## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A.1161/2024 with O.A.964/2024
	P.S. Deshmukh
	V.N. Bhagad Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & Ors Responde
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, lear Advocate for Applicant in OA 1161/2024 and S
	U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant in 964/2024, Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO
	Respondents. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advoc for Respondent No.2 in OA 1161/2024.
	2. In OA No.964/2024, the principle praye as follows :-
	"By a suitable order/direction, the Respond No.1 may be directed to post the Applican any of the 10 posts given in the Option da 27.07.2024 and as mentioned in para hereinabove."
	3. The options given by the Applicant in No.964/2024 are at Page 31. It is pointed out learned PO that all 10 options given by Applicant in OA No.964/2024 are in Nas District.
	4. It is further submitted by learned PO t the Applicant in OA No.964/2024 has served 19 years in Nashik District out of services of years so far rendered by him. Learned PO furt submitted pointed out that the Applicant in No.964/2024 is posted at a place which adjacent to Nashik District and the said Applic has already joined on the transferred post.
	5. In OA No.1161/2024, the Applicant praying for implementation of order da 14.08.2024 (Exb. 'A'). By this order, he transferred from Palam, District Parbhani Kalwan, District Nashik. The grievance Applicant in OA No.1161/2024 is that this or is required to be implemented without loss of ti because his mother is suffering from Blo Cancer as well as Heart ailment.
	[P.T.C

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 6. The record of meeting of CSB held before effecting transfers dated 31.07.2024 and 14.08.2024 be produced. 7. Both these OAs which are tagged be kept for final hearing on 19.11.2024 (FOB). 8. S.O. to 19th November, 2024. m Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024 (skw)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	lers
	0.A.1049/2024	
	D.S. Jadhav Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri M.B. K for Applicant and Shri A for Respondents.	adam, learned Advocate .D. Gugale, learned PO
		e has specified b vacant be accommodated. It is ent No.2 be directed to a within the stipulated
	3. The Respondent No the application dated Applicant on its own me from today. Applicant's one of these vacant posts	accommodation on any
	4. With these directio of.	ns, OA stands disposed Sd/-
		(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024
	(skw)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	0.A.1284/2024	
	J.A. Agarkar Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.	
	2. Learned PO submits that the proposal of change in home district as recorded in the Service Book of Applicant is pending before Respondent No.1.	
	3. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply. Time Granted.	
	4. S.O. to 12 <sup>th</sup> November, 2024. Interim Relief' to continue till filing of Reply.	
	Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024	
	(skw)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A. No.1096 of 2024 T.S. Tambe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the 1. Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On Oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 2. 28.11.2024 for filing Reply as last chance. Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member (A) Member (J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

## The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	Date: 24.10.2024	· · · ·
	O.A. No.1233 of 2024 with O	0.A. No.1294 of 2024
	S.L. Avatade	
	B.D. Nagre	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents.
	1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, lear Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikw Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Ma	ad, learned Presenting
	Presenting Officer for the Respo	ndents.
	2. On Oral request of 28.11.2024 for filing Reply.	learned P.O., S.O. to
	3. Interim Relief, if any, to o	continue till next date.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		Sector of States

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024	
	O.A. No.1124 of 2024	
	The second second second	
	P.J. Pingle (P.S. Raktate)	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1. None for the Applicant.	Smt K.S. Gaikwad,

learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On Oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 22.11.2024 for filing Reply.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

<b>Original Application N</b>	No.

(Advocate ......)

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

### O.A. No.1030 of 2024

A.C. Kamble Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

.....Applicant

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On Oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 28.11.2024 for filing Reply.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A. No.982 of 2024 .....Applicant R.D. Gaikwad Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for 1. the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that today he has filed Rejoinder. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing. 3. Interim Relief, if any, to continue till next date. 4. 5. S.O. to 04.12.2024. Sd/-Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Member (J) NMN

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

10. Q.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A. No.784 of 2024 .....Applicant K.S. Adagale Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the 1. Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. 2. S.O. to 12.11.2024 (H.O.B.) Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) Member (A) NMN

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

O.A. No.704 of 2024

V.J. Vagare Versus .....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On Oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 21.11.2024 for filing Reply.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

主作

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate ......)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

O.A. No.625 of 2024

A.R. Prajapati & Ors., ......Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On Oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 21.11.2024 for filing Reply.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

### MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

A por company

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate ......)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	bunal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024	
	O.A. No.612 of 2024 with O.A. No.613 of 2	024
	A.P. AndhereApp	licant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespo	ondents.
	<ol> <li>Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pr Officer along with Shri D.R. Patil, learned Pr Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>On Oral request of learned P.Os., 28.11.2024 for filing Reply.</li> </ol>	esenting esenting
i <b>i</b> i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovek Member (A) Member (	AV VINCENCE
	NMN	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

#### O.A. No.429 of 2024

B.A. Bahot Versus

.....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is pointed out that Reply contesting the Respondent is filed.

3. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing

4. S.O. to 21.11.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

#### O.A. No.93 of 2024

S.K. Mahanavar & Ors., Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

.....Applicant

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On behalf of Respondents time is sought for filing Reply.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2024 for filing Reply as last chance.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

23

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

O.A. No.369 of 2024

A.P. Rathod Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

.....Applicant

1. None for the Applicant. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that tomorrow she will file Reply for Respondent No.1. For filing Reply of Respondent No.2 time is sought.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2024 for filing of Reply of Respondent No.2.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A. No.87 of 2024 B.L. Dadge .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents. 1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Perused record, heard learned Advocate for the 2. Applicant.

Considering the quantum of punishment this
 O.A. be placed before Single Bench of this Bench.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

C.A. No.54 of 2023 in O.A. No.257 of 2019 with C.A. No.55 of 2023 in O.A. No.256 of 2019

Dr. P.D. Shendge Dr. S.D. Kulkarni ......Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. There are 2 C.As. The orders of which contempt is alleged are dated 29.03.2016 & 01.03.2023. To their Reply the Respondents have Annexed the copy of order dated 22.08.2022 passed by Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No.817/2022. Para 4 of this Order reads as under:-

"4. Heard Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State. He has strongly objected to grant status-quo. No harm will cause to the respondents, if the statusquo is granted till next date. Hence, the respondents are directed to maintain status-quo in respect of the impugned G.R. dated 3/6/2022. It is made clear that on the next date the respondents shall file reply. It is also made clear that status-quo will not be extended and final order will be passed on the next date."

3. It is submitted by learned CPO that because of said order of status quo dated 22.08.2022 the Department is not in a position to comply with the above referred orders passed by this Bench. Statement is made that every endeavor shall be made to ensure that O.A. No.817/2022 at Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal is taken up for Final Hearing and decided at the earliest which would facilitate the matter of compliance of above referred orders passed by this Bench. It is submitted that O.A. No.817/2022 (Nagpur Bench) is kept on 16.12.2024.

4. S.O. to 16.12.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

to anter a series of the

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A. No.546 of 2023 with O.A. No.543 of 2023 N.R. Takey & Ors Dr. V.N. Wahul & Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents. Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for 1. . Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.R. Kapadnis, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6 & 8. 2. Communication dated 21.10.2024 is placed on record by learned PO (marked 'X').

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024	
	O.A. No.1586 of 2023	
	S.T. KatkarApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	1. Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for th Applicant, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. It is submitted that pleadings are complete.	
	3. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing.	
	4. S.O. to 05.12.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	NMN	
	NMN .	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT Sp. Car

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

C.A. No.79 of 2024 in O.A. No.190 of 2016

.....Applicant S.P. Sontakke Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

None for the Applicant. Smt. Archana B.K., 1. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

It is submitted by learned P.O. that against the 2. order of this Tribunal of which contempt is alleged, Writ Petition is filed in the Hon'ble High Court. It is further submitted that the Respondents have not received the copy of Contempt Application. Copy be supplied to the other side.

S.O. to 28.11.2024. 3.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

### of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

## The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024	· .
	M.A. No.606 of 2024 in C	.A. No.916 of 2024
	S.S. Cheke Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Or	rsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Shri K.B. Jadhav, lea Applicant through Video-Con Chougule, learned Presenting S.P. Manchekar, learned Pre Respondents.</li> </ol>	Officer holding for Ms.
	2. By the proposed amendment subsequent developments which occurred during the pendency of the O.A. are sought to be brought on record. We have	
	therefore come to the conclusion that the M./ deserves to be allowed so that matter can be fully an finally heard.	
	3. M.A. is allowed. Am out within three weeks from to	endment shall be carried oday.
4. Copy of amended O.A. be supp side.		be supplied to the other
	5. O.A. is adjourned to 21.11.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty)	Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	NMN	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs
	Date: 24.10.2024	
	M.A. No.456 of 2024 in O.A. No.925 of 2024	
	L.R. Gampa Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
	1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gu Officer for the Respondents.	, learned Advocate for gale, learned Presenting
	2. Learned P.O. states received and on next date he w	
	3. S.O. to 21.11.2024 as last chance to file Reply.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)
	NMN	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus .

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

C.A. No.71 of 2024 in O.A. No.342 of 2024

S.S. Shaikh Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Applicant

ate of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Shri B.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 & 6.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that today he is filing Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant, copy of the same shall be supplied to the other side.

S.O. to 14.11.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

NMN

3.

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

..... Applicant/s

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

### C.A. No.20 of 2024 in O.A. No.907 of 2022

DISTRICT

S.P. Malusare ......Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Shri R. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that draft Reply is received from the Department and on next date Reply will be positively filed.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024	
	C.A. No.70 of 2023 in O.A. No.823 of 2022 with C.A. No.75 of 2023 in O.A. No.116 of 2021	
	A.T. Patil	
	S.S. BhusareApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. makes a statement that against the order of this Tribunal of which contempt is alleged, Writ Petition is pending in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. On this ground time is sought.	
	3. S.O. to 21.11.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	NMN	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

. versus

# The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024	
	C.A. No.63 of 2024 in O.A. No.1008 of 2023	
	G.R. KaleApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. In this Contempt Application, Judgment of order dated 26.04.2024 is alleged. Paras 17 to 19 of this Judgment read as under:- 17. The 'Maharashtra SRPF Rules: 1959' has made specific provision under 'Rule 69' only to ensure that immediate relief can be provided by the 'Commandant' as leader of his 'Men in Uniform', whenever 'Special Cases' are submitted for his consideration; such as that of Applicant whose 'Wife' is suffering from "Brain Cancer" at 'Stage 3'. The provisions of law and rules viz (i) 'Section 7' of 'Maharashtra SRPF Act 1951' and (ii) 'Rule 68' and 'Rule 69' of 'Maharashtra SRPF Rules: 1959' for this very reason does not vest such expansive	
	discretionary power of 'Inter Establishment Transfers' in 'Special Cases' with 'Additional DG SRPF; Maharashtra State, Mumbai' or even 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. Hence, the 'Commandant, SRPF; Group No.VIII' at Goregaon, Mumbai under provisions of 'Rule 69' of 'Maharashtra SRPF Rule: 1959' to decide afresh as 'Special Case', about representations submitted by Applicant for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' to establishment of 'Commissioner of	
	Police; Thane'.	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

18. The 'Commandant, SRPF; Group No.VIII' at Goregaon Mumbai directed to diligently examine representations submitted by Applicant for consideration as 'Special Case' only upon his return from deputation in establishment of 'Additional DG Force-1 Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. The Applicant should be given 'Personal Hearing' so as to provide him with an opportunity to explain issues relating to 'Marital Dispute' which may be factual or otherwise as it has seriously undermined the due priority with which representations of Applicant were required to be considered given the grave 'Medical Condition' of his 'Wife'.

19. The 'Commandant SRPF Group VIII' at Goregaon Mumbai to exercise the powers under 'Rule 69' of 'Maharashtra SRPF Rules 1959' within 'Two Weeks' of return of Applicant from deputation in establishment of 'Additional Director General of Police, Force-1, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Further, if 'Special Case' of Applicant is not approved by 'Commandant SRPF Group VIII' under provisions of 'Rule 69' of 'Maharashtra SRPF Rules 1959'; by passing 'Reasoned Order' then in such an eventuality considering the grave 'Medical Condition' of his 'Wife', the 'Commandant SRPF : Group VIII' at Goregaon (E), Mumbai may if possible extend facilities under 'Rule 70' of 'Maharashtra SRPF Rules 1959' which will at least enable Applicant to live with his 'Wife' and 'Aged Mother' in 'Police Quarters' and help ease his 'Personal Hardships'.

3. It is submitted that Applicant has completed his deputation period of 5 years in May 2024. Question of compliance of direction issued by this Tribunal will arise only after the Applicant is repatriated. After order of repatriation is passed by Additional D.G. Force 1, Respondent No.3 in the O.A. shall comply with the order. It is apparent that while filing the C.A. there was no question of contempt of direction of this Tribunal having taken place.

C.A. is disposed of.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

Sd/-(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.1029/2024</u>	
	S.R. Honmode Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.	
	2. The Applicant is serving in SRPF since last 16 years. It is his grievance that he should be accommodated in District Police Force. He has relied on Clause Nos.1 & 5 of Circular dated 12.05.2021 (Annexure 'A-15'). These Clauses read as under :-	
	"9. राज्य राखीव पोलीस बलामधून जिल्हा पोलीस दलात बदलीसाठी राज्य राखीव पोलीस बलातील पोलीस अंमलदार (सशस्त्र सहायक पोलीस उपनिरीक्षक व त्याखालील संवर्गाकरिता) यांची १२ वर्ष व त्यापेक्षा जास्त सेवा झालेली आहे असे पोलीस अंमलदार अशा बदलीस पात्र राहतील.	
	२. राज्य राखीव पोलीस बलातून जिल्हा पोलिस दलात बदली करताना प्रतिवर्षी त्या त्या गटातून त्या त्या गटाच्या एकूण संख्येच्या १०% कर्मचारी संख्या मर्यादेत आणि त्या त्या जिल्ह्याच्या त्या त्या वेळेच्या रिक्त पदांच्या १०% मर्यादेत बदल्या करण्यात येतील.''	
	3. It is pointed out that 2572 posts are to be filled out of which 257 posts are required to be earmarked for accommodating Police Personnel working in SRPF and as against this, only 210 posts have been so earmarked.	
	4. On behalf of Applicant, it is submitted that to protect interest of Applicant for the present, one post from out of 47 remaining posts which are not earmarked be directed to be kept vacant.	
	5. On behalf of Respondents, a preliminary objection is orally raised regarding non-joinder of Director General of Police as Party Respondent. This objection does not find place in Affidavit-in- Reply of either Respondent No.1 or Respondent No.3.	
	6. It is submitted by learned Advocate for Applicant that the grievance of the Applicant is primarily against Respondent No.1 who was to properly earmark 10% posts from out of total posts which are sought to be filled. It is further stated that the transfers in question are effected	
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	by Respondent No.2, and therefore, Director General of Police would not be a necessary party
	7. It is further submitted by learned PO of instructions that impleadment of Additional DO (Training and Special Unit, M.S, Mumbai) shabe necessary.
efective A	8. On behalf of Applicant, a statement made that said authority shall be impleaded.
	9. Leave to amend to implead said authori is granted.
elis, second quality filters and a second	10. Issue notice to newly added i.e. Responder No.4 returnable on 14.11.2024.
	11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal this stage and separate notice for final disposal shanot be issued.
	12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du by Registry, along with complete paper book of O Respondents are put to notice that the case would I taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Ru 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribun (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such a limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	14. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>thre</u> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be place on board before the concerned Benches under the caption " <u>for Dismissal</u> " and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
	15. The service may be done by Har Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement I obtained and produced along with affidavit compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicat is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	16. S.O. to 14 <sup>th</sup> November, 2024.
	(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024
	(skw)

### (G.C.P.) J 15 (10,000-5-2023)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024	
	<u>O.A 1373/2024</u>	
	P.R Awad Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	<ol> <li>Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>It is the submissions of the applicant that his case for promotion ought to have been considered in the meetings of the D.P.C held on 22.10.2022 and 14.10.2024 in view of Clause 9 of the G.R dated 15.12.2017.</li> </ol>	
	3. Clause 9 of the said G.R reads as under:-	
	"९) विभागीय पदोन्नती समितीच्या मूळ बैठकीच्या दिनांकापासून दोन वर्षे झाल्यानंतरही मोहोरबंद पाकीटात निष्कर्ष ठेवलेल्या अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यांच्या, शिस्तभंगविषयक /न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही प्रकरणी अंतिम निर्णय झालेला नसल्यास, अशा प्रकरणी नियुक्ती प्राधिकारी स्वविवेकानुसार संबंधीत अधिकारी/कर्मचा-याला तदर्थ पदोन्नती देण्याबाबत जाणीवपूर्वक निर्णय घेईल. असा निर्णय घेताना नियुक्ती प्राधिकारी, खालील मुददे विचारात घेईल.	
	अ) संबंधितांविरुध्दची शिस्तभंगविषयक / न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही बराच काळ प्रलंबित राहण्याची शक्यता,	
	ब) दोषारोप गांभीर्य,	
	क) दयावयाची पदोन्नती जनहिताच्या विरुध्द जाईल का,	
	ड) शिस्तभंगविषयक /न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही लांबण्यास संबंधीत अधिकारी /कर्मचारी जबाबदार आहे का?	
	इ) संबंधित अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यास तदर्थ पदोन्नती दिल्यानंतर, पदोन्नतीच्या पदावर काम केल्यामुळे, संबंधित अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यांच्या शिरतभंगविषयक / न्यायालयीन कार्यवाहीच्या प्रकरणांवर परिणाम होण्याची शक्यता आहे का ?	
	फ) न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही बाबतची सदयस्थिती / अभियोगाबाबतचे किती टप्पे पार पडले याबाबतची माहिती करून दयावी.	
	ग) सेवानिवृत्तीस 9 वर्ष शिल्लक असेल तर पदोन्नती न देण्याच्या अनुषंगाने सेवानिवृत्तीचा कालावधी विचारात घेणे (तदर्थ पदोन्नती दिल्यास वरिष्ठ वेतनश्रेणी प्राप्त झाल्यामुळे सेवानिवृत्तीनंतर मिळणारे सेवानिवृत्ती वेतनाचा ज्यादा लाभ प्राप्त होणार असल्यामुळे सेवानिवत्तीस एक वर्ष शिल्लक असलेल्यांना तदर्थ पदोन्नती देण्यात येÅ नये याकरीता ही बाब तपासणे आवश्यक आहे.)"	
	IPTO	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

4. In support of this submissions, judgment of this Tribunal dated 11.7.2022, 19.9.2024 and 9.10.2024, O.A 770/2021, O.A 196/2024 and O.A 139/2024 are placed on record.

5. It would be necessary to hear the Respondents.

6. Learned P.O submits that today she has no instructions in the matter and therefore she is not in a position to make any statement. She seeks time to file reply.

7. S.O to 12.11.2024. Matter be kept under the caption "Urgent Admission".

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) <sub>Akn</sub>

Sd/-(M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (J)

### (G.C.P.) J 15 (10,000-5-2023)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024	
	<u>O.A 1385/2024</u>	
	V.H Deshmukh & Ors Applicants Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. In this Original Application provisional seniority list is challenged on various grounds.	
	3. The applicants have made a representation dated 21.10.2024 to Respondent No. 4, ventilating their grievances. Attention of this Tribunal is invited to the G.R dated 8.10.2024, (Annexure A-29).	
	4. Heading of this G.R reads as under:-	
	मृद व जलसंधारण विभागाच्या दि.३१/१०/२०१९ व दि.२४/०६/२०२१ रोजी अधिसूचित करण्यात आलेल्या सेवा प्रवेश नियमांमध्ये सुधारणा सुचविण्यासाठी समिती गठीत करणेबाबत.	
	5. On Page 615 Members of the Committee are referred to by name.	
	5. It is submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants that the Committee should consider the representation of the applicants at the earliest.	
	7. As mentioned earlier by G.R dated 8.10.2024 the Committee is constituted. It is not known whether first meeting of the Committee has been held so far.	
	8. Under these circumstances, it would be proper to direct the Respondent No. 4 to place the representation of the applicants dated 21.10.2024 before the Committee as and when information is received regarding their first meeting so that in the said meeting the grievances of the applicants can be considered by the Committee.	
	9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2024.	
	10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.	
	11. Applicant is authorized and directed to server. on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<ul> <li>Tribunal's orders</li> <li>duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>12. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>13. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> </ul>	
•		
	14. S.O to 14.11.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (A) Member (J) Akn	

### (G.C.P.) J 15 (10,000-5-2023)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### of 20

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024		
	<u>O.A 1393/2024 wi</u>	ith O.A 1394/2024	
	V N D. Sand		
	V.M Rajput T.D Barde Vs.	Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri Arun Panickear, learned counsel for Respondent No. 5.		
	2. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 5, seeks time till 29.10.2024 to file reply.		
	Panicker states that he	or Respondent No. 5 Shri will take instructions and e next date he will also	
	4. S.O to 29.10.2024. Issue of interim relief is kept open.		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (J)	
	Akn		
		and the second	
	2		

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	24.10.2024
	24.10.2024
	C.A 36/2021 in O.A 1029/2014, C.A 37/2021 in O.A 1030/2014, C.A 38/2021 in O.A 1031/2024, C.A 39/2021 in O.A 1032/2014, C.A 40/2021 in O.A 1033/2014
	R.S Bidkar & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
· · · ·	1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O submits that against the order of this Tribunal, Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and the same is pending.
	3. S.O to 21.11.2024.
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(M.A Lovekar, J.)Member (A)Member (J)
	Akn
4.	

### [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### of 20

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	24.10.2024
	<u>O.A 1264/2024</u>
	M.A Shelke & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
Υ.	2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 12.11.2024. The issue of interim relief is kept open. Matter to be kept under the caption of "Urgent Admission".
	Sd/- Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (A) Member (J)
	Akn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	24.10.2024
	<u>O.A 1377/2024</u>
	N.S Sonale Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.11.2024.
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. S.O to 12.11.2024.
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(M.A Lovekar, J.)Member (A)Member (J)
	Akn
	and the second sec

-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024	
	<u>M.A 656/2024 in</u>	O.A 1385/2024
	V.H Deshmukh & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & C	ors Respondents
	1. Heard Smt Punam M for the applicants and Ms Sw C.P.O for the Respondents.	Iahajan, learned advocate wati Manchekar, learned
	2. Misc Application se allowed, subject to payment o	eking to sue jointly is f court fees.
	Sd/-	Sd/- (M.A Lovekar, J.)
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn	Member (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribun	al's orders
	24.10.2024	
	<u>0.A 412/2</u>	2024-MUMBAI
	<u>O.A 864/2</u>	2022 (Nagpur)
	R.G Kore Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
· · · · ·	M. learned advocate for the	andan Vagyani i/b Mr Lokesh he applicant and Ms Archana Respondent No 1 and Shir A.S for Respondent No. 3.
	2. At the request of applicant, adjourned to 14	of learned counsel for the .11.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty)	Sd/- (M.A Lovekar, J.)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	And a start of the	
	+ .	1 Statistics

1.

### MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	
24.10.2024	
<u>O.A 1299</u>	9/2024
P.S Solankar Vs.	Applicant
The State of Maharashtra & C	Drs Respondents
1. Heard Shri J.S Patil, applicant and Ms Swati Mano the Respondents.	, learned advocate for the hekar, learned C.P.O for
2. Learned C.P.O seeks three weeks' time to fil reply.	
3. On 10.10.2024 interi Tribunal.	m order is passed by this
4. S.O to 14.11.2024. In next date.	nterim relief to continue till
Sd/-	Sd/- (M.A Lovekar, J.)
Member (A)	Member (J)
-	
	24.10.2024 <u>O.A 1299</u> P.S Solankar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & O 1. Heard Shri J.S Patil, applicant and Ms Swati Mano the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O seeks reply. 3. On 10.10.2024 interi Tribunal. 4. S.O to 14.11.2024. In next date. Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Ι.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20 '

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024	
	<u>O.A 1304/2024</u>	
	V.S Misal Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.	
A	2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2024.	
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. S.O to 14.11.2024.	
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(M.A Lovekar, J.)Member (A)Member (J)	
	Akn	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	l's orders
	24.10.2024	
	<u>0.A 13</u>	13/2024
	S.S Mahajan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 1. Heard Smt Punam	Applicant o Ors Respondents Mahajan, learned advocate
	for the applicant and Ms A the Respondents.	Archana B.K, learned P.O for
	2. Learned P.O sub- received and on next date s	nits that instructions are he will positively file reply.
-		Issue of interim relief is shown under the caption
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	Sd/- (M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (J)
	Akn	

1.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024	
	<u>0.A 1330/</u>	2024
	for the applicant and Smt K.S	ahajan, learned advocate
	<ul> <li>the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O seeks time</li> <li>3. S.O to 14.11.2024. Iss open. Matter to be shown us Admission".</li> </ul>	ue of interim relief is kept
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	Sd/- (M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (J)
	Akn	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1 .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	l's orders
	24.10.2024	
	<u>O.A 135</u>	9/2024
	B.S Zute Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms Pooja Ma learned advocate for the Gaikwad, learned P.O for the	
	2. It is submitted by 1 prayers made in the Orig Original Application is requ Bench.	earned P.O relying on the ginal Application that the ired to be heard by Single
	3. Having considered th the submissions of learned P	e prayers, we find merit in .0.
	4. The O.A be kept befor	e Single Bench tomorrow.
	5. S.O to 25.10.2024.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (J)
	Akn	(-)
	The state	
	A State of the second	
	A STREET AND A STREET	
•		

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Τ.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### of 20

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	24.10.2024	
	<u>O.A 1366/2024</u>	
	N.T Patil Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicant is dismissed by resorting to powers under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India.	
	3. The applicant has challenged the competency of the authority to issue the impugned order. Another submission made on behalf of the applicant is that the impugned order does not show that this was a fit case to take, recourse to powers under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India.	
	4. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Learned P.O further states that with the reply of the Respondents she will place on record documents showing competence of the authority to issue the impugned order.	
	5. S.O to 14.11.2024 for filing reply.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A Lovekar, J.)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

2.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	24.10.2024
	M.A 641/2024 in O.A 1367/2024
	Ganesh Survase & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri Anand Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Misc Application seeking permission to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of court fees.
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (A) Member (J)
4.	Akn

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>24.10.2024</u>	
	<u>O.A 1367/2024</u>	
	Ganesh Survase & Ors Vs ApplicantsThe State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents1.Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere,	
۱. ۱	<ul><li>learned advocate for the applicants and Shri Anand Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on</li></ul>	
	<ol> <li>Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2024.</li> <li>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> </ol>	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. S.O to 14.11.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A Lovekar, J.) Member (A) Member (J) Akn	

### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A.1346/2024 with O.A.1076/2024 & O.A.1357/2024
	A.B. Patil B.B. Hulge Applicants Vs.
	The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. A.B Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
	2. S.O. to 25 <sup>th</sup> October, 2024. Interim Relief to continue till next date.
	Sd/-
	(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024
	(skw)

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.1370/2024

M.N. Chaudhary	Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 12.11.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.

(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024

Sd/-

(skw)

### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	orders
	O.A.1345/2024 (Caveat No.44/24)	
	Y.H. Dhane Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & Or	rs Respondents
	holding for Shri S.S. D	i Pawar, learned Advocate bere, learned Advocate for hana B.K., learned PO for
m	available to her, the le	s that as soon as record is earned Advocate for other ion. She further submits ected to come today with
	3. S.O. to 28 <sup>th</sup> Octob continue till next date.	ber, 2024. 'Status Quo' to
		Sd/-
	(skw)	(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.1396/2024

S.T. Morale	Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyapan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the copies of OA are served to the Respondents.

3. Issue notice returnable on 25.10.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 25th October, 2024.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 24.10.2024

(skw)

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

O.A.No.806 of 2024

J. P. Katyare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O. to 31.01.2025.

( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

Sd/-

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A.No.257 of 2024 M. Y. Mungashe ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents. 1. Heard Shri S. S. Butala , learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. D. Gugale ,learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2. 2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 submits he is going to file reply during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 30.01.2025.

Sd/-( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O.A.No.390 of 2024 V. R. Bhosale ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents. 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. No Rejoinder is filed on behalf of Applicant. 3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 31.01.2025. Sd/-(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J) vsm

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 24.10.2024 O.A.No.495 of 2024	
	V. V. TamhankarApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 has already filed.	
	3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.	
	4. Since the matter is filed in April, 2024, learned P.O. to file reply positively on next date.	
	5. S.O. to 29.11.2024.	
	Sd/-	
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)	
	vsm	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

O.A.No.541 of 2024

M. B. Sisal

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Original Application is filed in April, 2024.

3. Learned P.O. to file reply positively on next date.

4. S.O. to 28.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

#### O.A.No.582 of 2024

S. D. Aher

....Applicant

Versus

#### The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Vipul Gunjal holding for Shri Abhijeet Devkhile, leanred Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

Today, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3
 4 has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply for other Respondents.

4. S.O. to 29.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder and Reply.

Sd/-( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

#### O.A.No.611 of 2024

T.M. A. Khan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that provisional pension upto November 2023 is paid to Applicant.

4. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that procedure regarding provisional pension after November 2023 is in progress.

5. S.O. to 28.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 O. A. No.723 of 2024 R. M. Shinde ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents. 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder, It is taken on record. 3.

S.O. to 20.12.2024.

Sd/-(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 24.10.2024 M. A. No.534 of 2024 in O.A.No.1088 of 2024 S. V. Pol ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned 1. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. submits that she will file reply during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. S.O. to 27.11.2024. Sd/-(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J) vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 24.10.2024	
	O.A.No.860 of 2024	
	S. V. JoshiApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra &Ors Respondents.	
	1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri	
	D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.	
	3. S.O. to 03.02.2025.	
	Sd/-	
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)	
	vsm	

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

#### O.A.No.1153 of 2024

R. T. Shinde Versus

2

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. Mulla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 05.12.2024.

024.

( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

( )

Sd/-

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

#### O.A.No.1390 of 2024

C. D. Jadhav Versus

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed.

S.O. to 05.12.2024.

8.

Sd/-( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

2

#### Date : 24.10.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.1395 of 2024

Annlinen

A. G. Jamadar Versus

2

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 05.12.2024.

Sd/-VVI A (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

....Applicant

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

iomba

a produce a product a

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

O.A.No.589 of 2023

M. K. Gadekar

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief', if any to continue till next date.

3. S.O. to 17.12.2024.

Sd/-

~

(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

O.A.No.427 to 436/2023 & 472 & 473/2023

N. R. Shinde & Ors

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to verify about receipt of benefit of 'Old Pension Scheme', if any.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Constraint and a second

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024

#### O.A.No.170 of 2024

....Applicant

D. N. Tupekar

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

Heard Ms Rashmi Shirke holding for Shri A. J. 1. Kandarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

#### of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.10.2024 O.A.No.176 of 2024

Dr. V. K. Patne

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

#### Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)

### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
		Date : 24.10.2024
		O.A.No.226 of 2024
		Dr. S. B. BahiratApplicant
		Versus
		The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
		1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
		2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. Time granted.
		3. S.O. to 27.11.2024.
		Sd/-
		( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
	vsm	
	1.1	

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2024

O.A.No.38 of 2023

S. S. Kadam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On perusal of papers and hearing of both parties, it is noticed that both parties are ready for settlement.

3. The Applicant along with his Advocate are present before the court and they are ready to keep the matter before Lokadalat for which learned P.O. has no objection.

4. S.O. to 16.01.2025.

Sd/-( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)