


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 24-11-2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. : N.K. Rajpurohit (Orig Resp)</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge (Orig APPL)</p> <p>C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Order passed in tribunal column in a delay of 7 days caused in filing of RA is condoned</p> <p>Saved</p> | <p>MA.408/17 in RA.19/17 in OA.189/17</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Shri P.B. Bagul ..Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant .</p> <p>2. Accepting the reasons contained in Misc. Application, delay of 7 days caused in filing of RA is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>24-11-2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Ms. Swati Manchekar</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s order passd in tribunal colom Adj. To..... <u>M.A. disposed off</u></p> | <p>24.11.2017</p> <p><u>M.A 502/2017 in O.A No 1102/2017</u></p> <p>Dr A.S Yadav ... Applicant Vs. - The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this Misc Application the applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the M.A, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. M.A disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

24.11.2017

O.A No 1102/2017

Dr A.S Yadav ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant shall secure order of notice from Registry.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 24-11-2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ranjeshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : Ms. Swati Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passd in tribunal column

Adj. To.....

Notice from Registry

Sd/-

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

24.11.2017

O.A No 399/2017

Shri Ramesh N. Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O states that today Shri Madhukar Telang, A.C.F has arrived to give instructions and he is asked to communicate that the Government has decided to contest and he has come with additional affidavit.

3. Learned P.O further states that the officers want to have the affidavit redrafted, and prays for time.

4. In view of request of learned P.O, S.O to 29.11.2017.

DATE: 24-11-2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : Savita Suryavanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passed tribunal column

Adj. To.....

S.O to 29-11-2017

Saxena

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi J.)
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 24-11-2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : A. V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Order passed in tribunal column Adj. To..... contemnors No 2 & 3 corrected as contemnors No 1 & 2</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Saxena</u></p> | <p>C.A. No.52 of 2017 in O.A. No.15 of 2016</p> <p>Shri A.J. Thakare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO has filed an application dated 22.11.2017 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 16.11.2017.</p> <p>3. In para 2 of order passed on 16.11.2017, words "Contemnors No.2 and 3" be corrected as "Contemnors No.1 and 2".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

M.A. No.497 of 2017 in O.A.178 of 2016

With

M.A. No. 496 of 2017 in O.A. 1017 of 2015

Shri S.B. Bagule & Ors. ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. S.O. to 05.01.2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.D. Dhongde

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 05/1/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

24.11.2017**O.A No 1095/2017**

Shri Anil B. Shewale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.12.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered, under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record

8. S.O to 20.12.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman (P.T.O.)

DATE: 24-11-2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : P. Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Swati Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passed in tribunal column

Adj. To

S.O to 20-12-2017

Sgkadh

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1096 of 2017

Shri S.S.Kerle ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B.Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 10.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 10.01.2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM:

Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To:

S.O. to 10/11/18.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

O.A.No.919 of 2017

Shri N.D. Kamble ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that first the applicant to get examine himself from Dr. Yogesh Jain from whom he is taking treatment (page 32) or any other competent doctor and then would press for prayer for re-referring the present application to the competent authority.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2017.

Sd/-

**(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017**

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere~~

Advocates for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~P.O./T.P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To S.O. to 13/12/17.~~

(Signature)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Strat. V.K. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Strat. Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> S.O. to 18/12/17</p> | <p><u>O.A.No.970 /2016</u></p> <p>Mr. B.L. Kandekar ... Applicant Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi holding for Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents 1 to 3. None for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. S.O. to ^{18th} December, 2017 for hearing. In case, the Respondent No.4 would remain absent on that day, the O.A. will be heard in his absence.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>24/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Justice M.T. Joshi</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice - Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. - B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. - Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>50. to 10/1/18.</u> <u>Adj. To</u></p> | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.1101 of 2017</u> with <u>M.A.499 of 2017</u></p> <p>Ms S.K.Gujale ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 11.01.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 10.01.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p style="text-align: left;">vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

O.A.No.1081 of 2017
With
M.A. No.492 of 2017

Shri D.B. Ghalpade & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants makes submission for leave to sue jointly and to how for issuing notices.

3. S.O. to 02.01.2017.

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 2/1/18.

[Signature]

vsm

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1103 of 2017

Shri S.S. Chavan ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 03.01.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.01.2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To. S.O. to 03/11/18.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1104 of 2017

Shri K.R.Jagdale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 11.12.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 11.12.2017.

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 11/12/17.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>24/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Akhil Kupade holding</u> for <u>M. Harit</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. T. Chougule</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. D. <u>14/12/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p> | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.04 /2017</u></p> <p>Mr. M.D. Kasve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Akhil Kupade holding for Mr. M. Harit, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time for compliance of the directions. At his request, S.O. to 14th December, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>24/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. V.K. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 12/12/17</u></p> | <p align="center"><u>O.A.No.498 of 2017</u></p> <p>Smt. S.Y. Lahigyde ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is no force in the application. Though the applicant is seeking selection on the post of Police Constable under open female category (Police Child. In fact, at Exb. 'A', page 10 would show that no such reservation is provided presently in the earlier advertisement. Vide Exb. 'H', page 48, the said reservation was provided in earlier advertisement and as such there is no need in the present advertisement. The applicant has undergone the selection process. She is found to be not selected. In the circumstances, there is no force in the present application.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant for withdrawing the application.</p> <p>4. At her request, S.O. to 12.12.2017 for instructions or for passing necessary order.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Advocate for the Applicant R.M. Kolge Advocate for the Respondents Vs. S. Suryawanshi Advocate for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> S.O. to 28/11/17.</p> | <p><u>O.A.No.878 /2016</u></p> <p>Mr. P.M. Bhokare ... Applicant Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, S.O. to 28th November, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To S.O. to 10/11/18. with {DA 260/16.2 M.A. 22/17}</p> | <p><u>O.A.No.259/2016</u></p> <p>Udyog Sanchanalay Kshetra Sahayak Sanghatana. ... Applicants Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submitted that the Applicants are contemplating to engage another Advocate. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10th January, 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1058 of 2017

Shri M. K. Amritkar & Ors. ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.01.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

8. S.O. to 03.01.2018.

DATE: 24/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Adv. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.T. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 03/1/18.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>24/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Justice M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. A.T. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To M.A. is allowed.</u></p> | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 483 of 2017</u> <u>In</u> <u>O.A.No.1058 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri M. K. Amritkar & Ors. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougale, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>24/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.K. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.T. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. to 4/1/18.</u></p> <p><u>[Signature]</u></p> | <p align="center"><u>O.A.No.911/2015</u></p> <p>Mr. H.M. Chikhale & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougale, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Y.B. Mohite, Sr. Clerk in the office of Commissioner of Police, Pune stated that for want of sufficient grants, the proposal for imparting financial benefits arising out of order passed by this Tribunal in O.As.587 & Ors. on 22.04.2014 requires time. As there are 40 Applicants, the submission made by the learned P.O. appears to be reasonable one.</p> <p>3. In the circumstances, at the request of the learned P.O, S.O. to 4th January, 2018 for compliance of the earlier order.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p> |