

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ishan Paradkar, learned Advocate holding Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states by way of clarification that before order came to be passed on 03.01.2024 about vacation of 'Interim Relief' pursuant to directions in Order of 'Hon'ble High Court of Judicature Bombay' in 'Writ Petition No.15453/2023 dated 14.12.2023' the issue of vacation of 'Interim Relief' had been decided earlier also as per directions in 'Writ Petition No.13096/2023' by Order of 'Hon'ble High Court of Judicature Bombay' dated 23.10.2023. Therefore no issue relating to this O.A. No.1130 of 2023 is 'Subjudice' before 'Hon'ble High Court Judicature at Bombay'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that order of 'ex-post facto' approval of 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India' is yet to be received regarding appointment of Respondent No.2 to the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik' and as directions given by 'Hon'ble High Court Judicature at Bombay' in Writ Petition No.13096/2023 & Writ Petition No.15453/2023 have already been complied with, it would be appropriate to further hear this O.A. No.1130/2023 only after reply is received from 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned P.O. concurs with the proposition made by learned Advocate for Applicant. Thus against this backdrop 'Final Hearing' of this O.A. No. 1130/2023 is deferred by 'Four Weeks'. However, learned P.O. to mention for pre-ponement of 'Final Hearing'; if 'ex post facto' approval is received meanwhile from 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India' about appointment of 'Respondent No.2' to post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik'.

5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

6. S.O. to 21.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.825 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. Sarangdhar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that from 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by Respondent No.1 on 30.10.2023 it is not evident as to when Respondent No.1 who is 'Special I.G.P., Motor Transport Department, Pune' was designated as 'Competent Authority' under 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Therefore it would be necessary in this regard for both Respondent Nos.2 & 3 to file their 'Affidavits-in-Reply'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is accordingly informed to file 'Affidavits-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3 within next 'Three Weeks' so that it is possible to ascertain who has been designated as 'Competent Authority' to exercise 'Statutory Powers' under 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' for transfer of Applicants.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. to also ascertain in respect of Applicants which is the competent 'P.E.B.' to recommend transfers of Applicants under 'Section 22 N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. to ascertain about the applicability of the provision of 'Section 22 N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' because 'Home Department' Order dated 26th November 2009 regarding the 'Assistant Police Sub Inspector - Sarang', 'Assistant Police Sub Inspector - Engine Driver', 'Police Head Constable -- Engine Side', 'Police Constable – Khalashi – Deck Side' 'Group C' in the 'Motor Transport Section, Police Department' under 'Home Department' (Recruitment) Rules, 2009' came to be published before amendments were carried out to incorporate 'Section 22 N' in 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.</p> <p>6. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 14.02.2024.</p> <p>8. Hamdast Granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1540 of 2023</p> <p>K.K. KhedkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Range' has implemented the order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 10.01.2024 to keep 'One Post' of 'Clerk Typist' vacant till disposal of O.A. No.1540/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' with respect to implementation of guidelines G.A.D. G.R. dated 15.05.2019 for scrutiny about the 'Arbitrary Exercise' of powers by 'Home Department' vis-à-vis all proposals of 'Clerk Typists' which were submitted by 'D.G.P.' Maharashtra State Mumbai on 21.06.2023 recommending their 'Inter Establishment Transfers'. However; few proposals of 'Clerk Typists' including that of Applicant were not approved and returned by 'Home Department' letter dated 18.10.2023 to 'DGP' Maharashtra State Mumbai on grounds of non-fulfillment of terms and conditions in G.A.D. G.R. dated 15.05.2019. Hence, learned P.O. to ascertain if there are any instances of 'Inter Establishment Transfers' of 'Clerk-Typists' which had been approved on earlier occasions by 'Home Department' based on similar facts as that of Applicant but were not considered this time by 'Home Department' resulting in 'Invidious Discrimination' against Applicant seeking 'Inter Establishment Transfer' on post of 'Clerk Typist' on the establishment of 'Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Range'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is given 'Three Weeks' time by way of last chance to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department.'</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 24.01.2024

O.A. No.870 of 2023

S.S. UmapApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. states that appropriate decision is expected to be taken by 'District Collector, Pune' about proposal submitted by 'Tahsildar Purandar, District Pune' on 15.12.2023 with regard to posting of Applicant because as an 'Ex-Servicemen' and entitled to precedence over others in cadre of 'Talathi' in matters of transfers as per provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022 read with G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018
3. Learned P.O. thereupon states that 'District Collector Pune' was expected to have taken appropriate decision by now however, as 'District Collector, Pune' has lately been preoccupied with other 'Urgent Work' relating to 'Law & Order'; he has sought further time of 'One Week'.
4. The 'District Collector, Pune' is accordingly directed to take appropriate decision in any case within 'One Week' about proposal submitted by 'Tahsildar Purandar, District Pune' with regard to request of Applicant who being an 'Ex-Servicemen' is entitled to precedence over others in cadre of 'Talathi' in matters of Transfers as per provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022 read with G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018.
5. S.O. to 07.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 44/2024 in O.A 43/2020</u></p> <p>H.R Das ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for transferring the record and proceedings in O.A 43/2020 to M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>3. The application of the applicant is considered. The applicant wants the Original Application to be transferred before the Division bench at M.A.T, Aurangabad as Member (Judicial) have recused from hearing the case. —</p> <p>4. Misc Application is allowed. The Registry is directed to send the original record and proceeding in O.A 43/2020 to M.A.T, Aurangabad in a sealed cover by handing over the same to the applicant. Applicant is directed to take the original record and proceedings and hand it over to the Registrar, M.A.T, Aurangabad bench for further necessary action. Applicant is also directed to serve copy of the Original Application to the office of the learned C.P.O, M.A.T, Aurangabad.</p> <p>5. The Original Application No. 43/2020 be placed before the Division Bench on 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. KokareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 05.01.2024 permitting the Applicant to join back on the post of 'Range Forest Officer (Territorial) Malshiras, Solapur Forest Division, District Solapur' has still not been implemented by (i) 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' and (ii) 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Pune'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that the Order of 'Interim Relief' dated 05.01.2024 was received only yesterday by the 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' although it had been sent much earlier to all Respondents including 'Principal Secretary (Forests), Revenue & Forest Department'.</p> <p>4. The 'Order' of 'Interim Relief' granted on 05.01.2024 should have been implemented immediately by (i) 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' and (ii) Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Pune and therefore plea taken on their behalf by learned P.O. that copy of Order of 'Interim Relief' dated 05.01.2024 was received only yesterday is not acceptable and it appears there is willful reluctance</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>on their part to implement it. Therefore it is directed that 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' take immediate action to implement the Order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 05.01.2024 through 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Pune'. The 'Compliance Report' of the directions above be forwarded by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Pune' to learned P.O. by 29.01.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	• Tribunal's orders
---	---------------------

M.A.717/2023 with O.A.651/2023

H.N. Dalavi	... Intervener
and	
Dr. V.P. Wahane	... Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Intervener, Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 5th February, 2024.

<i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 24.01.2024	<i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 24.01.2024
---	---

(skw)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Final Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.820/2023 with O.A.1395/2023


S.K. Doifode & Ors.**D.M. Bhoir & Ors.****... Applicants****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocates for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocates for Applicants submit that GRs. dated 16.10.2012 and 10th May, 2018 are to be considered. These GRs are about regularization of 'Daily Wagers' working in 'Revenue & Forest Departments'.

3. The Respondents are directed to furnish the figures of 'Daily Wagers' working in 'Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali' and 'Bhimashankar'.

4. S.O. to 30th January, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
24.01.2024 24.01.2024

(skw)


**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.226/2023</u></p> <p>N.L. Khairnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Gosavi, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicant, adjourned to 29th January, 2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 24.01.2024 24.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.67/2024

G.B. Shinde



... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 14.02.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 14th February, 2024.



(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
24.01.2024 24.01.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.16/2024

B.P. Giri

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 21.02.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 21st February, 2024.

sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
24.01.2024 24.01.2024

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



**O.A.1406/2023 with O.A.1450/2023
with O.A.1477/2023 with
O.A.1549/2023**

Dr. S.H. Darveshi & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Karan Gajra, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed in OA No.1549/2023. Admit.

3. S.O. to 6th February, 2024.



(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
24.01.2024 24.01.2024

(skw)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1107/2023 with O.A.1121/2023 with O.A.761/2023 with O.A.1021/2023 with O.A.1059/2023 with O.A.1297/2023 with O.A.1370/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. N.P. Banage & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajra, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicants seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6th February, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.</p> <p align="center"> <i>SH-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 24.01.2024 24.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>


**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1555/2023</u></p> <p>S.L. Ingawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Deputy Collector (Resident) Mr. Sanjay Teli is hereby directed to furnish the details of all sub-divisionwise vacancies pursuant to Advertisement dated 26.06.2023 in District Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25th January, 2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 24.01.2024 24.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Final Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.79/2024</u></p> <p>D.S. Patil ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant challenges the order dated 09.01.2024 thereby refusing the permission to Sambhaji A. Patil, Retired Police Officer to work as an Advocate Assistant in the enquiry of delinquent officer. This order is passed by Respondent No.1-State Government.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No.2 who is original complainant in DE is present.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is facing the DE for various charges. The DCP, Thane is appointed as Presenting Officer. Thus, the Presenting Officer is not 'Practicing Advocate' as per Sub-Rule (a) of Rule 8 of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (D & A) Rules 1979', which reads as under :-</p> <p align="center">"8(a) The Government servant may take the assistance of any other Government servant posted in any office either at his headquarters or at the place where the inquiry is held, to present the case on his behalf, but may not engage a legal practitioner for the purpose, <u>unless the Presenting Officer appointed by the disciplinary authority is a legal practitioner</u> or, the disciplinary authority, having regard to the circumstances of the case," (emphasis placed)</p> <p>5. We are informed by learned Advocate for Applicant that Sambhaji A. Patil though he is retired Police Officer had taken 'Sanad' in 2018 and registered as Advocate with 'Bar Council of Maharashtra'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he has not appeared in other matter except two matters i.e. one in 2020 and other in December, 2023, and therefore, he be allowed to defend the delinquent officer.

7. The submission made by learned Advocate for Applicant cannot be accepted in view of Sub-Rule (a) of Rule 8 of 'MCS (D & A) Rules 1979'. We do not want to interfere in the order dated 09.01.2024 of Respondent No.1 - State Government. Hence, OA stands dismissed. No order as to Costs.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
24.01.2024 24.01.2024

(skw)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.395/2023 with O.A.1369/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. Y. Shah & Ors. Dr. A.M. Naik & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 5th February, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>SK</i> <i>SH</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 24.01.2024 24.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As.71 to 73/2024</u></p> <p>N.N. Nalegaonkar H.L. Dakhore K.G. Chemte ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.G. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicants, adjourned to 25th January, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sf/-</i> <i>Sf/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 24.01.2024 24.01.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1475 of 2023</p> <p>R.A. Patil,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned 'Special Counsel' for the Respondent No.1 and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. It is taken on record. 3. Learned 'Special Counsel' seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned 'Special Counsel'. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 08.02.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' within 'Two Weeks' and prays that O.A. No.1256/2023 be admitted for 'Final Hearing'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. concurs and therefore this O.A. No.1256/2023 is admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' be continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1035 of 2023</p> <p>J.P. DholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she needs 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondents with respect to the order passed on 12.01.2024 regarding use of 'Cryptic Abbreviated Words' and non-recording of 'Specific Reasons' in 'Minutes of Meeting of 'C.S.B. - II'; as well as about implementation of policy guidelines by which the employees in cadre of (i) 'Forest Guards' and (ii) 'Foresters' are required to be mandatorily transferred by rotation from 'Social Forestry' to 'Wildlife Management' to 'Territorial' Branches of the 'Forest Department.' Time of 'Two Weeks' as prayed for is granted to the learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.952 of 2023</p> <p>G.T. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Devkhile, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Sakshi Thombare, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 23.01.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that he needs 'Two Weeks' time to examine information now disclosed by way of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 23.01.2024 of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that this O.A. No.1245/2023 be heard along with other Batches of O.A.: Nos.880/2023 and Others on 31.01.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that as per directions given on 12.01.2024 the copy of 'Transfer Order' of Applicant dated 19.12.2023 has been made available by 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'. So, it will be filed along with 'Short Affidavit' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 during the course of the day and it will be provided to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>24.01.2024</u>
	<u>O.A 25/2017</u>
	<p>S.N Khade ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Mr Santosh A. Bandekar, Naib Tahsildar from the office of the Collector, Sindhudurg is present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that now he has received information under RTI and he will now carry out the amendment.</p> <p>4. Last chance given to the learned counsel for the applicant to carry out the amendment.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>24.01.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 37/2024 (O.A 521/2014), O.A 38/2024 (O.A 522/2014), O.A 39/2024 (O.A 523/2014) & O.A 40/2024 (O.A 524/2014)</u></p> <p>C.R Awasthi S.J Akhtar D.O Dhande A.V Shivale</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.K Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants request for time to prepare the chart and place before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 45/2023 in O.A 614/2022</u></p> <p>N.D Gangurde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the departmental enquiry has been concluded and nothing remains in the matter as the order has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant to inform as to whether the order has been complied with or else the C.A will be disposed of.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>24.01.2024</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 84/2023 in O.A 168/2018</u></p> <p>A.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant Shri A.V Patil present. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the order dated 19.1.2024 be modified that candidate at Sr No. 3401 Shri Shirish Maind is willing to join the post of Sub-Inspector, State Excise and hence no post will be available for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 676/2023 in O.A 1311/2023</u></p> <p>R.M Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 712/2023 in O.A 1425 /2023</u></p> <p>G.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 760/2023 in O.A 1521/2023</u></p> <p>S.D Rajguru ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 811/2023 in O.A 974/2021 with C.A 115/2023 in O.A 974/2021</u></p> <p>S.B Zaware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 4 months and 23 days in filing Contempt Application.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was hopeful about compliance of the order of the Tribunal by the Respondent-State.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated in the Misc Application, the delay is condoned and accordingly M.A is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 231/2023</u></p> <p>V.U Lokhande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 249/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Kale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 31.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 671/2023</u></p> <p>S.K Sase & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1037/2023</u></p> <p>S.G Yewale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1074/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle holding for Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1212/2023</u></p> <p>U.M Bokade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1249/2023</u></p> <p>Dr A.B Parjane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard P. Kasar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 14.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1288/2023</u></p> <p>L.G Gavande & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>24.01.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 1349, 1237 & 1184/2023 with M.A 788/2023 in O.A 1349/2023, M.A 757/2023 in O.A 1184/2023 & M.A 695/2023 in O.A 1237/2023</u></p> <p>K.N Sangale M.T Ligade D.S Mengane ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 1349/2023, Shri Dipak Bhalerao i/b Shri M.Y Dongarge, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 1184/2023, Shri U.V Bhoisel, holding for Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicant in O.AA 1237/2023, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.B Deore, learned counsel for the applicants/Intervenors.</p> <p>2. M.A Nos 788/2023 in O.A 1349/2023, 757/2023 in O.A 1184/2023 & M.A 695/2023 in O.A 1237/2023 is filed by Shri S.B Deore, learned counsel for the applicants-intervenors to be added as Party Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Dere and Shri Dipak Bhalerao for the applicant have no objection for the intervenors to be added as Party Respondents in O.A No 1349/2023 and 1184/2023. Learned counsel in both the O.A are directed to carry out the amendment in the Original Application and implead the applicant-intervenors as Party Respondents.</p> <p>4. M.A Nos 788 & 757/2023 stands disposed of.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1568/2023</u></p> <p>Dr A.D Ghule & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms A.P Madhuri, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants file pursis and seek amendment in the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out within a week and copy to be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1586/2023</u></p> <p>S.T Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B Khot, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 11/2024</u></p> <p>Dr S.R Katke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V Kate, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 799/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Londhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that pension of the applicant has been sanctioned and the bill has been sent to the Treasury Office, Pune and the amount will be paid to the applicant and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1169/2022 & Ors</u></p> <p>K.A Devkate & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>24.01.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 115/2023 in O.A 974/2021</u></p> <p>S.B Zaware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.2.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. in case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1186/2023</u></p> <p>Dr S.P Mirgunde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Satale, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 4, submits that copy of O.A is not served.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1155 of 2023</p> <p>C. S. KhandekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.30 of 2024</p> <p>D. B. KatkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent,</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to supply advance copy of reply to Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1575 of 2023</p> <p>R. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. the matter is adjourned to 06.02.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1301 of 2023</p> <p>A. D. KaushalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that parawise remarks prepared by Respondent No.1 are forwarded to Respondent No.3 for approval. He seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1076 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. Kalpana V. MaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 13.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.599 of 2023 in O.A.No.1197 of 2023</p> <p>J. G. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Dhannawat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit in Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.583 of 2023 in O.A.No.1127 of 2023</p> <p>A. S. NimbalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Dhannawat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.557 of 2023 in O.A.No.1109 of 2023</p> <p>A.B. DagaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.33 of 2024</p> <p>P. B. ShirsathApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1274 of 2023</p> <p>A.S. MoteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the O.A. No.1255/2023 be admitted with liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. O.A. is admitted with liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 24.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1207 of 2023</p> <p>R. B. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the O.A. No.1207/2023 be admitted with liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. O.A. is admitted with liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1111/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Rasal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 884/2017</u></p> <p>S.C Thote ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondent-State to make statement on affidavit, answering to the query whether the Recruitment Rules for the post of Assistant Librarian are there or not.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024 at 1.00 pm.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1040/2017</u></p> <p>Y.G Bhambure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms P.H Hendre i/b Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has taken NOC from Advocate Shri V.V Joshi in December, 2023 and the applicant is going to appoint new Advocate. Further she has informed the applicant that the matter is listed on Board today.</p> <p>3. Under the circumstances, as the matter is of the year 2017, the matter be kept under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1096/2017</u></p> <p>S.S Kerle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1169/2022 & Ors</u></p> <p>K.A Devkate & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 552/2023</u></p> <p>A.P Shete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for Respondents No 1, 2 & 3 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. We direct the Superintending Engineer, Public Works Circle, Pune, to remain present before this Tribunal along with all the record and explain why Mrs Satpute was reverted after 5 years and Mr Shete was reverted after 6 years. Also, the Respondents are directed to produce the two select list of 21 candidates of the year 1998, who were recruited and appointed as Senior Clerk along with the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>24.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 386/2022</u></p> <p>R.P Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahjan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 6.4.2022 and 13.4.2022 reverting her from the post of Superintendent to First Clerk.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that during the pendency of this Original Application, which was filed on 24.4.2022, the Respondents have issued the order of promotion of the applicant on 28.4.2023 to the post of Superintendent after revising the seniority list on 12.4.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submit that thus now the issue of giving deemed date to the applicant remains. Learned counsel has further submitted that earlier though the department has refused to grant deemed date of promotion to the applicant, however, the said issue again under consideration of the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O submits that the issue of grant of deemed date was rejected on 25.9.2023.</p> <p>6. As the main grievance of the applicant is redressed learned counsel submits that the matter can be disposed of keeping the issue of deemed date open.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of with liberty to challenge the issue of deemed date, if required.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 24.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.07/2024</p> <p>Dr. G. ThakurApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Pratik Irptyiri holding for Manish Kelkar learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request for learned counsel for the Applicant adjourned to 01.02.2024.</p> <p>sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.01.2024

O.A.No.1102/2017

Dr. A. S. YadhavApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard B.A. Bandiwadeker, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. At the request of learned counsel B.A. Bandiwadeker adjourned to 29.01.2024.

sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

AGR

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson