

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">348 <u>M.A.No.237 of 2024 in O.A. No.504 of 2024</u></p> <p>Maharashtra Vanrakshak Vanpal Sanghatana, Nagpur, Thane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the proposed respondents may be added as respondents no.7 & 8 in the array of respondents in OA No.504/2024.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the court.</p> <p>4. MA for adding proposed respondents may be added as respondents no.7 & 8 in the array of respondents in OA No.504/2024 is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy of OA be served on all the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 19.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.06.2024

O.A. No.1438 of 2023

P.P. Bangosavi

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant who was earlier working on post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D, Circle, Mumbai' had been transferred to 'Deputation Post' of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai' by 'Transfer Order' of 'P.W.D,' dated 06.11.2023.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that as per liberty given to 'P.W.D.' as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' to modify or change the 'Transfer Order' of Applicant dated 06.11.2023 by which the Applicant was transferred without consent to 'Deputation Post' of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai', the Applicant has now been transferred to 'Vacant Post' of 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in 'Maharashtra State Infrastructure Development Corporation Mumbai' by 'Transfer Order' of 'P.W.D.' dated 10.06.2024.

4. The grievance of Applicant therefore stands redressed as he has also joined on 'Deputation Post' of 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in 'Maharashtra State Infrastructure Development Corporation' on 10.06.2024. Hence as nothing survives in this O.A. No.1438/2023; it stands disposed off. No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.C.A. No. of 20

IN

Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Petitions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.06.2024

O.A. No.276 of 2024

V.G. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 & 6.

2. Learned P.O. presents a copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 26.02.2024 with respect to transfer of officer at 'Sr. No.5' in 'Government Order dated 28.02.2024' of 'Home Department' which shows it was held along with but separately from 'P.E.B.-1' meeting held with respect to transfer of officers at 'Sr. No.1 to 4' in 'Government Order dated 28.02.2024' of 'Home Department'. Interestingly it appears both meetings of 'P.E.B.-1' were held in tandem on 26.02.2024. The officer at 'Sr. No.5' in 'Government Order dated 28.02.2024' accordingly came to be transferred from post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik to post of 'D.C.P.' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'.

3. Learned P.O. also produces copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held sometime earlier which relates to transfer of 'Respondent No.5' from post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nasik' to post of 'D.C.P.' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'. The 'Transfer Order' of 'Respondent No.5' from post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik' to post of 'DCP' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' was issued on 31.01.2024 and thereupon he came to be posted as 'D.C.P. (Head Quarters), Pimpri Chinchwad'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned P.O. on query made with reference to observations made in 'Para 7' of Order dated 14.06.2024, produces the copy of 'File Notings' which relates transfer of 'Respondent No.6'. She clarifies that 'Respondent No.6' was posted on 28.02.2024 without recommendation of 'P.E.B.-1' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. then emphasizes that transfer of 'Respondent No.6' was done without recommendation of 'P.E.B.-1' as such 'Statutory Powers' can be exercised by 'Highest Transferring Authority' under last 'Proviso' of 'Section 22N (2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act', 1951'.</p> <p>6. The 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' and 'File Notings' establish that two officers in rank of 'SP/DCP' had been transferred from post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nasik'; one to post of 'D.C.P.' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' which is officer at 'Sr. No.5' in 'Government Order dated 28.02.2024' of 'Home Department' while other was in respect of 'Respondent No.5' to the post of 'D.C.P.' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'.</p> <p>7. The transfer of 'Respondent No.6' which was admittedly without recommendation of 'P.E.B.-1' however does not appear to have been done under the last 'Proviso' 'Section 22N (2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act', 1951' which vests the 'Highest Competent Authority' with such 'Statutory Powers' but only in exceptional situations which must specifically relate to (a) 'Serious Complaints' (b) 'Irregularities' (c) 'Law & Order Problem'. As these eventualities do not seem to have occurred in respect of 'Respondent No.6' when she was posted as 'D.C.P.' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'.</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

W.O.A. No. of 20

IN

Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Petitions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The transfer of 'Respondent No.5' from post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik' to the post of 'D.C.P. (Headquarters) Pimpri Chinchwad' which was then 'Vacant Post' on 31.01.2024 was prior to transfer of Applicant from post of 'D.G.P (Traffic) Pimpri Chinchwad' to post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik'; therefore it does not relate to transfer of Applicant.

9. The transfer of 'Respondent No.6' who subsequently joined in place of 'Respondent No.5' as 'D.C.P. (Headquarters) Pimpri Chinchwad' who in turn was moved to post of 'D.G.P. (Traffic) Pimpri Chinchwad' in place of Applicant is required to be examined further so as to 'Lift the Veil'. The impromptu 'Modification / Change' in earlier transfer of 'Respondent No.6' though she did not join the post of 'Additional S.P. Railway, Pune' which enabled her to quickly join on post of 'D.C.P. (Headquarter) Pimpri Chinchwad' curiously coincides with transfer of Applicant from post of 'D.C.P. (Traffic) Pimpri Chinchwad' to post of 'S.P. Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik' on 28.02.2024 which came to be occupied by 'Respondent No.5'.

10. The answers provided to justify transfer of 'Respondent No.6' to post of 'D.C.P.' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' on 28.02.2024 are not convincing as she did not join on post of 'Additional S.P. Railways Pune' after her transfer from post of 'Additional S.P. Nashik (Rural)' which had then happened with recommendation of 'P.E.B.-1'. Pertinent to observe here is that fact that 'P.E.B.-1' had infact held its meetings just few days earlier on 26.02.2024 to recommend transfer of several officers in rank of 'S.P. / D.C.P.' including of Applicant.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

11. The office of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' is expected to respond to observations made above and confirm if 'P.E.B.-1' would like to review the earlier decision taken in respect of 'Respondent No.6' on 28.02.2024 and in turn of Applicant on 18.03.2024 upon appreciation with an 'Open Mind' essence of observations made in 'Para 6', 'Para 7' & 'Para 8' which relate to provisions of 'The Right of Person With Disability Act, 2016' in particular the role of Applicant as 'Care Giver' under 'Section 2 (d)' and then act as per directions in 'Para 9' and 'Para 10' of Order dated 29.02.2024 which possibly were not accorded indept thought when 'P.E.B.-1' had met on 18.03.2024; as 'P.E.B.-1' it appears leaned only on instructions of 'Election Commission of India' dated 21.12.2023 rather than observe the letter & spirit of law under 'Section 2(d)' of 'The Right of Persons With Disability Act, 2016'.

12. The learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.5' and 'Respondent No.6' on request is granted liberty to bring on record some 'Additional Information' which he claims to be related to meetings of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 26.02.2024 as well as circumstances which lead to transfers of 'Respondent No.5' & 'Respondent No.6' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' and that of Applicant to post of 'S.P., Maharashtra Academy, Nashik'.

13. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

14. S.O. to 01.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.06.2024

O.A. No.708 of 2024

O.R. DivateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2 presents copy of letter written by Respondent No.2 to 'Principal Secretary Urban Development Department-II' on 12.06.2024 wherein she has reported about taking over charge of post of 'Municipal Commissioner Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation' on 12.06.2024 as per directions in Urban Development Department Government Order dated 12.06.2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant contends that Applicant had not handed over charge of post of 'Municipal Commissioner Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation' on 12.06.2024 to 'Respondent No.2' as per provisions 'Rule 29' and 'Rule 31' of 'MCS (General Conditions of Service) Rules 1981'.

4. The charge of post of 'Municipal Commissioner Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation' has been claimed to have taken over on 12.06.2024 by Respondent No.2 who has thereupon informed 'Principal Secretary Urban Development Department-II' by letter 12.06.2024. However, this claim has been contested vigorously by Applicant. Hence Respondent No.2 is directed to produce documents to establish if handing over charge of post of Joint Commissioner, (District Administration officer, Group-A) in office of 'District Collector, Satara' and then taking over charge of post of 'Municipal Commissioner Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation' were done as per provisions 'Rule 29' and 'Rule 31' of 'MCS (General Conditions of Service) Rules 1981'.

5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

6. S.O. to 11.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.655 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.A.B. AhmedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.S. Kelkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.k., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant places Order dated 11.06.2024 of 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No. 8172/2024'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' which had been granted is terms of 'Prayer (b)' under caption of 'Interim Orders' under 'Para 16' has been elaborated in 'Para 6' & 'Para 7' of Order dated 17.05.2024.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 24.06.2024

O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023 with
O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with
O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with
O.A. No.1113 of 2023 with O.A. No.1245 of 2023

Dr. S.M. Borate

Dr. B.S. Nagargoje

Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi

S.D. Turbadkar

Dr. V.K. Ambore

Dr. D.R. Katke

Dr. S.A. Rokade

Dr. V.B. Patil

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate also holding for Smt Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocates for the Applicant. Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of his Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No.1245/2023.

2. Learned P.O. reiterates that 'Medical Education & Drugs Department' intends to contest 'O.A. No.1017/2023' and 'O.A. No.1113/2023' and thus to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' seeks time of another 'Two Weeks'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

4. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.575 of 2024</p> <p>A.B. GunjalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that no information is as yet available and thus seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to obtain information from office of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'.</p> <p>3. The 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' to take appropriate decision in 'Two Weeks' about last representation submitted by Applicant on 02.05.2024 to assign him back on post of regular 'Police Constable'; which he was holding at time of joining on 'Inter Establishment Transfer' on 26.05.2023 from establishment of 'Superintendent of Police (Railways) Pune'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.740/2024

**R.V. Chopde
Vs.**

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Issue Notice made returnable on 15.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 15th July, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.763/2024

S.B. Patil & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Pursuant to Advertisement dated 13.10.2023, the Applicants have applied for the post of 'Steno-Typist (Marathi)'. All the Applicants possess English Typing Certificate as well as Marathi Typing Certificate.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicants points out 'Application Form' of one of the Applicants by name Swati B. Patil. He also points out Numerical Options given were from 1 to 3 for 'Question Description'; which is as under :-

"Which Typing Skill Certificate do you have (Please Entry No.1 for Marathi, 2 for English, 3 for both)"

4. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that though Numerical Option of '3' was filled up by Applicants as they had both 'Educational Qualifications' and had also uploaded 'English Typing Certificate' and 'Marathi Typing Certificate', however, due to Technical Snag, the entry done of Numerical Option of '3' got converted into Numerical Option of '2'. The Numerical Option given for Marathi is '1, for English is '2' and for Marathi & English is '3'.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicants points out 'Publication' dated 16.05.2024.

6. S.O. to 26th June, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sdk
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**O.A.420/2024 with O.A.478/2024, O.A.157/2024,
O.A. 388/2024 & O.A.474/2024**

B.K. Redkar & Ors.

V.D. Landage

B.S. Jadhav & Ors.

V.B. Kamble

R.S. Roundhal

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Applicants

... Respondents

Shri D.H. Pawar, Smt. B. Mangale, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate and Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Private Respondents.

**CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member-A**

DATE : 24.06.2024

ORDER

1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate, Smt. B. Mangale, learned Advocate, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate and Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocates for Private Respondents.

2. These OAs are pertaining to recruitment for the post of 'Talathi' in State of Maharashtra. In Advertisement dated 06.11.2023, total 4793 posts were advertised. Selection Process was completed. The result was declared on 5th January, 2024. At that time, publication of Select List,

the names of all Applicants were included. Thereafter in the revised Select List, the names of Applicants were deleted. Some Questions and Answers were disputed by certain Candidates resulting in revision of Select List.


3. The learned CPO submits that in all 1041713 candidates appeared for Main Examination which is held from 17.08.2023 to 14.09.2023 in 3 Sessions. Total of 570 Question Papers Sets were used. 5700 Questions were included in these 570 Question Paper Sets. 219 Questions and Answers were disputed and reviewed. The revised Select List was published on 14.03.2024. Thereafter, revised Merit List was published on 14.03.2024 and all Applicants have approached the Tribunal against the revised Select List in which their names had been deleted.
4. The learned CPO further stated that on 15.03.2024, undisputed appointment orders of undisputed 1044 candidates were issued. MAT has passed the order of 'Status Quo' on 27.03.2024.
5. The learned CPO submits that for candidates who were outside notified PESA Areas of the partial PESA Districts, there are 1718 posts.
6. There was no change in revised Merit List of these 1718 posts in PESA District, as they were subsequently punished along with the revised Select List on 14.03.2024. She clarified that these 1718 posts are not covered under reservation in PESA Areas which is subject matter before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
7. The learned CPO submits that none of the candidates from this category has approached the Tribunal, and therefore, 1718 posts are not disputed or claimed by Applicants. Therefore, order of 'Status Quo' be revoked insofar 1718 posts in partial PESA Districts are concerned.
8. The learned CPO submits that in partial PESA Districts, there are total 1718 posts in Non-PESA Areas. For Non-PESA Areas in partial

PESA District, the Select List was not published at all, but subsequently, Select List was published on 14.03.2024. Hence, there is no issue of inclusion of names in Select List and exclusion of names in revised Select List.

9. We verified this position from learned Counsels present in these matters and confirmed that Applicants are aggrieved persons whose names were included in Select List and subsequently their names were deleted in revised Select List. Under such circumstances, the submissions of learned CPO about vacation of order of 'Status Quo' granted by the Tribunal excluding posts in Notified PESA Areas which are subjudice in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and in respect of posts where names included in Select List came to be excluded subsequently in revised Select List, we lift our order of 'Status Quo'. State Government may accordingly proceed to issue orders of appointment for 1718 posts which are Non-PESA Areas in partial PESA Districts.

10. S.O. to 26th June, 2024.


(DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY)
Member-A


(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)
Chairperson

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1189 of 2023</p> <p>S.K. BholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Urban Development Department' has now revoked the 'Stay Order' dated 08.09.2023 by which 'Transfer Order' dated 05.09.2023 of Applicant to the post of 'Chief Officer, Junnar Municipal Council' was stayed. Applicant had however been granted 'Interim Relief' on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>3. The Applicant therefore can continue to serve on post of 'Chief Officer, Junnar Municipal Council' as per the earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 05.09.2023 of 'Urban Development Department'.</p> <p>4. The grievance of Applicant therefore stands completely redressed by Order dated 24.06.2024 of 'Urban Development Department'. Hence, as nothing survives in this O.A. No.1189/2023 it stands disposed off with 'No Order as Costs'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.742/2024

G.B. Londhe
Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant has applied for the post of 'Steno-Typist' in SC Female Category pursuant to Advertisement No.111/2023 dated 13.10.2023. The Applicant cleared 'Preliminary Examination' on 23.11.2023. She appeared for 'Main Examination'. In 'Main Examination' she was given Set No.'D' of Question Paper. The result of 'Main Examination' was declared on 16.05.2024. The Cut-off Marks for SC Female Category was 173. However, the marks of Applicant have not declared by MPSC. She was orally informed that she failed. The Applicant re-looked at the 'Answer Sheet' copy and found that she had due to oversight and inadvertence, not written the 'Question Booklet' series which is Set No. 'A', 'B', 'C' or 'D'. She made representations to MPSC on 17.05.2024.

3. The Applicant therefore prays that she be allowed to correct the same in Answer Sheet and thereafter it should be re-assessed and her results be declared for 'Main Examination'.

4. The learned Advocate for Applicant relied on Judgment of OA 473/2024 in MA 310/2024 of this Division Bench on 13.05.2024. In the said matter, similar issue was involved.

5. S.O. to 25th June, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

SBH
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

O.A.739/2024**A.A. Gosavi & Anr.
Vs.****... Applicants****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The Applicants are dismissed from service by order dated 22.04.2024 by applying Article 311(2)(c) of Constitution of India.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks permission for amendment. Allowed to amendment.
4. Issue Notice made returnable on 08.07.2024.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. S.O. to 8th July, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

sd/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.684/2024

H.J. Yelgatte

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 08.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 8th July, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.520/2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Thool ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri P.S. Pradhan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents. 2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed. 3. S.O. to 1st July, 2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>skw</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 24.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sall</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 24.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.549/2024</u></p> <p>M.B. Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that she has received instructions and therefore seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1st July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>2/1-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 24.06.2024 <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 24.06.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.241/2024 in O.A.239/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. B.S. Karekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>skw</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 24.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>skw</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 24.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.141/2024</u></p> <p>K.R. Kendre & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The officer of concerned Department to verify the position.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SP/</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 24.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 24.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.26/2024</u></p> <p>K.D. Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>SK</i> <i>SB</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 24.06.2024 24.06.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.652/2024

S.R. Kamble

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S. Waghmare, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Government in Public Health Department had issued an Advertisement on 15.03.2023 for filling up of 30 Multi-Purpose Health Workers (Male). He submits that 12 candidates are appointed and Applicant was disqualified on the ground that he does not hold 'Certificate of Course of Sanitary Inspector' or 'Para-Medical Training Course'. Applicant possesses qualification of HSC and possesses 'Certificate of Sanitary Inspector'. Applicant also possesses 'Diploma in Medical Technology'.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that it is a condition that Candidate should have possessed either 'Certificate of Sanitary Inspector Course' or 'Para-Medical Training Course'.

4. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

5. Respondents are directed to keep one post vacant. It is made clear that if any appointment is made, it will be subject to outcome of this OA.

6. S.O. to 8th July, 2024.

S/R
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

S/J
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.354/2024 in O.A.104/2024

M.R. Navale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 01.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 1st July, 2024.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

not found, then family member to be given preference in 15% reservation.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is disabled and Ex-serviceman, and therefore he is to be given the priority. He further submits that Respondent No.2 is Ex-serviceman, but he is not disabled.

6. Learned CPO relied on Judgment of MAT Bench at Nagpur in OA No.50/2017 [Prakash A. Doifode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.] decided on 27.08.2018. She submits that similar issue was addressed by Tribunal wherein Applicant and Private Respondent No.3 both have applied for 15% reservation for Ex-serviceman Category. The Applicant in the said case has secured 106 marks and Respondent No.3 has secured 132 marks, and therefore, Respondent No.3 was appointed.

7. After hearing the learned Advocate for Applicant, learned CPO and after going through the judgment of Nagpur Bench in OA 50/2017, we reproduce relevant finding given by Hon'ble Tribunal at Nagpur, which is as under :-


"We have also perused the G.R. referred to above dated 2.9.1083 which states that the priority shall be given to those Ex-servicemen who became disabled during service period. However, question of giving priority will only arise when two Ex-servicemen are on equal footing. In the present case, admittedly the respondent No.3 is an Ex-serviceman and got more marks than the applicant and, therefore, there is no question of giving priority to the applicant merely on the ground that he became disabled during service period."

8. In the present case, the Respondent No.2 has secured 94 marks and Applicant has secured 90 marks. Thus by applying the said ratio, the preference should have been given to Respondent No.2.

9. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he would like to go through the Judgment in OA No.50/2017 and for that he seeks time. Time Granted.

10. S.O. to 8th July, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024


(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 25th July, 2024.

SKV
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
24.06.2024

SH
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
24.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.613/2019</p> <p>S.S. Chavan .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants No.1 to 5 & 14, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants No.6 to 11, 15 to 21 and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshmukh has submitted that reply is not filed by G.A.D. and Finance Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply. If affidavit is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without affidavit. In case O.A. is decided without affidavit, State will have to suffer the consequences.</p> <p>5. We direct the Applicant to substitute the memo of O.A. and serve the copy to the concern.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 02.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.101 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Tondase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 1.3.2024 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2024 for final hearing. Part heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.358 of 2024 in O.A. No.565 of 2024

M.K. Dalvi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA & O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
24.6.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.295 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.B. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.5 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.T. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 25.4.2024 of respondents no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.7.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1606 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.N. Bhojkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 10.6.2024 of respondents no.3 to 5.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) t Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1588 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Sanap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.5.2024 of respondents no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.755 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 2.5.2024 of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.7.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.259 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.Y. Sayyad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.133 of 2024 in O.A. No.259 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.Y. Sayyad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for condonation of delay of 10 months. The applicant who is working as Talathi, Ratnagir seeks to quash the impugned order dated 10.11.2021 confirmed in appeal by order dated 26.4.2022 by respondent no.1. He states that the reason for delay is that he has to attend the Police Station as a condition of his bail application in order of the Sessions Judge, Khed dated 7.7.2022. He was unable to leave his jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO states that the delay is not properly explained.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts of the case the delay is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.755 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.N. Survase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.754 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.V. Gade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.750 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.745 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Giri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.734 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.A. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.B. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.06.2024

**O.A.No.850/2023 with O.A.No.851/2023 with
O.A.No.876/2023**

J.J. Gaikwad
J.M. Mali
P.R. Gawande .Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.As though adjourned to 23.08.2024 are taken up at the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant.

3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 28.06.2024.

**Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Date : 24.06.2024

Original Application No. of 20

O.A.No.245/2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

R.R. Rathod

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana
B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that he
wants to produce two Departmental Enquires. The
first D.E. was initiated on 07.03.2005 and the
second D.E. was initiated on 13.03.2007. He has
submitted that the charge-sheet in the second D.E.
is not found in the record. He therefore seeks
permission to file application to place the copy of
charge-sheet of the 2nd D.E. along with some other
documents like common show cause notice and
reply. He therefore seeks permission to produce the
said documents by filing Misc. Application.

3. Allowed to file Misc. Application to place
documents on record.

4. Adjourned to 25.06.2024.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Date : 24.06.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.267/2016 Tribunal's orders
	<p>Dr. S.M. Nilange & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants No.1 to 5 & 14, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants No.6 to 11, 15 to 21 and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshmukh has submitted that reply is not filed by G.A.D. and Finance Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply. If affidavit is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without affidavit. In case O.A. is decided without affidavit, State will have to suffer the consequences.</p> <p>5. We direct the Applicant to substitute the memo of O.A. and serve the copy to the concern.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

24.06.2024

Tribunal's orders

C.A 19/2024 in O.A 417/2022

Smt R.P Gage ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Vaidehi Pradeep, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel submits that applicant is not asking interest from the date of cause of action in the Original Application, but she restricts the period of interest, i.e., from the date of compliance which is given in the order of 12.6.2023 in O.A 417/2022 by the Tribunal. She submits that two months' period was given for compliance of the order. The said two months period got over on 12.8.2023. Learned counsel submitted that the entire amount was paid to the applicant on 10.6.2024. Therefore, she claims interest @ 8% p.a. for the period from 12.8.2023 to 10.6.2024.
3. Learned counsel further submitted that she has given notice to the Respondents in October, 2023, for not complying with the order of this Tribunal dated 12.6.2023.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant relied on the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 3.4.2018 in W.P 12966/2017, Vinodkumar N. Dixit Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors, wherein Rule 129A and 130 of the M.C.S (Pension) Rules, 1982 and G.R dated 6.5.1991 was considered and 8% interest was granted to the petitioner on the gratuity amount.
5. Learned P.O submitted that there is no order of interest passed in the Original Application No. 417/2022, and therefore, the Respondents cannot give any interest to the applicant.
6. Hence, we call upon the Respondents why we should not saddle interest @ 6% p.a. to be paid to the applicant from 12.8.2023 when the period of two months for complying with the order of this Tribunal dated 12.6.2023 was over.
7. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.
8. S.O to 9.7.2024.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 16/2024 in O.A 703/2016</u></p> <p>C.D Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.N Pange, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 7.6.2023 has not been complied with by the Respondents till date.</p> <p>3. Issue notice to the Secretary, MPSC as to why action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 should not be initiated against you. The Respondent to take note that no adjournment will be given.</p> <p>4. S.O to 9.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 546 with 543/2023</u></p> <p>N.R Takey & Ors Dr V.N Wahul & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and none for Respondents No 6 & 8.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the issue of promotion is considered by the Respondents. However, orders will be issued after the Election Code of Conduct for election to Teachers Constituency gets over after 12.7.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 463, 464, 465 & 866/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>G.D Awad N.S Sabale & Ors R.S Kapade & Ors S.B Padwal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 65/2023 in O.A 263/2019</u></p> <p>D.B Vithoba Kodag ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Pange i/b Shri S.A Kashid, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition No. 6635/2023 is filed before the Hon'ble High Court and stay is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 89/2023</u></p> <p>S.P Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.7.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>24.06.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 1371/2023</u></p> <p>C.N Pisal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for default for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1026/2021 with M.A 114/2021 in O.A 982/2019 with O.A 753/2021</u></p> <p>R.K Bhosle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 8.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.236 of 2024</p> <p>V.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to the 'Short Affidavit' dated 27.03.2024 filed by 'Respondent No.3'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.428 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. N.P. MokashiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that she has received instructions from the Public Health Department and she would like to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.427 of 2024 with O.A. No. 462 of 2024</p> <p>C. Kerure A.S. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.2' - Urban Development Department in O.A. No. 462 of 2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that she will file separate 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A. No. 427 of 2024. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.623 of 2024</p> <p>M. DandavateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>