Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 267 of 2016

... Applicants Dr. S.M. Nilange & 21 Ors V/s. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice to Advocate General, State of Maharashtra returnable on 19.1.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 19.1.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 23.12.2016

Sd/-(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 23.12.2016

DATE: 23 12 16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hen'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) I

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Sunt T. A. J. C C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

5.0. +0 1911

Tribunal's orders

R.A. No. 37 of 2016 In O.A. No.825 of 2015

Smt S.B. Bagadi

... Applicant

V/s.

... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongade, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 24.01.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Learned P.O. do S.O. to 24.01.2017. waive service.

Sd/-

Sd/-

R.B. MALIK MEMBER (J) 23.12.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 23.12.2016

vsm

DATE: 23 12 16

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hou'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shright S.D. Dhongade

Advocate for the Applicant

Sher/Smt: 14.5. aci (200 ac)

C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

5.0. +0 24/1/17.

Tribanal's orders

M.A. No.523 of 2016 C.A. No. 98 of 2016 In O.A. No.544 of 2011

Shri S.G. Suryawanshi

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri D.D. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt.Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the Contempt Application.

The learned P.O. strongly opposes this application inter-alia pointing out that there is no provision for condonation of delay.

We find no substance in the objections and in the interest of justice, the application is allowed and Contempt Application No.98/2016 is taken up for hearing.

Upon perusal of record and proceedings, we find that in the order of Tribunal of which the breach has alleged in para 7, the respondents were directed to conduct an enquiry afresh on the allegations of the applicant and issue a reasoned order containing conclusions thereof and inform it to the applicant. This has not been done though as appeared in the order of 9.7.2014, this Tribunal granted three months However, from the time from 9.7.2014. Contempt Application it appears that the proper identification of the officers with the precise allegations against them has not been made and even the applicant informs that the respondent no.1 has now been transferred. Therefore, the applicant shall imply as to which human agency he seeks contempt notice be issued.

Adjourned to 13.1.2017.

Sd/-

Sd/-

TR.B. MALTK) MEMBER (J) 23.12.2016

(KAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 23.12.2016

DATE: 23 12 16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hen'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

D.D. Round

Advocate for the Applicant

Shritsmi : Acechaena

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To M. A. ces allowed. C.A. Adj. to 13/1/17.

usm

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.47 OF 2015

R.M. Ghoge

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM:

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE :

23.12.2016.

ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise states that today he has received instructions from the office of Respondent, Directorate General of Information and Public Relation in the form of letter and it states as follows:-

"At present Director General is eligible to take decision on the proposal of giving deemed date.

In this situation, there is no need to send a proposal of the Petitioner for granting him a deemed date of promotion of his junior to the Government. As per the recent communication from the Government, the case of the Petitioner will be considered at level of Head of the Department i.e. the Director General, Information & Public Relations, itself. For fresh consideration of request of the Petitioner,-

- i) It is essential to public final seniority list as on dated 01/09/1978 and temporary seniority list as on dated 01/01/1982.
- ii) It is also essential to prepare roster since year 1974 and it is to be checked by the Competent Authority.
- iii) Afterwards, it is require to take a meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee for considering the case of the Petitioner for granting him deemed date of promotion."

(Quoted from letter dated 23.12.2016 received from Shri Ajay Ambekar, Director (Information) (Administration).

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents further prayers that six months time may be granted.

- 4. Request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise can be considered on the condition that schedule be planned and must be meticulously followed without being required to apply for extension of time.
- Learned P.O. for the Respondents assures that the schedule would be adhered
 to.
- 6. In view of the statement of learned P.O. hearing is adjourned to 19.07.2017.
- 7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

8. S.O. to 19.07.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J) ↓
Chairman

prk

Original Application No. of 2	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	(
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.901 of 2015
	Shri N.G. KondhalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	None for the Applicant. Heard Shri N.K
	Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
DATE: 23 11116	Respondents.
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (1B)	2. Ld. PO states that during pendency of this OA
Hon bie Justice Smit A. H. Joshi (Chember) A	fresh hearing was given to applicant by the Hon'ble
	Minister concerned and fresh order is passed on
Shri/Smi done for the Doch'are.	24.11.2016 and it is already sent to the applicant.
Shri/Smt. N. k. Paspus Lul C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	3. Today none appears for the applicant.
Adj. To. 1/2/17:	4. In view of the above, adjourned to 1.2.2017.
<u>\(\) \</u>	(A.H. Joshi, J. Chairman 23.12.2016

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders MA.548/16 in CA.11 of 2016 in OA.1053 of 2013
DATE: 23 12 16 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen DID) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkamar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Sht Aniss Later Pathe Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sht. L. D. Duise C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To	Shri A.R. Jadhavar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Miss Lata Patne, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned 5.1.2017. A.H. Joshi, J. Chairman 23.12.2016 (sgj)

Original Application No.	of 20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus te of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Oper N. A. Com . N.	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.53 of 2014 in O.A. No.44 of 2009 with
	C.A. No.54 of 2014 in O.A. No.173 of 2009 with
	C.A. No.55 of 2014 in O.A. No.54 of 2010
	Shri R.G. Joshi & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the
	Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Shri Kolge, Ld. Advocate states that he wants to
	study the matters and prays for time.
DATE: 23/12/16 CORAM:	3. Time granted.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	4. S.O. to 24.1.2017.
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sept : R.M. Kolge	Sd =
Shri Smt: K.B. Whire C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	(A.H. Joshi, M Chairman 23.12.2016
Adj. To. 24 1 2017.	(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.136 of 2014 in O.A. No.275 of 2010

Dr. B.B. Birajdar & Ors.

.. Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. K.S. for. Officer Presenting learned Gaikwad, Respondents.

Ld. PO states as follows:

- that the decision required to be obtained (i) from Finance Department in view of the financial liability which was bound to accrue for compliance of the order, is issued by the Finance Department.
- Orders for giving financial benefit to the (ii) applicant are issued by the Public Health Department.
- Residual compliance is required to be done (iii) at the level of field officer.
- Further action shall be followed up and (iv) endeavour would be made to report total compliance on the next date.

S.O. to 5.1.2017. 3.

> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

> > 23.12.2016

APPEARANCE: Shrismt: None for He Adilian

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) 2010).

23/14/16

Advocate for the Applicant

DATE:

CORAM:

Shri/Smt.: 14.5. (veli Koond) C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To

order passed in

50 to 51117.

(sgj)

Original Application No.	f 2(DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders C.A. No.130 of 2015 in O.A. No.308 of 2012
DATE: 2112116 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairm Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: G. B. Dojeli Dadekto Advocate for the Applicant	A	Shri S.S. Padave Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO states that certain compliance is required from the applicant's side. The applicant is present and states that he would visit the office of Special Protection Unit, Dadar and carry out necessary compliance required to be done on his part.
Shri/Smi. K.D. Bluices C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondent/s Ady. To 7/1/17 Head order Passed in Hibriral Column Solo 7/1/1/2		3. For reporting compliance and stating the tim frame within which total compliance would be don adjourned to 7.1.2017. (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 23.12.2016

Original Application No.	of 20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	e of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	(
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>C.A. No.131 of 2015 in O.A. No.907 of 2012</u>
	Shri P.A. VanjeriApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	- Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
	for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO states that order dated 22.12.2016 is
DATE: 2511416	2. Ld. PO states that order dated 22.12.2010 is passed by the Government permitting the applicant to
	cross the Efficiency Bar. Next step is of issuing orders
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)	cross the Efficiency Bar. Next step is or issuing
Shri/Smt : 6 A Bauli Ocalla	3. Required steps shall be taken and final order
Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. L - B - Blu C - B - Bright - Bernandent/S	should be issued within two weeks from today.
Shri /Smt.: C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adı To 2011/17	4. For reporting compliance adjourned to 20.1.2017.
Heard in	Sa como en
orbital column	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Oth
Head of bused by - Hibural Column Solo ealily.	Chairman 23.12.2016
	(sgj)

Original Application No. of	Applicant/s
	Applicands
Advocate	
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.60 of 2015 in O.A. No.1013 of 2014
	Smt. M.V. DeshmukhApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO, on instructions from Smt. S.S. Kawale,
DATE: 25/12/16	ASO, Tribal Development Department, states that for
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	follow up with the AG office and ensuring total
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.	compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal
APPEARANCE:	minimum of two weeks' time is required.
Shrish M. D. Lonker	
C. J. Applicant	3. In view of the request of Ld. PO, adjourned to
Shri/Spit. L.D. BUSE C.P.O P.O. for the Respondent's	22.1.2017.
Adj. To. 22/1/17	Sd/-
Heard 1.	(A.H. Joshi, (1.)
add passed 14	Chairman 23.12.2016
Heard order passed 14 Tributal Glumn: 5010 estilit.	(sgj)
50% 24117.	
Library L. Charles	

	UMBAI	
Original Application No. of 2	O DISTR	іст Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	o.A. No.909 of 2013
	Shri R.K. Mohite Vs. The State of Maharashtra & C	Applicant orsRespondents
		licant. Heard Smt. K.S
	Gaikwad, learned Preser	
	Respondents.	
DATE: 2211416 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A		for reporting compliance.
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sint: Hone - 600 200 4 Cm	3. S.O. to 20.1.2017.	J
Advocate for the Applicant Shi /Smt.: L. S. G. L. Co. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s		(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 23.12.2016
Adj. To	(sgj)	
4		

ice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	Date : 23.12.2016.	
	O.A.No.987	of 2015
	K.B. Waghela	Applicant
	Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the	e learned Presenting Office
	for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate for	the Applicant Shri R.A
	Nimbalkar is absent even today.	
	3. In view of the foregoing,	adjourned to 05.01.2017.
23/12/16	3. Ill view of the foregoing,	0
AM: Sustice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		cd1-
Shri M. Rumeshkumar (Member) A	TA	.H. Joshi, J) (
NCE:		hairman
Hone for the All.		
for the Applicant 1	prk	
P.O. for the Respondent/s		
To 5112017.		
NE STE		

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,

(A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman

[P.T.O.

directions and Registrar's orders		
	Date : 23.12.2016.	
	O.A.No.96 of 2016	
	S.G. KumavatApplicant	
	Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting	
	Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.S. Shinde	
	is absent.	
	3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri A.J. Chougule	
DATE: 23/12/16	states as follows :-	
CORAM: Hon ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	(a) Affidavit is ready and would be filed within one week.	
Hon ble Shri M. Ramashkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt:	(b) The matter in relation to decision as regards continuation / revocation of Applicant's suspension is processed at the level of Government.	
Advocate for the Applicant		
Shri/Smt: A.J. Choud C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	(c) Now the matter is pending for approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister.	
Adj. To. 1/2-1 267:	4. In view of the statement of learned P.O. adjourned	
the steel	to 01.02.2017.	
	5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O	
	Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the	
	Respondents.	

prk

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate) versus
	of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.27 of 2012 in O.A. No.162 of 2010
	Shri K.N. Sardesai & OrsApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents None for the Applicant. Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 24.4.2017
	with liberty to move for circulation on an earlier date, if
	occasion arises.
DATE: 23/12/16 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Raineshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Srat: Ane for the copporate for the Applicant Shri/Srat: 11. G. Gahed C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. Te. 24/4/2017.	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 23.12.2016
y te	

The State of Maharashtra and others

.... Respondent/s (Presenting Officer..... Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or C.A. No.47 of 2009 in O.A. No.690 of 2004 directions and Registrar's orders ..Applicant Smt. U.K. Bavdekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents None for the Applicant. Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Office note shows that the order, in relation to 2. which CA is filed, is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the said stay, hearing of this CA is adjourned to 3.1.2020 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises. DATE: CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hoa'ble Eliki M. Rameshkumar (Member) A 23.12.2016 APPEARANCE: (sgj) Shri/Smt . Home Advecate for the Applicant Shri/Snr. . H. S. Gobel C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.12.2016.

O.A.No.654 of 2016

M.D. Shinde

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

- 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise states as follows:-
 - (a) Judgment passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No. 467/2015 and many other accompanying Original Applications is challenged by the State before Hon'ble High Court.
 - (b) It is expected to be heard by the Hon'ble High Court on 24.01.2017.
 - (c) In such circumstances, hearing of this O.A. may be adjourned beyond this date.
- 3. In view of the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 03.04.2017, with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.
- 4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

(A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman

DATE: 23 12 16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE

Shrising: S.P. Mancheter

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.B. Bhis C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 3/4/2017. Stero Copy of Hamacot is allowed to Ld. P.O.

prk

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.12.2016.

O.A.No.31 of 2015

Dr. S.N. Waydande

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

- Heard Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri P.V. Patil has tendered the affidavit annexing thereto copy of representation submitted by the Applicant. Affidavit is taken on record.
- Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise is call-to make a statement as to the time frame within which Applicant's representation would be decided.
- Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

S.O. to 06.01.2017.

prk

23/12/16 DATE:

CORAM:

Hon the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hoa'ble Shri M. Rumeshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smi : P.V. Pat

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

O.A.1147/2016

Smt. B.N. Bhagat

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Mr. A.S. Kulkarni, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Kulkarni, the learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks urgent relief. As of today, making it clear that no rights of any partis have been in any manner concluded, I direct that the appointment, if any, made to the post of Police Patil, Village Dunge, Taluka Bhivandi, District Thane is made, the said appointee shall be clearly notified in writing that his appointment shall be subject to the outcome of this OA or even an interim order made herein. It is made clear that reply must be filed on the next date. Liberty to replace Page No.21 is granted to the Applicant.

Issue notice returnable on 13.01.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 13th January, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.12.2016

(skw)

DATE: 23 12

COMM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAI

(Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Minism A.J. chocogcela

C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

5.0.4013/1

MUMBAI		
Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s	
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
M.A.556/2016 in O.A.1210/20		
	Smt. S.C. Desai Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate	
	for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	Issue notice returnable on 12.01.2017.	
	Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.	
DATE: 23/12/16 CONUM:	Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
Hon'ble Shri RASIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE:	This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A. J. chacgale C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents	The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	

S.O. to 12th January, 2016.

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.12.2016

Sd/-

(skw)

5.0. +0 12

Original Application No.	of 20	District Applicant/s
(Advocate		
The	versus State of Maharashtra	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		·····)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's order		Tribunal's orders
		O.A.1205/2016
	Shri S.R. O	. N. M.
	The State	of Mah. & ors Respondents
	Heard	l Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advoca

for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 12.01.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post. / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 12th January, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.12.2016

(skw)

DATE:

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shritsme : Hickorya

C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

5.0. to 12

Original Application No. of 2	20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate	wersus Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal ^t s orders
	O.A.1196/2016
	Shri R.V. Sonkamble Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice returnable on 13.01.2017.
	Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
DATE: 23 2 16 CU'AM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Bou'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
Shriston R. G. Peenchal A. 6244 for the Applicant	This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
Str. Sm. 1. A. J. Chocagala. CHOTEO. for the Respondents Adj. To. 5-0 +0 13 116.	The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
Court	S.O. to 13th January, 2016.

Sd/(R.B. Malik) 23-12-16

Member (J) 23.12.2016

(skw)

MUMBAI		
Original Application No.	f 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s	
	Applicants	
(Advocate		
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A.879/2016	
	Shri M.B Jadhav Applicant	
	Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents	
	Heard Mr. S.T. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	Issue notice returnable on 16.01.2017.	
	Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.	
DATE: 23 12/16 CORAM:	Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL	This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11	
Won'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
Shrisht S.T. Bhosele		
Sher/Sml.: L.S. Good (200000) Sher/Sml.: L.S. Good (200000)	The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	

S.O. to 16th January, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 23.12.16 Member (J) 23.12.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

WIUMDAI		
Original Application No.	f 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus of Maharashtra and	l others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	,)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
DATE: 23/12/16 CORAM: Hou'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALJK (Member) APPEARANCE: Shri/Sint.:	Shri M.B Jad Vs. The State of Heard M the Applicant Presenting Office I have p heard both the s delay as such. be processed so further considera	Mah. & ors Respondents r. S.T. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned er for the Respondents. perused the record and proceedings and sides. It does not appear that there is any The MA is, therefore, disposed of The OA or as to be placed before the Bench for
of Out		

saritsme. Azehoena

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

61

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAL		
Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT • Applicant/s	
(Advocate	versus se of Maharashtra and others	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Respondent/s	
directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.1206/2016 Shri M.N. Pardeshi Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents Heard Mr. Amol Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	Issue notice returnable on 06.01.2017. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal a this stage and separate notice for final disposal need no be issued.	
DATE: 23/12/16 CURAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon' ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
Shrishme Amal Joshi	This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 06th January, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.12.2016

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.37 of 2013 in O.A. No.632 of 2011

Dr. A.S. Warke

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. PO states that all amounts payable to under order dated 12.10.2012 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.632 of 2011 is paid to the applicant.
- 3. In the last affidavit filed by the contemnor, Smt. Sujata Saunik has taken a stiff stand in spite of directions to apply mind. It is seen that later on compliance of the order is done by changing the stance. This later compliance ipso facto does not result in purging the contempt.
- 4. If at all contemnor no.1 wants to file an unconditional apology in words explaining compliance required, and exact failure, she has to choose to do so if she decides and desires.
- 5. At this state, Ld. PO states that he would contact Smt. Sujata Saunik the contemnor, and take instructions for making a suitable statement, and prays for time.
- 6. S.O. to 5.1.2017.
- 7. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

rued.

(A.H. Joshi, J. Chairman 23.12.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 23/12/16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sont: Hane-For the eff

Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smr. : K. 13, 13h je

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 5/1/2017, steno copy & Hamacot is allowed.