

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.265 of 2021 (FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">A.B. Nyaynit .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri A.B. Nyaynit, Applicant-in-Person is absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Matter is taken up on today's board for speaking to the minutes to correct some inadvertent mistake in para. 10 of Clause 'b' of order dated 24.11.2021. The said para. is as under:-           <div style="margin-left: 40px;"> <p>“b) Matter is remanded back to Respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the Applicant medical / commuted leave afresh on the basis of sick note issued by Nagpada Police Station. He is also at liberty to call for record from Nagpdada Police Hospital to verify the ailment / treatment of the Applicant.”</p> </div> </li> <li>3. In above para inadvertently it is mentioned as sick note in place of medical certificate and Nagpada police station is referred in place of Nagpada Police Hospital. The word 'sick note' be corrected as 'medical certificate' and word Nagpada Police 'Station' be corrected as Nagpada Police 'Hospital'.</li> <li>4. Correction accordingly be made.</li> </ol> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.265 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. Nyaynit .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B. Nyaynit, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 20.01.2021 issued by Office of Respondent No.2 thereby rejecting his request of grant of medical / commuted leave from 27.07.2017 to 27.09.2017 for 63 days.</p> <p>3. The Applicant contends that he was suffering from jaundice, and therefore, could not attend duty. He was attached to Malwani Police Station. He has produced station dairy extract (pg. 13 of P.B.) wherein it is stated that on 27.07.2017 the Applicant had informed to the Police Station on telephone that he is unwell and taking treatment in Nagpada Police Hospital. Police Station Malwani therefore issued sick pass to his brother. Thus, this entry in Police dairy makes it quite clear that the Applicant was unwell and he was given sick pass too.</p> <p>4. The Applicant has also produced fitness certificate issued by Police Hospital Nagpada 27.09.2017 certifying his fitness for duty (page 22 of P.B.)</p> <p>5. A.C.P., Malwani had also recommended for grant of medical / commuted leave to the Applicant as seen from page 18 of P.B.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Despite aforesaid position, Respondent No.2 rejected leave solely on the ground that he has not produced any medical record which is contrary to the hospital record.

7. Indeed, before passing any such order Respondent No.2 ought to have seen sick pass given by Malwani Police Station and should have verified the record from Nagpada Police Hospital but without doing any such fair exercise he simply rejected the Application.

8. As such impugned order is arbitrary and unsustainable in law and liable to be quashed.

9. Matter needs to be remanded to Respondent No.2 to decide the request of the Applicant a fresh in light of sick pass and other documents.

10. In view of above, following order is passed.

a) Impugned order dated 20.01.2021 is quashed and set aside.

b) Matter is remanded back to Respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the Applicant medical / commuted leave afresh on the basis of sick note issued by Nagpada Police Station. He is also at liberty to call for record from Nagpada Police Hospital to verify the ailment / treatment of the Applicant.

c) The Applicant be also given opportunity to produce documents before passing order.

d) Above exercise be completed within two months from today.

e) No order as to costs.

\*  
Corrected as per order dated  
14.03.2022.

*A.P. Kurhekar*  
14-3-2022

I/C Registrar  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Mumbai.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.11.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.673 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Bombe</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 and 2, Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4. Respondent No.5 though served but absent.</li><li>2. Learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.1.</li><li>3. Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 has already filed.</li><li>4. Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li><li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</li><li>6. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>7. S.O. to 06.12.2021.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.484 of 2021 in M.A.No.485 of 2021 in O.A.No.424 of 2021</p> <p>P. P. Kashid <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. S. Iyer, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These M.As are filed for condonation of delay and to restore the O.A. which was dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order dated 09.07.2021. O.A. was returnable on 06.08.2021. The Applicant failed to file affidavit of service, and therefore, it was dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. O.A. was filed for appointment on compassionate ground but came to be dismissed in default. Therefore, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to restore O.A. subject to cost.</p> <p>4. M.A.Nos.484 &amp; 485/2021 are allowed subject to cost of Rs.2000/- to be paid in the office within seven days.</p> <p>5. If the cost is paid, the O.A. be listed for admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 24.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A.No.41 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>E. B. Sayyed</b> <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Reply is already filed to M.A. and O.A. but later Applicant has amended O.A. to which reply is not yet filed by the Respondents.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is lastly granted to file reply to amendment carried out in O.A. so that O.A. and M.A. can be heard and decided together.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>  Sd/-    <sup>v</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.412 of 2021 in O.A.No.781 of 2021</p> <p>S. A. Dmello <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawashi holding for Ms P. H. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A.No.821 of 2021</p> <p>P. J. Jadhav <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.724 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. Deokar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Pravin Padave, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.736 of 2021</p> <p>V. D. Wakhare <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.742 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. Wavre <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.773 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. G. Kadam .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 794 of 2021</p> <p>D. F. Fernandes &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.11.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.809 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>N. J. Koli</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. O.A. is filed for challenging suspension order dated 23.09.2021.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 22.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.507 of 2021 in O.A.No.809 of 2021</p> <p>N. J. Koli <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. By this M.A., the Applicant seeks permission to produce on record the representations.</li> <li>3. O.A. is filed for challenging suspension order dated 23.09.2021.</li> <li>4. Allowed to file representations in O.A. It be annexed in O.A. and pagination be done.</li> <li>5. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.782 of 2021</p> <p>J. P. Shirsat <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawashi holding for Ms P. H. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. is filed along with application for condonation of delay in M.A.No.413/2021 which is allowed today.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 22.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.413 of 2021 in O.A.No.782 of 2021</p> <p>J. P. Shirsath <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawashi holding for Ms P. H. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 4 months and 15 days caused in filing O.A. which is filed for appointment on compassionate ground.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the name of the Applicant No.1 was added in the waiting list after the death of her husband but it came to be deleted on attaining the age of 45 years, and therefore, request made by Applicant No.2 Mr. Omkar for substitution which was also came to be rejected.</p> <p>4. As such, considering the aim and object for appointment on compassionate ground, 4 months delay caused in filing O.A. deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.661 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. Raut .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.711 of 2019</p> <p>M.N. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he want to amend O.A. and sought time to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.11.2021

O.A.No.927 of 2021

Shri Suresh J. Khairnar ....Applicant  
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 21.09.2021 whereby he was informed that his retiral dues cannot be paid until the decision of the criminal case which is pending against him.
3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.2.2013 but some of the retiral benefits have been withheld because of pendency of criminal case.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2021.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 22.12.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 24.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.922 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.G. Choudhari</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 29.10.2021 whereby he was directed to vacate the service quarter and to pay penal charges for unauthorized occupation.</li> <li>3. The Applicant's father was Police Head Constable and died in harness on 20.05.2021 due to COVID-19. Thereafter the Applicant had applied for appointment on compassionate ground and accordingly he is appointed on the post of Police Constable, presently he is under training.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2021.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. In the mean time it is advisable that Applicant should apply for transfer of service quarter in his name which will be dealt with by competent authority.</p> <p>11. In view of above, no coercive of action should be taken against the Applicant till next date.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 22.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.739 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.S. Shelke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was taken up for hearing yesterday and adjourned for tomorrow to appraise the Tribunal as to why the posting order is not issued to Kolhapur as per the documents produced by the Applicant yesterday which is marked by letter 'X'. In the said documents the Applicant is proposed to be posted at Kolhapur but in remark column it is mentioned that matter is pending in M.A.T.</p> <p>3. Thus, it appears that posting order is not issued because of pendency of matter in the Tribunal infact it should not have been hurdle for issuance of such order since the Applicant is ready to go to Kolhapur.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant when asked about the source of document marked by letter 'X' he stated that the client uploaded from Facebook account of Shri Sanjay Pandey, Director General &amp; Inspector General of Police.</p> <p>5. Today learned P.O. submits that it is not final and there is no approval of it by P.E.B.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The question comes, when transfer order is not officially issued in terms of Rules how this information is uploaded on Facebook account. It needs to be explained by Respondent.</p> <p>7. Respondent is therefore directed to explain this situation and to file Affidavit by 26.11.2021.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 26.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 908 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. Hembade ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 09.11.2020 whereby medical claim for ailment of his son has been rejected to Rs.6 Lacks instead of actual cost of treatment of Rs.18,10,900/-.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 22.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. Kakad <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 is already filed.</li> <li>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.316 of 2021 in O.A.No.658 of 2021</p> <p>P. R. Kshirsagar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawashi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.282 of 2021 in O.A.No.552 of 2021 With M.A.No.283 of 2021 in O.A.No.553 of 2021</p> <p>K. T. More P. G Kulkarni <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply in M.A. as well O.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.590 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. Kale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. Naik &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Since the pleading is complete, the matter is admitted for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.696 of 2021</p> <p>V. V. Gaikwad <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.11.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.916 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A. S. Taware</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the impugned communication dated 30.09.2021 issued by the Government Pleader, High Court (Appellate Side), Mumbai whereby request of the Applicant for regularization on the post of Clerk-cum-Typist has been rejected. The Applicant's claim is primarily based upon the decision rendered by this Tribunal recently in <b><i>O.A.No.1105/2016 (Shri Arvind Rane &amp; Ors. v/s Govt. Pleader, Bombay High Court (Original Side), Mumbai &amp; Anr.), dated 21.09.2021.</i></b> The Applicant's claim to be similarly situated person and entitled for the benefit of the said decision, he also made representation to Respondent No.1 which is competent authority to issue appropriate order but the same is not decided and therefore the Applicant has filed present O.A.</p> <p>3. Indeed, if Applicant is similarly situated person then he certainly entitled for the benefit of the decision rendered by this Tribunal being settled principle in service law. The Respondent No.1, therefore, ought to have decided the representation dated 06.10.2021 but it is kept pending.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2021. <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 22.12.2021.

11. In the meantime, the Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation dated 06.10.2021 and should apprise the Tribunal about the decision on representation by next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 24.11.2021**

**O.A.No.688 of 2013**

H.A.R. Kazi .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Chief learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that Hon'ble Member(A), Ms. Medha Gadgil had handled the present matter while she was Principal Secretary, Home Department during the year 2011 and also 2013. There is a communication dated 14.01.2011 addressed to her from the office of C.P.O. as during the said period she was posted as Principal Secretary, Home Department.

3. In view of above, in the interest of justice Member(A) recuses from the matter.

4. This O.A. being of 2013 it is required to be heard on priority basis as the Tribunal has initiated the drive to clear all the old matters. However, at present there is only one Member(A), out of the 2 Members and my sister has recused from the matter. There is no other Member (A) available to proceed with the matter.

5. Learned Advocate prays that the matter can be heard finally by Chairperson sitting singly. However, learned C.P.O. is not willing to give consent to hear the matter sitting singly.

6. In view of this matter is sine die till another Member(A) is appointed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.82 of 2017</b></p> <p>D.K. Khairnar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Bhavana Khichi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, leaned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 to 14.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that the matter is to be expeditiously heard. However, after 2020 the first time this request is made today.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.02.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 469/2012, 682/2013 &amp; 879/2015</u></b></p> <p>Dr A.R Tarale &amp; Ors Dr M.B Deolikar &amp; Ors Dr G.P Wadekar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 682/2013, Shri Rahul Khot a/w Shri N.Y Chavan, learned advocates for the applicants in O.A 879/2015 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission, the word 'pay scale' was substituted by the word 'pay band' and another concept of Grade Pay was introduced. The Respondent-State to explain what is the difference between 'pay scale' and 'pay band' and also the definition of Grade Pay.</p> <p>3. A query was made whether as per Exh. 43, the pay band of Rs. 15600-39100 was paid to Group 'A' or Group 'B' officers as per 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission. Shri Ashok Atra, Joint Secretary, Public Health Department stated that Rs. 15600-39100 with Grade Pay of Rs 5400 is paid as per 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission to Group-A officers.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.11.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 919/2015</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.,T Khade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel or Respondent no. 3 and Smt K.S Gaikwad learned P.O for the Respondents 1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, learned P.O produces letter dated 27.10.2021 from Joint Director, Vocational Education &amp; Training, Nasik and letter dated 28.10.2021 from Superintendent, Vocational Education &amp; Training, Nasik. It is mentioned therein that D.G.T, New Delhi has stopped all the courses of six months of Data Entry Operator since August, 2014. Hence, no such course of 6 months of Data Entry Operator conducted by D.G.T, New Delhi was available for the year 2014-15 in any Industrial Training Institute. It is also stated that Certificate dated 2.11.2015 which is obtained and submitted Respondent no.3 from Success Education Research Ltd. of completion of Data Entry Operator course is not a Government approved course and therefore, the Certificate is not approved.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 has submitted that earlier six months course, though was cancelled or stopped from August, 2014, Respondent no. 3, has completed the course for October, November and December, 2014 conducted by D.G.T, New Delhi. Therefore, the six months course was curtailed to three months course.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to verify whether the course of six months was curtailed to three months and whether it was recognized course and it is conducted by D.G.T, New Delhi. Respondents are directed to file affidavit on this point. There are contrary statement made in the earlier affidavit dated 11.1.2016, filed by the Respondent-State.</p> <p>5. S.O to 1.12.2021. Hamdast.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (J)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 888/2018 with M.A 224/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Shirsath &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks to withdraw the Original Application on the basis of instructions from the applicants.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>4. As Original Application is withdrawn, Misc Application does not survive and is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p><b>M.A 33/2019 in M.A 34/2019 in O.A 213/2018 with M.A 35/2019 in M.A 36/2019 in O .A 214/2018</b></p> <p>A.A Walvekar V.S Suryawanshi ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A 213/2018 and 214/2018, order of issuance of notice was passed. However, notice was not collected within seven days and extended by eight days. However, both the Original Applications were dismissed on 27.3.2018, in view of the conditional order dated 12.3.2018.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the earlier Advocate failed to collect the Notice and therefore, both the O.As were dismissed. When another advocate stepped in and he filed application for condonation of delay in filing application for restoration in both the Original Applications.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the he filed his appearance after taking NOC from the earlier Advocate. Learned counsel submits that there was no fault on the part of the applicants either in the dismissal of the Original Applications or in delay in filing the Misc Application for restoration.</p> <p>5. Hence, he prays that both the Misc Applications be allowed and the Original Applications be restored.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>7. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicants and the reasons stated therein, we allow the application for condonation of delay in both the Applications and also the application for restoration of the Original Applications.</p> <p>8. Misc Applications stand disposed of accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (J) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 213 &amp; 214/2018</u></b></p> <p>A.A Walvekar V.S Suryawanshi ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 10.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>7. S.O to 10.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha/Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 670/2021 with M.A 392/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Chandanwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Ayesha Keshodwala i/b Talekar &amp; Associates learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 670/2021, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A 392/201 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>MB</i> <i>M</i></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>(<i>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</i>) <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 448/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.R Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dilip Bodake, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad , learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 19.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.478 of 2021 in O.A. No.870 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Ishware &amp; 15 Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p style="text-indent: 2em;">Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>24.11.2021</p> </div> </div>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.870 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Ishware &amp; 15 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.11.2021 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.926 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Padhye ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.11.2021. <u>The respondents are directed to take instructions in respect of interim relief.</u></p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.11.2021 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.25 of 2020 in OA No.28/2018 with OA.938/2018</u></p> <p>D.L. Awate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the State has filed Writ Petition No.6963 of 2021 in the Hon'ble High Court and tenders a copy of order dated 17.11.2021 passed in the said writ petition, which read as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>"We are assured by Mr. Dere, learned Advocate for the respondent that the proceedings for initiating action in contempt will not be pressed before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal till 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. In such view of the matter, we accept his request for adjournment and direct listing of this writ petition once again on 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2021, fairly high on board."</i></p> <p>3. Copy of the order is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned to 19.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.11.2021 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.23 of 2021 in O.A. No.238 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.A. Patil ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that they are in the process of filing SLP in the Hon'ble Supreme Court for which permission has been granted by the Law &amp; Judiciary Department.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned to 19.1.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Member (A)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">24.11.2021</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.11.2021</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	24.11.2021	24.11.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
24.11.2021	24.11.2021								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.226 of 2020 in O.A. No.495 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.A. Shaikh &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.11.2021 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.231 of 2020 in O.A. No.218 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.B. Vaidya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant was absent on earlier occasions on 3.9.2021, 17.9.2021, 22.10.2021 when the matter was board and today also he is not present.</p> <p>3. This MA is filed for interim stay.</p> <p>4. Reply is filed in the OA.</p> <p>5. OA is admitted.</p> <p>6. MA will be heard along with OA on 17.1.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.11.2021 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.495 of 2021 in O.A. No.831 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.B. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The OA was dismissed for default on 25.6.2021 and MA for restoration is filed on 15.11.2021. Hence, there is delay of three months in filing MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that the earlier Advocate on record has expired on 5.2.2021 and therefore the matter remained unattended.</p> <p>4. For the reasons mentioned therein delay is condoned and MA for restoration is allowed. OA is restored to file.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 15.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.11.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.11.2021</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.95 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.D. Sakunde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Chairperson</span> 24.11.2021 <span style="margin-left: 100px;">24.11.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.146 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.A. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The subject-matter of this OA is assigned to Single Bench. Hence, the matter be placed before Single Bench.</p> <p align="center">           Sd/-            (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            24.11.2021            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">           Sd/-            (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            24.11.2021         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.270 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.D. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is already sent for training as per order of this Tribunal after verification of his eligibility. Ld. Advocate further submits that the matter can be disposed off.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, now nothing remains in the above OA and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.11.2021 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.667 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Karande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kaustub Gidh holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>