

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.211 of 2022</u></p> <p>Mrs. Gouri R. Walundra ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he is not challenging the punishment imposed in DE of dismissal dated 25.1.2010. He seeks the order of reinstatement in service on the ground of decision dated 14.1.2016 given by the Hon'ble High Court in Criminal Appeal No.118 of 2011.</p> <p>3. The OA is challenged by the Ld. PO on the ground of maintainability because unless the decision given in the DE of dismissal is set aside the applicant cannot be reinstated though there is judgment of acquittal by the Hon'ble High Court in Criminal Appeal.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"> <u>O.A. No.1026 of 2021 with</u>  <u>M.A. No.114 of 2021 in O.A. No.982 of 2019 And</u>  <u>OA No.753 of 2021</u> </p> <p>R.K. Bhosle ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the three matters will be heard on 8.6.2022. All the parties to be ready with the matters.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.6.2022. Interim relief to continue in OA No.753 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  24.3.2022</p>

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.865 of 2021</u></p>
	<p>N.M. Ramsing &amp; 7 Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add MPSC as party respondent.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. Issue notice to added respondent returnable on 21.4.2022.</p>
	<p>Sd/_____ Sd/_____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.3.2022 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.111 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Prayer 9(b) for deciding the revision application of the applicant is already decided.</p> <p>3. For rest of the prayers, Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.4.2022.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022</td><td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022				



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.21 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Mali &amp; 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai with Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocates for the applicants are present. Shri S.S. Ghumare, Ld. Advocate for respondents no.7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19 is absent. Ld. Advocates submits that notice is given.</p> <p>3. Shri S.S. Ghumare, Ld. Advocate for respondents no.7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19 to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Chairperson</span> 24.3.2022 <span style="margin-left: 100px;">24.3.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.210 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.T. Devkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.K. Bhangoji, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 11.3.2022 it was necessary for the respondent no.2 to decide the representation. However, it appears that they have not complied with the order. Hence, last chance is given to comply the order.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.3.2022 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.348 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Chandaliya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant undertakes to file rejoinder during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.3.2022 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA No.6/2022 &amp; CA No.7/2022 in OA No.574/2020</u></p> <p>Smt. Lalita D. Veer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate undertakes to file Vakalatnama for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 in CA No.6/2022 and for Respondents No.1, 2 &amp; 3 in CA No.7/2022 in OA No.574/2020.</p> <p>3. Shri Manoj Kumar, learned Advocate undertakes to file Vakalatnama for Respondents No.5 &amp; 6 in CA No.6/2022 in OA No.574/2020.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission in both CAs returnable on 21.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.3.2022 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1057 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.H. Nikumbhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.4 to be served by the office of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Speaking to minutes of the order dated 24.2.2022. In para 2 of the order dated 24.2.2022 the word 'Cancel' is to read as 'Cancer'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.3.2022 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.231 of 2022</u></p> <p>Yogesh U. Bawdekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the impugned order dated 16.12.2021 and letter dated 16.2.2022 issued by respondent no.2 denying his eligibility to the post of Police Constable on the ground that his height is less than 165 cms., be quashed and set aside. He points out that in earlier selection of 2018 he was found physically fit and eligible and meeting all the requirements. His height was measured on 9.4.2018.</p> <p>3. We had directed the respondents to produce original record of his selection Exhibit 'I' dated 9.4.2018. However, Ld. PO submits that record is not traceable. As per the contention of the applicant his earlier selection had declared him eligible.</p> <p>4. We, therefore, direct that the applicant should be send to the Medical Board of Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai for measuring his height within a period of one week and report be send to the Registrar of this Tribunal on or before 1.4.2022. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">           Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____            (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Member (A) Chairperson            24.3.2022 24.3.2022            (sgj)         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.246 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.M. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption '<u>FOR URGENT ADMISSION</u>'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022</p>

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.152 of 2022 in O.A. No.267 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.V. Rajguru &amp; 72 Ors.   ..Applicants     Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.                                 ..Respondents</p> <p>        Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.       The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-start; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.267 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.V. Rajguru &amp; 72 Ors. , ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Chairperson</span> 24.3.2022 <span style="float:right">24.3.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.36 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.4.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.3.2022		



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.141/2022 in CA.5/2022 in OA:769/2017</u></p> <p>S.R.S. Munir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 31.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption '<u>FOR CONTEMPT APPLICATION</u>'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.3.2022 24.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.03.2022

O.A.No.180 of 2022

Suhas K. Sawant

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby he was transferred from the post of Naib Tahsildar, Revenue Ambarnath, Dist. Thane to the Election Naib Tahsildar, Javar, Dist. Palghar. The Applicant contends that he is physically handicapped person and was entitled for posting as per his options in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 whereby the Government has taken policy decision for transfer on counseling, giving preference to handicapped employee. The Applicant has produced handicapped certificate issued by the Medical Board showing 41% permanent disability.
3. On previous date, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned P.O. submitted that the Government is reconsidering the posting of the Applicant since he is physically handicapped and, therefore, the matter was adjourned.
4. However, learned P.O. submits that the file is still under process and final decision will be taken within a month.
5. Thus, the issue is under reconsideration of the Government and seems to be being considered positively.
6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to take the decision about reposting of the Applicant in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 within three weeks from today.
7. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.
8. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.
9. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

P.T.O →

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>10. At 4.30 P.M., learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered order dated 24.03.2022 issued by the Government thereby giving posting to the Applicant at Kokan Divisional Commissioner Office, Navi Mumbai.</p> <p>11. Thus, Applicant's grievance is now redressed since the Government has already issued modified transfer order.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            V"            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.161 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Mr.S. R. Koli (through his legal heir)</b> <b>Kokilaben S. Koli &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, the matter is for final hearing but it is noticed that the Respondent No.3 – Government has not filed reply. Replies are filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 only.</li> <li>3. In this O.A., the Applicant is claiming ex-gratia payment of Rs.50 lakhs on the basis of G.R. dated 29.05.2020 issued by Finance Department, Government of Maharashtra regarding insurance cover/ex-gratia assistance in case of death on account of covid-19 during the course of Covid-19 related duties.</li> <li>4, The Applicant contends that deceased Shantilal Koli died during the course of Covid-19 related duties and, therefore, filed this O.A. for ex-gratia payment of Rs.50 lacks</li> <li>5. In view of above, reply of Respondent No.3 is necessary.</li> <li>6. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 within two weeks.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 06.04.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.794 of 2021</p> <p>D.F. Fernandes &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.638 of 2021</p> <p>H.S. Potdar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.590 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Kale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.03.2022</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.121 of 2022</p> <p>S. C. Kharade <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw Original Application and has tendered letter of the Applicant to that effect. Letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1237 of 2019 with O.A. No.1238 of 2019 with O.A. No.1239 of 2019 with O.A.No.1240 of 2019 with O.A. No.1241 of 2019</p> <p>C. G. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed Additional Affidavit along with annexures in each O.A.. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. sought time to take instructions from the department on the documents filed today and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.25 of 2022 in O.A. No.54 of 2021 with M.A. No.26 of 2022 in O.A. No.53 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. Gore N.G. Ranganekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for addition of prayer to quash and set aside order dated 26.05.2020 whereby H.R.A. was stopped, though order dated 26.05.2020 is filed along with O.A., there was no specific prayer to quash and set aside this order.</p> <p>3. Since, amendment will not change the nature of O.A. and impugned order is already on record of O.A.</p> <p>4. Proposed amendment is allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out within 3 days.</p> <p>5. O.A. is adjourned to 07.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.600 of 2017 with M.A. No.496 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. Dhanawade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in on leave note.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 31.03.2022.</li> <li>4. This O.A. be kept along with O.A. No.418/2017.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.69 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Thakre .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.66 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.E. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is further directed to serve notices of O.A. on Respondent No.2 and should file service Affidavit as well as acknowledgment of service by next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.93 of 2022 in M.A. No.48 of 2022 in O.A. No.07 of 2022 with M.A. No.94 of 2022 in M.A. No.49 of 2022 in O.A. No.08 of 2022 with M.A. No.95 of 2022 in M.A. No.50 of 2022 in O.A. No.09 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Hankare N.B. Patil V.V. Jagtap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.278 of 2022</p> <p>C.S. Bhosale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Shraddha Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 15.02.2021 whereby he was suspended in view of registration of crime u/s 7 of Prevention of Corruption, 1988. He made representation for revocation of suspension but in vain and suspension is continued.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.04.2022.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 07.04.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.247 of 2022 with M.A. No.143 of 2022</p> <p>J.G. Gawari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.04.2022.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 21.04.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>