

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT
AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc. Hon'ble Single Bench

Before: - THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI P.R. BORA, VICE CHAIRMAN

Date: -24/08/2023

Supplimentary Board

Sr. No.	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Due Admission Matter						
1	OA634/23	Dhule	Adv.J.B. Choduhary (Dr.Bhushan B Rao) <u>Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh For R.No.6</u>	Transfer	For Order For Further Consideration Reply Filed by R-1 to R- 6	25.8.2023

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT
AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc. Hon'ble Single Bench

Before: - THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI P.R. BORA, VICE CHAIRMAN

Date: -24/08/2023

Sr. No.	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Regular Admission Matters						
Due Admission Matters						
6	OA149/20 with	Nanded	Adv.S.L. Bhapkar (Vitthal S Ambatwad)	Extension in age/ Benefits of G.R./ Consequential Retirement Benefits	Part Heard For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4 & R-6	21.9.2023
7	OA150/20 with	A'nagar	Adv.Sanjay L Bhapkar (Ramkrishna D Nagargoje)	Benefits of G.R./ Consequential Retirment Benefits/ Extension in age of Retirement	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	
8	OA151/20	Beed	Adv.Sanjay L Bhapkar (Krantikumar V Penurkar)	Benefits of G.R./ Consequential Retirment Benefits/ Extension in age of Retirement	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4 & R-6	

9	OA510/20	Beed	Adv.Vilas S Panpatte (Priyadarshi S Maske)	Compassionate Appointment	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	
10	OA587/20	A'bad	Adv.Vijay C Suradkar (Kumudini R Sable)	Compassionate Appointment (Lad Page Samiti)	Reply Filed bny R-2 & R-3	6.9.2023
11	OA96/21	J'gaon	Adv.R.P. Bhumkar (Shriram P Chavan)	Time Bound Promotion/ ACPS/ Recovery	Reply Filed by R-2 & R-3	25.9.2023
12	OA464/21	A'bad	Adv.A.S. Deshmukh (Vilas K Hiwale)	Duty Period	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	26.9.2023
13	OA574/21	P'bhani	Adv.Vivek G Pingle (Latabai B Savant)	Revision of Pay Fixation/ Benefit of Time Bound Promotion & ACPS	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-2 to R-5	25.9.2023
14	OA681/21	Beed	Adv.K.B. Jadhav (Govind H Darade)	Recovery	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	12.9.2023
15	OA817/21	Beed	Adv.K.N. Shermale (Vilas K Dhole)	Pension & Pensionery Benefits	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	26.9.2023
16	OA36/22	P'bhani	Adv.V.G. Pingle (Balasaheb N Patharkar) Adv.G.N. Patil for R.No.4	Benefit of T.B.P.	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	25.9.2023
17	OA54/22	Beed A'bad	Adv.V.G. Pingle (Bhagwat S Mane &Ors.)	Departmental Exam/ Benefits of T.B.P.S./ A.C.P.S.	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 & R-2	25.9.2023
18	OA74/22	P'bhani	AdvAvinash A Phad (SiddiquiMohd. MinhaiuddinMohd. Sardauddin)	Compassionate Appointment	Reply Filed by R-2 & R-3	27.9.2023
19	OA756/22	Latur	Adv.Vinod D Godbharle (Jitendra V Kadam)	Duty Period/ Salary & Allowances	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-2 & R-3	22.9.2023
20	OA1111/22	Beed	Adv.P.V. Suryawanshi (Nandkishor A Awile)	Compassionate Appointment (lad Page Samiti)	For Hearing	6.9.2023
21	OA19/23 with	A'nagar	Adv.S.D. Joshi (Dr.Ajit P Thorbole)	Suspension	For Hearing Short Affidavit Reply Filed R-1 & R-3 Reply Filed by R-2	21.9.2023
22	OA20/23	A'nagar	Adv.S.D. Joshi (Nanasaheb S Agale)	Suspension	For Hearing Short Affidavit Reply Filed R-1 & R-3 Reply Filed by R-2	

Final Hearing Matters						
23	OA45/19	A'bad	Adv.S.G. Kulkarni (Sitaram M Bhokare)	Recovery	Reply Filed by R-2 & R-3	18.9.2023
24	OA253/19	Hingoli	Adv.R.N. Bharaswadkar (Laxmikant M Bhoskar)	Refund of Recovered Amount	Reply filed by R-1 to R- 3	26.9.2023
25	OA265/19	A'nagar	Adv.VidhyaTaksal/ Adv.AbahyTaksal (TaHER Ali Sayed)	Relieve	Reply Filed by R-1 to R- 3	26.9.2023
26	OA297/19	Nanded	Adv.Deepak S Manorkar (Balaji M Shinde&Ors.) <u>Adv.S.B. Mene for R.Nos.2 & 3</u>	Time Bound Promotion/ ACPS/ Higher Pay Scale/ Benefit as per G.R.	Reply Filed by R-1 to R- 3	25.9.2023
27	OA365/19	J'gaon	Adv.A.J. Patil/ Adv.V.B. Wagh (Nilesh W Mahajan) <u>Adv.V.G. Pingle for R.No.2</u>	Kotwal	Reply Filed by R-1 & R- 2	3.10.2023
28	OA376/19 with OA122/18 With OA558/18 With	J'gaon	Adv.R.P. Bhumkar (Manik T Takalkar&Ors)in OA.376/19 Adv.R.P. Bhumkar (Ramdas T Patil) in OA122/18 Adv.R.P. Bhumkar (Ganesh D Deshpande) in OA558/18	Time Bound Promotion/ ACPS/ Recovery/ Pension/ Pensionary Benefits In OA376/19 Time Bound Promotion In OA122/18 Recovery In OA558/18	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3 in OA376/19 Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3 In OA122/18 Reply Filed by R-1 to R-7 In OA558/18	25.9.2023
29	OA1011/19	Beed	Adv.Vishwas B Wagh (Vitthal S Lokhande)	Minor Punishment/ Recovery	Reply filed by R-1 to R- 4	4.10.2023
30	OA87/20	P'bhani	Adv.Preeti R Wankhade (Rajendra B Kamble)	Minor Punishment/ Suspension Period	Reply Filed by R-1 to R- 3	4.10.2023
31	OA115/21	Latur	Adv.R. K. Khandelwal (Kadubai S Gaikwad)	Correction in Date of Birth	Reply Filed by R-1 to R- 4	11.9.2023
32	OA26/22	Latur	Adv.Shamsunder B Patil (Dr.Eknath D Male)	Transfer	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	21.9.2023
33	OA69/22	A'bad	Adv.A.S. Deshmukh (Sudhakar G Sonawane)	Compassionate Pension	Rehearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	allowed

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc. Hon'ble Single Bench

Before: -THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI P.R. BORA, VICE CHAIRMAN

Date: -24/08/2023

For Reply Board

Sr. No.	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Due Admission Matters						
1	OA567/17	Jalgaon	Adv Vinod P Patil (Bebabai P Koli (Sapkale)	Family Pension	For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder Ref Order Dtd. 09.11.2022	12.9.2023
2	OA1096/19	J'gaon	Adv D K Dagadkhair (Vikas D Wagh)	Upgradation of ACR	Reply Filed By R-2 & R-3 & For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	27.9.2023
3	OA491/21	A'bad	Adv Vijay V Deshmukh (Bhagwan S Naik)	Recovery/ Refund of Recoered Amount	Reply Filed By R-3 & R-4 & For Filing Affidavit in Reply R-5	7.9.2023
4	OA631/21	Nanded	Adv Ashish B Rajkar (Shamsundar K Suryawanshi) Adv Vinesh C Solshe For R-2 & R-3	Time Bound Promotion/ A.C.P.S	Reply Filed By R-2 & R-3 & For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	25.9.2023
5	OA722/21	Nanded	Adv A B Rajkar (Ashok k Bhalerao&Ors.) Adv Mahesh C Swami For R-2 to R-6	Benefit of Time Bound Promotion A.C.P.Scheme	Reply Filed By R-2 to R-6 & For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	25.9.2023
6	OA223/22	N'bar	Adv A S Bayas (Rahul V Padvi)	Compassoinate Appointment	Reply Filed By R-1 to R-5 & For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	
7	OA295/22	Latur	Adv K B Jadhav (Bhagwan K Mane)	Time Bound Promotion & A.C.P.S	Reply Filed By R-3 & R-4 & For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	25.9.2023

8	OA339/22	A'bad	Adv J S Deshmukh (Shahurao A Kakde)	Direction/ Refixation/ Arrears	Short Affidavit Reply Filed By R-1 & R-2 & For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	20.9.2023
9	OA541/22	Beed	Adv A R Gunge (Khiluba B Sarak) Adv P D Suryawanshi For R-2 & R-5	Pension & Pensionery Benefits	Reply Filed By R-2 & R-5 & For Filing Affidavit in Reply R-1, 3 & R- 4 Ref Order Dtd. 20.10.2022	20.9.2023
10	OA964/22	Nanded	Adv Avinash S Deshmukh (Vijaykumar M Nawale) V B Wagh For R-5	Deemed Date of Promotion	Await Service	25.9.2023
11	OA169/23	Nanded	Adv N K Tungar (Nitin A Shete)	Appointment of Compassionate Ground	For Reply	
12	OA185/23	A'bad	Adv Anant D Gadekar (Chandrakala W Gaikwad & Ors.)	Compassionate Appointment	For Reply	
13	OA308/23	Beed	Adv V Y Patil (Dattatray B Kakde)	Directions/ Pension	Await Service	21.9.2023
14	OA312/23	N'bar	Adv K B Jadhav (Bapu S Pathade)	Minor Punishment	For Reply	26.9.2023
15	OA339/23	A'bad	Adv J S Deshmukh (Pradyumnkumar P Gosavi)	Increment	Await Service	3.10.2023
16	OA506/23	O'bad	Adv S B Solanke (Valmik A Koli)	Directions Benefits of old Pension Scheme	For Reply	27.9.2023
17	OA510/23	A'bad	Adv Kiran G Salunke (Rajendra V Marale)	Leave Period	For Reply	29.9.2023
18	OA569/23	A'bad	Adv V B Wagh (Bhagwan R Shewale)	Interest on Delayed Payment	For Reply	29.9.2023
19	OA644/23	P'rbn	Adv Pralhad D Bachate (Asha B Garud) Adv S G Joshi For R-6	Suspension	For Filing Affidavit in Reply	25.9.2023
Order Matters						
20	MA358/21 In OAS313/21	Beed	Adv V S Undre (Sarita V Rode)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	For Taking Necessary Steps Await Service of Notice Ref Order Dtd. 21.10.2022	29.9.2023
21	MA178/22 In OAS447/22	Dhule	Adv M R Wagh (Ratnabai B Patil)	M.A For Condonation of Delay	Await Service	3.10.2023

22	MA199/22 In OASt837/22	O'bad	AdvSuchitaDhongde (Arjun B Koli)	M.A For Condonation of Delay	For Reply	3.10.2023
23	MA546/22 In OASt1695/22	Nanded	Adv A B Shinde (ShaukatUllah Khan Ahsan Khan)	M.A For Condonation of Delay	For Filing Affidavit in Reply	3.10.2023
24	MA334/23 In OASt744/23	A'bad	Adv S V Salve (Bansi S Shejwal)	M.A For Condonation of Delay	Await Service	13.9.2023

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH
AT AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc. Hon'ble Single Bench
Before: - THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI P.R. BORA, VICE CHAIRMAN

Date: -24/08/2023

Supplementary Board

Sr. No.	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Due Admission Matter						
1	OA634/23	Dhule	Adv.J.B. Choduhary (Dr.Bhushan B Rao) <u>Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh For R.No.6</u>	Transfer	For Order For Further Consideration Reply Filed by R-1 to R-6	25.8.2023

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH
AT AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc. Hon'ble Division Bench
Before: - Court On Leave

Date: -24/08/2023

Sr. No	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Urgent Admission Matters						
1	MASt1649/23 In OASt1650/23	P'bhani & Ors.	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Vitthal G Shinde & Ors.)	M.A. For Sue Jointly	Circulation with Office Objection	
2	OA1021/22	Jalna	Adv.C.V. Dharurkar (Madhav K Khairge)	Departmental Enquiry	Urgent Admission As per Order dtd.10.02.2023 Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	14.9.2023

3	OAST1910/22	Jalna	Adv.C.V. Dharurkar/ Adv.Vinaya Muley (Shamrao A Gite)	Departmental Enquiry	With Office Objection	14.9.2023
4	TA03/23 WP13847/21 with	Nashik	Adv.Abhijit S More (Kapil K Gujar & Ors.) <u>Adv.A.G. Talhar For R.No.1</u>	<u>High Court Time Limit On Or Before 30.06.2023</u> High Court Direction Termination/ Challenging G.R.	For Hearing	20.9.2023
5	TA04/23 WP3935/21 with	Satara	Adv.Dr. Suresh Mane (Gramvikas Shakiya Kantrathi Karmachari Sanghthana through President & Ors.)	Termination		
6	TA05/23 WP4762/20 with	Beed	Adv.Sachin S Deshmukh (Vinayak S Ware & Ors.)	Challenging Advertisement		
7	TA06/23 WP4765/20 with	Beed	Adv.Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen (Ubale S Suresh & Ors.)	Challenging Advertisement		
8	TA07/23 WP5233/20 with	Beed	Adv.S.A. NagarSoge (Pralhad K Ugale) <u>Adv.R.R. Bangar For R.No.1</u>	Termination		
9	TA08/23 WP5591/20 with	Beed	Adv.S.S. Thombre (Pravin V Hadade & Anr.) <u>Adv.Umesh Mote For R.Nos.2 & 3</u>	Termination		
10	TA09/23 WP5588/20 with	Beed	Adv.S.S. Jadhavar (Sonali S Bhosale) <u>Adv.Umesh Mote For R.No.2</u>	Termination		
11	TA10/23 WP5592/20 with	Beed	Adv.S.S. Thombre (Surekha K Wani & Ors.) <u>Adv.Umesh Mote For R.No.2</u>	Termination		
12	TA11/23 WP7243/20 With	Mumbai	Adv.Mahadev Chaudhari/ Adv.Krishna Rodge (Maharashtra State Contractual Employees Federation, (Prop.) Through its working President Sachin S Jadhav)	Termination		

13	TA16/23 WP5776/21	P'bhani	Adv. Adv.Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen (Gajanan N Tehare & Ors.) <u>Adv.R.R. Bangar for R.No.1</u>	Termination/ Challenging Circular		
14	MA369/23 In OAS1630/23	A'bad	Adv.Anirudha A Nimbalkar (Dr. Nilkanth B Chavan & Ors.)	M.A. For Sue Jointly		14.9.2023
15	OA24/23	Jalgaon	Adv.C.V. Dharurkar (Ashok O Mahajan)	Departmental Enquiry		14.9.2023
16	OA182/23	J'gaon	Adv.Akash M Jaju (Umesh B Jadhav)	Resignation/ Reinstate in Service		25.9.2023
17	OAS1124/23	A'bad	Adv.Poonam Mahajan (Dnyaneshwar R Tidke)	Selection Process	With Office Objection	5.9.2023
18	OAS1126/23	A'bad	Adv.Poonam Mahajan (Datta A Dhage)	Selection Process	With Office Objection	5.9.2023
Order Matters						
19	MA202/20 In OAS443/20	P'bhani	Adv.V.B. Wagh (Rama L Rathod)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	For Hearing	10.10.2023
20	MA176/21 In OAS498/21	O'bad	Adv.V.B. Wagh (Pruthviraj S Patil)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	For Hearing	10.10.2023
21	MA16/22 In OAS1505/21	A'nagar	Adv.V.B. Wagh (Ramesh R Kulthe)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-2 & R-3	6.9.2023
22	MA374/23 In OA677/23	A'nagar	MAT A'bad (The State of Maharashtra Through Additional Chief Seretary & 2 Ors.) <u>Adv.V.B. Wagh In OA677/23</u> (Dr.Prabhas M Patil)	M.A. For Vacating I.R./ Recalling Order		6.9.2023
High On Board Matters						

23	OA603/17 With	Latur	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Jaideep A Limbale & Ors)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4, R-5 & R-6	18.9.2023
24	OA604/17 With	P'bhani	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Kishor S Sabhadinde & Ors)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4 to R-6	
25	OA605/17 With	O'bad	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Pratap B Jalkute)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4 to R-6	
26	OA606/17 With	Beed	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Bhausahab B Rathod & Ors)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4 to R-6	
27	OA607/17 With	Nanded	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Praveen A Kulkarni & Ors)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4 to R-6	
28	OA608/17 With	A'nagar	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Sachin M Ralebhat)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1 & R-3 to R-5	
29	OA609/17 With	A'bad	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Pramod U Nade)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4 to R-6	
30	OA780/17	Beed	Adv.P. R.Wankhade (Ramhari N Kamble)	Challenging G. R.	<u>Part Heard</u> Reply Filed by R-1, R-3, R-4 to R-6	
31	OA213/18	A'bad	Adv.Preeti R Wankhade (Balaji N Sontakke)	Promotion	<u>For Expedited Hearing</u> Reply Filed by R-2	
32	OA511/21	O'bad	Adv.A.D. Sugdare (Dr.Sujitkumar S Randive) <u>Adv.Vinod M Vibhute for R.No.5</u>	Continuity of Service/ Counting of Past Service	<u>For Expedited Hearing</u> Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	
33	MA206/23 In OA211/22	A'bad	Adv.Shamsunder B Patil (Smita R Achme)	M.A. For I.R., Directions & Fixing date For Final	<u>For Expedited Hearing</u>	20.9.2023

				Hearing		
Due Admission Matters						
34	OA797/16	A'nagar	Adv.C.V. Thombre (Gaurav A Chavan)	Appointment	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-2 & R-5	20.9.2023
35	OA892/18 With	A'bad	Adv.S.S. Thombre (Dhananjay D Chandodkar & Ors.)	Discharge From Service	For Admission Reply Filed by R-1 to R-5	13.9.2023
36	OA901/18	Beed	Adv.S.S. Thombre (Bhagwat S Somase)	Termination	For Admission Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	13.9.2023
37	OA815/19	Beed	Adv.Sachin S Deshmukh (Rajendrakumar P Barhate) <u>Adv.Ajay Deshpande for Spl. Counsel for R.Nos.1 & 2</u>	Promotion/ Seniority	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 & R-2	4.10.2023
38	OA956/19	J'gaon	Adv.C.V. Dharurkar/ Adv.A.S. Mirajgaonkar (Rajendra M Chaduhari)	Removal From Service	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-2 & R-3	5.10.2023
39	OA127/20	A'bad	Adv.A.S. Deshmukh (Resha P Karhale)	Termination	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	6.10.2023
40	OA312/20	Jalna	Adv.D.R. Irale Patil (Amol D Jadhav)	Termination	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 & R-2	6.10.2023
41	OA583/20	A'bad	Adv.V.B. Wagh (Vishal R Mhaske)	Appointment	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 & R-2	11.10.2023
42	OA196/21	P'bhani	Adv.K.B. Jadhav (Sandip P More)	Termination	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-2, R-3 & R-5 to R-7	12.9.2023
43	OA305/21	Dhule	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Devidas G Nandgaonkar)	Promotion	For Hearing	9.10.2023
44	OA520/21	Beed	Adv.Santosh S Jadhavar (Varsha V Kulkarni & Ors)	Seniority list / Promotion	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	25.9.2023

45	OA45/22	A'bad	Adv.M.D. Narwadkar (Sanjay D Pathrut & Ors.)	Challenging G.R.	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1, R-3 & R-4	11.10.202 3
46	OA245/22	A'bad	Adv.Party In Person (Mahendra K Wadgaonkar)	Selection	For Hearing	22.9.2023
47	OA291/22	A'nagar	Adv.S.S. Jadhavar (Manisha G Bargaje)	Resignation/ Departmental Enquiry	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	12.9.2023
48	OA464/22 with	Nanded	Adv.S.A. Deshmukh (Sanjay V Birhade) <u>Adv.Sudhir K Chavan for R.No.4</u>	Reversion	For Hearing	16.10.202 3
49	OA465/22 with	Nanded	Adv.S.A. Deshmukh (Shaikh Moin Shaikh Suleman)	Reversion	For Hearing	
50	OA466/22	Nanded	Adv.S.A. Deshmukh (Sudarshan N Burkule)	Reversion	For Hearing	
51	OA467/22	Nanded	Adv.S.A. Deshmukh (Pralhad V Burkule)	Reversion	For Hearing	
52	OA691/22	A'nagar	Adv.Avinash S Deshmukh (Dr. Vasant M Garudkar) <u>Adv.J.P. Legal for R.No.3</u>	Appointment	For Hearing Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	
53	OA736/22	Beed	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr.Pradeep A Shendge)	Advertisement	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	12.9.2023
54	OA1099/22	N'pur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr. Sapna H Tallarwar)	Challenge to the Advertisement	Further Consideration For Compliance to the applicant has sought time to place on record the amended copy of the O.A.	15.9.2023
55	OA1100/22	N'pur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade/ Adv.D.M. Hange (Dr. Namita B Korwade)	Challenge to the Advertisement	Further Consideration For Compliance to the applicant has sought time to place on record the	15.9.2023

					amended copy of the O.A.	
Final Hearing Matter						
56	OA829/18 With	Mumbai	Adv.Talekar & Associates (Kiran P Prabhakar Kolte)	Selection Process	Reply Filed by R-2	15.9.2023
57	OA96/20	A'bad	Adv.Talekar & Associates (Kiran P Kolte)	Appointment		15.9.2023
58	OA212/19 With	Jalna	Adv.D.R. Irale Patil (Sunder S Waghmare)	Seniority	Reply Filed by R-2	11.10.2023 3
59	OA213/19 With	A'bad	Adv.D.R. Irale Patil (Rajdhar K Dandge)	Seniority/ Promotion	Reply Filed by R-2	
60	OA214/19	A'bad	Adv.D.R. Irale Patil (Sarjerao N Wagh)	Seniority/ Promotion	Reply Filed by R-2	
61	OA800/19	A'nagar	Adv.Sandip R Andhale (Maheshkumar P Sathe)	Appointment	Reply Filed by R-1	6.10.2023
62	OA951/19	Jalna	Adv.D.R. Irale Patil (Shankar V Bansode) <u>Adv.B.S. Deshmukh for R.No.4</u>	Major Punishment	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	11.10.23

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH
AT AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc.

(Reference Court)

Before: - THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI P.R. BORA, VICE CHAIRMAN

Date: -24/08/2023

Sr. No	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Final Hearing Matter						
1	OA154/17	A'bad	Adv.Avinash Deshmukh (Naseem Banu Nazir Patel)	Departmental Enquiry/ Suspension	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-3	

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT
AURANGABAD**

List of Cases set down for Physical Hearing/Admission/Order/etc. Hon'ble Division Bench
Before: -**Court On Leave**

Date: -24/08/2023

for reply board

Sr. No	Case No.	District	Advocate's & Applicant's Name	Subject	Remarks	Next date
Due Admission Matters						
1	CP38/23 In OA538/21	Latur	Adv.U.P. Giri (Manohar K Suryawanshi)	Contempt Petition	For Reply	22.9.2023
2	OA552/21	A'nagar	Adv.C.V. Dharurkar (Jabbar Dastgir Pinjari)	Selection Process as per Advertisement	Await Service of Notice	3.10.2023
3	OA554/21	A'bad	Adv.Ravindra B Ade (Harshal N Yevle)	Termination	As a Last Chance For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	6.10.2023
4	OA525/22	Dhule	Adv.Dhananjay Mane (Somnath B Satbhai)	Interview	For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	12.9.2023
5	OA637/22	J'gaon	Adv.A.B. Rajkar (Vishal G Bochare)	Termination	For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	6.10.2023
6	OA754/22	Nanded	Adv.S.S. Thombre (Akash K Chougule)	Show Cause Notice/ Termination	Final Chance For Filing Affidavit in Reply	14.9.2023
7	OA794/22	Beed	Adv.S.S. Thombre (Ishvar S Survase)	Termination	One More Last Chance For Filing Affidavit in Reply For R-1 to R-3, R-10 & R-12	14.9.2023
8	OA818/22	Latur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr. Pranita P Patil & Ors.)	Advertisement	For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	12.9.2023
9	OA819/22	Latur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr. Dharmaraj A Dudde & Ors.)	Advertisement	For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	12.9.2023
10	OA695/23	Dhule	Adv.Mahesh S Deshmukh (Shubhangi B Patil)	Selection Process	To take instruction in view of the averments made in the affidavit in Reply	5.9.2023
11	OA11/23	P'bhani	Adv.V.G. Pingle (Gangadhar K Yelhare)	Final Seniority List/ Promotion	As a Last Chance For Filing Affidavit in Reply	4.10.2023

12	OA89/23 with	Mumbai Etc.	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr.ARun K Rathod & Ors.)	Selection of Process	For Filing Affidavit in Reply all the Respondents	12.9.2023
13	OA90/23 with	K'pur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr.Zahir Ahmed Kutubddin Patwekar & Ors.)	Regularization & Challenging the Advertisement	For Filing Affidavit in Reply For R-3	
14	OA91/23 with	Sangli	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (DR. Madhav G Kumbhar & Ors.)	Appointment	For Filing Affidavit in Reply For R-3	
15	OA92/23 with	Sangali	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr.Yashvant C Shende)	Appointment	For Filing Affidavit in Reply For R-3	
16	OA93/23 with	Mumbai Etc.	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr.Bhushan B Wankhade)	Challenging Advertisement	For Filing Affidavit in Reply For R-1, R- 2 & R-4	
17	OA94/23 With	S'pur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr. Hemlata D Patil @ Dr. Hemlata S Desai)	Challenging Advertisement	Reply Filed by R-1 to R-4	
18	OA95/23	K'pur	Adv.P.R. Wankhade (Dr. Kedarnath G Patil)	Appointment	For Filing Affidavit in Reply all the Respondents	
19	OA357/23	Latur	Adv.Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen (Shubham H Waghmare & Ors.)	Selection Process	Notice Not Collected	
20	OA374/23	J'gaon	Adv.A.D. Sugdare (Radheshyam B Sonawane)	Seniority/ Promotion	Await Service	4.10.2023
21	OA434/23	Nanded	Adv.P.S. Anerao (Madhav S Salgar)	Selection Process	Await Service	5.10.2023
22	OA466/23	Hingoli	Adv.S.S. Londhe (Bhaskar P Londhe)	Departmental Enquiry	Await Service	5.10.2023
23	OA474/23	N'bar	Adv.V.B. Wagh (Vaishali V Hinge)	Promotion	Await Service	5.10.2023
24	OA490/23	P'bhani	Adv.Santosh S Jadhavar (Janabai M Shere)	Revision of Pay Scale	For Filing Affidavit in Reply	6.10.2023
Order Matters						
25	MA60/20 In OAS2451/19	Dhule	Adv.R.D. Khadap (Priti V Sangolkar)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	Await Service of Notice	13.10.2023
26	MA363/21 In OAS1149/21	Latur	Adv.K.M. Nagarkar (Ramling M Bansode) <u>Adv.Ajinkya Reddy For R.Nos.3 & 4</u>	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	13.10.2023

27	MA85/22 In OAS1790/21	A'bad	Adv.A.V. Thombre (Anil B Chavan)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	As a Last Chance For Filing Affidavit in Rejoinder	16.10.2023
28	MA40/23 In TA21/22 WP10068/22	A'nagar	Adv.Adv.V.B. Wagh (Babasaheb G Borhade)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	For Filing Affidavit in Reply For R-1 & R-2	11.9.2023
29	MA268/23 In OAS1075/23	P'bhani	Adv.C.R. Thorat (Dnyaneshwar T Sakhare & Ors.)	M.A. For Condonation of Delay	Await Service	16.10.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2023
(Valmik A. Koli Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.4. The same is taken on record and copy of it is provided for learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned P.O., time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 till 27.09.2023.

4. S.O. to 27.09.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185 OF 2023
(Chandrakala W. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

M.A.NO. 334 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 744 OF 2023
(Bansi S. Shejwal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.V. Salve, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.4. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side. The other respondents are shown in the await service category. Learned counsel for the applicant to take necessary steps.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

M.A.NO. 365 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1444 OF 2023
(Gautam Prabhakar Karle Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents on application for condonation of delay, returnable on 29.09.2023.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 29.09.2023.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2021
(Bhagwan Sheshrao Naik Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the affidavit in reply on behalf of subsequently added respondent is necessary. He has therefore sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the said respondent. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 312 OF 2023
(Bapu S. Pathade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted by way of last chance till 26.09.2023.

3. S.O. to 26.09.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1096 OF 2019
(Vikas D. Wagh Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Pleadings are complete in the present matter. List the matter for hearing on 27.09.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

O.A.NOS. 149/2020, 150/2020 & 151/2020
(Vitthal S. Ambatwad & Ors. Vs. the state of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. The present matters have already been treated as part heard.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants has submitted additional affidavits of respective applicants in all these matters along with certain documents annexed therewith. The same are taken on record and copies are given to learned P.O.

4. S.O. to 21.09.2023. In the meanwhile it would be open for the respondents to file sur-rejoinder, if they so desire.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 794 OF 2022
(Ishvar S. Survase Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : **24.08.2023**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Sonwane, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Despite availing due opportunities, the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 10 & 12 have failed in filing the affidavit in reply.

3. List the matter for hearing without affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 10 & 12 on 14.09.2023. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2022
(Akash K. Chougule Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : **24.08.2023**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Sonwane, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Despite availing due opportunities, the respondents have failed in filing the affidavit in reply.

3. List the matter for hearing without affidavit in reply of the respondents on 14.09.2023. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2021
(Sandip P. More Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : **24.08.2023**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2023 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892 OF 2018
(Dhananjay D. Chandodkar & Ors. Vs. the state of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 901 OF 2018
(Bhagwat S. Somase Vs. the state of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Sonwane, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

2. S.O. to 13.09.2023 for admission. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A.No. 892/2018 to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2021
(Govind H. Darade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2023 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 644 OF 2023
(Asha B. Garud Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Pralhad Bachate, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.G. Joshi, learned counsel for the respondent No.6, are present.

2. Learned C.P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1,3 & 5. The same is taken on record and copy of it is provided for learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 25.09.2023. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file affidavit in rejoinder, if she so desires.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339 OF 2023
(Pradyumnkumar P. Gosavi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

DATE : **24.08.2023**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned C.P.O. has tendered short affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. The same is taken on record and copy of it is provided for learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 03.10.2023. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file affidavit in rejoinder, if he so desires.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

O.A.NOS. 603 TO 609 AND 780 ALL OF 2017
(Jaideep A. Limbale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : **24.08.2023**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A.Nos. 603 to 609 all of 2017, Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.No. 780/2017 and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. The present matters have already been treated as part heard.

3. S.O. to 18.09.2023. **High on board.**

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 525 OF 2022
(Somnath B. Satbhai Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Affidavit in rejoinder is not yet filed.
3. List the matter for hearing on 12.09.2023.
Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

O.A. NOS. 19 AND 20 BOTH OF 2023

(Dr. Ajit P. Thorbole & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.09.2023 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.08.2023

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115 OF 2021
(Smt. Kadubai S. Gaikwad Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.K. Khandelwal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11.9.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 24.08.2023-hdd

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2023
(Umesh B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.9.2023.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.9.2023.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1630 OF 2023
(Dr. Nilkanth Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Anirudha A. Nimbalkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 14.9.2023.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 14.9.2023.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A.NO. 369/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1630/2023
(Dr. Nilkanth Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Anirudha A. Nimbalkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**O.A.NOS. 89 TO 95 ALL OF 2023
(Dr. Arun K. Rathod Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in ll these matters, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply. The request is opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant stating that due opportunities are already availed by the respondents. In the interest of justice, time of two weeks' granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. TO 12.9.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 748 OF 2017
(Parasram N. Sonawane Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.A. Manjramkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri S.S. Bhuse, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 29.8.2023 at 03.00 p.m. for further consideration.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 24.08.2023-hdd

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 695/2023
(Shubhangi B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri M.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder of the applicant to the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent no. 2 till 5.9.2023.

3. S.O. to 5.9.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**O.A. NOS. 1099 AND 1100 BOTH OF 2022
(Dr. Sapna H. Tallarwar & Anr. Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has placed on record copy of the amended plaint of the Original Applications. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are given to the learned P.O. It would be open to the respondents to file additional reply insofar as the amended portion of the O.As. is concerned, if they so desire.

3. S.O. to 15.9.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 677/2023
(Dr. Prabhas M. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
MISC. APPLICATION NO. 374/2023
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. VS. Dr. Prabhas M. Patil)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submitted affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in O.A. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Respondents today have filed Misc. Application bearing no. 374/2023 praying for recalling the interim order passed by the Tribunal in the O.A.

4. Issue notice to respondents in M.A. No. 374/2023, returnable on 21.9.2023.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

::-2-::

**O.A. NO. 677/2023
WITH M.A. NO. 374/2023**

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 21.9.2023.

10. The interim relief granted earlier in O.A. to continue till then.

11. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 299/2023
(Mayur M. Mahajan Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)
WITH
MISC. APPLICATION ST. 909/2023
(Shivprasad B. Khedkar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors. and
Mayur M. Mahajan)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 in O.A. / applicant in M.A.

2. Learned counsel has tendered pursis under the signature of the applicant, wherein the applicant has sought leave to withdraw the present O.A. Learned counsel has also put her signature below the said pursis. In view of above, the following order is passed :-

ORDER

(i) Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

::-2-::

**O.A. NO. 299/2023 WITH
M.A. ST. 909/2023**

(ii) Since Original Application itself stood disposed of as withdrawn, M.A. St. No. 909/2023 filed by Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for adding respondent no. 3 by name party in O.A. does not survive and it also stands disposed of.

(iii) No order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 767/2023
(Krishna S. Borde Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 768/2023
(Appasaheb S. Abak Vs. State of Mah. & Ors. and
Mayur M. Mahajan)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and S/shri V.R. Bhumkar & I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective matters.

2. Since in both the matters identical issues are involved, I have heard both the applications together on the point of interim relief and deem it appropriate to pass a common interim order in both these matters.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that vide order dated 20.6.2023 recovery for amount of Rs. 6,32,268/- is directed against the applicant in O.A. No. 767/2023 and recovery for the amount of

::-2-::

O.A. NOS. 767 & 768 BOTH OF 2023

Rs. 6,14,142/- is directed against the applicant in O.A. no. 768/2023. Learned counsel submitted that on wrong interpretation of the provisions, recovery has been directed against the applicants. Learned counsel submits that even no opportunity of hearing was given to the applicants before passing such orders. Learned counsel further submitted that all the relevant documents are placed on record showing that the advance increment, which was granted in favour of the applicants, was duly granted in their favour and as such learned counsel has prayed for staying the effect and operation of the impugned orders till the decision of O.As. Learned counsel submitted that the respondents have already recovered 2 instalments from the salary paid to the applicants for the months of June, 2023 and July, 2023.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has opposed for grant of any such interim relief. Learned P.O. submitted that the entire basis for passing such order is provided in the order itself and if the concerned Circular perused, no prima-facie fault can be noticed on part of the respondents. The learned

P.O., therefore, opposed for grant of interim relief and has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply.

5. I have gone through the documents placed on record. At this juncture no final conclusion can be drawn as about the illegality of the orders so passed. The only aspect needs to be taken into consideration, which is revealed from the record that the impugned orders have been passed without giving any notice to the applicants and without hearing them before the order is passed. The applicants have submitted representations, however, the same are not yet considered by the respondents. Hence, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 4.10.2023. **Till then respondents are restrained from carrying out any more recovery on the basis of the impugned orders from the applicants.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

::-4-::

O.A. NOS. 767 & 768 BOTH OF 2023

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 4.10.2023.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 634/2023
(Dr. Bhushan B. Rao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent no. 6.

2. S.O. to 25.8.2023.

3. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A. ST. 1649/2023 IN O.A. ST. 1650/2023
(Vitthal G. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. 1650 OF 2023
(Vitthal G. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The office has raised an objection and refused to register the O.A. for want of the complete address of respondent nos. 3 to 38. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that despite making due efforts the applicants could not get complete addresses of the aforesaid respondents and as such no such addresses have been mentioned of the respective respondents. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicants are, therefore, in the Original Application itself have prayed for service of the notice in the present application on respondent nos. 3 to 38 through the respondent no. 2 i.e. the Maharashtra Public Service Commission (for short

the MPSC). Learned counsel pointed out that the applicants have requested the MPSC vide 2 separate letters prior to filing of the present O.A. seeking addresses from the MPSC of the aforesaid respondents, however, till date there is no response from the MPSC. Learned counsel, in the circumstances, has prayed for service of respondent nos. 3 to 38 through MPSC. Learned counsel has relied upon sub-rule 3 of rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. Learned counsel further submitted that having regard to the fact that the MPSC has forwarded the list thereby recommending 531 candidates on the subject post, there is every apprehension that at any point of time the Government may issue orders of appointment in favour of the said candidates. In the circumstances, learned counsel submitted that if the applicants are asked to wait till the addresses of the aforesaid respondents are collected, the very purpose of filing of O.A. and seeking interim relief therein will get frustrated.

3. It appears to me that only on this count the O.A. cannot be refused registration or this Tribunal

may not decline to hear the applicants for their prayer for interim relief on the aforesaid ground. The directions can be given to the MPSC to provide Email address of respondent Nos. 3 to 38 by tomorrow.

4. Learned P.O. submits that he will communicate the order to the MPSC and will ensure that Email addresses of these respondents are received by tomorrow. Names of the respondent nos. 3 to 38 be emailed to the MPSC by the learned P.O. today itself for facilitating MPSC to provide email addresses of said respondents. Hence, the following order :-

ORDER

(i) Learned counsel for the applicants shall forthwith provide names of respondent nos. 3 to 38 to learned Presenting Officer.

(ii) Learned Presenting Officer on receipt of such names from the learned counsel for the applicants email said names to the MPSC.

::-4-::

O.A. ST. 1650 OF 2023

(iii) MPSC shall provide the email addresses of the said candidates on the email address of Chief Presenting Officer, M.A.T., Aurangabad.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 24.08.2023

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. 1650 OF 2023
(Vitthal G. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has sought leave to place on record 2 documents as Annex. 13 and Annex. 14. Annex. 13 is Objection List No. 01, which, according to the applicants, pertains to the names of the candidates, who belong to reserved category, but have been recommended against the seats meant for Open General candidates despite their availing age and fees relaxations. Annex. 14 is titled as Objection List No. 02 and according to the applicants it contains the names of the candidates belonging to reserved class, whose names may be required to be deleted from the list of recommended candidates in the event the candidates in the

Objection List No. 01 are shifted to their respective categories. Leave granted as prayed for.

3. The applicants belong to Open category and possess qualification of LL.B. Some of them are possessing higher qualification of LL.M and Ph.D. All the applicants claim to be practicing as Advocates at different places. The applicants further claim that they possess required educational qualification, as well as, prescribed experience of practicing as Advocate required for to be appointed to the post of Assistant Public Prosecutors (for short, APPs) under Home Department of State Government. MPSC on 07.01.2022 had published an advertisement for 547 posts of APPs in the State of Maharashtra. Out of 547 posts, 212 have been earmarked for the Open category of which 137 posts are for Open-General category, 64 and 11 posts were respectively earmarked for the Open-Female and Open-Sports categories. Likewise, different number of posts have been shown to be reserved for various vertical / social reservation categories along with horizontal reservation. Additionally 5 posts are specially earmarked for the candidates falling in the category

::-3-::

O.A. ST. 1650 OF 2023

of Orphan. For the category of differently abled persons, 22 posts have been reserved.

4. All these applicants had applied for the subject post and also have undergone selection process i.e. they have appeared for written examination, as well as, interview. MPSC on 21.07.2023 published the general merit list comprising of candidates, who have secured minimum 41% or more marks in the interview. Names of the applicants are existing in the said list. On 10.08.2023, MPSC published the list of candidates 'eligible for recommendation'. It is the grievance of the applicants that said list has been prepared erroneously and there are several discrepancies in the said list. The applicants have raised several objections in respect of the list so published.

5. Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that when 137 posts have been earmarked for the candidates falling in Open General category, the MPSC has included only 114 candidates from the Open General category. Learned counsel submitted that there is no explanation from the side of MPSC why names of

all 137 Open General candidates are not declared. Learned counsel further pointed out that when a merit list consists the names of 1159 candidates and total 547 posts are to be filled in MPSC has not disclosed why it has recommended only 531 candidates. Learned counsel further submitted in the advertisement published on 07.01.2022 and more particularly in clause 5.11 thereof, it has been clarified that since the candidates falling in reserved class would also liable to be considered to be selected from the Open General category on the basis of their merit provided they satisfy that they comply with age limit and other criteria prescribed for the Open General category candidates, in their applications such candidates shall accurately mention about their reserved class.

6. Learned counsel for the applicants further submitted that the list of the 'Recommended Candidates' published by the MPSC contains the names of 52 candidates coming from the reserved class, which are shown to have been recommended against the seats meant for the candidates belonging to 'Open General' category though they are not

satisfying the requirement as prescribed in clause 5.11 of the advertisement. Learned counsel submitted that the names of such candidates are mentioned in Objection List-1. Learned counsel submitted that though these 52 candidates belong to reserved category and though while applying for the subject post, pursuant to the advertisement they have taken benefit of relaxation in the age, as well as in fees, their names have been recommended against Open General candidates. Learned counsel submitted that their names could not have been recommended against Open General category though they might have secured meritorious position. Learned counsel submitted that as because the names of such candidates are included in the list of recommended candidates against Open General candidates, that many of 52 Open General candidates have lost opportunity of to be recommended for the subject posts. Learned counsel further pointed out that if the names of these 52 candidates are deleted from the list of 'Open General' and are shifted to their respective reserved category or class, the candidates whose names are mentioned in objection list-2 would suffer

deletion of their names from the list of recommended candidates.

7. Learned counsel submitted that having considered the facts as aforesaid the applicants have impleaded some of such candidates as respondents in the present matter. Learned counsel further submitted that the list of recommended candidates as declared by the MPSC carries some more 'errors'. Total 21 posts are shown to be reserved for differently abled candidates but, MPSC has recommended names of only 11 such candidates. Similarly there is no mention as about recommendation of Orphan candidates for whom 05 seats are reserved. Learned counsel further submitted that waiting list has also not been published. Learned counsel submitted that if the candidates who are wrongly included in the list of open general candidates, are appointed by respondent No. 1, corresponding number of candidates from open general category would be deprived of their legitimate claim. Learned counsel further submitted that if the names of the candidates in objection list-1 are shifted to their

respective categories, then the candidates whose names are enlisted in objection list-2 will have to be deleted from the list of recommended candidates.

8. Learned counsel further submitted that having regard to the serious discrepancies and gross errors, as have been committed by the MPSC in preparing the list of recommended candidates, it would be in the interest of all the concerned that the MPSC is restrained from implementing the said list and is directed to cancel the said list and prepare a fresh correct list by considering the objections as are raised in the present O.A. Learned counsel submitted that the MPSC since has already recommended names of 531 candidates to the State Government, at any time the Government is likely to issue appointment orders in favour of the said candidates. Learned counsel in the circumstances sought directions against respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to restrain them from taking any further steps on the basis of the 'list of candidates – eligible for recommendation' dated 10.8.2023 including the step of issuance of appointment orders as APPs on the basis thereof.

9. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer has opposed for grant of interim relief as has been prayed by the applicants. Learned P.O. has placed on record 2 documents, one is standing order No. 6 issued by the MPSC on 11.06.2019 and another is the communication received to the MPSC from the Government as about the manner to be adopted for filling the posts reserved for differently abled candidates and alike if sufficient number of candidates from the said category do not become available. Referring to the said 2 documents, learned P.O. submitted that vide standing order the MPSC has already resolved to consider the candidates from reserved category irrespective of their taking benefit of age relaxation etc. for their consideration as open general candidates if they fall in the order of merit. Learned P.O. submitted that in light of the aforesaid standing order *prima facie* no error can be noticed on part of the MPSC if the names of the reserved class candidates had been shown against the open general category candidates on the basis of merits secured by these candidates. Learned P.O. submitted that all other details would also be placed on record by the MPSC and he has,

therefore, sought two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the MPSC and the State Government. Learned P.O. further submitted that in no case further process of recruitment can be restrained on the grounds canvassed by the applicants. Learned P.O. submitted that even from pleadings it appears that objection may be to the appointment of the candidates whose names are mentioned in objection list-1 and objection list-2 total number of whom is 104. He, therefore, prayed rejecting prayer for interim relief.

10. I have duly considered the submissions made on behalf of the applicants and the respondent authorities. I have also gone through the documents on record. It is not in dispute that advertisement was published on 7.1.2022 for recruitment of 547 posts of APPs. Clause 5.11 thereof reads thus: -

“५.११ अराखीव (खुला) उमेदवारांकरीता विहित केलेल्या वयोमर्यादा तसेच इतर पात्रता विषयक निकषासंदर्भातील अटीची पूर्तता करणा-या सर्व उमेदवारांचा (मागासवर्गीय उमेदवारांसाह) अराखीव (खुला) सर्वसाधारण पदावरील शिफारशीकरीता विचार होत असल्याने, सर्व आरक्षित प्रवर्गातील उमेदवारांनी त्यांच्या प्रवर्गासाठी पद आरक्षित / उपलब्ध नसले तरी, अर्जांमध्ये त्यांच्या मूळ प्रवर्गासंदर्भातील माहिती अचूकपणे नमूद करणे बंधनकारक आहे.”

11. Perusal of the aforesaid clause reveals that the candidates from reserved class were liable to be considered on their merit to be recommended from Open General Category provided they have not availed benefits of age relaxation or relaxation in payment of fees etc. available for their caste. Applicants have come out with a specific case that the candidates, whose names are included in the objection list-1, belong to reserved category, but their names are recommended against 'Open General' though they have taken benefit of age relaxation, as well as, relaxation in payment of fees. Since the averments as above are made by the applicants on oath at this juncture there is no reason to discard their averment. It was also pointed out by learned counsel that from the general merit list which has been published by MPSC and which has been placed on record in this matter it can be verified that the candidates falling in reserved category but are shown to be selected as open general candidates are of more age than prescribed for open general category meaning thereby that they have taken benefit of age while making application for the subject post. Further contention raised on

behalf of applicants that if the names of these candidates, total 52 in number are eventually shifted to their respective categories the candidates, who are shown in objection list-2 will have to be necessarily deleted from the list of recommended candidates also carries the substance.

12. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the applicants and the documents placed on record, I am convinced that at least so far as the candidates whose names are mentioned in objection list-1 and objection list-2 are concerned, in the event their order of appointments are issued it would have some repercussion and would prejudicially affect the interest of the applicants who aspire for their names to be included in place of these candidates as because, they claim to be immediate next candidates to the last open general candidate recommended for open general category. Though learned P.O. has referred to standing order number 6 issued on 11.6.2019 by the MPSC, it cannot be lost sight of that the MPSC itself in the advertisement published on 7.1.2022 has included clause 5.11. Learned counsel for the applicants pointed out that even in

the advertisement issued in the year 2021 similar restrictions were there in the advertisement published by the MPSC.

13. Learned counsel for the applicants in his arguments initially though has prayed for an interim direction, thereby restraining the respondents from making appointments on the basis of the list of recommended candidates published by the MPSC, during the course of the arguments he has conceded that he is not insisting for blanket stay and insisted for an order seeking direction against the respondents restraining them from issuing order of appointments to 104 candidates whose names are included in objection list-1 and objection list-2. Admittedly, names of all those 104 candidates are not impleaded as respondents in the present matter. However, such 38 candidates are impleaded as respondents. As has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicants they can be treated as representatives of all those 104 candidates. There is substance in the apprehension expressed on behalf of the applicants that if order of appointments are issued to the candidates, whose names are there in

objection list-1, as well as, objection list-2, there would be some more complications. However, barring those 104 candidates the respondents would be free to make appointments of remaining 427 candidates from out of the list of the recommended candidates. Moreover, restraining order would be ad-interim order for a temporary period till the affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of the respondents and opportunity will be with the respondents to oppose for making ad-interim relief absolute. Though, the list of recommended candidates has been published on 10.8.2023, till today no appointment orders are issued by respondent no. 1. No grave prejudice would be caused if for next few days respondents are temporarily restrained from issuing appointment orders to 104 disputed candidates. I reiterate that there is no hurdle for issuing the appointment orders to remaining 427 candidates.

14. In the circumstances, I am inclined to pass the following order :-

::-14-::

O.A. ST. 1650 OF 2023

O R D E R

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 8.9.2023. **Till then respondents are temporarily restrained from issuing order of appointments in favour of the candidates, whose names are there in objection list-1 and objection list-2, Annex. 13 and 14 with the O.A. Annex. 13 & 14 shall form part of the present order.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 8.9.2023.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

in o.n

Objection list 1

1
Annexure-13

Sr. No.	Reco mma nded List No.	name of candidate	Recommande d Category	obtain ed mark
1	2	Khopade Digambar Chandrakant	Open Gen - 2	173
2	19	Waghmare Sanvidhan Mahadu	Open Gen - 10	159
3	29	Bhamre Bhushan Ramesh	Open Gen - 17	155
4	43	Lavhale Rajesh Pralhadrao	Open Gen - 24	151
5	61	Shivalkar Bankat Prabhu	Open Gen - 35	148
6	71	Pagare Hemant Nana	Open Gen - 41	145
7	74	Jadhav Nitin Arjun	Open Gen - 44	144
8	75	Pharande Sandeep Dattatraya	Open Gen - 45	144
9	76	Nalawade Amol Babu	Open Gen - 46	144
10	80	Bhingardive Nitin Sambhaji	Open Gen - 47	143
11	82	Pore Yogesh Prabhakar	Open Gen - 49	143
12	83	Sonwane Ravikiran Dattatraya	Open Gen - 50	143
13	91	Deshmane Pratik Bharat	Open Gen - 53	142
14	92	Kailas Vitthalrao Verulkar	Open Gen - 54	142
15	102	Kale Bharat Devram	Open Gen - 61	140
16	103	Kakade Prasad Madhukar	Open Gen - 62	140
17	115	Vaidya Pritam Jagdevrao	Open Gen - 68	139
18	120	Awale Abhijit Dilip	Open Gen - 71	139
19	122	Parkote Ateek Ekbal	Open Gen - 72	138
20	126	Dhage Harshvardhan Sopanrao	Open Gen - 74	138
21	130	Phad Nitin Sudhakar	Open Gen - 76	138
22	135	Gawai Vilas Shivaji	Open Gen - 78	137
23	143	Mule Kishor Adinath	Open Gen - 82	136
24	148	Vidhate Anil Balasaheb	Open Gen - 85	136
25	150	Thakre Pravin Rambhau	Open Gen - 86	136

2.

26	151	Kakade Vidhya Govindrao	Open Gen - 87	136
27	154	Andore Abhijit Bansilal	Open Gen - 90	135
28	155	Fuley Vasundhara Anilkumar	Open Gen - 91	135
29	156	Doifode Ajinkya Namdev	Open Gen - 92	135
30	158	Shelke Ashok Bhimrao	Open Gen - 94	135
31	159	Sutar Radha Shivmurti	Open Gen - 95	135
32	160	Khawale Usha Himmatrao	Open Gen - 96	135
33	163	Pawar Sagar Shankar	Open Gen - 99	135
34	164	Amruta Purushottam Wagh	Open Gen - 100	135
35	168	Dhanwat Vijay Rangnath	Open Gen - 104	134
36	169	Jawale Pravin Sudamrao	Open Gen - 105	134
37	170	Kusurkar Vijay Popatrao	Open Gen - 106	134
38	171	Dani Ajay Manohar	Open Gen - 107	134
39	172	Sagar Vishwasrao Mankar	Open Gen - 108	134
40	174	Ghodke Mayur Shantaram	Open Gen - 110	134
41	176	Salunke Jayshree Arun	Open Gen - 112	134
42	177	Pawar Nilam Navnath	Open Gen - 113	134
43	178	Raut Amol Rajeshwar	Open Gen - 114	134
44	179	Suryavanshi Archana Manikrao	Against Open	133
			Sport - 1	
45	180	Sonwane Pallavi Ravikiran	Against Open	133
			Sport - 2	
46	183	Sapkale Manoj Prakash	Against Open	133
			Sport - 5	
47	184	Tribhuvan Roma Laxman	Against Open	133
			Sport - 6	
48	185	Naik Ravi Bansilal	Against Open	133
			Sport - 7	
49	186	Arne Prajkta Balasaheb	Against Open	133
			Sport - 8	
50	187	Katekar Hitesh Gunvant	Against Open	133
			Sport - 9	
51	188	Shaikh Asimahmad A. Basit	Against Open	133
			Sport - 10	
52	189	Dhiware Gopisagar Mansaram	Against Open	133
			Sport - 11	

3.

Objection list 2

Annexure - 14

Sr. No.	Recom mande d List No.	name of candidate	Recommande d Category	obtaine d mark
1	434	Patil Dnyashwar Madhukar	OBC Gen- 68	111
2	431	Dixit Devendra Chandrakant	OBC Gen- 67	111
3	429	Ravindra Rajendra Rokade	OBC Gen- 66	111
4	426	Sagar Prabhakar Deshmukh	OBC Gen- 65	111
5	425	Patil Sarala Vinayak	OBC Gen- 64	111
6	424	Varute Yuvraj Lahu	OBC Gen- 63	111
7	423	Manisha Ravindra Mahalle	OBC Gen- 62	111
8	421	Bhagyawant Parag Ramchandra	OBC Gen- 61	112
9	418	Kondamgire Meera Nivruti	OBC Gen- 60	112
10	417	Kachave Rameshwar Baburao	OBC Gen - 59	112
11	416	Walunj Vrushali Harishchandra	OBC Gen- 58	112
12	415	Kabade Priyanka Sudharak	OBC Gen- 57	112
13	414	Darunkar Vinayak Murlidhar	OBC Gen- 56	112
14	390	Kumbhar Kranti Suresh	OBC Fem - 32	115
15	380	Raut Sarika Sadashiv	OBC Fem - 31	116
16	377	Hirve Shweta Milind	OBC Fem - 30	116
17	373	Ansari Jahanara Dost Mohammad	OBC Fem - 29	116
18	365	Dahikar Shital Vasantrao	OBC Fem - 28	117
19	357	Karare Minakshi Dnyaneshwar	OBC Fem - 27	117
20	345	Gajbhiye Pankaj Subhashrao	SC Gen - 36	118
21	337	Chandane Pradnya Tukaram	SC Gen - 35	119
22	333	Gaikwad Shila Achutrao	SC Gen - 34	119
23	332	Ubale Kanishka Anil	SC Gen - 33	119
24	330	Gaikwad Manisha Jayprakash	SC Gen - 32	119
25	329	Suryawanshi Deepali Deelip	SC Gen - 31	119
26	327	Gaikwad Dagdu Pandit	SC Gen - 30	120
27	319	Vahane Vishala Lehandas	SC Gen - 29	120
28	318	Gavale Sumedh Pandurang	SC Gen - 28	120
29	317	Supekar Bhalchandra Subhash	SC Gen - 27	120
30	311	Mujmule Vikas Panjabrao	SC Gen - 26	121
31	310	Gopale Sangita Vishwanath	SC Gen - 25	121
32	307	Ghadge Shalini Dnyaneshwar	SC Gen - 24	121
33	305	Shelke Vitthal Vaman	SC Gen - 23	121
34	301	Telang Anuja Anil	SC Gen - 22	122
35	297	Shelar Pradnya Mahaved	SC Gen - 21	122
36	294	More Shantaram Sugdeo	SC Gen - 20	123

List of Candidates
In O.A. No. 1650/23

4.

37	277	Wankhade Varsha Ramdas	SC Fem - 18	123
38	269	Paikrao Pradnya Madhukar	SC Fem - 17	124
39	266	Kamble Amurta Anandrao	SC Fem - 16	124
40	261	Gaikwad Anuradha Gautamroa	SC Fem - 15	125
41	258	Karnik Nivedita Bhupal	SC Fem - 14	125
42	487	Pawar Shital Navnath	EWS Gen - 35	102
43	486	Chandratre Kavita Vikas	EWS Gen - 34	102
44	484	Gaikwad Vidhya Gulabrao	EWS Gen - 33	102
45	483	Deshmukh Virendra Vijayrao	EWS Gen - 32	103
46	433	Chavan Archana Kisan	EWS Fem - 16	111
47	430	Patil Rani Rajgonda	EWS Fem - 15	111
48	469	Kendre Pooja Shashikant	NT - D - Fem 04	106
49	407	Hekare Pallavi Namdev	NT - D - Fem 03	112
50	500	Giri Parvti Rakhamaji	NT - B - Gen 11	98
51	480	Rathod Tarasing Pandit	DTA Gen 12	103
52	518	Pandharpatte Pritam Purushottam	SBC Gen 10	86

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2023
(Dr. Suryakant A. Sable Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Aggrieved by the order dated 7.8.2023, whereby respondent No. 1 has suspended the applicant from the service, the applicant has preferred the present Original Application. While working on the post of Civil Surgeon at District Hospital, Beed, the impugned order has been passed against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the reason, which has been assigned for suspending the applicant from the service that the enquiry is contemplated against the applicant in respect of irregularities occurred in recruitment of contractual employees at District Hospital, is absolutely false and untenable. Learned counsel submitted that no allegation can be made against

//2//

O.A. No. 762/2023

the applicant in relation to the alleged recruitment of the contractual employees. Learned counsel pointed out that though the enquiry is alleged to be contemplated against the applicant on the aforesaid ground under lying reason behind passing order of suspension is totally different. Learned counsel submitted that the respondents themselves have brought the said material on record. Learned counsel submitted that copy of communication placed on record by the learned C.P.O. dated 22.8.2023, which is a letter received to the Deputy Director of Health Services, Latur Region, Latur from the Desk Officer, State Government, unambiguously reveal that since the Hon'ble Health Minister, has declared on the floor of house that he has taken a decision to suspend the applicant, that the impugned order has been issued by the State Government.

4. Learned counsel took me through the minutes of the discussion took place in the Assembly, copies of which are placed on record by the applicant. Learned counsel submitted that some allegations seems to have been levelled against the applicant

//3//

O.A. No. 762/2023

and without carrying even a preliminary enquiry into that the announcement has been made by the Hon'ble concerned Minister on the floor of the house. Learned counsel pointed out that three members committee was already constituted to enquire into the matter and in such circumstances, without receiving the report from the said committee, the respondents could not have arrived at any adverse conclusion against the applicant. Learned counsel submitted that realities are otherwise. The work of the applicant being carried out as Civil Surgeon of the District Hospital Beed, has been largely appreciated by all sections of society even by the political persons. Learned counsel submitted in the regime of the applicant several improvements have taken place in the District Hospital at Beed and all sort of treatments are being done and even constitutional authorities i.e. Hon'ble Judges and Ministers have taken treatment in the district hospital at Beed.

5. Learned counsel further submitted that though rule 4 (1) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and appeal) Rules, 1979 vests the

//4//

O.A. No. 762/2023

appointing authority with a power of putting a Government servant under suspension in the event the enquiry is pending against him or is contemplated against him or in the event of registration of any criminal case against the said Government employee, such order cannot be mechanically passed and unless the authority issuing such order is satisfied that without suspending the Government employee, the enquiry into the charges leveled against him would not be fairly conducted, the weapon of putting the Government employee under suspension has not to be used. Learned counsel submitted in the present matter it is apparent that only because the concerned Hon'ble Minister made some announcement on the floor of the house, the applicant has been suspended.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant in support of his arguments has placed reliance on the judgment in **O.A. No. 29/2018 (Shri Sunil Mahadu Saundane Vs. the State of Maharashtra and Others)** delivered by the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai. Learned counsel taking me through the said

//5//

O.A. No. 762/2023

judgment and more particularly paragraph Nos. 14 to 16 submitted that case of the present applicant is identical with the facts, which are involved in the present matter. Learned counsel submitted that similar treatment deserves to be given to the applicant in the present matter. Learned counsel for the applicant has also placed reliance on the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **State of Orissa through its Principal Secretary, Home Department Vs. Bimal Kumar Mohanty, (1994) 2 SCR 51**. Learned counsel invited my attention to the following observations made by the Hon'ble Apex Court: -

“ It is thus settled law that normally when an appointing authority or the disciplinary authority seeks to suspend an employee, pending inquiry or contemplated inquiry or pending investigation into grave charges of misconduct or defalcation of funds or serious acts of omission and commission, the order of suspension would be passed after taking into consideration the gravity of the misconduct sought to be inquired into or investigated and the nature of the evidence placed before the appointing authority and on application of the mind by disciplinary authority. Appointing authority or disciplinary authority should consider the above aspects and decide whether it is expedient to keep an employee under suspension pending aforesaid action. It would not be as an administrative routine

//6//

O.A. No. 762/2023

or an automatic order to suspend an employee. It should be on consideration of the gravity of the alleged misconduct or the nature of the allegations imputed to the delinquent employee.”

Learned counsel submitted that aforesaid aspect appears conspicuously absent in the present matter. Learned counsel, in the circumstances insisted for grant of interim relief as has been prayed by the applicant.

7. Learned Chief Presenting Officer at the first juncture sought time to file affidavit in reply to the O.A. of the applicant. Learned C.P.O. further submitted that considering the documents, which are placed on record, no case can be said to be made out by the applicant for grant of any interim relief. Learned C.P.O. pointed out that Courts have consistently taken a view that it is prerogative of the disciplinary authority to put the Government employee under suspension, if the departmental enquiry is contemplated against him. Learned C.P.O. referring to the judgment of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the case of **Machhindra Pandurang Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors., 1989 Mh. L.J. 505**, submitted

//7//

O.A. No. 762/2023

that opportunity of hearing at the stage of issuing order under rule 4 (1) M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 is unwarranted. Learned C.P.O. submitted that such order cannot be interfered at the interim stage, even if Courts or Tribunals reaches to the conclusion that the charge / ground, which has mentioned for contemplated disciplinary enquiry, is insufficient. Learned C.P.O. further submitted that unless entire material comes on record, it would be unjust to pass any order at the interim stage. He therefore, prayed for rejecting the interim relief.

8. Learned C.P.O. further submitted that the respondents would within 10 days submit/file affidavit in reply to the O.A. and the matter therefore, can be taken up for final disposal. However, unless entire material is there before the Tribunal, it would be unjust and improper to arrive at conclusion at the interim stage.

9. On such statement being made on behalf of the State authorities, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that while maintaining the request for

//8//

O.A. No. 762/2023

interim relief, the applicant alternatively submits that if the Tribunal accepts the request of the respondents to take the matter for final disposal after the affidavit in reply is filed by the respondents, till then the respondents be restrained from making appointment on the post of Civil Surgeon, Beed. Learned C.P.O. has opposed the said request also.

10. I have duly considered the submissions made on behalf of the applicant, as well as, respondents. Perusal of the impugned order reveals that the applicant has been suspended in contemplation of the departmental enquiry into the irregularities, which had occurred in recruitment of contractual employees at District Hospital, Beed. Rule 4(1) of the M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 reads thus: -

“4. Suspension .-(1) *The appointing authority or any authority to which the appointing authority is subordinate or the disciplinary authority or any other authority empowered in the behalf by the Governor by general or special order may place a Government servant under suspension-*

(a) *where a disciplinary proceeding against him is contemplated or is pending, or*

//9//

O.A. No. 762/2023

- (b) *where in the opinion of the authority aforesaid, he has engaged himself in activities prejudicial to the interest of the security of the State, or*
- (c) *where a case against him in respect of any criminal offence is under investigation, inquiry or trial:*

Provided that, where the order of suspension is made by an authority lower than the appointing authority, such authority shall forthwith report to the appointing authority, the circumstances in which the order was made.”

11. Mere perusal of the aforesaid rule would mean that the disciplinary authority has right to put the employee under suspension, if the departmental enquiry is contemplated against him. It is contended on behalf of the applicant that mere contemplation of departmental enquiry may not be enough and there must be some more material before the appointing or disciplinary authority so as to reach to the conclusion that unless the concerned Government employee is put under suspension, no fair enquiry would be conducted into the charges leveled against the said employee. As mentioned hereinabove reliance is placed by the learned counsel for the applicant on the judgment of the

//10//

O.A. No. 762/2023

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **State of Orissa through its Principal Secretary, Home Department Vs. Bimal Kumar Mohanty** (cited supra). Reliance is also placed on the judgment delivered by this Tribunal at Mumbai in **O.A. No. 29/2018 (cited supra)**.

12. I have gone through both the above judgments and also perused the provisions under the M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979. It is transpired that the conclusions are recorded by the Tribunal after the pleadings were complete and after hearing both sides. In the present matter admittedly, notice has not yet been issued but to oppose the prayer made by the applicant for interim relief, some documents / material has been placed on record by the respondents. As noted by me, learned C.P.O. has firstly sought time to bring on record the relevant documents along with affidavit in reply. At this juncture, it appears to me that order of suspension can be stayed at the interim stage, if the Tribunal is in a position to record that the order of suspension has been passed on the charge, which is groundless. It is difficult to record any such finding

//11//

O.A. No. 762/2023

in the present matter. Even from the material on record and the submissions as are made on behalf of the applicant, allegations seems to be made in respect of recruitment of the contractual employees. Whether the allegations are tenable, sustainable or false can only be decided after entire material comes on record. The documents on record, however, sufficiently indicate that the decision of suspending the applicant was first declared on the floor of Assembly by the Hon'ble Minister. To what extent the aforesaid announcement would affect the impugned order would also depend on what material comes on record through the affidavit in reply of the respondents.

13. In the above circumstances, I am not inclined to accept the request of the applicant to stay the order of suspension till decision of the present Original Application. On the contrary, the suggestion which has been given by the learned C.P.O. to hear and decide the present matter finally appears more acceptable. Learned counsel for the applicant has then pressed the alternate prayer. As has been submitted by the learned counsel, till this

//12//

O.A. No. 762/2023

date the entire career of the applicant is unblemished; on the contrary he had always received the appreciation and praises wherever he worked and even at the present place. It has also been submitted that the order of suspension has damaged the reputation and unblemished career of the applicant. It has also been contended that the applicant is sure that even after the affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of the respondents, no such material is likely to come on record, which may justify the order of suspension.

14. If the matter proceeds as has been agreed by the parties meaning thereby if the affidavit in reply is filed by the respondents on or before 5.9.2023 and the matter is kept for final disposal on 6 or 7th September, 2023, before 11.9.2023 the present matter can be disposed of finally. Till today no one has been appointed on the post of Civil Surgeon at District Hospital, Beed. It does not appear to me that if such an appointment is not made for the next 15 days, the administration of District Hospital, Beed would collapse. In the emergent circumstances, if such appointment is required to be

//13//

O.A. No. 762/2023

made, the same can be made subject to outcome of the present litigation.

15. In the circumstances as above, following order is passed: -

ORDER

(i) Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 5.9.2023. As stated by the learned C.P.O., the respondents are deemed to have been served. **The respondents shall positively file affidavit in reply on the given date and place on record all relevant documents in support of their contention. Till then the respondents are temporarily restrained from making appointment on the post of Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Beed. It is clarified that in the emergent circumstances if the respondents are required to make such appointment, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present Original Application.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

//14//

O.A. No. 762/2023

(iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 05.09.2023.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A.NO.03/2023 (W.P.NO.13847/2021)
(Kapil Gujar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A.NO.04/2023 (W.P.NO.3935/2021)
**(Gramvikas Shaskiya Kantrati Karmachari Sanghatna Through
its President & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

T.A.NO.05/2023 (W.P.NO.4762/2020)
(Vinayak Ware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A.NO.06/2023 (W.P.NO.4765/2020)
(Sunil Suresh Ubale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors)

T.A.NO.07/2023 (W.P.NO.5233/2020)
(Pralhad K. Ugale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A.NO.08/2023 (W.P.NO.5591/2020)
(Pravin Hadade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A.NO.09/2023 (W.P.NO.5588/2020)
(Sonali Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A.NO.10/2023 (W.P.NO.5592/2020)
(Surekha Wani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A.NO.11/2023 (W.P.NO.7243/2020)
**(Maharashtra State Contractual Employees Federation, Through
its working President Sachin S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maha.& Ors.)**

T.A.NO.16/2023 (W.P.NO. 5776/2021)
(Gajanan N. Tehare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to
non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 24.08.2023

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Rahul M. Jade holding for Dr. Suresh Mane
(T.A.04/23), Shri S.P. Sonwane, learned Advocate
holding for Shri S.S. Thombre (T.A. 8/23 & 10/23), Shri
Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen (T.A.06/23 & 16/23), Shri
Sachin S. Deshmukh (T.A.05/23) and Shri S.S.Jadhavar
(T.A.09/23) respective learned counsel for respective
applicants, are present.

//2//

Shri Abhijit S. More (T.A.03/23), Shri S.A.Nagargoje (T.A.07/23) and Shri Mahadev Chaudhari (T.A. 11/23), respective learned counsel for respective applicants, are **absent**.

Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Umesh Mote, learned Advocate for respondent Zilla Parishad and Shri R.R. Bangar, learned counsel for respondent No. 1 in T.A. 03/23, 07/2023 & 16/23, are present.

2. Since the Division Bench is not available, the present matters cannot be taken up for hearing.

3. S.O. to 20-09-2023 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN