

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.470 of 2023 in O.A. No.483 of 2023

M.S. Jaybhaye & Anr. ..Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
24.7.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
24.7.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.07.2023

O.A.No.491 of 2022

R. K. Hiramani & Ors. .... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply in compliance of order passed by the Tribunal on 14.07.2023. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

skw


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.499 of 2022 in O.A. No.31 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.B. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 7.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.7.2023 24.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

24.07.2023

O.A 828/2023

S.S Khot ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Dnyanesh Patil i/b Shri Aditya Raktade and Shri Gaurav Nankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and none present for Respondent no. 4.

2. The applicant working as a Lecturer challenges the G.R dated 23.6.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1, prays that the Respondent no. 1, be directed to add the name of the applicant in the final list of employees who are proposed to be transferred and she further challenges the G.R dated 13.7.2023 wherein name of Respondent no. 4 was added for transfer without any merits.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is due for transfer. The applicant has put in 5 years 9 months and 26 days at Ratnagiri. As per G.R dated 3.4.2023, the name of the applicant is shown at Sr. No. 9, where the transfers were proposed. She submitted her preference by communication dated 5.4.2023. However, her name was dropped from the said G.R dated 3.4.2023.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.7.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 26.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**24.07.2023**

**O.A 867/2023**

Dr Balasaheb S. Nagargoje ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is a physically handicapped person working as Civil Surgeon, challenges the order dated 30.6.2023, whereby one Dr Shripad H. Patil, has been transferred in his place and the applicant is kept without posting and he is directed to report with the office of the Deputy Director of Health Services, Kolhapur.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.7.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

8. S.O to 26.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.705 OF 2019**

Shri V.D. Kamane & Ors. )...**Applicants**

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. )...**Respondents**

**Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicants.**

**Smt. A.B. Kololgi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.**

**CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J**

**DATE : 24.07.2023**

**ORDER**

1. In the present case, Applicants were denied 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACPS) on the ground that they do not possess educational qualification for the next promotional post of Senior Lab Assistant. The learned Advocate for the Applicant in reference to Clause (d) of G.R. dated 01.04.2010 contends that Finance Department has made specific distinction of terms and conditions for 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit in respect of the employees who have got 1<sup>st</sup> benefit and then got actual promotion and in respect of employees who got 1<sup>st</sup> benefit of ACPS but did not get actual functional promotion on the said post. According to him, as per Clause (d)(2) of G.R. dated 01.04.2010 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit, there is no requirement of fulfillment of educational qualification, since Applicants could not get actual promotion. Clause (d) of G.R. dated 01.04.2010 is as under :-

“ड. योजनेच्या दुस-या लाभासाठी पात्रतेच्या अटी व शर्ती :-

*Handwritten signature*

(१) पहिला लाभ घेतलेल्या व त्यानंतर प्रत्यक्ष पदोन्नती मिळालेल्या कर्मचा-यास दुसरा लाभ मंजूर करताना तो पहिल्या लाभाप्रमाणेच दिनांक २० जुलै २००१, च्या आदेशामधील व यासंबंधी वेळोवेळी निर्गमित करण्यात आलेल्या स्पष्टीकरणात्मक आदेशांतील तरतुदीच्या आधि मंजूर करण्यात यावा. म्हणजेच, ज्या पदाची वेतनसंरचना मंजूर करण्यात येणार आहे, त्या पदावर प्रत्यक्ष पदोन्नती मिळण्यासाठी विहित केलेल्या अटी व शर्तीची पूर्तता करणे आवश्यक राहिल.

(२) पहिल्या लाभांतर्गत पदोन्नतीच्या ज्या पदाची वेतनसंरचना मंजूर करण्यात आली आहे त्या पदावर प्रत्यक्ष पदोन्नती न मिळालेल्या कर्मचा-यांच्या बाबतीत, दुसरा लाभ मंजूर करण्यासाठी, त्याला ज्या पदाची वेतनसंरचना मंजूर करण्यात येणार आहे त्या पदावरील पदोन्नतीसाठी विहित केलेली गोपनीय अहवालाची किमान सरासरी प्रतवारी प्राप्त करणे आवश्यक राहिल.”

2. In the present case, initially Department has granted 1<sup>st</sup> benefit by order dated 17.03.2006 stating that it is isolated post. However, later Department by order dated 11.05.2023 (during the pendency of O.A.) corrected it that the benefit granted by order dated 17.03.2006 was of regular next promotional post.

3. During the course of hearing, by order dated 16.03.2023, the Finance Department was impleaded as Respondent to clarify Clause (d) particularly d(3) of G.R. dated 01.04.2010. In response to which Finance Department through Anita G. Lad, Under Secretary has filed Affidavit on 24.04.2023 stating that Applicants can get 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit of ACPS as per G.R. dated 05.07.2010 as an isolated post.

4. As per Recruitment Rules, there are channels of promotions for the next promotional post and *prima-facie*, the post held by the Applicants can be said isolated post. That apart, Respondent No.1 – Director, Forensic Science Laboratory by order dated 11.05.2023 corrected the order dated 17.03.2016 to the effect that the said benefit is not of isolated post, but is of next promotional post.

5. In view of above, the question arises whether for 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit as claimed by the Applicant, there is requirement of possessing educational qualification for the said post or it can be granted only on the assessment of ACRs in terms of Clause (d)(2) of G.R. dated 01.04.2010 ignoring educational qualification criteria.

6. Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department is, therefore, directed to file Affidavit explaining Clause (d) of G.R. dated 01.04.2010. Affidavit be filed on or before 28.07.2023.

7. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> July, 2023. Steno-copy granted.

Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**Member-J**

skw



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.07.2023

C.A. No.69 of 2022 in O.A. No.339 of 2020

M.V. Mohite .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply of Contemnor No.2 – Mr. Mahendra Kalyankar, Divisional Commissioner, Kokan Division in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 20.07.2023.

3. Perusal of record reveals that on 20.07.2023 learned P.O. made a statement that Reply to the Show Cause Notice issued to the Contemnor No.1 – Dr. Nitin Kareer, Additional Chief Secretary is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. This statement was accepted, however instead of filing Reply of Contemnor, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply of Dr. Rajagopal Devara, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department who is not contemnor in the present matter. The Tribunal by order dated 05.07.2023 observed that there is *prima-facie* Contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal and Show Cause Notice were issued to the Contemnor as to why Contempt of Court Act should not be initiated against them. As such, Contemnor No.1 – Dr. Nitin Kareer, Additional Chief Secretary was to file Reply to the Show Cause Notice however despite enough time Contemnor No.1 fail to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the Show Cause Notice.

4. Learned P.O. sought short time for hearing on the ground that matter is being handled by Smt. K.S. Gaikwad but she is unable to remain present due to her personal difficulties. Matter be kept tomorrow for hearing.

5. S.O. to 25.07.2023.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.79 of 2022 in O.A. No.605 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karvekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Mr. Milind J. Mhaiskar, Additional Chief Secretary. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that now nothing remains against dues.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant shall take instruction from his client and to verify whether the amount is credited to his account by next date so that if necessary further order could be passed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.82 of 2017</p> <p>D.K. Khairnar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anjali Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 to 14.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply giving details of the candidates subsequently appointed from the waiting list so that they can be impleaded in the present O.A. as Respondent as observed by the Tribunal in order dated 19.07.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1268 of 2022</p> <p>B.D. Kamble &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is absent.</li> <li>3. R. Shetty, learned Advocate along with Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 to 42 is also absent.</li> <li>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as a last chance.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Kapdne .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Learned P.O. has tendered order of Hon'ble High Court passed in Writ Petition No.7798/2023 whereby, by way of interim relief operation of the order passed by the Tribunal in the O.A. is stayed till next date i.e. 07.08.2023. Thus Writ Petition is fixed on 07.08.2023.</li> <li>In view of above, C.A. is adjourned to 09.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.B.Vithoba Kodag</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On perusal of order dated 27.06.2023 passed by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.6635/2023 reveals that Hon'ble High Court directed that Respondent shall not press for contempt proceeding for the compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal and matter was adjourned to 20.07.2023. Now Writ Petition is fixed on 03.08.2023.</li> <li>3. In view of above, Contempt Application is adjourned to 08.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 150px;">Sd/-</span>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span> </p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 24.07.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.953 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>M.L. Pendum</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that during the pendency of O.A. the Applicant is promoted by order dated 02.02.2022 and grievance of the Applicant to the extent of promotion is redressed. Letter dated 02.02.2022 is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Liberty is granted to the Applicant to make representation for Deemed Date of Promotion which may be considered in accordance to law.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.49 of 2023 in O.A. No.1224 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. Kamane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted for compliance of the order since the payment is in process, no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.242 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Bolbhat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.35 of 2017</p> <p>S.A. Adake .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1081 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Jamkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>S.O. 07.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1193 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. Tikawade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sambhaji Sawant, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. 07.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.139/2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri B.R. Ballana &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-A Member-J</b> <b>24.07.2023 24.07.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 24.07.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.140 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>A.V. Veer &amp; Ors.,</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Spl. Counsel has tendered notification dated 03.02.2023 issued by Finance Department whereby pay-anomaly in respect of employee appointed by nomination and promotion is addressed. On the basis of this notification he submits that the grievances of the Applicants are redressed.</li> <li>3. Whereas, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that the notification date 03.02.2023 is applicable to the pay scale on actual promotion as per last proviso. In notification according to him since the Applicants were given benefit of first Time Bound Promotion in 2009 from that day itself the Applicants are entitled to pay scale of Rs.10,100/- in place of Rs.9,300/-.</li> <li>4. When specific query is raised to Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Spl. Counsel about the issuance of revised pay fixation order in respect of notification dated 03.02.2023, on instruction he submits that no such orders are issued.</li> <li>5. In view of above, in first place it is necessary to find out what benefits Respondents are extending to the Applicant in terms of notification dated 03.02.2023 so that the controversy could be narrowed down. <span style="float: right;">I.P.O.</span></li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Respondent No.2 – The Commissioner of Sales Tax is directed to file fresh Affidavit about the implementation of notification dated 03.02.2023 by passing appropriate order and it should be placed on record by next date.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.07.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.90 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>D.R. Hindlekar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar at the very outset fairly stated that the claim of the present Applicant has become untenable in view of decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A. No.180/2018 (Shri Shashikant E. Jadhav v/s. State of Maharashtra) decided with connected O.A. 181/2018 (Shir Shivaji K. Kadam v/s. State of Maharashtra) on 27.09.2019 and confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 10.04.2013 in Writ Petition No.4941/2023 filed by Shri Shashikant E. Jadhav against the order of the Tribunal dated 27.09.2019.</p> <p>3. In O.A. No.180/2018 and 181/2018 decided by the Tribunal Judgment dated 27.09.2019 the Applicants claimed Deemed Date of Promotion. There was dispute of Seniority in Armed Police and Un-Armed Police. Admittedly, separate and independent seniority list was maintained for Armed Police and Un-Armed Police. The Applicants in O.A. No.180/2018 &amp; 181/2018 were claiming benefit of Time Bound Promotion on the ground of promotion given to Mr. Ashok G. Raigude who was serving in Armed Police. The Tribunal</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

concluded that Promotions of Armed Police and Un-Armed Police are governed by their independent seniority list, and therefore Police Personnel in Armed Police cannot claim Deemed Date of Promotion granted to another employee working in Armed Police. The Tribunal dismissed O.A. against which Writ Petition was filed and it also came to be dismissed on 10.04.2023.

4. Notably, present Applicant Shri Dipak R. Hindlekar, retired Police Head Constable is also sailing in same boat. He also initially joined Armed Police but later transferred to Un-Armed Police and also stands retired by way of voluntary retirement by serving in Un-Armed Police. In present O.A. he claimed pay parity with those who are in Armed Police in respect of whom after integration combined seniority list was prepared. However, this claim no more survives in view of decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A. No.180/2018 and 181/2018 decided on 27.09.2019 and confirmed by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.4941/2023.

5. In view of above, O.A. stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrābarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

<sup>WV</sup>  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.408/2023 with O.A.No.409/2023</b></p> <p>M.Y. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed application on 24.07.2023 requesting for withdrawal of both the O.As. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw.</p> <p>4. O.As. stand disposed of as withdrawn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<i>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</i>) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.94 &amp; 249 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Zade S.S. Kamble Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 3 and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that respondent no.2 has filed reply in OA No.94 of 2022. However, reply of respondent no.2 in OA No.249/2022 is yet to be filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.7.2023 to file reply of respondent no.2 in OA No.249/2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.7.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA.73/2022 in OAs.119/2019, 705/2020 &amp; 576/2020</u></p> <p>Ashvini T. Sakore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Ashvini T. Sakore, Applicant in person has sent an email on 23.7.2023 informing that due to illness of her daughter and heavy rains she is unable to attend the Court and seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.8.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.7.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.481 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.B. Mote &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Kochi i/b. Talekar &amp; Associates , learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that matter was fixed on 19.7.2023 and on that day it was adjourned to 25.7.2023. Ld. Advocate submits that it is to be fixed on 27.7.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.7.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1096 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.D. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was fixed today. However, it is adjourned to 7.8.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is going to retire on 31.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO is directed to call the concerned persons from the department.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 7.8.2023. FOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.7.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.76 of 2023 in O.A. No.132 of 2023

G.B. Nerkar & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri I.I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO submits that the office of CPO is not served.
3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to serve the Ld. CPO and all the respondents.
4. S.O. to 17.8.2023.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
24.7.2023

(sgi)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.616/2023</b></p> <p>G.P. Chimankar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.815/2023</b></p> <p>B.R. Pawar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.536/2023</b></p> <p>B.J. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Anjali S. Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocates for Respondent No.3 are absent.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.513/2023 with O.A.No.514/2023 with O.A.No.515/2023</b></p> <p>B.J. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that reply is filed in O.A.No.515/2023. She seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.513/2023 with O.A.No.514/2023.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.480/2023</b></p> <p>C.G. Pawar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Anjali S. Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.412/2023 with O.A.No.420/2023</b></p> <p>B.P. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. Manchekar seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.302/2023</b></p> <p>S.D. Waghmare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.271/2023</b></p> <p>S.B. Deshmukh .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to <b>17.08.2023</b>.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.241/2023</b></p> <p>D.K. Ghogewad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Tejas P. Hartalkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. P.G. Hartalkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.2/2023</b></p> <p>M.K. Sirsat .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and has filed a leave note. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 07.08.2023. HOB.</p> <p>Sd/-   Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A)   Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.160/2023 in O.A.No.285/2023</b></p> <p>S.D. Mane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<u>O.A. No.82 of 2016</u>								
	<p>R.R. Mistry ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>								
	<p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his Advocate are not present.</p>								
	<p>2. Id. CPO submits that recently Hon'ble High Court has taken a view in similar matters regarding giving one day break in service and increments in respect of temporary employees.</p>								
	<p>3. S.O. to 31.7.2023.</p>								
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Member (A)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">24.7.2023</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.7.2023</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	24.7.2023	24.7.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
24.7.2023	24.7.2023								
	<p>(sgj)</p>								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.696 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.V. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar holding for Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant was a Driver in Housing Welfare Corporation. He was removed from service by order dated 6.5.2011 which was confirmed in appeal by order dated 31.5.2016 and which are the subject-matter of challenge in this OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that applicant passed away on 13.9.2018. However, the OA was amended and as it was a matter of removal from service his heirs i.e. wife and children came on record as his Legal Representatives as per order dated 27.3.2019 passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.7.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">24.7.2023</td> <td align="center">24.7.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sg)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	24.7.2023	24.7.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
24.7.2023	24.7.2023								