

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.10 of 2021 in O.A. No.426 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.D. Mule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant and Advocate both are not present. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the matter is before the Hon'ble High Court on 3.3.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.3.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>24.2.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>24.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>J.A.916/2021</u></p> <p>Smt. A.S. Taware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is for regularization of services filed by the Applicant who is working in the Office of Government Pleader, High Court, Mumbai. The Applicant has placed reliance on the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1105/2016 (Arvind Rane Vs. Government Pleader, High Court, Mumbai) decided on 21.09.2021.</p> <p>3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the decision given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1105/2016 is challenged before Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.1231/2022 and operation of the order is stayed by order dated 02.02.2022. The copy of order dated 02.02.2022 is tendered by learned Advocate for the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant requested to adjourn the matter awaiting the decision of Hon'ble High Court, Mumbai in Writ Petition No.1231/2022.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for final hearing to 30th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 23.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U. S. SorateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 17.09.201 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that she was transferred mid-term and mid-tenure in contravention of provision of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005. In transfer order dated 17.09.2021, it is stated that the Applicant was required to be posted on Non Executive Post but she was posted on Executive Post as Tahsildar, Mandrup, Dist. Solapur (by order dated 18.09.2019), and therefore, on administrative ground and in terms of policy of the Government, she is again transferred as Estate Manager, Maharashtra State Agriculture Corporation Limited, Sakharwadi, Dist. Satara on Non- Executive Post.</p> <p>3. In O.A., the plea was raised by the Applicant that she was transferred on unsubstantiated complaints and it amounts to punishment and without following the procedure laid down in G.R. dated 11.02.2015. However, in Affidavit in Reply filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 which is affirmed by Shri Prakash Indalkar, Under Secretary, in para no.14, it is stated as under :-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>"It is further denied that Applicant is transferred on the basis of complaint and the Government Resolution dated 11.02.2015 is applicable in the present matter in view of peculiar facts and circumstances of the case submitted hereinabove. Similarly, it is denied that said transfer of Applicant is punitive transfer and is by way of punishment."</i></p> <p>4. Curiously during the course of argument, it is transpired that as per Civil Services Board recommendations, the Applicant's transfer was recommended because of complaints about her functioning as Tahsildar, Mandrup, Dist. Solapur.</p> <p>5. Thus, during the course of final hearing, it was tried to point out by learned P.O. as well as learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 that in addition to issue of transfer on Non Executive post, there was issue of necessity of transfer because of complaints.</p> <p>6. As such, the affidavit filed by Shri Prakash Indalkar, Under Secretary, Review & Forest Department is apparently contrary to Government's own record particularly Minutes of CSB.</p> <p>7. Thus, apparently the affidavit sworn by Shri Prakash Indalkar, Under Secretary is not in accordance to facts.</p> <p>8. Needless to mention that the affidavit was required to be filed with utmost seriousness verifying the factual aspects but no such care has been taken by the concerned. It is further surprising to note that the draft of affidavit has been approved by the Joint Secretary Dr. Shri Veer as well as Additional Chief Secretary who too failed to verify the factual aspects.</p> <p>9. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to file the affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary who has verified the draft of affidavit and to make its stand clear and also to file affidavit of Shri Prakash Indalkar, Under Secretary.</p> <p>10. Hamdast granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 24.02.2022
	<p align="center">O.A. No.181 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. BhoirApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged Transfer order dated 11.02.2022 as well as modified Transfer order dated 14.02.2022 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer without compliance of provision of Maharashtra Police Act.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has prayed for interim relief contending that <i>prima-facie</i> the Applicant is transferred without approval of P.E.B.</p> <p>4. This matter was taken up for hearing and consideration of interim relief in morning session. On request of learned P.O. it was kept in 2nd session for taking instructions.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. on instructions from Shri M.D. Chavan (Clerk) and learned Advocate Shri P.J. Chavhan (C.P. Mumbai) fairly stated that the Applicant has been transferred only by the order of the Commissioner of Police Railway and there is no recommendation of P.E.B.</p> <p>6. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant is serving in the cadre of A.S.I. in Railway Police. By order dated 26.07.2021 he was transferred from Railway Headquarters Ghatkopar, Mumbai to Palghar Railway Police Station being due for transfer. Accordingly he joined at Palghar. The record further reveals that the Commissioner of Police Railway, Mumbai had issued one circular on 14.10.2021 directing entire staff to purchase cracker priced at Rs.1250/- per box and price should be recovered from salary in 3 installments. However, the Applicant objected it and thereafter enquiry was ordered by The Director General of Police, Mumbai. It is on this <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

background the Applicant contended that he is victimized by transferring him illegally.

7. Initially, by order dated 11.02.2022 the Applicant is transferred from Palghar to Karjat and by within 3 days i.e. on 14.02.2022 order was modified by transferring him to Ghatkopar, Mumbai.

8. Learned P.O. fairly concedes there is no recommendation of P.E.B. As such *prima-facie* impugned transfer order is not in consonance of law and the Applicant seems to be victimized.

9. In view of above, interim relief as prayed in prayer Clause 10 (a) is granted.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.03.2022.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

16. S.O. to 23.03.2022.

17. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.894 of 2021</p> <p>V.D. Salok & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Indeed, in this O.A. Affidavit-in-Reply was to be filed on 08.02.2022 in view of statement made by learned P.O. before the Tribunal on 08.02.2022. However, it was not filed on that date.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. sought permission to tender Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept along with O.A. No.475/2019 for Final Hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 02.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.540 of 2021</p> <p>E.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in response to Affidavit-in-Reply filed by Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Additional Affidavit which is filed by learned Advocate for the Applicant today should have been restricted to the minutes of C.S.B. minutes but the Applicant has raised issue of discrimination is a additional ground, and therefore she need time to respond the same.</p> <p>4. Indeed, Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder should be restricted to the plea raised by the Respondents in Reply and no such Additional plea could be allowed in this manner since it goes on adding fresh plea again and again.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that here in after he will take care and would see that all such plea whatever permissible to the Applicant are raised in the Original pleading itself.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.59 of 2022</p> <p>Vinayak J. Jadhav ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is taken on today's board for withdrawal. It is already fixed on 08.03.2022 for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 08.02.2022.</p> <p>3. Shri Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the Applicant is due for promotion and he has instruction to withdraw the O.A. unconditionally.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1015 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. GhodakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that in terms of order passed by the Tribunal, the Head Quarter of the Applicant is changed and it is kept at Nashik. 4. As regard subsistence allowance, the grievance was raised that the Applicant is not getting subsistence allowance in accordance to rules. By order dated 10.02.2022, the directions were given to Collector, Nashik to pay subsistence allowance as per his entitlement in rules. 5. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 06.12.2021 passed by the Collector, Nashik which shows that subsistence allowance is increased from 50% to 52%. 6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in accordance to rules after three months suspension period, the subsistence allowance should be 75%. 7. Learned P.O. should satisfy the Tribunal about entitlement of the Applicant to increase subsistence allowance only to the extent of 52%. 8. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any. 9. S.O. to 16.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.732 of 2021</p> <p>N. A. Ashtekar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel who has filed M.A. for intervention and for vacating the order of interim relief is absent. 3. Leaned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. unconditionally. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further states that subsequent to filing of O.A., the Applicant is promoted in IPS Cadre. 5. In view of above, the ^{applicant} is allowed to withdraw the O.A. 6. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal by order dated 28.09.2021 stands vacated. 7. Since the O.A. is withdrawn, M.A.No.555/2021 and M.A. No.585/2021 filed for intervention and for vacating interim relief has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">vsm</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.711 of 2019</p> <p>M.N. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. was adjourned awaiting decision of Division Bench on the issue as to whether person carrying pay scale of Rs.5600 - 9000 follows in Group 'B' or 'C'. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that issue before Division Bench is still pending. 4. In this O.A. also same issue is involved. This being the position this O.A. requires to be heard after decision of Division Bench. 5. S.O. to 10.03.2022. <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.122 of 2021</p> <p>D. D. RaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by the widow of Deepak Laxman Rane claiming monetary benefits of deemed date of promotion with consequential pension / family pension benefits. Shri Deepak Rane was Police Constable and died on 30.10.2017. His wife contends that the deceased was entitled to promotion to the post of ASI but it has been wrongly denied to him. She made representation to CP, Mumbai who in turn forwarded the proposal to Respondent No.4 - Secretary, Home Department on 02.06.2021. However, till date the proposal is not decided by the Respondent No.4 and it is kept in cold storage.</p> <p>3. Today, Ms Karishma Mulani, Assistant Section Officer is present. She stated that the proposal dated 02.06.2021 forwarded by C.P. Mumbai is under consideration and the decision will be taken soon.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.4 to take the decision on proposal dated 02.06.2021 forwarded by C.P. Mumbai within four weeks from today.</p> <p>5. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>6. If the Applicant felt aggrieved, she may redress the grievance in accordance to law.</p> <p>7. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- wv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.182 of 2021</p> <p>R. U. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are not attending the matter regularly.</p> <p>3. Thus, they seem to be least interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- v v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. KakadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Vvvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.626/2021</u></p> <p>Shri G.N. Sarode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.2. This O.A. is filed only for direction to modify transfer order dated 12.08.2021 whereby Applicant was transferred from the post of Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Nashik to Joint Commissioner, Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nandurbar. Before his transfer, he made representation for his transfer to Gadchiroli, Amravati or Nagpur. However, he is transferred to Nandurbar, and therefore, seeks modification.3. Admittedly, after the transfer order, the Applicant has not made representation which he ought to have made to seek redressal of his grievance, if any.4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that he is not challenging his transfer order dated 12.08.2021 but want posting as per the choice given before transfer.5. O.A. is, therefore, disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation to Respondents and on receipt of it, the Respondents shall decide the same appropriately. It be considered in ensuing general transfers of 2022. The decision on the representation shall be communicated to the Applicant.6. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 24.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.88 of 2022 in O.A.89 of 2022 in O.A.No.593 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Dr. Avinash K. Jagtap ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). The Respondent (Ori. Applicant) and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications are filed for condonation of delay and for extension of time for compliance of directions given by the Tribunal in O.A.No.593/2021 which was disposed of by order dated 07.10.2021. That O.A. was filed by retired Government servant seeking directions to release the retiral benefits who retired from service on 31.12.2020. O.A. was disposed of with direction to Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to ensure compliance of pension papers including passing final order in D.E. in accordance to law within three months.</p> <p>3. The period of three months has expired but there is no compliance.</p> <p>4. It is on the above background, these Misc. Applications are filed. Prima-facie, no case is made out for extension of time and for condonation of delay. However, one week time given from today for compliance of the direction given by the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In the meantime, issue notice before admission to Original Applicant returnable on 04.03.2022.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 04.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.52 of 2022</p> <p>D.S. BandalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave Note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has taken voluntary retirement in 2016, subject to decision in pending D.E. Thereafter, D.E. was completed and Respondent No.1 issued Show Cause Notice on 12.03.2019 as to why 10% pension should not be deducted for 2 years. The Applicant has submitted Reply on 04.04.2019. However, since then no further steps are taken in the matter, and therefore the Applicant has approached this Tribunal for retrial benefits.</p> <p>4. When the matter was taken up for admission hearing on 15.02.2022, on that date Tribunal has issued notice to Respondent No.1 – Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department to explain why no final order is passed in D.E. though period of more than 3 years is over.</p> <p>5. Today, learned P.O. submits that nobody has come from the Department for giving instructions.</p> <p>6. As noted by this Tribunal in order dated 15.02.2022 there is undue delay and laxity rather negligence on the part of Respondent No.1 – Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department in not passing final order. Tribunal has observed this aspect of sitting on the matter in D.E. in so many cases. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. In view of above, Respondent No.1 – Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department is directed to explain the status of D.E. and also to explain why no final order is passed for 3 years. He should further fix responsibility on the person who is responsible for the delay. Affidavit should be filed on 28.02.2022.

8. S.O. to 28.02.2022.

9. Steno Copy granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.113/2022 in MA.43/2018 in OA.1103/17 with MA.511/2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Shashikant N. Joshi ..Intervener And Sachin S. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Intervener, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Filing of affidavit of service by the Intervener is dispensed with as the applicant and respondents are served.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 10.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>24.02.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1057/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.H Nikumbhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mr B.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant has given NOC to the applicant.</p> <p>2. Wife of the applicant is present and informs that she is suffering from Cancel and her husband is suffering from Paralysis and the family is residing at Dhule.</p> <p>3. We requested Mr Lonkar, and Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned counsel to appear as amicus curiae. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar and Ms Pooja Mankoji both have graciously agreed to appear as amicus curiae in the matter.</p> <p>4. We appreciate the gesture of both the learned counsel.</p> <p>5. Copy of the Original Application is served on the office of the learned C.P.O. However, the copies of the Original Application are to be served on the Respondent No. 1, State of Maharashtra, through Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, Respondent No. 2, The D.G.P, Mumbai, Respondent no. 3, The Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Region, Kolhapur and Respondent no. 4, The S.P, Sangli, through the Registrar, M.A.T. Notice is to be served by the office tomorrow.</p> <p>6. Respondents to file reply. We make it clear after hearing learned counsel for the applicant and the learned C.P.O, we restrict the compass of the matter to the issue whether Compassionate Pension under Rule 101 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 can be given to the applicant from the date of filing of the Original Application.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, reply is to be filed by the Respondents to the point.</p> <p>8. S.O to 24.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2022 in O.A. No.226 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. R.R. Asawa ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant in person states that order dated 17.12.2021 passed in the above OA has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. However, Ld. PO produces Government letter dated 12.1.2022 showing that compliance has been done.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, CA stands disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2022 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.349 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.E. Mhatre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.3.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2022 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 24.02.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H. S. KhudeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note on behalf of the learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K. P. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note on behalf of the learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1057 of 2021</p> <p>R.A. BhaijanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave Note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to satisfy the Tribunal how O.A. is maintainable, in view of the order passed by the Tribunal earlier.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- WVV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1009 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. 1 week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.980 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. Usha YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 3. It is taken on record. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 22.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.04 of 2018</p> <p>S. M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel along with Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. As submitted by learned C.P.O. the department is maintaining the list of candidates who passed in 2013 examination. The subject wise marks are also with the department and there is a criteria of passing the examination. Now based on that the department has declared certain candidates passed in the examination. Learned C.P.O. is directed to supply the whole list of that result along with names of the candidates, their marks obtained subject wise in examination to learned Counsel for the Applicants.</p> <p>3. In the interest of transparency, it will be also better if the list is displayed on the website of DG Police, Maharashtra and notice board also. Once it is displayed on the notice board/ website, it should be kept at least for one month. The second submission of learned C.P.O. is that the Department has gradation list and based on gradation list, they are doing promotion.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is, therefore, directed to supply the list of gradation also to learned Counsel for the Applicants and same list should also be displayed on the website/notice board of the department and be kept for at least one month.</p> <p>5. With this direction, the matter will be heard in the fourth week of March.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (Shree Bhagwan) Member(J) Vice - Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1037 of 2019 with O.A. No.1039 of 2019 to O.A. No.1042 of 2019 with O.A. No.1237 of 2019 to O.A. No.1241 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G.B. Taware Dr. V.A. Nadimath Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar Dr. N.B. Masaram Dr. V.P. Doijad C.G. Patil Dr. M.M. Pawar Dr. P.R. Dhumal Dr. P.N. Rathi Dr. A.B. PandavApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.1037/19 with O.A. No.1039/19 to O.A. No.1042/19 and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.1237/19 to O.A. No.1241/19 are on leave note. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsels for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date only.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.908 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. HembadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous 2 dates last chance was granted. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant 1 week time is granted to file M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.805 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.Y.Saraf & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 4 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 16.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.79 of 2022 in M.A. No.532 of 2021 in O.A. No.945 of 2021</p> <p>J.G. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave Note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 10.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.37 of 2022 in O.A. No.978 of 2021</p> <p>R.R. Jirepatil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A. No.821 of 2021</p> <p>P.J. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave Note. 2. Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. This M.A. is fixed for hearing without Reply since despite enough chances no Reply is filed in M.A. Even today also no Reply is filed in M.A. 4. M.A. be kept for hearing without Reply. 5. S.O. to 08.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A. No.41 of 2021</p> <p>E.B. SayyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant time is granted for hearing of M.A. and O.A. together with liberty to file Rejoinder in O.A. within 2 weeks with copies to other side in advance and matter be kept for hearing of O.A. & M.A. together.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.50 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave Note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 01.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.40 of 2022</p> <p>N.L. PaithankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave Note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.112 of 2022 in O.A. No.183 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.G. Tatkare & 18 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>24.02.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 185/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.A Siddiqui ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 17.3.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 17.3.2022.</p>

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2019 in O.A. No.1134 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.R. Nalawade & 11 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today when the matter was called out, no officer from the department is found present. It is a serious matter, as the Hon'ble Supreme Court has dismissed SLP No.45904 of 2019 on 1.12.2021 filed by the State Government. Two and half months are passed. Hence, we would like to know what steps the Government has taken and when the Government is going to comply the order.</p> <p>3. We would like to know what steps are taken by the department in respect of seniority and promotion of the applicants who are regularized from 21.10.1995 in present OA and from 10.3.2005 in OA No.1068/2021,</p> <p>4. OA No.1068 of 2021 (S.B. Umbrajkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra) filed by Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicants is tagged with the present CA.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.2.2022 at 11.00 a.m. Concerned officers from the Department to remain present.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2022 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1068 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Umbrajkar & 29 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants, this OA is tagged with CA No.44 of 2019 in OA No.1134 of 2016 (B.R. Nalawade & 11 Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors) filed by Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.2.2022 at 11.00 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 24.2.2022 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.613 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. ShedjaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Yogesh Morbale, learned Advocate holding for V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. tenders affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. Taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.03.2022 for rejoinder if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.568 of 2021</p> <p>D.R. MadaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate with Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit, with liberty to file rejoinder if any,</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1026 of 2021 with M.A.No.114 of 2021 in O.A.No.982 of 2019 with O.A.No.753 of 2021</p> <p>R.K. BhosleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 24.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">r</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha)Gadgil Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.630 of 2021</p> <p>A.A. Desai & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. Sadavarte submits that that similar issue is before the Division Bench consisting of Mr. Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, M.A.T. Bench Nagpur and Mr. A.K. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (A), M.A.T Mumbai as in O.A.No.4 of 2018. Therefore O.A.No.4/2018 is to be also tagged together with O.A.No.630/2021.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. for the Respondents confirms the position.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the present matter is to be tagged along with O.A.No.4 of 2018 and be placed before the Division Bench consisting of Mr, Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, M.A.T. Bench Nagpur and Mr. A.K. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (A), M.A.T Mumbai.</p> <p>5. To be tagged along with O.A.4/2018.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.909 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. ChandanshivApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. Bhosle submits that the present matter be tagged along with O.A.No.141/2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.184 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.E. Waghmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.183 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.G. Tatkare & 18 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 24.2.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 24.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

