

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89/2024**  
**(Dnyaneshwar P. Sirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents, however, request cannot be considered since last chance has already been granted.

3. List the matter for hearing without reply of the respondents on 11-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.995/2023**  
**(Khanderao V. Pandhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.L.Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has requested time to file affidavit in reply, however, having regard to the fact that sufficient opportunities are already availed by the respondents, request is rejected.

3. List the matter for hearing without reply of the respondents on 12-11-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**T.A.NO.01/2024 (W.P.NO.15796/2023)**  
**(Bhatu R. Nikumbhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N.Pawde, learned Counsel holding for Shri M.K.Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that affidavit in rejoinder is not to be filed. Hence, list the matter for hearing on 23-10-2024.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**T.A.NO.02/2024 (W.P.NO.15798/2023)**  
**(Shivaji T. Gadhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N.Pawde, learned Counsel holding for Shri M.K.Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that affidavit in rejoinder is not to be filed. Hence, list the matter for hearing on 23-10-2024.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**O.A.NO.997/2023 WITH M.A.NO.379/2023**  
**(Dipak V. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Dipak V. More, applicant – party in person, Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.J.Karne, learned Counsel of Intervener in M.A.No.379/2024, are present.

2. Learned P.O. again seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Request is rejected as sufficient opportunities are already availed by the respondents.

3. Hence, list the matter for hearing on 12-11-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.336/2024**  
**(Swapnil S. Barge & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 24.09.2024**  
**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.B.Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Request is opposed by the learned Counsel appearing for the applicants stating that due opportunities are already availed.

3. In the interest of justice last chance is granted for filing reply till 14-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.555/2024**  
**(Vitthal N. Kokulwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 29-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.NO.579/2023 WITH M.A.ST.NO.2324/2023 IN  
O.A.ST. NO.2325/2023  
(Pallavi S. Karande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.N.Deshpande, learned Counsel holding for Shri C.V.Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2 in M.A. Same is taken on record. Copy thereof is given to the learned Counsel appearing for the applicants.

3. S.O. to 14-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**C.P.NO. 51/2024 IN O.A.NO. 45/2021**

(Akhillujjamakha Shafiujjamakha Pathan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 53/2024 IN O.A.NO. 740/2019**

(Natharao R. Hodbe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 54/2024 IN O.A.NO. 742/2019**

(Mohan F. Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 55/2024 IN O.A.NO. 01/2021**

(Prasad S. Bhise Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 56/2024 IN O.A.NO. 746/2019**

(Vilas W. Chavhan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 57/2024 IN O.A.NO. 745/2019**

(Kishor U Bhusari Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 58/2024 IN O.A.NO. 743/2019**

(Mubsaroddin S Siddiqui Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**C.P.NO. 59/2024 IN O.A.NO. 741/2019**

(Ankush L. Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Supriya Bhillegaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and S/Shri V.R. Bhumkar and S.S.Dambe, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective petitions, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officers have tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.5. Same is taken on record. Copy thereof is given to the other side.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUKORALORDER24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.661/2024**  
**(Mahendra A. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S.Mutalik, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.D.Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 12-11-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.685/2019**  
**(Shivaji R. Thakare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S.Mutalik, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.D.Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-11-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.818/2021**  
**(Dr. Ashwini A. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 24.09.2024**  
**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri S.G.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for respondent no.8 and Shri Vinod Chavan, learned Counsel holding for Shri Amol T. Jagtap, learned Counsel for respondent no.9, are present.

Shri Ajit Kadethankar, learned Counsel for respondent no.6 and Shri Akshay H. Joshi, learned Counsel for respondent no.7 are **absent**.

2. S.O. to 25-10-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO.75/2024 IN O.A.NO.286/2021**

**(Sayyed Ubed Sayyed Asif & Ors.Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel holding for Shri Nikhilesh K. Tungar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 23-10-2024

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.ST.NO.874/2023 IN REV.ST.NO.875/2023 IN  
O.A.NO.222/2022  
(Khushalsinh R. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.P.More, learned counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 28-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.2247/2023**  
**(Kaka B. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A.Wakure, learned counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 28-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**REVIEW APPL. NO.07/2024 IN O.A.NO.380/2023**  
**(Dinesh S. Londhe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vaibhav Pawar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**M.A.NO.406/2024 IN O.A.NO.978/2024**  
**(Dr. Shyam K. Landge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 24.09.2024**  
**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.978/2024**

**(Dr. Shyam K. Landge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 12-11-2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12-11-2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**M.A.NO.452/2024 IN O.A.NO.1089/2024**

**(Mirza Mohsin Baig Abed Baig & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1089/2024**

**(Mirza Mohsin Baig Abed Baig & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel seeks leave to substitute the synopsis stating that inadvertently wrong synopsis has been filed along with the O.A. Leave granted. Relevant amendment be carried out within a week.

3. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to respondents, returnable on 12-11-2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 12-11-2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.NO.457/2024 IN O.A.NO.904/2024**  
(Shivraj D. Neherkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 24.09.2024**  
**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Present M.A. is filed seeking amendment in the O.A. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that after filing of the O.A. by the applicants, final order has been passed and in the circumstances, applicants are constrained to file the present application seeking amendment in the present O.A. so as to incorporate the necessary pleadings and contextual prayers in that regard.

3. In view thereof, M.A. deserves to be allowed as in the O.A. even notices are not yet issued. M.A. stands allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out within a week.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL

**VICE CHAIRMAN**  
ORDER

24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.904/2024**  
**(Shivraj D. Neherkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to respondents, returnable on 13-11-2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 13-11-2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.911/2024**  
(Namdeo M. Bawiskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.G.Lathe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 13-11-2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 13-11-2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 916 OF 2024**  
**(Narhari Uttamrao Nagargoje Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.R. Imale, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 14.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 14.11.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1070 OF 2024**  
(Shaikh Atikh Shaikh Mehtab Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is the case of the applicant that in the selection process carried out for the appointment to the post of Police Constable the applicant succeeded in securing the position in the merit and in the merit list published on 26.07.2024 his name was shown in the said list at Sr. No. 10. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that total 11 posts were reserved for EWS category candidates out of which 02 posts were for EWS (General) category candidates. Learned counsel pointed out that the candidate at Sr. No. 9 viz. Aasef Mahumed Shaikh is the candidate who secured highest marks in EWS general category and the next highest candidate is the present applicant, who secured 87 marks. Learned counsel pointed out that in O.A. No.

867/2024, which was also arising out of the same selection process, the Tribunal has passed the interim order, thereby restraining the respondents from issuing appointment order in favour of respondent no. 03 in the said matter. Learned counsel submitted that name of respondent no. 03 in the said matter is Aslam Ahmed Khan Iqbal Khan Pathan.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that in the said matter the dispute is in respect of EWS (Home Guard) category candidates. However, the respondents have declined to issue the order of appointment to the present applicant on the ground that the order has been passed by this Tribunal in O.A. NO. 867/2024 restraining from making appointment of said Aslam Ahmed Khan Iqbal Khan Pathan. Learned counsel submitted that in fact O.A. No. 867/2024 and the order passed therein has no nexus with the appointment to be issued in favour of EWS (General) category candidates, who have secured meritorious position.

4. We see prima-facie substance in the contentions so raised on behalf of the applicant. In

the circumstances, we deem it appropriate to pass the following order:-

**O R D E R**

(i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15.10.2024. **The respondents shall show cause why the appointment order has not been issued in favour of the applicant, when the case of the present applicant is altogether different than the subject matter in O.A. No. 867/2024.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 15.10.2024.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1330 OF 2024**  
(Shishir Shivaji Ganjale & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks leave to file short affidavit on record. Leave granted. Short affidavit is taken on record and copy of the same has been served on the other side.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Granted.
4. Respondents shall place on record the final merit list.
5. S.O. to 16.10.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1068 OF 2024**  
(Meena Dattarao Chandane Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Subject to office objections issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 12.11.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P. NO. 61/2023 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1185/2019**  
**(Amit S. Swami Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 147 OF 2024**  
**(Amit S. Swami Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present matters could not be heard due to paucity of time.

3. S.O. to 12.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 147/2024 to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 282 OF 2024**  
**(Manjusha K. Babhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Solanke, learned counsel holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 and Shri S.N. Janakwade, learned counsel for respondent No. 6, are present.

2. List the matter for admission hearing on 17.10.2024 with liberty to respondent No. 6 to file sur-rejoinder, if any till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810 OF 2024**  
**(Mohanlal R. Harne (Hule) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 820 OF 2024  
(Ajay V. Kasture Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 09.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 199 OF 2024**  
**(Jeevan K. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri R.B. Ade, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, is **absent**.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is not willing to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. No affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No. 3.

4. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to call original record.

5. List the matter for admission hearing on 25.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 658 OF 2024  
(Hiraman S. Nagre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Bhumkar, learned counsel holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant. Learned P.O. submits that at present other respondents are adopting the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 3. In case other respondents wish to file separate affidavit in reply, they are at liberty to file the same.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. Nos. 29, 30 & 31 all of 2024**  
**(Umakant N. Koli & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 12.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230 OF 2024  
(Dinkar V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2024**  
**(Rangnath B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 7.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 288 OF 2024**  
**(Chandrakant V. Nandanwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Kalpana Kulkarni (Sonpawale), learned counsel holding Shri S.P. Sonpawale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 276/2019 in O.A. St. No. 871/2019  
(Rakesh T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Suryawnashi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 14.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 159 OF 2023**  
**(Vinayak U. Banchod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sachin Tambe, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1079 OF 2024**  
**(Dr. Dinesh R. Boralkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the respondents have been duly served and the service affidavit will be filed in the office during the course of the day.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 10.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 03/2024 in O.A. St. No. 1973/2023  
(Dr. Shilpa N. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Jsohi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri Kiran Jadhavar, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 430/2024 in O.A. No. 777/2024  
(Bhagwan S. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to amend the present M.A. seeking condonation of delay for the reason that inadvertently the delay which is more than 15 months has not explained.

3. Leave granted. The applicant is permitted to amend M.A. by adding paragraphs in M.A. and also correction in prayer clause to the extent of period of delay.

4. S.O. to 09.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2024  
(Sangita V. Parate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Yogesh P. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 seeks time to file appropriate say. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1081 OF 2024**  
**(Dhenu V. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.K. Shingare, learned counsel holding for Shri K.F. Shingare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The office has raised an objection that there is an inordinate delay caused in filing the O.A. since the applicant retired on 30.06.2011 and by way of this O.A. the applicant seeking directions to the respondents for payment of Leave Encashment and pension.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to file Misc. Application seeking condonation of delay. Leave granted.

4. S.O. to 08.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1104 OF 2024**  
**(Gorakhnath G. Madan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.10.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 29.10.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1124 OF 2024**  
**(Deelip K. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 21.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 21.11.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1146 OF 2024**  
**(Somnath B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Yuvraj Kakade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 15.10.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 15.10.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1147 OF 2024**  
**(Sandhya A. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2024**  
(Kisanrao Pundlikrao Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2024**  
(Shivaji Eknathrao Dhanave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500 OF 2024**  
(Shivraj Govindrao Gavate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2024**  
(Vishnudas Maroti Naik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash Borulkar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the advertisement came to be published on 01.01.2024 (Annexure A-2) for filling up the posts of Police Patil in District Nanded. In terms of the said advertisement, the commencement of filing of online application was 01.01.2024 and the last date was 08.01.2024. Learned counsel submits that time was very less to submit documents within eight days along with online applications. Learned counsel submits that in terms of G.R. dated 04.08.2010, preference is required to be given to the legal heirs

of Police Patil, if they file an application. However, in the advertisement there is no reference to it.

//2// O.A. 498/2024 & 3 Ors.

3. I find no substance in the submissions made on behalf of the applicants. The applicants are not required to file any documents along with the online application in terms of clause H and Z i.e. the procedure prescribed for online application. The candidate is required to file all the documents, if he succeeds in the written examination. The candidate is thereafter called for verification of documents and as per the time scheduled in the advertisement, the date for verification of documents was 14.01.2024, which would have been the sufficient time for any candidate to obtain the requisite documents.

4. So far as said G.R. dated 04.08.2010 (Annexure A-5) is concerned, learned Presenting Officer has rightly pointed out clause No. 6 of terms and conditions of selection process of advertisement dated 01.01.2024 (Annexure A-2), which prescribes that the preference is given to the legal heirs of the Police Patil, if he files an application. In view of the same, there is a sufficient compliance of G.R. dated 04.08.2010. Except these two grounds, no other

grounds are raised by the applicants in the present Original Applications.

//3// O.A. 498/2024 & 3 Ors.

5. In view of the discussions as above, there is no substance in the present Original Applications and the same are liable to be dismissed. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) Original Application Nos. 498, 499, 500 & 501 all of 2024 are hereby dismissed.
- (ii) In the circumstances there shall be no order as to costs.
- (iii) All these Original Applications are accordingly disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**

**1. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 519 OF 2024  
(Shivaji P. Khetmalas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**2. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 520 OF 2024  
(Shivajirao B. Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**3. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2024  
(Vishnu T. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**4. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 522 OF 2024  
(Trimbak A. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**5. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523 OF 2024  
(Nagnath P. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**6. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 524 OF 2024  
(Sahebrao K. Bodkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**7. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 525 OF 2024  
(Sahebrao B. Said Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**8. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 526 OF 2024  
(Vinayak B. Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As., Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As. and Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in O.A. No. 525/2024, are present.

Shri Rahul A. Tambe, learned counsel for the respondent No.4 in O.A.No. 520, is **absent**.

//2// O.A. 519/2024 & Ors.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed in O.A. No. 520/2024.

3. Learned counsel Shri D.T. Devane, submits that he has instructions to appear on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in O.A. No. 521/2024.

4. Learned P.O. and learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in O.A. Nos. 521/2024 & 525/2024 seek time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 11.10.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2023**  
**(Vishwajit V. Udate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The present matter is part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.10.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024



**M.A.NO. 459/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1626/2023  
(Suresh N. Maske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 07.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 93/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1879/2023  
(Ashok B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. S.O. to 19.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 256/2024 IN O.A.NO. 158/2024**  
**(Sandip K. Kiratwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. S.O. to 20.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 480/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1019/2024  
(Milind B. Sawwase Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 366/2018 IN M.A.ST.NO. 1591/2018 IN  
O.A.ST.NO. 1592/2018  
(Dr. Ravindra A. Daware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 295/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 993/2019  
(Vaishali S. Sanap @ Vaishali A. Nagargoje Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants in both the cases, is **absent**. Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases, is present.

2. None present for the applicants. S.O. to 28.10.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 179 OF 2022  
(Raju J. Somvanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Later On:-**

3. Shri P.M. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant present.

4. S.O. to 18.11.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 85 OF 2022  
(Madhav B. Nilawad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1032 OF 2022  
(Bismilla D. Tadvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.M. Hajare, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. S.O. to 13.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04 OF 2023  
(Sunil L. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**O.A.NO. 709/2023 WITH M.A.NO. 207/2024  
(Deepak R. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No.6, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 952 OF 2023  
(Sanghmitra P. Hajare through next friend Premjit P.  
Hajare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 73 OF 2023**  
**(Jyoti Chandu Hatkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 24.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Rajput, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant.

3. In the order dated 19.09.2024 it is recorded on the basis of submission made by learned P.O. that during pendency of this Original Application the applicant came to be reinstated by order dated 10.09.2024 and even the learned P.O. has submitted the copy of said order which is taken on record. Learned P.O. submits that nothing survives for further consideration in this O.A. since the prayer in the Original Application is also to that extent.

4. Learned P.O. submits that even the reinstatement order has been forwarded to the counsel representing the applicant and he has received it, still then none present for the applicant today.

//2//

O.A.No. 73/2023

5. The applicant has made the prayer seeking direction to respondent No.2 to reinstate him on the post of Agricultural Assistant (Krushi Sahayak). So far as the prayer about the payment of subsistence allowance is concerned, the applicant is at liberty to file the appropriate application/representation before the concerned respondent and upon filing of the same, it is expected from the concerned respondent to decide the same in accordance with law.

6. In view of above, nothing survives for further consideration in this Original Application. The Original Application is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 86 OF 2023**  
**(Shyam Masnaji Yesmod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 24.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Rajput, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant.

3. In the order dated 19.09.2024 it is recorded on the basis of submission made by learned P.O. that during pendency of this Original Application the applicant came to be reinstated by order dated 10.09.2024 and even the learned P.O. has submitted the copy of said order which is taken on record. Learned P.O. submits that nothing survives for further consideration in this O.A. since the prayer in the Original Application is also to that extent.

4. Learned P.O. submits that even the reinstatement order has been forwarded to the counsel representing the applicant and he has received it, still then none present for the applicant today.

//2//

O.A.No. 86/2023

5. The applicant has made the prayer seeking direction to respondent No.2 to reinstate him on the post of Agricultural Assistant (Krushi Sahayak). So far as the prayer about the payment of subsistence allowance is concerned, the applicant is at liberty to file the appropriate application/representation before the concerned respondent and upon filing of the same, it is expected from the concerned respondent to decide the same in accordance with law.

6. In view of above, nothing survives for further consideration in this Original Application. The Original Application is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 305 OF 2024  
(Ankita S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Nagesh S. Dalave, learned counsel holding for Smt. Manisha S. Dalave, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398 OF 2024**  
**(Rajesh G. Polkamwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 03.10.2024 for hearing. The status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445 OF 2024  
(Savita M. Jadhav @ Savita R. Chavan Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Bhise, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.P. Rathod, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.09.2024 for hearing. The status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 718 OF 2024  
(Rahul A. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

Shri G.J. Karne, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 to 7, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 30.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 819 OF 2024  
(Kakasaheb S. Doiphode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024

**M.A.NO. 312/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1121/2022**  
(Dr. Balasaheb M. Kalegore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 238/2023 IN O.A.NO. 438/2023**  
(Dr. Dilip C. Godse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**M.A.473/2023 IN M.A. 474/2023 IN O.A.St. 2140/2022**  
(Dr. Gajanan G. Mohalle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. S.O. to 09.10.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 280/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1163/2024  
(Kalyan H. Birajdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 24.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Vidya Kothule, learned counsel holding for Shri S.P. Urgunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. S.O. to 21.10.2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 24.09.2024