

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date: 24.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.439 of 2024 with O.A. No. 441 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>M.V. Chaudhari</b><br/><b>S.S. Nadaf</b> .....Applicants<br/><b>Versus</b><br/><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule &amp; Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants reiterates that Applicant in O.A. No. 439/2024 had given '5 Options' while Applicant in O.A. No. 441/2024 had given '3 Options' for transfer to 'Police Establishments' in 'Konkan - 2 Division' after promotion to post of 'Police Inspector' but these were not considered as per 'DGP, Maharashtra State' Order dated 15.03.2024 for reasons which have been recorded in 'Para 7' and 'Para 8' of Order dated 28.03.2024.</p> <p>3. The 'DGP, Maharashtra State' is now in process of filling up '354 Posts' of 'Police Inspector' in 'Konkan-2 Division' as per Order passed in O.A. No. 1268/2022 on 16.01.2024 and directions for its compliance given on 18.04.2024 in 'C.A.' No. 33/2024 in O.A. No. 1268/2022.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No. 489/2024 and O.A. No. 444/2024 of Applicants are yet to be finally heard on points of law and merits of case. Further as some more time would be required by 'DGP, Maharashtra State' to re-examine allotment of 'Revenue Division' made to 'Police Inspectors' upon promotion from cadre of 'A.P.I.s' of '102-Batch' especially in respect of 'Konkan-2 Division'; therefore based on facts and circumstances relating to cases of Applicants and taking into due consideration that it would be necessary for 'DGP, Maharashtra State'</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

to forthwith issue orders of promotion to fill up '354 Posts' of 'Police Inspectors' as per directions given on 18.04.2024 in 'C.A.' No. 33/2024 in O.A. No. 1268/2022; it is directed that (a) '1 post' of 'Police Inspector' in establishment of either ACB/ Thane City/ Thane Rural/ Highway Security Force/ Police Center Marol be kept available in 'Konkan-2 Division' for Applicant in O.A. No. 439/2024 and (b) '1 Post' of 'Police Inspector' in establishment of either CP Navi Mumbai/ CP Vasai Bhayander/ CP Thane be kept available in 'Kokan-2, Division' for Applicant in O.A. No. 441/2024.

5. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p data-bbox="788 775 1018 808"><b>Date: 24.04.2024</b></p> <p data-bbox="847 852 1458 886"><b>O.A. No.427 of 2024 with O.A. No. 462 of 2024</b></p> <p data-bbox="788 929 1501 1084"><b>C. Kerure<br/>A.S. Raut .....Applicants<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol data-bbox="788 1169 1501 2449" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="788 1169 1501 1290">1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li data-bbox="788 1349 1501 1599">2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that neither (a) 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department' nor (b) 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC' have taken any action to implement Order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 28.03.2024 permitting Applicant join back on post of 'Deputy Commissioner, PMC'.</li><li data-bbox="788 1658 1501 1857">3. Learned PO states that no specific information is yet available as to why orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 28.03.2024 have not been implemented by either (a) 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department' and (b) 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC'.</li><li data-bbox="788 1916 1501 2449">4. The inaction on part of both (a) 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development and (b) Municipal Commissioner, PMC' thus amounts to willful disobedience of Order of 'Interim Relief' granted to Applicant on 28.03.2024. Hence; they are directed to 'Show Cause' as to why 'Suo Moto' proceedings under 'Contempt of Court Act: 1971' should not be initiated as per provisions of 'Rule 4' of MAT (Contempt of Court) Rules 1996 for their failure to implement Orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 28.03.2024 to Applicant under provisions 'Section 24' of 'Administrative Tribunals Act 1985'.</li></ol> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2024.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 24.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.116 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>P.S. Joshi</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' was directed to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' by 04.04.2024, but it could not been done apparently on the grounds that 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has been deputed as 'E.C.I. Observer' for 'General Election - Lok Sabha 2024'.</p> <p>3. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 27.02.2024 filed on behalf of 'R.T.O. Thane' emphasizes that Order dated 25.04.2023 passed by 'Deputy R.T.O.' does not violate any provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. The Order dated 25.04.2023 passed by 'Dy. R.T.O. Thane' is thus of 'Administrative Nature' and issued after prior approval of 'R.T.O, Thane' who being 'Administrative Head' has powers to shift any 'Government Servant' under him from one place to another which is within office of 'R.T.O. Thane'.</p> <p>4. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' which was filed earlier on 27.02.2024 on behalf of 'R.T.O. Thane' mentions that both 'Old Building and New Building' are part of offices premises of 'R.T.O. Thane', and they are located within distance of 3 Kms 'Old Building' also has about 20 Ministerial Staff' and provided with facilities of Washroom.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

5. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 27.02.2024 filed on behalf of 'R.T.O. Thane' also mentions states that 'Senior Officer' has been directed to look into compliant of Applicant and take appropriate action. The services of Applicant are not to be utilized only by 'R.T.O. Thane' but by other 'Senior Officers' as well sitting in 'Old Building'. Further as per norms no separate 'Cabin' has been provided to 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)'.

6. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' will take some time to be placed on record as 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is now away for work as 'E.C.I. Observer' for 'General Election of Lok Sabha 2024'. Further as 'R.T.O. Thane' has not on his own accord revoked Order dated 25.04.2023 of 'Deputy R.T.O. Thane' inspite of detailed observations recorded on 05.02.2024 and 19.03.2024 by stubbornly not acknowledging the fact that 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' is standalone 'Single Post' attached individually to assist officers serving on the posts of '24 R.T.Os'. Hence, '*Prima-facie*' it appears that Applicant had been laterally shifted by cryptic and ambiguous Order dated 25.04.2023 of 'Deputy R.T.O. Thane' to 'Old Building' due to some prejudice which is required to be unravelled and it is for this reason that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' has been sought from 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

7. The 'Balance of Convenience' at this stage therefore lies with the Applicant; who is accordingly permitted to occupy her earlier 'Work- Station'/ 'Desk' & 'Table' located in 'New Building' of 'R.T.O. Thane'; till 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed by 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' upon return from duty as 'E.C.I. Observer' for 'General Election - Lok Sabha 2024'.

8. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 24.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.405 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>J.U. Kale</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who is 'Forest Guard' had served in 'Panvel Forest Range' under 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Panvel Forest Division' since 18.06.2019</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant therefore came to be transferred by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Thane Circle, Thane' Order dated 25.08.2023 to post of 'Forest Guard' in 'Sudhagadh Forest Range' under 'Deputy Conservator Forest, Alibag Forest Division'.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that as per guidelines in 'Para B(2)(iii)' of 'Revenue and Forest Department' G.R. dated 22.05.2017 transfers of 'Forest Guard' should be effected from establishment of particular 'Forest Division' to 'Other Branches' such as 'Wildlife, Social Forestry' etc. only after completion of two consecutive tenures in that 'Forest Division'.</li> <li>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that even though Applicant came to be transferred out of jurisdiction of 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Panvel Forest Division'; Applicant is now requesting for transfer to post of 'Forest Guard' back in 'Panvel Forest Range' under jurisdiction of 'Deputy Conservator of Forest,</li> </ol> |

| <b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b> | <b>Tribunal's orders</b>  |
|--|---|
|  | <p>Panvel Forest Division' as per option submitted on 11.05.2023 as there are 'Vacant Post' still available in 'Panvel Forest Range' and request is in conformity with guidelines in 'Para B(2)(iii)' of 'Revenue and Forest Department' G.R. dated 22.05.2017.</p> <p>6. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Thane Circle, Thane' therefore to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' whether request of Applicant is as per guidelines in 'Para B(2)(iii)' of 'Revenue Forest Department' G.R. dated 22.05.2017 and if it can be considered as Applicant is seeking to be posted back on 'Vacant Post' of 'Forest Guard' in 'Panvel Forest Range' under 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Panvel Forest Division'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 06.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.368 &amp; 370 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>Rupali Y. Bhosale<br/>Prashansa D. Rathod                      ....Applicants<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Original Applications have been filed on 12.03.2024. Till now, three dates are given to learned CPO to obtain instructions as 'Interim Relief' is pressed by the Applicants.</p> <p>3. The Applicants in both matters pray for quashing and setting aside the order dated 06.03.2024 issued by Respondent No.2 to the extent of fixing eligibility to post of 'Laboratory Scientific Officer (Malaria)' and further prays that Applicants be appointed on post of 'Laboratory Scientific Officer (Malaria)'.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that the Respondent No.1 issued an Advertisement on 21.05.2021 for the post of 'Laboratory Scientific Officer (Malaria) and other various posts of Public Health Department. However, as the paper was leaked, the Respondent No.1 cancelled the examination and carried forward all posts. In the Advertisement dated 21.05.2021, in Clause 2.2., the criteria for eligibility incorporated that the candidate should possess a requisite education qualification till the date of Publication of the Result. Thereafter, the Respondent No.1 in 15.03.2023 issued an Advertisement combined for the year 2021 &amp; 2023 for various posts. In Clause 2.2 of Advertisement dated 15.03.2023, the requisite criteria for eligibility incorporates that candidate</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>should possess the requisite Educational Qualification by the last 'Date of Filling' of the Application Form.</p> <p>5. The learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that Ms Rupali Bhosale is at Sr. No.479 and Ms Prashasha Rathod is at Sr. No.373 in the 'Merit List' published by Respondent No.1. Thereafter, on 22.02.2024, the Respondent No.2 sent a letter to Applicants for 'Document Verification'. At the time of 'Document Verification' on 26.02.2024, the Applicant were orally informed that they do not possess requisite Education Qualification as per Clause 2.2. of Advertisement dated 15.03.2023 as the 'Degree Certificate' should be before the last Date of Filling of the Application Form'</p> <p>6. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that last Date of Filling the Application Form was 18.09.2023 thereafter it was extended to 22.09.2023 and Ms Rupali Bhosale received an 'Internship Certificate' on 30.10.2023 and Ms Prashansa Rathod received her 'Internship Certificate' on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>7. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that after Documents Verification on 26.02.2024 and candidature of Applicants were rejected on 26.02.2024 by Selection Committee on the ground of ineligibility as per Clause 2.2 of Advertisement dated 15.03.2023. The Applicants therefore pray for direction to Respondents that they be appointed on post of 'Laboratory Scientific Officer (Malaria)'.</p> <p>8. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that case of the Applicants is covered under the ratio laid down by judgments in case of <i>Ram Kumar Gijroya vs Delhi Sub. Services Selection Bd. &amp; Anr: (2016) 4 SCC 754 and Dolly Chhanda vs Chairman, Jee &amp; Ors.: 2004(4) SCT 546.</i></p> <p>9. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.05.2024.</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.57 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>B. G. Kadam ....Applicant</b><br/><b>Versus</b><br/><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li><li>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant who is working as 'District Seed Certification Officer', Satara challenges Departmental Enquiry initiated by Respondent No.1 by Charge Sheet dated 21.01.2022 which was served by the District Superintendent Agricultural Officer, Satara by letter dated 03.02.2022.</li><li>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that incident pertains to the Year 2014 while Departmental Enquiry has been initiated belatedly in 2022.</li><li>4. The learned CPO for Respondents relies on Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 dated 05.03.2024 and 03.04.2024 filed by Shri Ashok Gaikwad, Administrative Officer, in the office of Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Kolhapur Division, Kolhapur explaining the delay in institution of Departmental Enquiry.</li><li>5. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks stay to Departmental Enquiry. The Applicant who is working as 'District Seed Certification Officer', Satara challenges Departmental Enquiry which was initiated on 21.01.2022 on grounds that incident and allegation are of the year 2014-2015 and 2016-2017. The learned Counsel submits that the Applicant who is now working as 'District Seed Certification Officer', Satara District</li></ol> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>and it is alleged that Applicant in 2014-2015 had not acted as per Circular dated 25.05.2015 and have violated the same.</p> <p>6. Learned Counsel for Applicant then submits that Applicant was already transferred from Taluka Agricultural Officer, Khatav, District Satara by the Respondent No.1 by order dated 24.06.2014 and relieved on 26.06.2014 and posted as Taluka Agricultural Officer, Sangammer District Ratnagiri on 01.09.2014. Thus, it is not expected that Applicant did not act as per the procedure or guidelines laid down in Circular dated 25.05.2015. She further points out that there is a delay in initiating and conducting enquiry. Thereafter, the Enquiry Officer was appointed on 10.03.2023 i.e One Year after initiation of Departmental Enquiry. The 1<sup>st</sup> date of Departmental Enquiry was fixed on 18.06.2024. Under such circumstance, learned Counsel for Applicant prays that Departmental Enquiry be stayed.</p> <p>7. Learned Counsel for Applicant relied on two Affidavits filed on 05.03.2024 and 03.04.2024 by Shri Ashok Gaikwad, Administrative Officer to explain the delay in institution of Departmental Enquiry. In the last Affidavit of 03.04.2024, the Chronology of Events is given from the nature of complaints to initiation of Departmental Enquiry.</p> <p>8. We have gone through both the Affidavits. It appears that the Departmental Enquiry was initiated on the basis of complaint filed by Shri Vilas Shankar Yadav before the Hon'ble Upa-Lokayukta. The charges in the Affidavit shows that report was submitted to Vigilance Squad on 24.08.2018 and then proposal was submitted for Departmental Enquiry on 27.05.2021 by Commissioner of Agriculture and Departmental Enquiry was initiated on 21.01.2022. Thereafter proposal was submitted for appointing Enquiry Officer to the Competent Authority on 25.08.2022. The Supplementary Chargesheet was served by the Commissioner, Agriculture on 26.08.2022. The Supplementary Chargesheet was served on Applicant and other officers on 09.03.2023 and on 10.03.2023, the 'Regional Departmental Enquiry Officer' was appointed as the 'Enquiry Officer'. The preliminary hearing of 18 delinquents' officers was fixed on -</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>22.03.2024 and the next preliminary and regular hearing was fixed on 18.06.2024 at Regional Departmental Enquiry Officer, Pune.</p> <p>9. Considering the above chronological events, we are of the view that delay is not properly explained on two Affidavits filed on 05.03.2024 and 03.04.2024. We failed to understand why there was more than One Year delay and no action was taken by the Agriculture Department in initiating Departmental Enquiry. The report of the Vigilance Committee was submitted to Commissioner, Agriculture on 24.08.2018 while the Departmental Enquiry was initiated on 21.01.2022 and 'Enquiry Officer' was appointed only on 10.03.2023. Thus, there is unexplained delay in initiation of Departmental Enquiry and in appointment of Enquiry Officer.</p> <p>10. Under such circumstances, we grant interim stay as follows :</p> <p>(i) The Departmental Enquiry is stayed till further orders qua the delinquent officer who is Applicant.</p> <p>(ii) The enquiry in respect of other delinquent officers may proceed further on next preliminary and regular hearing on 18.06.2024 before Regional Departmental Enquiry Officer, Pune.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 27.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.570 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Patil</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant had joined on the post of 'Chief Electrical Inspector' in 'Energy Department' on 06.02.2024 in pursuant to PWD GR dated 05.02.2024.</li><li>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant then submitted that Applicant did not receive Salary &amp; Allowances for more than last 2 months and his Salary &amp; Allowances be paid as he has worked w.e.f. 06.02.2024 on the post of 'Chief Electrical Inspector' in 'Energy Department'.</li><li>4. We direct the Respondents to verify if Applicant has joined and working on post of 'Chief Electrical Inspector' in 'Energy Department' since 06.02.2024, then the 'Energy Department' to release his Salary &amp; Allowances within 7 days.</li><li>5. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that he has served the copy of OA to Respondents.</li><li>6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li><li>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.05.2024.</li></ol> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

12. S.O. to 08.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.277 of 2023 in O.A.No.460 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K. B. Ghodke &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Gaikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment in prayer clause as per the 'Schedule' (Annexure-A).</li><li>3. Amendment is allowed, It be carried out forthwith. The copy of amendment be served to all Respondents.</li><li>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b><br/>Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>M. A. No.269 of 2024</b><br/><b>In</b><br/><b>O.A.No.572 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>G. Kumavat &amp; Ors</b> ....Applicants</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li><li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li><li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</li><li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</li><li>5. M. A. No.269 /2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1301, 1302, 1303 of 2022 with<br/>O.A.No.259 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. Wanve &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO for Respondents submits that she will file Affidavit in Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1555 of 2023</p> <p>S. L. Ingawale ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</li><li>3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</li><li>4. S.O. to 10.06.2024.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.47 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. A. D. Rathod &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Daksha Punghera holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant, and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</li><li>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</li><li>3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</li><li>4. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.182 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S. A. Panchbhai ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kathane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.183 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S. A. Panchbhai ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kathane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.494 of 2024</p> <p>K. S. Lanjekar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.380 of 2024</p> <p>V. R. Nagargoje &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri V. S. Kadam , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.245 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>K. H. Borse</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sweta Marathe holding for Shri S. B. Chandan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The responsible officer from the Public Health Department is directed to remain present on next date as no reply is filed in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b><br/>Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.572 of 2024</p> <p>G. Kumavat &amp; Ors. ....Applicants<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li> <li>2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2024.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span><br/>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 24.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.356 of 2024 to O.A. No.358 of 2024</p> <p>A.M. Kumbhar<br/>L.G. Gawade<br/>M.S. Madgulkar .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Rural Development Department' as directed in 'Para 6', 'Para 7' &amp; 'Para 8' of Order dated 12.03.2023 to explain reasons why Applicants who are 'ABDO's' were required to be transferred by 'Transfer Order' dated 16.02.2024 of 'Rural Development Department' to be posted from (a) Sangli to Satara in O.A. No.356/2024 &amp; O.A. No.358/2024 and (b) Satara to Sangli in O.A. No.357/2024.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2024</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 532/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.K Mande ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 772/2023 in O.A 1553/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.S Kalekar ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 263/2024 in O.A 30/2021</u></b></p> <p>M.P Lalwani ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Upasni, learned advocate holding for the applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the applicant in person is not keeping well and request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 276/2024 in O.A 1268/2022</u></b></p> <p>B.D Kamble ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the present Misc Application is filed seeking clarification of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 18.4.2014 in C.A 33/2024 in O.A 1268/2022.</p> <p>3. As C.A 33/2024 in O.A 1268/2022 is fixed tomorrow, Misc Application No. 276/2024 to be placed on Board tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1619/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.S Takate ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1603/2023</u></b></p> <p>A.S Phad &amp; Ors ... Applicants<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1185/2023</u></b></p> <p>G.D Jadhav ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1080/2023</u></b></p> <p>P.P Ingle ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale holding for Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1074/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.B Kamble ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 532/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.K Mande ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1578/2023</u></b></p> <p>J.S Bansode ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S Bansode applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that sur-rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.4.2024 at 1.15 pm.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 772/2023 in O.A 1553/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.S Kalekar ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 607/2022 in O.A 465/2020</u></b></p> <p>G.Y Deshpande ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As Election Code of Conduct is in force, no further steps in the matter can be taken.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 73/2023 in O.A 733/2022</u></b></p> <p>R.H Arsidh ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As Writ Petition filed by the Respondents is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, matter adjourned to 13.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 40/2022 in O.A 733/2021</u></b></p> <p>S.P Kolte ... Applicant<br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondent No. 1 and none for Respondent No. 2</p> <p>2. As Writ Petition filed by the Respondents is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, matter adjourned to 12.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b><br/> Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/> <b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 650/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.R Gire ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time. By way of last chance, Respondents are given time to take decision in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 368/2017</u></b></p> <p>R.H Aangre ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale holding for Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>24.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 555/2020</u></b></p> <p>D.N Lokhare ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayappan holding for Shri V.V Ugale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue involved in this matter is whether the reservation of 10% to Part Time Graduate can be extended to MSRTC. Therefore, we would like to know from the Principal Secretary, Transport on affidavit whether MSRTC is an autonomous body.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/>Member (A)<br/>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/>Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.33 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.B. Shirsath ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 23.4.2024 of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.4.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>24.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. Patil .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date: 24.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.342 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Shaikh</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 – The Administrator cum Chief Officer, Satara Municipal Council, Satara dated 23.04.2024. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3. It is also taken on record.</li> <li>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

Date: 24.04.2024

O.A. No.1533 of 2023

S.A. Bagul, .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is absent.
2. O.A. is admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing' with liberty to learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder', if any.
3. S.O. to 18.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 24.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.276 of 2024 with M.A. No.171 of 2024 with M.A. No.172 of 2024</p> <p>V.G. Patil .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.276/2024 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate on behalf of Applicant in M.A. No.171 of 2024 with M.A. No.172 of 2024 is absent.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.276/2024.</p> <p>3. O.A. No.276 of 2024 be placed for 'Due Admission' along with M.A. No.171 of 2024 with M.A. No.172 of 2024</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.108/2023 in O.A.No.623/2017</b></p> <p>S.G. Shinde .Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Member (A) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 24.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.40/2024 in M.A.No.697/2023 in<br/>O.A.No.200/2022</b></p> <p>D.H. Choudhari .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant.</li> <li>2. In view of the objection raised by learned Counsel regarding appearance of Chief Presenting Officer or Presenting Officers, none appears for the Respondents today.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that every Contempt is a Crime and as per the jurisprudence every crime is to be prosecuted by the State. Learned Counsel presses that Contempt Notice is to be issued on the Contemnors.</li> <li>4. We keep this issue pending at this stage. We are of the view that Contempt is always the matter between court and the Contemnor. It is such a weapon with the Court which is rarely used, if at all there is intentional or deliberate Contempt of its order. Hence, it is to be assessed by giving opportunity to the Respondents whether there is any intentional or deliberate Contempt. Hence, we issue notice to the Respondents. Respondents may appear through Counsel or in person. At this stage, we are not deciding this order which was raised in earlier order dated 18.04.2024 at paragraphs 3 &amp; 4.</li> <li>5. Contemnors may either appear in person or through Counsel or depute any other officer from Mantralaya, who is conversant with the file. We would like to verify whether it is intentional and deliberate on the part of these two Contemnors and then we will issue Contempt notice. However, the Contemnors are just to appear or engage the Counsel of their choice or depute any officer from the said Department.</li> <li>6. The order dated 21.11.2013 passed in O.A.No.200/2022 is the subject matter of the Contempt. In paragraph 7 of the said order we have directed the Respondents to pay pension and gratuity to the applicant with interest as per Rules within the period of three months from the date of</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>the order and the Applicant was directed to cooperate the Respondents. As the order was passed on 21.11.2023 and three months time was given for compliance, the said period came to end on 21.02.2024. As no action is taken by the Government, Contempt Application is moved by the Applicant.</p> <p>7. We make it clear that we do not want to answer or decide whether the C.P.O. or P.Os should appear on behalf of the Contemnors when they have acted in the Respondent capacity. However, C.P.O. and P.Os are at present not representing Contemnors in this matter.</p> <p>8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.04.2024.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. Learned Counsel has submitted that he has already served copy of Contempt to the concern. However, on going through the copy of affidavit of service it seems that there is service on the Department which is wrong therefore we direct the Applicant to serve all the Contemnors personally.</p> <p>15. At the request of learned Counsel, Adjourned to 30.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**24.04.2024**

**M.A 268/2024 in O.A 570/2024**

Sandip A. Patil ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Ravi Shetty with Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Matter not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of learned counsel for the applicant.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that O.A 207/2023 is transferred by Judicial order dated 26.3.2024 from Nagpur Bench to Aurangabad Bench. Learned counsel further submitted that the present applicant is Respondent No. 2 in O.A 207/2023 pending before M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench. The present applicant has filed O.A 570/2024 before this Tribunal on 22.4.2024 and now it is fixed before the D.B-II today. Learned counsel further submitted that O.A No. 207/2023 transferred from M.A.T, Nagpur to Aurangabad Bench is fixed today before Aurangabad Bench. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that there is also one more Original Application No 203/2024 filed by Mrs Samisha Survase pending before this Bench. In all there are three Original Application and the relief claimed in all the three Original Applications are interconnected and in order to avoid multiplicity of litigations all the O.As are to be heard by one and the same Bench.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant therefore prays that the present Misc Application is to be preponed and to be taken on Board today.
5. Considering the request and facts involved in the matter as stated by the learned counsel for the

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

11. S.O to 3.5.2024.

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**24.04.2024**

**M.A 90/2024 in O.A 1691/2023 with M.A 116/2024  
in O.A 1657/2023**

R.D Panchal & Ors  
G.S Rathod & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Darshan Sahuji holding for Shri A.G Talhar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O submits that the copy of the Misc Applications is not served on the Respondents.
3. Learned counsel submits that he will serve copy of the Misc Applications today itself to the Respondents.
4. S.O to 2.5.2024.

sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn