

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.323 of 2019 in O.A.No.953 of 2018</p> <p>K. A. Jamadar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Drs. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present M.A. is filed by learned Counsel for the Applicants for permission to implead the present Applicant Nos.1 to 4 as party petitioner Nos.13 to 16 in O.a.No.953/2018 on the ground that they are also effected by order dated 31.08.2018.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that present Applicants had earlier approached the Industrial Court for redressal of their grievances but later they have withdrawn the complaint filed before the Industrial Court, Solapur and, therefore, want to be impleaded as Applicants in the present O.A..</p> <p>4. There being common cause, the application is allowed. Present Applicants be impleaded as party petitioner Nos.13 to 16 in O.A. No.953/2018. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. M. A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.575 of 2019</p> <p>D. A. BharmadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 20.06.2019, the issue of interim relief was kept open and, therefore, today learned Counsel for the Applicant has taken the matter on board by circulation with notice to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. It is pointed out by the learned Counsel for the Applicant that the authority who has transferred the Applicant namely Director Health Services, Pune is not the Head of the Department and competent authority for transfer of the Applicant. In this connection, he referred the Notification dated 14.03.2014 which shows that the Director, Health Services, Director of Health Services, Mumbai is declared as Head of the Department for the purposes of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act, 2005'). As such, for general transfer also Director, Health Service, Director of Health Services, Mumbai is competent authority. However, in the present matter, the impugned transfer order has been issued by Director Health Services, Pune.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

4. It was sought to contend by the learned P.O. that the Director, Health Services, Pune has been newly created post by G.R. dated 06.03.2019 and, therefore, the said authority is competent to transfer the Applicant.

5. Even assuming that by G.R. dated 06.03.2019, new post namely Director, Health Services, Pune is created but the fact remains that there is no Notification declaring him competent authority as required under Section 7 of Transfer Act, 2005.

6.. Secondly, the Applicant though has given option of Rural Hospital, Rui, Tal.Baramati, Dist. Pune on the ground of brain surgery of his son in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018, it was not considered. The Applicant is not yet relieved.

7. In view of above, *prima-facie* the impugned order is not passed by the competent authority and, therefore, I am inclined to grant interim relief.

8. For the aforesaid reasons, interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(a) is granted.

9 Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

10. S.O. to 08.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.87 of 2019</p> <p>M. M. Y. DaruwalaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to appointment on compassionate ground. The Respondents has already filed Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks adjournment for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. The Applicant is at liberty to file Rejoinder, if desires on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.06.2019.

O.A.No.393, 394 & 396 of 2019

P.M. Mire & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, Shri S. D. Dole and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents have filed reply in all these Original Applications and those are taken on record.

3. The matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 15.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.06.2019.

M. A. No.314 of 2019 in O.A.No.539 of 2019
with
O.A. No.539 of 2019

5.O. TaydeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant (Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.539/2019), Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Original Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. has been filed to vacate the interim relief in favour of the Applicant in O.A.No.539/2019 by order dated 12.06.2019. In order dated 12.06.2019, interim relief was granted with the observations that *prima-facie* the impugned transfer order is in contravention of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act, 2005'). The issue as to who is the next competent authority for mid-term transfer is in issue.

3. In O.A. No.539/2019, the Respondent No.2 had already filed his reply.

4. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents submitted that reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 3 would be filed soon.

5. In view of above, it would be appropriate to decide the O.A. on merit in respect of deciding the M.A. as the issue involved needs to be decided on merit.

6. In view of above, M.A. No.314/2019 is disposed of with no order as to costs.

7. Hearing of O.A.No.539/2019 is expedited.

8. S.O. to 01.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.06.2019.

O.A.No.1134 of 2017

M. L. PendamApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The present O.A. is filed challenging the punishment imposed in D.E.
3. Pleadings are complete, the Original Application is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 12.07.2019.
4. S.O. to 12.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.06.2019.

O.A.No.110 of 2019

S. H. PathanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter, the Applicant who is working on the post of Clerk is seeking direction to consider his case for promotion to the post of Awai Karkun with deemed date of promotion. Thus, basically the Applicant is claiming promotion.

3. As the matter pertains to promotion, it needs to be placed before the Division Bench as per office order.

4. The office is, therefore, directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench.

5. S.O. to 08.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A. P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.310 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.06.2019.

O. A. No.332 of 2019

L. M. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is challenging the impugned order dated 14.01.2019 whereby the Applicant stands retired on medical ground. The Applicant contends that the impugned order is in contravention of provision of Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 and he is entitled to be continued on the same post or by creating supernumerary post till he attain the age of superannuation. This Tribunal has taken note of the provisions from Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 and passed self speaking order on 02.05.2019 directing the Respondents to take appropriate steps in accordance to law.

3. However, no steps have been taken nor reply is filed though enough chances are granted.

4. In view of above, the matter be kept for final hearing at the stage of admission and in the meantime the Respondents may file reply if desire, failing to which the matter will be heard finally.

5. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 24.06.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.63 of 2019</p> <p>P. G. KumthekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3. 2. Today, Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 3. Arguments heard. 4. During the course of argument, it is transpired that in the meeting dated 03.07.2014 the issue of continuation of the post of Police Patil at the places where there are police station or police Chowki was discussed in pursuance of G.R. dated 30.09.1986 which inter-alia states for cancellation of appointment of Police Patil of village where there is police station or police chowki. Whereas, in the meeting dated 03.07.2014 referred to above, it was found that the Hon'ble High Court Bench Aurangabad had stayed the implementation of Circular dated 30.09.1986 by order dated 12.06.1989. 5. Thus, it seems that implementation of Circular dated 30.09.1986 has been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court Bench. 6. In the present matter, one of the grounds for cancellation of appointment of Police Patil of the Applicant is Circular dated 30.09.1986 which seems to have been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court Bench Aurangabad. 7. In view of above, it is necessary to know whether the stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court is still in force and the final decision about the W.P. 8. When this aspect was brought to the notice of learned P.O., she stated that she has no instructions on this point and requested for time. 9. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 10. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Government to apprise the Tribunal about the stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court Bench Aurangabad to the Circular dated 30.09.1986 referred to above. It is also desirable to the Government to make their stand clear on the point by filing Affidavit-in-Reply since the Government has not filed reply in the matter. 11. S.O. to 08.07.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 24.06.2019.

O.A.No.860 of 2018

S. B. KambleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file fresh O.A. with proper pleadings and prayer.

3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. subject to law of limitation and as may be permissible in law.

4. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of as withdraw with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Signature)
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No. 477 of 2019</p> <p>B. V. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.71 of 2019

S.D. Giri ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 8.7.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
24.6.2019

(sg)

DATE: 24/6/19

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice ~~A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Justice ~~S. D. Chitambar (Member (A))~~ (Vlc. B)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate

Advocate for the Applicant are absent

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./ S.O. to 8/7/19.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 24/6/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. P. N. Dixit Member (A) (V/C-A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applied and Absent

Advocate for the Applicant absent

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to 15/7/19

O.A. No.58 of 2019

R.C. Indulkar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 15.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
24.6.2019

(sgl)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 24/6/19</p> <p>CORAM: B.P. Patil (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri N.P. Dalvi</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: N. C. Gohad</p> <p>C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to H. C. Gohad</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column</p> <p>S.O. to 15/7/19.</p>	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.316 of 2019 in O.A.No.577 of 2019</p> <p>A.F. Jadhav Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.07.2019. M.A. is regarding delay of 3 years and 2 months. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 15.07.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 24/6/19</p> <p>CORAM: B.P. Patil (J) (C-1) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Prashant Suryawanshi, P. N. Dixit G.M. Savagave Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to: Heard order passed in Tribunal column as disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to re-apply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">e</p>	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.794 of 2017</p> <p>B.D. Tamboli Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Prashant Suryawanshi states that the Applicant is intending to file application with Respondent No.5 for issuing certificate regarding her previous services rendered with him and therefore she wants to withdraw the O.A. Learned Advocate, therefore, prays to grant leave to applicant to withdraw the present O.A. with liberty to approach Respondent No.5 to file application.</p> <p>3. In view of the submission made by learned Advocate leave as prayed for is granted. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Applicant to approach Respondent No.5 seeking appropriate relief.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 24/6/19</p> <p>CORAM: B.P. Patil (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble P.N. Dixit Member (A) (V) C-0</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. P.S. Patilak is absent.</p> <p>Advocate: K.S. Gaikwad C.A. P.O. for the Respondent/s Adv. of P. Patil for the Respondent/s absent Adj./ S.O. to 26/6/19</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p>	<p>Date : 24.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.101 of 2018</p> <p>S.D. Deshmukh Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2. None for the Applicant and Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed for hearing but the Applicant remained absent, it seems that the Applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter and hence, kept for dismissal order.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.06.2019.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>~~~~~ Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>~~~~~ Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

(4)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. . of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 24/6/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (vic-B)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D. R. Irale Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj/S.O. to 12/7/19
order passed in
Tribunal Column
5020 12/7/19

with
O.A. 312/19
ad 355/19

[Signature]

O.As. No.312 & 355 of 2019

D.P. Koli
N.D. Apte & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants state that according to the applicants they were eligible for being placed in seniority as soon as they passed the Degree examination in 2011. The Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to justify the delay of 8 years after being rejected or not being considered in the seniority list.

3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned 12.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
24.6.2019

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>24/6/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (✓) (K-B)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Applicant and Advocate</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>are absent</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>1.7.19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>l</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.295 to 298 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.S. Lad (OA.295/19) R.S. Shingre (OA.296/19) A.V. Tone (OA.297/19) N.B. Mulla (OA.298/19) ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 24.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>