

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

24.11.2016

O.A No 1037/2016

Shri P.D Pramanik

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.R Bang, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savit Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Bang states that the Applicant has retired in 2005. However a criminal case was pending against him and he was only getting provisional pension. The Criminal case has since been quashed by the Hon. High Court on 19.3.2015. However, no regular pension has been sanctioned to him. Provisional Pension was paid to him till June, 2016 and after that he has not been paid provisional pension also. He, therefore, prayed for grant interim relief by way of grant of provisional pension.

3. Considering the facts stated above, it appears that the Respondents have been amiss in their duty to ensure that regular pension is paid to the Applicant after criminal case has been quashed by the Hon. High Court more than 1 ½ years back.

4. Learned P.O seek one weeks time to apprise of this Tribunal about the latest position of pending pension case of the Applicant.

5. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 1.12.2016.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O to 1.12.2016.

24/11/16

Coram - H.M. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (VJ)

Adv. V.R. Bang for the
appl.

for the Rpts
smt. S. Suryawanshi

S.O. to 1/12/2016.

MT
DK

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.11/2016

Shri D.N. Kale ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The matter is taken up on the request of the learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre. Shri C.T. Chandratre has filed his Vakalatnama with NOC of the earlier Advocate. It is taken on record.

On request of the learned Advocate, the Original Application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action. No order as to cost.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. **RAJIV AGARWAL**
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri **R. B. MALIK** (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To Allowed to be
withdrawn.

Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____

(R.B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
24.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
24.11.2016

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
<p>DATE: <u>24/11/16.</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Lata Patne</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>M.A. is disposed of.</u> <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>M.A.447/2016 in O.A.1099/2015</u></p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Shri T.A. Jagtap ... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>The learned P.O. seeks leave to withdraw this MA. The MA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of as such with no order as to costs.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 24.11.2016</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2016</td> </tr> </table> <p>(skw)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 24.11.2016	(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2016
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 24.11.2016	(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 24.11.2016				

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.481/2016 in O.A.1095/2016

Shri B.S. Killedar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

(skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
24.11.2016

Adj. to M.A. as Allowed.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1095/2016

Shri B.S. Killedar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 22.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 22nd December, 2016.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 22/12/16.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 24-11/16
Member (J)
24.11.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.882/2016

Shri V.R. Dhumse ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.V. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

If the reply of the GAD is filed just before the hearing is commences, it will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given.

Sur-Rejoinder, if any, must be filed on that day and not thereafter.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.V. Salunkhe

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Applicant

Adj. to Admit. Liberty to mention granted

Admit. Liberty to mention granted

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
24.11.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.811/2016

Shri R.S. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

If the reply of the GAD is filed just before the hearing is commences, it will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given.

Sur-Rejoinder, if any, must be filed on that day and not thereafter.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJ V AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Rejoinder filed by Applicant.~~

Adj. To

Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

(Signature)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
24.11.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

24.11.2016

O.A No 670/2016

Dr C.G Gaikwad ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neeliam Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar files rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 15.12.2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

24/11/2016
cosam- Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (V)g

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar
for the appl.

Ms. H.G. Gohad, P.O. for the
Reps

OA is admitted.

S.O. to 15/12/16.

F.H.
BTE

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

24.11.2016**O.A No 1010/2016**Smt Manda V. Deshmukh ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 22.12.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 22.12.2016.

24/11/16

Coram - Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (V/L)

Adv. M.D. Lonkar for the App.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for
the RespsIssue notice returnable
on 22/12/16.

RJK

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman PTO.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1011/2016

Shri B.S. Killedar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 22.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 22nd December, 2016.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 22/12/16.

(Signature)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 24-11-16

Member (J)

24.11.2016

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.485 & 486 of 2016
In O.A.No.542/2015

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)

V/s.
Shri R. H. Jadhav ... Respondent (Ori. Appl).

These two Misc. Applications can be disposed of by this common order.

No notice is issued hereof and none is necessary.

We have perused the applications and the record and proceedings of the O.A. and heard Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

By an order of 3.3.2016, we accepted the request of Ms. Anita Sonawane, Cooperative Officer, Grade-I through the learned C.P.O. that three months time be granted for compliance *inter-alia* of payment of pensionary dues and fixation of 5th and 6th pay commissions. It is quite clear, therefore, that even as we could have by our own discretion granted time limit by an appropriate order by us but in this matter, we accepted the request on behalf of the respondent and granted three months time. That time expired on 2.6.2016 or at the most on 3.6.2016. From then, till date no steps were taken even to seek any extension of time to comply.

We are told that a pre-action notice of intended contempt came to be served on 15.11.2016 and by coincidence or whatever those applications were presented yesterday that is 23.11.2016.

When a time limit is fixed, we think it goes without saying that it has to be adhered to more particularly, if the time limit was sought by the party itself. Sum and substance of cause or excuse for non-compliance is complicated mathematical exercise involved herein and the cause connected therewith. We are unimpressed. The applicant retired in the year 2002. Our order of 3.3.2016 itself shows that he had brought another O.A. being O.A.No.1072/2013 in relation to completion of some inquiry for which also there was extension of time sought.

It is impossible for us to comprehend why such a move was not made after 3.6.2016 till now even if it is assumed for the sake of arguments that the respondents had some valid ground to seek extension. We are satisfied that we cannot be satisfied about cause assigned and it is not genuine. Therefore, even as we have heard both the applications which are being decided hereby even if we take it, that delay is technically condoned. The extension cannot be and is not being granted.

The first Misc. Application is disposed of and the second Misc. Application hereby stands dismissed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R. B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
24.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
24.11.2016

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : K. S. Gaikwad

holding for N. K. Rajpurohit

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Leave note of

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Advs.

Adj. To MA 485/16 is disposed

of and MA 486/16 is

dismissed.

O.A. Adj. to 5/12/16.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1091/2015

Dr. K.D. Lohite

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This group of Part Heard OAs comes up for hearing to be resumed and after some debate at the Bar, it appears that the Applicant may have to amend the OAs to bring the subsequent events on record. The subsequent event being forwarding of the list of recommended candidates by the MPSC to the Government.

The OA stands adjourned to 1st December, 2016.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL,
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.A. Desai

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To 1/12/16

(Group)

PH
@cey

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
24.11.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
24.11.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>24/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>V.B. Wagh</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>11/12/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.104 of 2016 in C.A.No.16 of 2016 in O.A.No.78 of 2014 (Aurangabad) (M.A.No.419/2015 in C.P.St.1572/2015) with M.A.No.105 of 2016 in O.A.No.78 of 2014 (Aurangabad)</p> <p>The Bhujal Abhiyanta Sanghatana Maharashtra RajyaApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents adjourned to 01.12.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;"> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> </div> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : P. B. Khaire, Id. spl. counsel with Shri K. B. Bhise, P.O. Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Rep.) Shri /Smt. : C. T. Chandratre C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>Adj. To..... 5.1.2017.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.434 of 2016 in O.A.No.788 of 2012 with C.A.No.85 of 2013 in O.A.No.788 of 2012</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Org. Respondents).</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>R.T. PatilRespondent (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel with Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) He has instructions to appear for the Respondents and he waives service for the Respondents.</p> <p>(b) Four weeks time may be granted for filing reply to this application.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.01.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>24/11/2016</u></p> <p><u>CORAM :</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE :</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/12/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.156 of 2014 in O.A.No.818 of 2011</p> <p>A.V. GhumeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri V.D. Raut for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>A week's time may be granted which will enable the State to move, before the Hon'ble High Court, for fixing the date for hearing of Writ Petition.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.12.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </div> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 29/11/16. Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to Ld. P.O. BHT</p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.218 of 2015</p> <p>C.G. GaikwadApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Tribunal had passed order on 26.10.2016 and directed the Applicant to remain present in the office of Deputy Director of Education, where he would be supplied with the copies of pension papers etc.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar for the Applicant states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Applicant had appeared in the office of Deputy Director of Education and met Shri Dinkar Tamkar, Deputy Director of Education.</p> <p>(b) He has even submitted application for confirmation of attendance on 21.11.2016, however, he has not been supplied with the documents.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents was called to states as to whether the order passed on 26.10.2016 is communicated to the Deputy Director of Education, Pune.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that order was communicated through letter dated 21.11.2016 and even copy of order was also forwarded.</p> <p>6. In the background that Deputy Director of Education has failed to co-operate, he is directed to remain present personally on 28.11.2016.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 28.11.2016.</p> <p>8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 24.11.2016.
<p>DATE : <u>24/11/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>Admit Liberty to move for early hearing.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.813 of 2015</p> <p>V.M. Pharande & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Heard. Admitted. Liberty to move for early hearing. 3. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Applicants prays for leave to amend for deleting certain lines contained in prayer clause (a). 4. Leave for amendment as prayed for is granted. 5. Amendment is carried out by learned Advocate forthwith. 6. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents prays for time filing affidavit furtherance to the order passed by this Tribunal on 06.10.2016. 7. Affidavit be filed on or before next date. <p style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>CA is Disposed of.</u> <i>JE</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.124 of 2015 in O.A.No.680 of 2012</p> <p>R.M. SundaraniApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for intervener.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri V.P. Potbhare for the Applicant states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Order is complied with.</p> <p>(b) Applicant is satisfied and has tendered the purses signed by him for withdrawal of application.</p> <p>3. The purses is taken on record. Contempt Application is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> <i>(A.H. Joshi J.)</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>24/11/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>9/1/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.973 of 2015</p> <p>S.S. SawantApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant prays for leave to amend for substitution of memo of O.A., index, synopsis and, if necessary, add annexures because he wants to raise certain crucial averments.</p> <p>3. Leave for substitution of memo, additional annexures, filing of fresh index and synopsis, as prayed for is granted. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge prays for one months time for carrying out the amendment.</p> <p>5. Time as prayed for is granted. List for hearing after substitution on 09.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachakumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : none for the app.</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 11/12/2016</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.272 of 2015 with O.A.No.273 of 2015</p> <p>D.P. Londhe (O.A.No.272/2015) G.S. Dumbre (O.A.No.273/2015)Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Order sheet dated 20.11.2016 shows that hearing was adjourned to 01.12.2016. The case came on board mostly by mistake on 22.11.2016 and was kept on today's board.</p> <p>3. In view of earlier order hearing is adjourned to 01.12.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </div> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/11/2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: R.S. Pawar App. in person Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt.: N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 2/12/16</p> <p align="right">B.K.</p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.127 of 2016 in O.A.No.756 of 2015</p> <p>R.S. PawarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.S. Pawar, Applicant in person and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents on written instructions states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The Secretary, Department of Skill Development & Entrepreneurship has processed Applicant's case.</p> <p>(b) Approval of G.A.D. is received and now the case is forwarded to the Social Justice Department.</p> <p>(c) Steps as would be complied till next date shall be reported.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.12.2016.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.11.2016.

C.A.No.41 of 2016 in O.A.No.1225 of 2010

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicants (Org. Respondents).

Versus

S.C. Gupta

.....Respondent (Org. Applicant)

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the Special Counsel for the Contemnor.

2. List the Contempt Application for hearing before the Division Bench presided by me and Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

3. Contemnor is directed to remain present. Matter will be heard tomorrow i.e. 25.11.2016 at 3.30 p.m.

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resp.)

Shri /Smt. : M.D. Lonkar Spl. Counsel

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s the Contemnor.

Adj. To 25/11/16 at 3.30 p.m.

MT
A.H.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

[P.T.O.]

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.11.2016.

M.A.No.330 of 2016 in C.A.No.41 of 2016 in
O.A.No.1225 of 2010
with
C.A.No.41 of 2016 in O.A.No.1225 of 2010

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicants (Org. Respondents).

Versus

S.C. Gupta

.....Respondent (Org. Applicant)

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the Special Counsel for the
Contemnor and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate
for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).

2. In view of the order passed in C.A.No.41/2016,
M.A.No.330/2016 is also adjourned to 25.11.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 24/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar Spl. Counsd

Advocate for the Applicant the Contemnor.

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. App)

Adj. To..... 25/11/16

14/11

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.11.2016.

M.A.No.128 of 2015 in C.A.No.33 of 2015 in
O.A.No.910 of 2004

V.P. Bhanushali

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents adjourned to 25.11.2016.

DATE : 24/11/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 25/11/16.

NYT
D.K.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J)
Chairman

prk

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 24/11/16</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 25/11/16</p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 24.11.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.130 of 2015 in O.A.No.308 of 2012</p> <p>S.S. PadaveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents has tendered the Government decision dated 23.11.2016 for perusal.</p> <p>3. Upon reading, it appears that there is some error in narration contained in the Government Resolution and she needs time to take instructions in this regard.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 25.11.2016.</p> <p><i>sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p><i>prk</i></p>