Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2021	
------------------	--

O.A. No.756 of 2020 with O.A. No.755 of 2020

M.R. Sawant & Ors. A.P. Sonawane & Ors. ......Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicants are working as Ward boy and Ayas nearly for 20 to 25 years.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time of four weeks to file Reply.

4. It is pointed out that this court by order dated 11.12.2020 earlier has granted time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

5. Learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte filed bunch of papers wherein decision of the Hon'ble High Court and Tribunal are annexed. G.R. is also issued to the effect of similarly situated matters of the J.J. Group of Hospital. Learned Advocate further points out that Dr. Lahane, Director, Medical Education & Research has sent a proposal of parawise to The Dean of J.J. Group of Hospital, Dr. Mankeshwar asking this present Group of Ward Boy and Ayas are to absorbed and the proposal of the regularization of the other services is already submitted to Respondent No.1, Government.

6. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to take immediate decision and The Dean of J.J. Group of Hospital, Dr. Mankeshwar to file his Affidavit-in-Reply on or before 01.02.2021.

7. S.O. to. 01.02.2021.

NMN

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

#### (G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	
	Date: 25.01.2021
	O. A. No.645 of 2020 with M.A. No.35 of 2020
	D.D. MaliApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. Stay is extended till further date.
	3. S.O. to 15.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J Chairperson
	NMN

### (G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.01.2021
	O. A. No.582 of 2020
	J.K. BhatkarApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf or Respondent No.1,2 & 3. It is taken on record.
	3. Admit.
	4. S.O. to 15.02.2021.
	, , /, Sd/-
	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	NMN

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C. Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue joi	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
as played for is gained, subject to the provide a control of the second accordingly. Sd/- ) Sd/- <sup>t</sup> ≥ (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 25.1.2021 25.1.2021 (sgj)	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	M.A. No.343 of 2020 in O.A. No.773 of 2020 S.R. Badad & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause o action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointh as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed of accordingly. Sd/- Sd/- Sd/ (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman 25.1.2021 25.1.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.773 of 2020</u>
	S.R. Badad & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for th Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicants challenges the final seniority list date 10.8.2018 w.e.f. 1.1.1997 to 1.1.2018 of the Clerk an Clerk-cum-Typist.
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.3.202
	5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice.
	Sd/- 2

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 25.1.2021

(sgj)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2021

2

-

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. St. No.103 of 2021 (Aurangabad)</u> (Through Video Conferencing)         C.T. KambleApplicant Vs.         State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents         Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.         2.       Due to issue of network which was not properly audible and visible, adjourned to 28.1.2021.         M Sd/- M         M Sd/- M         (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.1.2021         (sgj)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders         R.A. St.No.1217 of 2019 in O.A. No.792 of 2019 (A'bad) (Through Video Conferencing)         The Superintending Engineer & Administrator CADA, AurangabadApplicant Vs.         S.P. Nawod & OrsRespondents         Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the Applicant-original Respondent No.4, Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1-original Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.         2.       Due to issue of network which was not properly audible and visible, adjourned to 28.1.2021.         Sd/-       M         Yice-Chairman 25.1.2021       Sd/-         (sgj)       State Shrift
	Sd/-

### (G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	
	Date: 25.01.2021
	O. A. No.340 of 2020
	S.P. ThombareApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Avanti Inamdar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that there is recovery from the salary of the Applicant.
	3. Learned P.O. submits that today the C.P.O. office received the parawise remarks from the office of Respondent, so time sought.
	4. Time as prayed is granted.
	5. Interim relief to be continued till next date.
	6. S.O. to 22.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.01.2021

#### O. A. No.215 of 2020

V.N. Dixit Versus .....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter earlier the Tribunal had directed the Officers from the Office of Accountant General to remain present. However, learned P.O. explained satisfactorily the stand taken by office of Accountant General in respect of Rule 66 (1) and 66(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules 1982. Therefore, the presence of the Officers is not at all required.

3. Learned P.O. pointed out that by curtailing period of notice though the period of 20 years of service can be adjusted yet there is objection raised in respect of period of 20 years, as the Applicant was absent from 01.08.2002 to 31.03.2003 i.e total 242 days, if this period of 242 days is regularized by the Government then only she may be entitled to Voluntary Retirement Scheme (V.R.S).

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant joined her service on 24.12.1990 and on 16.07.2011 she moved application for V.R.S. Thus though the period of 242 days is not regularized by the Government still she has completed her service of 20 years.

5. It is the matter of calculation and therefore both the counsel are directed to do the calculation.

6. Meanwhile the Respondent-authorized may take decision of regularization of 242 days of absence of the Applicant.

7. S.O. to 01.03.2021.

in the Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

#### Date: 25.01.2021

#### O. A. No.275 of 2020

V.C. Kumbhar Versus

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

.....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. file one letter of Mr. Bhau Galande, Residential Deputy Collector, Kolhapur dated 18.01.2021 informing that the review committee was scheduled on 13.01.2021 and still he has not received the report of the review committee. It is surprising to note that the review committee has taken place at Pune and it failed to communicate its report from 13.01.2021 till today.

3. In this matter considerable explanation of delay on the part of the Respondent in disobeying the ratio laid down in judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India, through Secretary and Anr. (2015) 7 SCC 291 and was also followed in State of Tamil Nadu Vs. Pramod Kumar and another (Civil Appeal No.2427-2428 of 2018) dated 21st August, 2018 is plotted.

4. The explanation is called from the concerned reviewing committee and it is to be filed on or before 28.02.2021.

S.O. to 28.02.2021. 5.

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

r∕∕ -/Sd U. .

NMN

### (G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 25.01.2021
	O. A. No.319 of 2020
	P.A. MoreApplicantVersusThe State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.1.Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learnedAdvocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. is directed to answer the query of the Tribunal on the point of the appointment of the Applicant who was appointed on compassionate ground in Group 'C' but not cleared the requirement of passing Marathi Typing Examination and as to why Applicant was not given demotion from Group 'C' to Group 'D' as per the clause no. 14 of G.R. dated 21.09.2017.
	3. This is last chance.
	4. S.O. to 01.02.2021.

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

NMN

### (G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000--10-2013)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

ΙN

Original Application No.

NMN of 20

Tribunal's orders
Date: 25.01.2021
O. A. No.298 of 2020
R.S. KarpeApplicant
Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learne Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mancheka 'learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that the Department need time to file Sur-Rejoinder. Time as prayed is granted.
3. S.O. to 22.02.2021.
Jul Sd/-
/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, Chairperson
NMN

### (G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	
	Date: 25.01.2021
	C.A. No.37 of 2020 in O.A. No.316 of 2019
	K.K. KambleApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. On the request of learned P.O.
	3. S.O. to 08.02.2021.
	$\int M Sd/- 1/2 \ge 1$
· · · ·	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	NMN

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20 M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021 O.A.No.447 of 2020
	D.A. Khade Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
- -	1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply of Respondents No.1 and 2 by Shri Nandkumar J. Pinjan, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Pune today. The copy of the reply is furnished to the learned Counsel along with copy of minutes of Review Committee dated 26.06.2020.
	3. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that thereafter second time 'B' summary was filed again on 01.01.2021. The Respondents to inform the applicant whether the 'B' summary is accepted by the learned Magistrate. Similarly the learned P.O. is also directed to ask the Respondents whether they are aware of the second 'B' summary.
	4. Adjourned to 08.02.2021.
	m sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 25.01.2021

#### O.A.No.52 of 2021

... Applicant

A.D. Nagane

Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2021.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. Adjourned to 22.02.2021.

pr

120 1. Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar J,)

Chairperson

#### Date : 25.01.2021

Vs.

#### O.A.No.36 of 2021

T.B. Naik

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant working as Assistant Professor, Government College of Education, Ratnagiri challenges the order dated 24.09.2020 refusing him Time Bound Promotion and he seeks directions to that effect.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08 03.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 08.03.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

### of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021 O.A.No.273 of 2020
	N.M. Thombre Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant, Police Constable challenges the orders dated 21.08.2015, 23.12.2015 and 30.10.2019 passed by Respondent no.1 to Respondent no.4 by which his sick leave was treated as leave without pay.
	3. The matter is ready as the affidavit-in-reply is already filed by the learned P.O. However, the learned Counsel seeks time to consider the reply of Respondent no.2, Shri Kishor Mohanrao Kale, Deputy Superintendentof Police, Sangli.
	4. Matter is admitted with liberty to file sur- rejoinder, if any. This matter will be heard and disposed off on the same day.
	5. Adjourned to 08.02.2021.
	Sd/- "hs
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021
	O.A.No.280 of 2020
	O.A.No.280 of 2020         R.T. More       Applicant         Vs.       The State of Maharashtra & Ors       Respondents         1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned       Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.         2. The copy of the affidavit-in-reply of Rajendra D.         Mahamuni dated 19.11.2020 is not furnished to the learned Counsel. The learned P.O. is directed to furnish the same today itself. The copy is now furnished.         3. The copy of the documents and the notice of the recovery of dues of Rs.20,11,763/- are also to be furnished to the applicant forthwith.         3. At the request of learned Counsel Adjourned to 22.02.2021.         Mridula Bhatkar J, Chairperson         prk

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021
	O.A.No.341 of 2020
	K.K. Kamble Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghat, learned Counsel holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned Counsel submits that the suspension of the applicant is revoked and he has been reinstated by the order dated 12.01.2021. Therefore the learned Counsel produces a written instructions given by the applicant dated 23.01.2021 to withdraw the O.A. The order dated 12.01.2021 is marked as Exhibit-1 and withdrawal precipe is marked as Exhibit-2 and the same are taken on record.
	3. O.A. is disposed off as withdrawn.
	D Sd/- 12 the
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021
	O.A.No.278 of 2020 with O.A.No.336 of 2020
	V.V. Bhadange (O.A.278/2020) M.L Swami (O.A.336/2020) Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar,
	learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned C.P.O. submits that in both the matter the review committee was scheduled on
	13.01.2021 in both the matters and the said Committee
	has not yet send the report of the decision of the review
	as the Code of Conduct of Gram Panchayat Election was
	enforced till 18.01.2021.
	3. Adjourned to 08.02.2021.
	) Sd/- >
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	ргк
	1

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	S
	Date : 25.01.2021	
	O.A.No.497 of 2	2020
	H.P. Waghela	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, le Applicant and Smt. Archana B.I Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>The learned Counsel sub- affidavit-in-reply during the cours copy to learned Counsel.</li> <li>Adjourned to 22.02.2021.</li> </ol>	K., learned Presenting mits that she will file
	(Mrid	lula Bhatkar J,) hairperson
	prk	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021
	O.A.No.553 of 2020
	J.J. Khaire Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri P.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned Counsel Ms. Shaila & Ors. today files vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent no.4. Mr. Navnath G. Lahane.
	3. The learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-reply on behall of Respondents no.1 and 2. The same is taken or record. The copy of the same is served upon learned Counsel for the Applicant.
	4. At the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant adjourned to 22.02.2021.
	Jh Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021
	O.A.No.461 of 2020
	D.J. Ambliwade Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
• •	1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 15.02.2021 for filing affidavit- in-reply.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk

#### Tribunal's orders

#### Date : 25.01.2021

٧٩

#### O.A.No.769 of 2021

I.\$. Savale

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

2

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant, daughter, has lost her mother on 02.01.2018 and therefore prays for her appointment on compassionate ground and thereby challenges the order dated 14.03.2019 rejecting her proposal of the appointment on compassionate ground on Group C post or the ground that the financial condition of the applicant was not found precarious to fit in the appointment on compassionate ground.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 22.02.2021. Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson pr

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 25.01.2021 O.A.No.59 of 2021
	<ul> <li>S.M. Dongre Applicant Vs.</li> <li>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors Respondents</li> <li>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant working as Tahasildar is suspended on 10.01.2019, challenges the said order of the suspension and prays for reinstatement with the consequential service benefits.</li> </ul>
	3. The learned Counsel submits that no D.E. is initiated till today against the applicant and though the applicant is prosecuted by Shivaji Nagar Police Station, Pune, under Section 7 and 12 of Prevention of Corruption Act of 1988. No charge sheet is served till date.
	<ul> <li>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on</li> </ul>
e.	<ul> <li>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.03.2021.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final</li> </ul>

Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

> 7 This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

> 8 The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

> 9 The learned P.O. seeks time to get the instructions from the office of Respondents.

10. Meanwhile, the Respondents are directed to conduct a review on the basis of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary Versus Union of India (2015) 7 SCC 291 and report accordingly to this Tribunal.

The matter is adjourned to 08.03.2021.

11.

pr

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson