

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1209 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.D. Barve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4, 6 & 9.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 17.2.2023 at the instance of Ld. Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in para 3 of the order dated 17.2.2023 in place of GR dated 24.6.2020 it is to be mentioned as GR dated 3.3.2020 and in place of GR dated 3.3.2020 it is to be corrected as 14.6.2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO and Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.4, 6 & 9 concedes to the same.</p> <p>5. Kept back.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2023</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.69 of 2023</u></p> <p>Y.U. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Siddiquie, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that his case is covered by the judgment and order dated 23.9.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.6776 of 2022 Inspector of Panchayats & District Collector, Salem Vs. S. Arichandran & Ors.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.3.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.2.2023 23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.144 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Kokitkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2023 24.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>23.02.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 68/2021</u></p> <p>Smt S.R Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O produces on record copy of G.R dated 14.12.2022. On enquiry learned C.P.O submits that the G.R is applicable from the date of the issuance.</p> <p>3. In view of the G.R dated 14.12.2022, we hold that the applicant is entitled for voluntary retirement from 14.12.2022 and the period from 17.2.2020 to 14.12.2022 is to be treated as condoned absence. The applicant is not entitled to any service benefits for the said period on the principle of 'No Work No Pay'.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.88/2023</p> <p>D.S. Khairnar & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Vedant Bende, learned Advocate holding for Mr. B.U. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day she will file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.03.2023. To be tagged along with O.A.223/2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 24.02.2023
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.722/2018</p> <p>Dr. R.A. SinghApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Urmila Pulekar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has requested for early hearing.</p> <p>3. The said request is rejected. Adjourned to 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">pik</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.12 of 2023 in O.A. No.402 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.R. Ghadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 and handover a copy to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. None present from the office of Respondent no.2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.3.2023 for filing reply of respondent no.3 and compliance of the order.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1070/2021 with M.A.No.80/2022</p> <p>D.B. Koli & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.R. Kolekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that copy of O.A. and M.A. both are not served though O.A. is filed in the year 2021. Learned Advocate is directed to take note of the same and copy to be served within a week.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.385/2022</p> <p>S.K. Hankare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Adjourned to 17.03.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.994/2022</p> <p>P.B. BagalApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam learned Advocate holding for Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file reply, otherwise matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>pik</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1016/2022 with O.A.No.1017/2022 with O.A.No.1018/2022 with O.A.No.1019/2022 with O.A.No.1020/2022 with O.A.No.1021/2022 with O.A.No.1026/2022 with O.A.No.1059/2022 with O.A.No.1062/2022 with O.A.No.1063/2022</p> <p>S.C. Burse & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that she will file reply during the course of day in O.A.No. O.A.Nos.1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021 with 1026/2022 and seeks time to file reply in O.A.Nos.1059, 1062 & 1063/2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1204/2022</p> <p>M.V. HaldekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam learned Advocate holding for Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that today during the course of the day he will file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 10.03.2023. HOB.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1244/2022</p> <p>S.G. KochureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day she will file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.03.2023, for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A) pik</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate



versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.82/2017</p> <p>D.K. KhairnarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 to 14.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter is preponed for reporting compliance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.164 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.P. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Applicant aspire to be Scientific Officer (Cyber Crime, Tape Authentication and Speaker Identification) in the Directorate of Forensic Science Laboratories.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the list of recommended candidates dated 26.12.2022 qua respondent no.3 is to be held illegal and contrary and applicant be declared as selected in ST General category in place of respondent no.3 who was selected from Female ST category. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that respondent no.3 does not hold requisite qualification however applicant fulfill all the criteria.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that respondent no.3 does not have experience of working as Scientific Officer required as per rules.</p> <p>6. No officer from the office of MPSC is present. Officers from the MPSC to remain present in the Tribunal at 10.30 a.m. as the Court starts functioning at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p>7. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 28.2.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2023 24.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits that pensionary benefits like gratuity, regular pension, commutation of pension, GIS and leave encashment are received. However, there is difference in payment interest on delayed payment. Ld. Advocate submits that as per his calculation the difference in respect of applicant no.1 is rupees eleven lakhs approx. and in respect of applicant no.2 is Rs.24,398/-.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.3.2023 by way of last chance failing which contempt notice will be issued.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2023 24.2.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p style="text-align: center;">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>23.02.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 253/2022 & Ors</u></p> <p><u>Later on:</u></p> <p>S.L Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicants has filed leave note. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that some query is raised by the office of the Director and the file is submitted to the office of the Deputy Director, Pune. In O.A 256 & 258/2022 query is raised on 9.7.2021 and in O.A 253/2022 query is raised on 6.8.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O states that reply will be given to the query raised by the office of the Director, Public Health Department.</p> <p>4. The Deputy Director is directed to comply with the query raised by the Director, Public Health Department and the Director, Public Health Department is directed to depute a responsible officer to assist this Tribunal on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O to 28.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>
---	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As. No.253 to 258 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.L. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Dr. B.R. Pawar, Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune is present in the Court. Ld. PO on instructions submits that proposal of regularization of the applicants was sent to Director, Health Services, Pune in respect of Applicant in OA No.253/2022 on 15.3.2021, OA.254/22 on 16.3.2021, OA.255/22 on 15.3.2021, OA.256/22 on 15.3.2021, OA.257/22 on 11.6.2021 & OA.258/22 on 11.6.2021.</p> <p>4. Responsible officer from Director of Public Health is called at 1.00 p.m. to inform the decision taken in respect of these proposals.</p> <p>5. Kept back.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.223 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A. Sonar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that OAs No.19/2023, 20/2023, 21/2023 & 88/2023 be tagged together with the above OA as the issue is same in these matters.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.3.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2023 24.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.138 of 2021 and O.A. No.139 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Koli R.R. Koli ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 24.3.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 24.02.2023

O.A.No.950/2021 with M.A.No.653/2022

S.R. Patil & Ors.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.H. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.R. Avachat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has served the copy of M.A. today. M.A. is for sue jointly.

3. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

4. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

5. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

6. M.A. is allowed. O.A.No.950/2021 adjourned to 24.03.2023. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file reply in O.A.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

pik

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 24.02.2023

O.A.No.818/2021

V.S. HipparkarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 17.03.2023 for filing reply. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 24.02.2023
---	--

O.A.No.1069/2021

S.R. PatilApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.2.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day she will file reply.

3. Adjourned to 24.03.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1069/2021</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day she will file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.346 of 2017</p> <p>V. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents for some time.</p> <p>2. Now, the court time is over but arguments are not concluded.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also requested for time to place on record medical certificates along with additional affidavit.</p> <p>4. Today is the last day of this special Division Bench. O.A. be therefore kept as Part-Heard and it will be taken up for remaining hearing in next session on this bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.521 of 2019 in O.A.No.962 of 2016</p> <p>M. P. KhadilkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Now, the court time is over but the matter is not yet fully heard.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. wants to make detail submission.</p> <p>4. Today is the last day of this special Division Bench. Therefore, it is not possible to conclude the hearing and to deliver the judgment.</p> <p>5. The matter be kept as Part-Heard and will be taken in next sitting.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.559/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. R.V. Kharat & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Rajaashok Ghate holding for Shri G. Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajaashok Ghate holding for Shri G. Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant requests for adjournment on the ground that Advocate Sadavarte is in personal difficulty to argue the matter.</p> <p>3. Since pleadings is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 24.02.2023 24.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.682/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. M.B. Sonavane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Sanjay Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, matter is for filing Rejoinder. However, Advocate Shri Kulkarni submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Since pleadings is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing in due course.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member-A 24.02.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 24.02.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.43/2021</u></p> <p>Smt. M.B. Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri U V Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On 22.02.2023, Tribunal has passed detailed order with observation that <i>prima-facie</i>, Respondent No.1 has committed contempt of order passed by the Tribunal. On the request of learned P.O, matter was adjourned for today.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. requests for two weeks' time to take some remedial measures in the matter.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that they would again examine the matter with consultation of GAD and would appraise the Tribunal on next date.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member-A 24.02.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 24.02.2023</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 10.03.2023.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.660 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. Y. M. KokadwarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 10.03.2023.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>