she was subjected to mental harassment by the Applicant.

(ii) Applicant transferred Smt. Manish Igave, Talathi by transfer order dated 09.08.2021 which she challenged by filing O.A.573/2021 wherein Tribunal granted stay to the impugned transfer order on 23.08.2021.

(iii) The Internal Committee submitted its report dated 14.09.2021 and the conclusion are as under :-

“आदेश

१. तक्रार अर्जात नमूद दिनांक ०३/०८/२०२० दुपारी २.०० ते ३.०० या कालावधीत घडलेली घटना घडल्याचे पुराव्याअभावी दिसून येत नाही.
२. परंतु दिनांक ०३/०८/२०२० नंतर अर्जात नमूद असणा-या घटना घडली असल्याचे व त्यातून तिला मानसिक त्रास होत असल्याचे समितीसमोर उपलब्ध कागदपत्र व साक्षीपुरावे यावरून निदर्शनास येते.
३. मा. जिल्हाधिकारी नाशिक यांनी श्री. सोपान कासार, उपविभागीय अधिकारी, येवला यांचे विभाग प्रमुख म्हणून महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा अधिनियम अन्वये व कामाच्या ठिकाणी महिलांचा लैंगिक छळ (प्रतिबंध, मनाई व विवरणा) अधिनियम २०१३ मधील नियम ९ अन्वये कार्यवाही करणेबाबत समिती शिफारस करित आहे.
४. संबंधितांना आदेशाची बजावणी करणेत यावी.
५. सदरचा अर्ज आदेशानंतर नरतीबंद करण्यात येत आहे.”

(iv) Complainant Smt. Manish Igave has also filed FIR against the Applicant on 16.08.2021 on the basis of which offence under

Section 354-A, 504 of Indian Penal Code has been registered against the Applicant and he was released on bail on 24.08.2021.

(v) In view of report of Internal Committee at Government level, the matter was placed before Civil Services Board (CSB) for transfer of Applicant and recommendation was made by CSB to transfer the Applicant to Gadchiroli.

(vi) Hon'ble Minister of the Department, however, proposed to transfer the Applicant as Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition, Wardha and the same has been approved by Hon'ble Chief Minister, as seen from the record tendered by learned P.O.

3. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that Internal Committee indeed has exonerated the Applicant from the main charge as regard incident dated 03.08.2020, but despite this position, the Applicant is victimized by transferring him mid-term and mid-tenure and it amounts to punishment in service law.

4. As regard legality of the report of Internal Committee, he has pointed out that the complaint of sexual harassment itself was time barred, since it was not filed within three months from the date of incidence, as provided under Section 9 of 'Rules of 2013'. He has further pointed out that as per Section 13(4), the employer or District Officer was required to act upon the recommendation within 60 days of its receipt. He, therefore, sought to contend that on the basis of unsustainable report of Internal Committee, the Applicant is transferred by way of victimization and sought interim relief to the transfer order.

5. Per contra, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. has pointed out that there is no such clear exoneration or clean chit to the Applicant by Internal Committee and in view of serious allegations of sexual harassment at the hands of Applicant, the matter was placed before the

Civil Services Board for his transfer and with the approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister, the transfer order was issued in consonance with Section 4(5) of 'Transfer At 2005'. She has further pointed out that the Applicant is already relieved and the process for initiation of DE under Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules of 1979' for brevity) is in pipeline and charge-sheet will be issued soon. She, therefore, opposed interim relief and requested for grant of time to file detail Affidavit-in-reply.

6. At this juncture, one need to see as to whether *prima-facie* impugned transfer order is in compliance of Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005' for brevity). The Tribunal is not required to make any comment on merit on the report of Enquiry Committee. At this juncture, all that, one need to see whether for mid-term and mid-tenure transfer, special case is made out and it is with the approval of competent authority, as contemplated under Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'.

7. Insofar as report of Internal Committee is concerned, true, Committee found no substance in the allegation of the incident occurred on 03.08.2020, but specifically concluded in Clause 2 of operative order that complaint was subjected to harassment in subsequent period while working under the Applicant. The committee recommended for further steps as contemplated under Rule 9 of 'Rules of 2013'. As such, the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that there was clear exoneration from all charges and Internal Committee has given clean chit to the Applicant is incorrect. As per Rule 9 of 'Rules of 2013', the disciplinary authority is at liberty to take necessary departmental action against a Government servant, since Service Rules viz. 'Rules of 1979' are in place. Needless to mention, the Government is not required to wait for the decision of disciplinary enquiry for transferring the Applicant where his transfer is found necessitated to

protect the complainant and for fair enquiry in view of Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **(2004) 4 SCC 245 (Union of India & Ors. Vs. Shri Janardhan Debanath & Anr.) decided on 13.02.2004** wherein it has been held as under :-

“12. The allegations made against the respondents are of serious nature, and the conduct attributed is certainly unbecoming. Whether there was any mis-behaviour is a question which can be gone into in a departmental proceeding. For the purposes of effecting a transfer, the question of holding an enquiry to find out whether there was mis-behaviour or conduct unbecoming of an employee is unnecessary and what is needed is the prima facie satisfaction of the authority concerned on the contemporary reports about the occurrence complained of and if the requirement, as submitted by learned counsel for the respondents, of holding an elaborate enquiry is to be insisted upon the very purpose of transferring an employee in public interest or exigencies of administration to enforce decorum and ensure probity would get frustrated. The question whether respondents could be transferred to a different division is a matter for the employer to consider depending upon the administrative necessities and the extent of solution for the problems faced by the administration. It is not for this Court to direct one way or the other. The judgment of the High Court is clearly indefensible and is set aside. The Writ Petitions filed before the High Court deserve to be dismissed which we direct. The appeals are allowed with no order as to costs.”

8. As such, *prima-facie*, there is compliance of Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim relief.
9. Issue notice made returnable on 22nd February, 2022.
10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

WMB

13. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

15. S.O. to 22nd February, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.711 of 2019</p> <p>M.N. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made in O.A. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 27.01.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.475 of 2019</p> <p>D.T. LawateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing it is noticed that similar issue in one O.A. No.894/2021 is pending before this Tribunal and fixed on 04.02.2022, therefore it would be appropriate and convenient to hear both these O.As. together.</p> <p>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. who is appearing in O.A. No.894/2021 is directed to file Affidavit-in-Reply in that O.A. on 04.02.2022 so that this 2 O.As can be heard together.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.739 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. ShelkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to take decision on the representation made by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.33 of 2022 in O.A. No.61 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. Sonwane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.61 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. Sonwane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents for mutual transfer of the Applicants. The Applicants have made representation on 01.02.2021 and 27.01.2021 respectively for mutual transfer but those are not responded.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant needs to make representation afresh so that it can be considered in general transfer of 2022. However, there is nothing to point out that those representations are considered and rejected. These being the position, there is no requirement to make representation afresh and Respondent will have to consider the same representation in general transfer of 2022.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to consider the representations dated 01.02.2021 and 27.01.2021 in general transfer of 2022 and shall pass appropriate order, the decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.962 of 2014</p> <p>D.B. ChitaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder to the Affidavit-in-Reply filed by Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Today though matter is for Final Hearing learned P.O. sought permission to tender Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.2 had initially filed short Affidavit (page 58-59) on 23.06.2015, and thereafter matter remained pending for hearing. Recently it is transferred to this Bench.</p> <p>5. In the interest of justice learned P.O. is allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.2 since earlier Affidavit-in-Reply was on limitation ^{limited} point. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder to the Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.2 which is filed today.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2022

O.A. No.912 of 2021

S.S. ShegarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.B. Somawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 01.09.2021 passed by Superintendent, State Excise, Chandrapur whereby he was relieved w.e.f. 01.11.2021. This is only order under challenge.

3. It appears that the Applicant was suspended by order dated 04.12.2020 in contemplation of D.E. and his Head Quarter was kept at Chandrapur. Later by order dated 13.10.2021 the Applicant was reinstated and reposted at Bhandara. It is on this background the Applicant was relieved by order dated 01.11.2021 by Superintendent, State Excise, Chandrapur. In O.A. there is no challenge to the suspension order and challenge is restricted to relieving order dated 01.11.2021 passed by Superintendent, State Excise, Chandrapur.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file O.A. before Nagpur, M.A.T. Bench since, he wants to challenge order dated 01.11.2021 passed by Superintendent, State Excise, Chandrapur.

5. Allowed to withdraw O.A. as prayed for.

6. No order as to costs.

Sd/- _____

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 11/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant has filed the Original Application and made Revenue & Forest Department as Party Respondent. However, the order under challenge is issued by G.A.D dated 29.1.2020, is not made Party Respondent and therefore, she could not file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will made G.A.D as Party Respondent within one week and serve notice on G.A.D.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.2.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 916/2019</u></p> <p>S.D Haral & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that there are certain developments after the recommendations of 53 candidates by MPSC against 53 posts. She submits that as per the directions given by this Tribunal, she made query with the Respondent-State and she received oral information that in the physical test conducted by the Department, out of 53 candidates some candidates were eliminated as they could not clear the physical test. Therefore, Respondents have sent requisition to fill up the number of posts which fell vacant in the batch of 2018, so that in the next academic year of 2020 the same can be increased and the vacancies can be filled up.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that she wants time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.652 of 2015</p> <p>S.K. NikaljeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits letter of Dr. Kishorekumar Kore, Deputy Director, Land Record dated 24.01.2022 addressed to the office learned C.P.O. and states that the Respondents be given time of two weeks to send the service book of the Applicant to the office of Accountant General.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 10.02.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member A / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.64 OF 2022

Balaji Bhaskar Shelake **...Applicant**
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents**

Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Ms. Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson**
Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member(A)

DATE : **25.01.2022**

PER : **Justice Ms. Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson**

J U D G M E N T

1. In this matter learned C.P.O. Mr. Manchekar on instructions from Ms. Smita V. Kudtarkar, Desk Officer, Maharashtra Public Service Commission (M.P.S.C.) submits that the applicant has filled-up the form from the category of Orphan and the other Applicants in O.A.No.1055 of 2021 are similarly situated.

2. In view of this we adopt and pass the same interim order. The Applicant has appeared for the common examination for the post of PSI (Police Sub Inspector) and STI (Sales Tax Inspector) pursuant to the advertisement dated 28.02.2020 for the examination which was



scheduled on 03.05.2020. All the three applicants have appeared in the reserved category of Orphan.

3. However, the applicant received the Orphan certificate on 18.10.2021 i.e. after cut-off date which was on 19.03.2021 and thereafter it was extended upto 22.02.2021.

4. The learned Advocate submits that the applicant has moved the application for the orphan certificate as per requirement on 26.02.2020. However, he received the said certificate on 18.10.2021 and thereafter immediately he submitted the certificate.

5. The learned C.P.O. submitted to the orders of the Tribunal, in view of the earlier order passed by us in O.A.No.1055 of 2021 on 24.12.2021.

6. We have considered the submissions of both the parties. In view of the dates when the application was made by the applicant for getting the orphan certificate and the actual date of issuance of the certificate, we are of the view that prima facie, there is a case for grant interim relief.

7. We allow the applicant to fill-up the application form and submit the same for the main examination of PSI and STI. We direct the Respondent-M.P.S.C. to give link preferably today itself or latest by 27.01.2022 morning till 11.00 a.m. and accept the applications in the

4 ✓

channel and if the applications are found correct the Applicant is to be allowed to appeared for the main examination.

8. Adjourned to 01.02.2022. To be tagged along with O.A.No.1055/2021.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/- _____
(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.11 of 2018</p> <p>A.H. Raskar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant process letter-cum-application dated 25.01.2022 of both the Applicants that they want to challenge the order of removal in Mantralaya before the Appellate Authority and therefore they want to withdraw this O.A. The same is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1.</p> <p>3. In view of this application the applicants are allowed to withdraw this O.A. Hence, O.A. is allowed to withdraw.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member A</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.770 of 2014</p> <p>The Mah. Rajya SVJPVC, Karmachari Sanghtana ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. wants time to file affidavit on two queries made by this Tribunal. We hereby reproduce our queries.</p> <p>(a) Whether the pay structure of the staff car rules of the Central is adopted for the drivers working in the State of Maharashtra.</p> <p>(b) Whether the time bound promotion as per G.R. dated 08.06.1995 is made applicable to these applicants and whether the effect of the same was given to ?</p> <p>3. As the issue is an important one, the Secretary of the Finance Department, incharge of the said subject, to file short affidavit answering these two queries.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member A</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1034 of 2021</p> <p>V.B. BelgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit along with order dated 24.01.2022 whereby the Applicant is reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however sought to challenge legality of the suspension and submits that O.A. will be heard on merit.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A. on merit, but it should be filed one week in advance before next date with copy to other side.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.661 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2022

O.A. No.815 of 2021

S.G. AadateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Rekha Musale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 22.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she will file affidavit of compliance so far as order in OA No.241 of 2019 is concerned within two weeks.</p> <p>3. She further submits that Government is challenging the order passed in OA No.280 of 2017.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 25.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.44 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.G. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. <u>Ld. PO to file reply along with copy of minutes of the meeting of DPC.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2022 25.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.36 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Dalvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2022 25.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.28 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. More & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Somwanshi holding for Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO points out that applicants have not filed MA to sue jointly. <u>Applicants to file MA for suing jointly.</u></p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2022 25.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.571 of 2021 in O.A. No.993 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Shri R.M. Kolge, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is arguing the matter.</p> <p>4. Shri S.A. Patil, Ld. Advocate in the above matter interferes in between online. He was warned not to do the same, as matter cannot be argued by two Advocates appearing for the applicant. Still he continues, therefore, we are offline.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.1.2022 for hearing on interim stay.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2022 25.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.8 of 2020 in O.A. No.399 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Korpale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand Awasarmol., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. After going through the affidavit dated 4.6.2021 filed by Suresh Ramavtar Pardeshi, Chief Administrative Officer, Office of Dy. Director of Health Services, Thane, the respondents to answer the query how applicant was given appointment in Part-Time quota as Staff Nurse and also respondents to point out from his application whether he has applied in Part-Time quota.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.2.2022 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- ¹¹</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2022 25.1.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.48 of 2021 in O.A. No.935 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Shri N.V. Masal, P.I., SRPF, Group 10, Solapur is present in the Court and submits that bills for payment has already been sent to the Treasury and will be disbursed by 6.2.2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that order has been complied with and an affidavit has already been filed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 8.2.2022 as the Advocate has filed leave note.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 25.1.2022 25.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.01.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1235/2019, O.A 11, 224, 215, 443/2020 with O.A 42/21 with O.A 15/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Shivsaran & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte and Shri Santanu Raktate, learned counsel for the applicnats and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O for the Respondents is directed to file affidavit in reply in all the matters by way of last chance within two weeks. If reply is not filed within two weeks, the matter will proceed without reply and thereafter reply will not be accepted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.01.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 447/2021</u></p> <p>Smt K.D Talape ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 8.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.01.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 418/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.P. Pathak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has earlier filed appeal before His Excellency The Governor. However, he states that he has withdrawn the same and accordingly he has communicated the same to the office of His Excellency The Governor.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that he will take instructions from the applicant and file Pursis.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 15/2022 in O.A 418/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Pathak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed by the applicant seeking to transfer O.A 418/2022 to the Single Bench, i.e. before Hon'ble Member (J) on the basis of the Circular dated 28/29.1.2016.</p> <p>3. As per Circular dated 25/28.5.2021, the residuary clause covers punishment of deduction in pension for certain period will come to Division Bench as it is not specified either before the Division Bench nor Single Bench.</p> <p>4. Hence, M.A rejected.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2022

M.A. No.513 of 2021 in O.A. No.383 of 2021 with
M.A. No.514 of 2021 in O.A. No.385 of 2021 with
M.A. No.515 of 2021 in M.A. No.207 of 2021 in
O.A. No.393 of 2021 with
M.A. No.516 of 2021 in O.A. No.434 of 2021 with
M.A. No.517 of 2021 in M.A. No.229 of 2021 in
O.A. No.440 of 2021 with
M.A. No.518 of 2021 in M.A. No.230 of 2021 in
O.A. No.441 of 2021 with
M.A. No.519 of 2021 in M.A. No.235 of 2021 in
O.A. No.445 of 2021 with
M.A. No.520 of 2021 in M.A. No.236 of 2021 in
O.A. No.446 of 2021 with
M.A. No.545 of 2021 in M.A. No.205 of 2021 in
O.A. No.391 of 2021 with
M.A. No.546 of 2021 in O.A. No.386 of 2021 with
M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with
M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with
M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021

G.J. Mhatre

G.V. Sawant

R.D. Sawant & Ors.

M.L. Langi

N.N. Kadam & Ors.

V.B. Chafekar & Ors.

S.N. Rane & Ors.

S.S. Salunkhe & Ors.

S.S. Dixit & Ors.

S.S. Manjrekar

A.B. Kohtekar & Ors.

A.C. Mantri

D.M. Vishwasrao

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.

3. S.O. to 07.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.947 of 2021</p> <p>V.M. RandiveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. is filed challenging the order of temporary shifting dated 15.10.2021 whereby the Applicant was temporary shifted to Head Quarter Solapur for training.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered recent order dated 24.02.2022 issued by Superintendent of Solapur Rural thereby reposting the Applicant at his previous posting at Karmala Police Station. As such, grievances of the Applicant stands redressed, since he is reposted at Karmala Police Station.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1023 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. IngawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1015 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. GhodakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1009 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.991 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. GhodakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.916 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. TawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1. 3. Since, <i>prima-facie</i> it appears that O.A. is not within limitation, When query was raised to the Applicant, he sought time to file M.A. for condonation of delay. 4. Two weeks time is granted for filing M.A. 5. S.O. to 10.02.2022. <p align="right"> ↵ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Sakhare, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.5 & 6.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder will be during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.02.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.532 of 2021 in O.A. No.945 of 2021</p> <p>J.G. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.35 of 2022 in O.A. No.63 of 2022</p> <p>Y.R. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2022

O.A. No.63 of 2022

Y.R. Patil & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 22.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)