

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1312 OF 2022**

Ms. Nisha Chandrakant Jadhav, ).. **Applicant**

**Versus**

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ) ..**Respondents**

Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER(J)**

**DATE : 23.12.2022.**

**ORDER**

- 1) Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
- 2) The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 15.12.2022 whereby she is transferred from Ratnagiri to Mumbai.
- 3) Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief *inter-alia* contending that the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of eight years in Kokan range but she is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure by impugned order dated 15.12.2022 and it is in contravention of provision of Maharashtra Police Act.
- 4) Per contra, learned P.O. opposed interim relief *inter-alia* contending that though the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of eight years in Konkan range her tenure at Ratnagiri in State Intelligence Department before transfer in Konkan range has been considered and if that tenure is clubbed with the tenure in Konkan range it is more than eight years.

*mkh*

5) Needless to mention in terms of Maharashtra Police Act, general transfer were required to be effected in month of April or May and it is only in exceptional case, public interest and on account of administrative exigencies P.E.B. is empowered to effect mid-term transfer as contemplated under Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has been transferred in Konkan range by order dated 30.05.2015 and she was posted at Raigad as P.I. Thus, she had not completed eight years tenure in Konkan range.

6) Section 22N(1)(c) provides that for Police Officers of the rank of P.S.I., A.P.I. and P.I. a normal tenure shall of two years at a Police Station or Branch, four years in a District and eight years in a Range. Thus, the Applicant was entitled to eight years tenure in Konkan range but by impugned transfer order she is transferred out of Konkan range and posted at Mumbai on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. Thus, she is transferred out of Konkan range before completion of eight years tenure.

7) True, in 2009 she was posted at Ratnagiri but it was in State Intelligence Department. She was in State Intelligence Department from 2009 to 2015. Thus, it was posting in State Intelligence Department which is separate specialized agency. Notably, transfers in specialized agencies are governed by different provisions. Tenure of Police Officers of the rank of P.S.I., A.P.I. and P.I. in specialized agency is three years as seen from Section 22N(1)(e) and there is special P.E.B. at the level of specialized agency as seen from Section 22J(3) of Maharashtra Police Act. Thus, the tenure of the Applicant in specialized agency cannot be clubbed with the tenure in Konkan range as P.I.

- 8) The perusal of minutes of P.E.B. reveals that no such special case is made out as contemplated under section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. She is transferred as if she was due for general transfer along with 224 other Police Personnel.
- 9) In view of above, *prima-facie* impugned transfer order qua the Applicant is not ~~in contravention of~~ <sup>consistent with</sup> the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act. Impugned transfer order dated 15.12.2022 qua the Applicant is therefore stayed till filing of Reply.
- 10) The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 11) Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.01.2023.
- 12) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 13) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 14) By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 15) In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the

WMB

concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

16) S.O. to 20.01.2023.

Sd/-  
**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Place: Mumbai  
Date: 23.12.2022  
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p>
	<p><b>C.A.No.64/2022 in O.A.No.608/2019</b></p> <p>Dr. M.V. Pande .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. K.R. Jagdale has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that yesterday file has been sent from the Finance Department for removing objections.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take necessary steps so that all compliances are completed within 10 days from today.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 13.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.64/2022 in O.A.No.608/2019</b></p> <p>Dr. M.V. Pande .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. K.R. Jagdale has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that yesterday file has been sent from the Finance Department for removing objections.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take necessary steps so that all compliances are completed within 10 days from today.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 13.01.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1186/2022</b></p> <p>G.T. Sahane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. files reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1227/2022</b></p> <p>V.V. Chandole .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.D. Pote, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered copy of letter dated 22.12.2022. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.</p> <p>3. We hope that in view of the remarks made by G.A.D. in the said letter the concerned Department to take appropriate steps.</p> <p>4. Adjourned 13.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1121/2022</b></p> <p>A.V. Pujari .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K. Gidh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 16.01.2023 for learned C.P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s  
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 23.12.2022**

**O.A.No.1038/2022 with M.A.No.750/2022 with  
O.A.No.1039/2022 with M.A.No.751/2022**

V.R. Pawar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in M.A.Nos.750 & 751 of 2022.

3. Learned Advocate submits that till filing of reply respondents be directed to decide the representation dated 05.12.2022.

4. We direct concerned Respondents to decide the representation till 13.01.2023.

5. Adjourned to 13.01.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.28/2022</b></p> <p>M.S. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.01.2023</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b></p>
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.620/2019</b></p> <p>S.C. Bachhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.289/2022</b></p> <p>N.T. Mhatre &amp; Ors.. .....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files sur-rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.02.2022. Liberty to mention.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b></p>
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.479/2022</b></p> <p>V.B. Tungar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. For rejoinder adjourned to 27.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 23.12.2022**

**O.A.No.686/2022**

S.K. Gulhane .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Mohan Kumbhar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.K. Hande, for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.01.2023 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1215 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sukhdeo S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO, on instructions from Shri Anup B. Puranik, Deputy Commissioner of State Excise, submits that department requires a period of four months time for completion of Departmental Enquiry.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to complete the DE within a period of four months. The applicant is directed to cooperate during the enquiry and remain present on the dates. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span>  (Medha Gadgil)<sup>J</sup> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  23.12.2022 23.12.2022  (sgi) </p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.63/2022 in O.A.No.937/2021</b></p> <p>B.S. Salunkhe .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that order 01.02.2022 passed in O.A.No.937/2021 is not complied till date, we issue Contempt Notice against Respondents.</p> <p>3. Concerned Respondents to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 23.12.2022**

**O.A.No.1016/2022**

S.R. Kasar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate i/b. for Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Prafull Mahadik, learned Advocate holding for Mr S. Dhongade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Mahadik tenders affidavit-in-reply. Taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.

3. Learned Advocate Ms. Kolapkar seeks time to file rejoinder.

4. Adjourned to 18.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.950/2021 with M.A.No.653/2022</b></p> <p>S.R. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.H. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.R. Avachat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate is directed to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.02.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 03.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.12.2022
---	--

**O.A.No.781/2016**

M.R. Ghate .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that in view of the order dated 22.12.2022 the subject matter of the present O.A. is transferred to Single Bench.

3. In view of above, liberty is granted to learned Advocate to take circulation before Single Bench.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1145/2016</b></p> <p>S.S. Bhandare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to issue notice to Respondent No.4 to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to ascertain the status whether Respondent No.4 is in service or not.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s  
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1058/2021</b></p> <p>V.N. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter has been appearing on the board of Registrar since 2021. The routine stamps were put up on the matter on the respective dates showing learned Advocate for the applicant as present. However Mrs. Gaikar, Assistant Registrar who is looking after the Registrar's board on verification from her register she found that the learned Advocate remained absent on many dates.</p> <p>3. In view of this we keep this matter under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 02.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.12.2022
---	--

**O.A.No.1277/2022**

A.A. Chavan .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate is directed to serve copy of O.A. to M.P.S.C. today itself.

3. Adjourned to 05.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.12.2022
---	--

**O.A.No.1203/2022**

N.M. Mukhyadal .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Adjourned to 03.02.2023. Matter is to be removed from the caption of 'For Urgent Admission' and be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1304/2022</b></p> <p>D.S. Rasam .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that in view of the order dated 22.12.2022 the subject matter of the present O.A. is transferred to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of above, liberty is granted to learned Advocate to take circulation before Single Bench.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)/ Member(A)</b></p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s  
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 23.12.2022**

**O.A.No.1284/2022**

R.J. Kerkar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant is seeking directions to the Respondents to decide the pending representation of the Applicant dated 02.11.2020.

3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that the representation will be decided within a period of six weeks from today.

4. In view of above, we dispose of the O.A. within directions to the Respondents to decide the representation dated 02.11.2020 on or before 03.02.2023. Thereafter the decision as may be, be communicated to the Applicant on or before 17.02.2023.

Sd/-

(Meena Gaagii)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*


The State of Maharashtra and others


..... Respondent/s


(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.12.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.19/2022 in O.A.No.776/2015</b></p> <p>P.G. Sondkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instructions from Ms. Pranita, Senior Assistant and also holding charge of Office Superintendent in the office of Directorate of Medical Education submits that the office of Accountant General has passed order of regular pension in respect of Applicant on 14.10.2022. She further submits that the revised gratuity is yet to be paid.</p> <p>3. We finally direct the Respondents to comply with the order as it is specifically stated in the order dated 30.09.2022, if not, we will be constrained to issue notice of Contempt against the DMER.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1128 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>R. L. Bikkad</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents finally at the stage of admission.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 19.08.2022 whereby he was shown temporary transferred from Thakurwadi, Forest Circle to Dodamarga for elephant bandobast <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it amounts to transfer from one post to another post under the guise of temporary deputation and it is in contravention of provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005).</li> <li>3. The Respondent No.2 resisted the O.A. <i>inter-alia</i> contending that impugned order is not transfer but it is temporary deputation since the Applicant's services were required at Dodamarga for elephant bandobast.</li> <li>4. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned P.O. on instructions submits that the Respondent No.1 would withdraw the order dated 19.08.2022 within four weeks and the Applicant would be reinstated at Thakurwadi Forest Circle. He, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of with liberty to pass appropriate order after reinstatement of the Applicant.</li> <li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that Applicant has already completed his normal tenure.</li> <li>6. Though the Applicant has completed normal tenure, he was not transferred in general transfer. The order dated 19.8.2022 is not passed by the competent authority in observance of 'Act 2005' .</li> <li>7. In view of the statement made by learned P.O., O.A. is disposed of. The Respondent is at liberty to avail the provisions of 'Act 2005' after reinstating the Applicant.</li> <li>8. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>











# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 23.12.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.1232 of 2022</b></p> <p>I.K. Attar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. The Tribunal by order dated 09.12.2022 directed the Respondents to take necessary steps for grant of regular pension as per the Applicant's entitlement and to apprise the Tribunal about the same today.</li> <li>4. However, learned P.O. submits that she has no instructions from the Department which shows total disregard to the order passed by the Tribunal. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2022 but he is not paid pension.</li> <li>5. O.A. is therefore expedited.</li> <li>6. In view of above, one week time is granted to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance and no further time will be granted.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 03.01.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">[P.T.O.]</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1196 of 2022</p> <p>Manish Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dudhare, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1174 of 2022</p> <p>A.Y. Jagtap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant in Person is present.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>4. Interim stay to continue till filing of Reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 13.01.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1087 of 2022</p> <p>M.M. Ghevade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1,3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.954 of 2022</p> <p>A.U. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Since, enough time is granted, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>5. O.A. be therefore kept for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 50px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.835 of 2022</p> <p>N.A. Tittal-Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is also taken on record.</p> <p>4. Since pleading is complete, O.A. is kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.786 of 2022 with O.A. No.787 of 2022 with O.A. No.788 of 2022</p> <p>T.D. Pednekar K.D. Garud A.K. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.694 of 2019 with O.A. No.809 of 2019 to O.A. No.811 of 2019 with O.A. No.854 of 2019 to O.A. No.858 of 2019 with O.A. No.552 of 2021 &amp; O.A. No.553 of 2021</p> <p>G.B. Shinde A.G. Salvi K.R. Kadam D.S. Mane N.R. Bhosale D.P. Jadhav V.S. Patil Y.K. Nevase S.R. Pawar K.T. More P.G. Kulkarni Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.....Applicant .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None for the Applicant.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. Nos. 694/2019, 809/2019 to 811/2019, 855/2019, 858/2019. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Two weeks time is grated to file Affidavit-in-Reply in remaining O.As.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.01.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.646 of 2021 with O.A.No.731 of 2021</p> <p>T. A. Sayyad &amp; Anr. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for hearing but nobody <sup>is</sup> present from Applicant's side in the morning session, therefore, the matter was adjourned for 2<sup>nd</sup> session.</p> <p>3. In 2<sup>nd</sup> session also, the Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Thus, they appear not interested in the matter wherein challenge is to the transfer order.</p> <p>4. Both the Original Applications are, therefore, dismissed in default.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.142 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. Davar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel has raised the issue that because of punishment order Applicant's pension has been affected forever. In this behalf, there is specific pleading in O.A. but reply is vague.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the Respondent No.3 - Commissioner, Social Welfare Commissionerate, Pune is directed to file Affidavit as to what manner effect is given to punishment imposed upon the Applicant to find out whether it affects pension forever.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.01.2023</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vsm (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.12.2022

O.A.No.758 of 2021

A. B. Suryawanshi ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Siddhesh Pilankar holding for U. P. Warunjkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant, who stands retired on 31.05.2021 on attaining the age of superannuation has filed the present O.A. for direction to the Respondents to release retirement dues and also challenged the order dated 17.01.2022 whereby his claim for retirement dues was kept on hold till the decision of Study Group and the decision of the Government.

3. The perusal of record reveals that before retirement, the Applicant was kept on supernumerary post because of invalidation of Caste Certificate. Thereafter, the Study Group was appointed to take decision about the entitlement of pension, gratuity etc. of such employees.

4. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that recently by G.R. dated 14.12.2022, the Government had taken decision to release retirement dues to such Government servant who were appointed on supernumerary post. He, therefore, submits that in view of the decision of Government, grievance is redressed and O.A. be disposed of with directions to pay retirement dues.

5. Learned P.O. also submits that in view of recent G.R. dated 14.12.2022, the Respondents will take appropriate action to release retirement dues.

6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to take necessary steps to release the retirement dues to the Applicant within six weeks from today.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

v

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>23.12.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 145/2022 &amp; 321/2022</u></b></p> <p>Abas D. Nazirkar &amp; Ors Pankaj L Phanse ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though the order is recalled yesterday, i.e., on 22.12.2022, in O.A 144/2022 &amp; group of matters, the order of giving directions to add the applicants in the array of the Respondents has remained to be passed. Hence, the said consequential order is passed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to add the applicants in O.A 790/2022 and O.A 830/2022 as party Respondents in the array of the Original Applications.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to serve the amended copy on the learned counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>5. By order dated 22.12.2022, the Division Bench of this Tribunal has recalled the order dated 11.4.2022 in the present Original Applications. The applicants who are already sent for training, further steps in respect of their appointment will be subject to the outcome of the decision of the Larger Bench in the above matters.</p> <p>6. S.O to 5.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>23.12.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 203/2022</u></b></p> <p>Ashok M. Halasangi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though the order is recalled yesterday, i.e., on 22.12.2022, in O.A 144/2022 &amp; group of matters, the order of giving directions to add the applicants in the array of the Respondents has remained to be passed. Hence, the said consequential order is passed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to add the applicants O.A 830/2022 as party Respondents in the array of the Original Applications.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to serve the amended copy on the learned counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>5. By order dated 22.12.2022, the Division Bench of this Tribunal has recalled the order dated 11.4.2022 in the present Original Application. The applicants who are already sent for training, further steps in respect of their appointment will be subject to the outcome of the decision of the Larger Bench in the above matters.</p> <p>6. S.O to 5.1.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>23.12.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 775, 776 &amp; 779/2022</u></b></p> <p>V.R Sarole S.K Rathod S.L Takle</p> <p align="right">... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amruta Kharade i/b Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the applicants are from Latur and Nanded District, the M.A.T, Mumbai Bench has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain these matters.</p> <p>3. Hence, the Original Applications are disposed of with liberty to file fresh Original Applications before the M.A.T Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that interim protection granted to the termination order of the applicants be continued till 15.1.2023, i.e. filing of the Original Applications before M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>5. The interim protection in respect of termination of services of the applicant is granted till 15.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>23.12.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 793 &amp; 796/2022</u></b></p> <p>M.D Patil V.A Bhowad ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amruta Kharade i/b Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Original Applications can be heard before M.A.T, Mumbai Bench as the order dated 114.2022 passed in O.A 144/2022 &amp; ors has affected the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.1.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>23.12.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 777 &amp; 778/2022</u></b></p> <p>K.N Damre S.B Survase ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amruta Kharade i/b Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the applicants are from Bhandara District, the M.A.T, Mumbai Bench has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain these matters.</p> <p>3. Hence, the Original Applications are disposed of with liberty to file fresh Original Applications before the M.A.T Nagpur Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that interim protection granted to the termination order of the applicants be continued till 15.1.2023, i.e. filing of the Original Applications before M.A.T, Nagpur Bench.</p> <p>5. The interim protection in respect of termination of services of the applicant is granted till 15.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Chaudhari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri S. Sakhare, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.5 &amp; 6.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit along with Annexure. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought time to respond<sup>it</sup> it.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.461 of 2020</p> <p>D. J. Ambilwade .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D. W. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last time, the matter was adjourned on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant for amendment in O.A.</p> <p>3 Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to make an amendment and requested to adjourn the matter for final hearing stating that his senior is not available today.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.137 of 2022</p> <p>V. S. Chougule <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has filed this O.A. on 10.02.2022 for direction to the Respondents to release retiral dues. However, the matter is listed before the bench today for the 1<sup>st</sup> time. It appears from the record that it was before the Registrar for removal of objection and dates were simply given for removal of objection. Ultimately, in view of direction given by the Tribunal in such matters, it is listed today. Even there is no order of issuance of notice.</p> <p>3. Since today the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent, one opportunity needs to be given to them to remain present.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.01.2022 for admission.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.712 of 2022 in M.A. No.754 of 2022 in O.A. No.510 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Pendharkar @ A d/o. K. Doijad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.V. Bodkepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These M.As are filed for condonation of delay and restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default on 14.07.2022.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.190 of 2022 in O.A. No.880 of 2021 with M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021 with M.A. No.197 of 2022 in O.A. No.882 of 2021 with O.A. No.883 of 2021 with M.A. No.192 of 2022 in O.A. No.884 of 2021 with M.A. No.195 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2021 with M.A. No.194 of 2022 in O.A. No.886 of 2021 with O.A. No.887 of 2021 with M.A. No.196 of 2022 in O.A. No.888 of 2021 with O.A. No.889 of 2021 with M.A. No.193 of 2022 in O.A. No.917 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. Mane H.R. Shegar H.G. Kulkarni P.H. Damodar V.P. Jagtap G.R. Brahmapukar S.K. Darekar R.K. Ghadge B.T. Bhamre B.B. Ingale K.N. Ghadge .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.12.2022

O.A. No.1319 of 2022

V.P.Kolekar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 12.04.2022 whereby the Applicant's pay is revised and recovery is sought after retirement.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.01.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 20.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)





Date: 23.12.2022

O.A. No.1296 of 2022 with O.A. No.1297 of 2022

D.A. Taware

D.N. Jadhav & 1 Anr.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. along with Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants are seeking Inter District Transfer from the establishment of The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to other districts on the allegation that despite NOC by concerned Districts, The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai has not relieved them.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 09.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Date: 23.12.2022

O.A. No.1294 of 2022

S.B. Vadar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 13.10.2021 whereby he was informed that the decision about the suspension period will be taken up only after decision on criminal case filed by Government against acquittal.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.01.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 20.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1290 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. Choughule .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. seven days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply with specific direction that no further time will be granted and matter will be finally disposed of on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1263 of 2022</p> <p>N.C. Dhage .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned to 04.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.718 of 2022 in O.A. No.1237 of 2022</p> <p>K.V. More &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned to 04.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-              (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]