

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.15 of 2022 in O.A.No.928 of 2021</b></p> <p>Smt. Vivian Silver .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces the copy of the decision dated 22.06.2022 whereby the order dated 25.11.2021 passed in O.A.No.928/2021 wherein Respondent NO.1 was directed to decide the representation dated 11.04.2011 is complied with. Taken on record and marked as 'Exhibit-1' for identification.</p> <p>3. Since the order has been complied with, <sup>C</sup> O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.349 of 2022 in O.A. No.398 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Dahiphale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. PO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed the present MA for amending the OA as per Schedule A annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in the MA, amendment to OA is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.06.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 80/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.J Nandgaonkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant Range Surveyor working in the Revenue &amp; Forest Department challenges the order dated 17.9.2021 posting him in the office of Deputy Conservator of Forest Work Plan, Dahanu at Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai to either of the vacant post of Range Surveyor in the office of Addl. Chief Principal Conservator of Forest, Mangroves Cell or on the vacant post of Range Surveyor in the office of Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivili.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that when the applicant was transferred to Dahanu at Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai, by order dated 17.9.2021, the said post was not vacant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has requested for posting him at three places of his choice. However, the said request was not considered at the time of his promotion. But the choice given by his juniors who were promoted were considered. He claims that the post at Sanjay Gandhi National Park is vacant and he should be considered.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>24.06.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 548/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri I.G Chappalwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that in view of the order of this Tribunal dated 17.8.2021, the applicant was allowed to continue in the present post at Khalapur till 31.8.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that after completion of the said period on 31.8.2021, the applicant is transferred to Ratnagiri. However, he did not join and so show cause notice was issued to him. However, he joined at Ratnagiri on 20.6.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of the above submissions, the Original Application has become infructuous and is disposed of</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><b>24.06.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Tribunal's orders</b></p>
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A 588/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S. Gamre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Gamre, applicant in person and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces copy of letter dated 23.6.2022, whereby the Respondents have considered the request of the applicant and they are ready to accommodate and offer him posting in the office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D, North Mumbai Region, Andheri, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. The applicant who is present in person was explained the stand taken by the Respondents and he was given some-time to think over the said proposal.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, the Respondents are directed to issue his order of transfer and post him in the office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D, North Mumbai Region, Andheri, Mumbai, and the applicant is directed to join at the said place of posting.</p> <p>5. Original Application accordingly stands disposed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>24.06.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 500/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Jagtap ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as prayed for.</p> <p>3. Interim to continue till filing of reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.359 of 2018</b></p> <p>D.B. Vaishav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None for the Applicant. O.A. is filed on 19.04.2018. This is the old matter.</p> <p>3. The Applicant, Deputy Commissioner seeks promotion on the post of Joint Commissioner of Sales Tax. He prays for directions to be given to the Department that he is to be promoted to the post of Joint Commissioner subject to the outcome of Departmental Enquiry pending against the Applicant and from deemed dated w.e.f. 06.01.2014 or any other date fixed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. We came across the order dated 19.04.2018 filed by the Respondents along with their affidavit-in-reply dated 10.10.2018 filed through Mr. Vinayak D. Churi, Under Secretary office of Finance Department. This is the proposed punishment of reversion.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions about the acceptance and issuance of the order of reversion. It is to be noted that in this matter interim relief was rejected by this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Adjourned 29.06.2022. Registrar to inform this to learned Advocate and learned Advocate is directed to remain present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																																																									
	<u>O.A. No.207 of 2022</u>																																																									
	D.N. Gutte & 24 Ors. ..Applicants																																																									
	Vs.																																																									
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents																																																									
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.																																																									
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that applicants are challenging the termination order dated 16.2.2022 from the post of Accountant & Auditor (Class-III). These 25 applicants were appointed between January 2019 to November, 2019.																																																									
	3. Ld. CPO has filed affidavit dated 17.6.2022 of Mangesh Pandurang Chitale, Dy. Commissioner of Directorate of Municipal Administration, CBD Belapur, Mumbai stating that vacancies are still available for the post of Accountant & Auditor (Class-III) for various categories, after appointment of the eligible candidates as per first and revised list, which is reproduced below:																																																									
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Category</th> <th rowspan="2">Total Sanctioned Posts</th> <th rowspan="2">Posts as per advertisement</th> <th colspan="2">Number of posts filled as per first list</th> <th>Number of posts after appointment as per revised list</th> <th rowspan="2">Vacant posts after revised list as per advertisement</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Filled posts</th> <th>Vacant posts after first list</th> <th>Filled up posts after revision</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Scheduled Caste(13%)</td> <td>85</td> <td>47</td> <td>19</td> <td>28</td> <td>7</td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Scheduled Tribe (7%)</td> <td>46</td> <td>39</td> <td>0</td> <td>39</td> <td>0</td> <td>39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vimukta Jati (3%)</td> <td>20</td> <td>15</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nomadic tribes (B) (2.5%)</td> <td>16</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nomadic tribes (C) (3.5%)</td> <td>23</td> <td>19</td> <td>9</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nomadic Tribes (D) (2%)</td> <td>13</td> <td>7</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Category	Total Sanctioned Posts	Posts as per advertisement	Number of posts filled as per first list		Number of posts after appointment as per revised list	Vacant posts after revised list as per advertisement	Filled posts	Vacant posts after first list	Filled up posts after revision	Scheduled Caste(13%)	85	47	19	28	7	21	Scheduled Tribe (7%)	46	39	0	39	0	39	Vimukta Jati (3%)	20	15	10	5	5	0	Nomadic tribes (B) (2.5%)	16	6	6	0	0	0	Nomadic tribes (C) (3.5%)	23	19	9	10	2	8	Nomadic Tribes (D) (2%)	13	7	6	1	0	1
Category	Total Sanctioned Posts	Posts as per advertisement	Number of posts filled as per first list		Number of posts after appointment as per revised list	Vacant posts after revised list as per advertisement																																																				
			Filled posts	Vacant posts after first list	Filled up posts after revision																																																					
Scheduled Caste(13%)	85	47	19	28	7	21																																																				
Scheduled Tribe (7%)	46	39	0	39	0	39																																																				
Vimukta Jati (3%)	20	15	10	5	5	0																																																				
Nomadic tribes (B) (2.5%)	16	6	6	0	0	0																																																				
Nomadic tribes (C) (3.5%)	23	19	9	10	2	8																																																				
Nomadic Tribes (D) (2%)	13	7	6	1	0	1																																																				

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Special Backward Class (2%)	13	9	3	6	1	5
Other Backward Class (19%)	124	89	72	17	17	0
Open (48%)	310	191	185	6	1	5
Total	650	422	310	112	39	73

4. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants have completed three and half years of service and their posts are also counted in this vacancy chart. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants have secured more than the cut-off marks in the first list and after revised list cut-off marks was fixed at 67.5 marks and the applicants have gone below just by 1.25 marks. He submits that it is not the fault of the applicants and therefore termination will be injustice on them.

5. We directed the office of Urban Development Department and the office of Ld. CPO to work out the matter by adjusting in view of the vacancies available. Ld. CPO informs that the applicants who are already working can be accommodated as per reservation in the vacancies submitted in the chart (supra). We also note that applicants have put in nearly three to three and half years in service and they have appeared in the examination conducted by the respondents and they were selected as they have secured more marks than the cut-off. However, the judgment and order dated 3.3.2020 was passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.1025 of 2018 and answer of one question was declared wrong and thereafter the respondents declared revised list and the applicants naturally have secured 1.25 to 1.50 less marks and were not considered and so notice of termination were given. However, it is not the fault of the applicants. During three years of their service they naturally must not have applied for some other posts and therefore they have lost their opportunity of getting employment elsewhere. They have also passed the departmental examination in service.

6. Under such circumstances the OA No.207 of 2022 is allowed and the impugned termination notice dated 16.2.2022 is quashed and set aside. OA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
24.6.2022

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
24.6.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.376 of 2022 in O.A. No.973 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dy. Commissioner of Police, Mumbai ..Applicant Vs. Sanjay S. Thorat ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Applicant-original Respondent No.2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Applicant-original Respondent No.1-Dy. Commissioner of Police, Mumbai has filed the above MA for extension of time by two months to complete the Departmental Enquiry as directed by judgment and order dated 24.2.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.973 of 2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant opposes for extension of time.</p> <p>4. For the reasons mentioned in MA, time to complete the DE is extended by two months. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 24.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.15 of 2022 in O.A.No.928 of 2021</b></p> <p>Smt. Vivian Silver .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces the copy of the decision dated 22.06.2022 whereby the order dated 25.11.2021 passed in O.A.No.928/2021 wherein Respondent NO.1 was directed to decide the representation dated 11.04.2011 is complied with. Taken on record and marked as 'Exhibit-I' for identification.</p> <p>3. Since the order has been complied with, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.985 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. B.P. Satpute &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.6.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.690 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.M. Desale &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">24.6.2022</td> <td align="center">24.6.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	24.6.2022	24.6.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
24.6.2022	24.6.2022								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.416 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.W. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.6.2022 24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.804 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Kale &amp; 29 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 8.7.2022 for final hearing. High on Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.6.2022 24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.587 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.N. Madane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  24.6.2022 24.6.2022  (sgj) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.558 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.B. Balasaheb ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle holding for Shri Pratap Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.6.2022 24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.909 of 2021</u> <u>O.A. No.141 of 2022</u> <u>O.A. No.292 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Chandanshiv V.S. Telang A.R. Kende <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs No.909/2021 and 141/2022, Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.292/2022, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 &amp; 4 in OA No.292/2022.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate undertakes to file Vakalatnama for Respondents No.3 &amp; 4 in OA No.292/2022 and seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.7.2022. OA No.292/2022 is to be de-tagged from this group.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>24.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>24.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>Date : 24.06.2022</b>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.16 of 2022 in O.A.No.223 of 2020</b></p> <p>D.N. Kamble &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr, Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents explains on the basis of instructions given by Mr. Khamkar D.V., Inspector (Non-Gazetted) office of Women and Child Development Department, Mumbai as follows:-</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">i) In case of Applicant No.1, Mr. Dayanand N. Kamble, in service, his difference in pay was counted as Rs.4,42,000/- and the said amount is paid to him on 26.05.2022.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Learned Advocate submits that he has no grievance against the statement made by learned P.O. with regard to Applicant No.1.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">ii) In case of Applicant No.2, Smt. Usha S. Waidande, retired in her case Government has issued the pay fixation order on 13.04.2022.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Learned P.O. is directed to tender the copy of said order along with figures of payment to learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar for perusal.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">iii) In case of Applicant No.3, Smt. Sunita R. Raskar and Applicant No.5, Dadabhau H. Aher, in service, their difference in pay order has been issued and the amount will be paid to them along with the salary of this month.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">(iv) In case of Applicant No.4, Mr. Prakash Atmaram More, retired. his case is same as Applicant No.2. Government has issued pay fixation order.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>order and file has been sent to the office of Accountant General and thereafter it will go to the office of Treasury. This process will take one month.</p> <p>(v) In case of Applicant No.6, Hansrai S. Pawar, retired on 18.05.2022 an amount of Rs.40,000/- in respect of pay difference has been paid to him. His case is similar to that of Applicant No.4.</p> <p>3. The Commissioner, Mr. Rahul More, Women and Child Development Department is directed to personally monitor this case and ensure that the order dated 18.10.2021 passed in O.A.223/2020 is complied with especially retired persons within the period of one month from today.</p> <p>4. Respondent-Department to place the judgment before the Principal Secretary and Commissioner, Women and Child Development Department so as to enable him to comply with the orders on or before 30.07.2022.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply on or before 30.07.2022.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>