

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.725 of 2023 in O.A. No.414 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus M.R. Thakare (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri P.A. Dage, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states M.A. No.725/2023 in O.A.414/2023 is filed on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D.' who is Respondent No.1 in O.A. No.414/2023. The M.A. No.725/2023 in O.A.414/2023 has been filed on 21.11.2023 to tender unconditional apology for not filing of 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' by 20.11.2023 by 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune' as per directions given on 03.11.2023. The M.A. No.725/2023 in O.A.414/2023 was taken up for hearing on 23.11.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' could not be filed by 20.11.2023 on account of 'Diwali Vacations' from 09.11.2023 to 19.11.2023. She further states that although the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' could not be filed before 20.11.2023, the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' had been prepared &amp; kept ready for affirmation by 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune Region' and was even Notarized at Pune on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.414/2023 opposes the belated filing of M.A. No.725/2023. He states that email was sent to 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune Region' on 17.11.2023 along with copy of 'Precipice'. The 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune' therefore was well aware that the 'Precipice'</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

dated 17.11.2023 would be presented at 11.00 am on 20.11.2023 to seek orders as O.A. No.414/2023 was on board of 21.11.2023 under caption of 'Final Hearing (Part Heard). In spite of this no action was taken by 'Chief Engineer P.W.D., Pune Region' to affirm the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on time and submit it through learned P.O.

5. Learned P.O. was made aware of the fact that M.A. No.725/2023 has been filed rather belatedly on 21.11.2023 as the 'Precipice' was presented at 11.00 am on 20.11.2023 by learned Advocate for Applicant. The 'Precipice' was taken up & order passed on 20.11.2023, wherein it was observed that filing of 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' has been unduly delayed by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune Region and therefore Final Hearing (PH) of O.A. No.414/2023 will proceed without 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' of Chief Engineer, PWD, Pune Region being filed or taken on record on 21.11.2023. Hence, M.A. No.725/2023 in O.A. No.414/2023 is dismissed not only for reasons of belated filing on 21.11.2023 but principally on grounds that it has been listed under the caption of 'M.A. for Order' after Final Hearing (P.H) of O.A. No.414/2023 had been concluded on 21.11.2023.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.880 of 2023 to O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 with O.A. No.1131 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No. 983 of 2023 with O.A. No.887 of 2023 with O.A. No. 958 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni Dr. S.M.K. Borate A.H. Meshram Dr. U.N. Khemani Dr. J.T. Sankpal Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. M.S. Umate Dr. B.A. Verma Dr.U.Rajwade @ Dr.U.R. Badole Dr. R.M. Nimbalkar D.R. Katke C.D. Hathial Dr. R.V. Deshpande Dr. S.V. Mahadeshwar Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D. Turbadkar Dr. B.S. Nagargoje .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar and Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' has already filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.880/2023 &amp; Others on 26.09.2023 stating therein that "Comprehensive and Full Review" have been undertaken in respect of all 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 and 10.08.2023 of 'Professor, Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professors' working in various 'Government Colleges including P.O. J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Medical Colleges'.</p>


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

3. Learned P.O. thereupon states that the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed in O.A. No.880/2023 & Others will be adopted 'mutalis – mutandis' in all other 'Group of O.As' filed challenging the 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023, 13.07.2023 and 10.08.2023 of the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'.

4. Learned P.O. has produced letter dated 06.11.2023 of Medical Education and Drugs Department addressed to the 'Commissioner, Medical Education and Research, Mumbai by which in principal approval has been given for payment of 'Salary and Allowances' to the concerned 'Professors, Associate Professors & Assistant Professors' for periods from 'Dates of Interim Relief' to their 'Dates of Joining' subject to these being regularized as 'Leave Due and Admissible'. Accordingly it is directed that 'Leave Due and Admissible' be granted without delay by the 'Dean, Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Medical Colleges' on applications being submitted by concerned 'Professors, Associate Professors & Assistant Professors'; so that their pending 'Salary and Allowances can then be forthwith disbursed by 'Pay and Accounts Office, Mumbai.

5. S.O. to 20.12.2023.

Sd/-  
  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1475 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.A. Patil, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant was working on the post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' since 29.07.2021. The Applicant has now been transferred to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Jawhar Division, District Palghar' by order dated 22.11.2023 and in her place Respondent No.2 who was on 'Compulsory Waiting Period' has been posted as 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that Respondent No.2 was posted on promotion to 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, (P.W.), District Palghar' by Transfer Order dated 27.04.2022. However, Respondent No.2 was transferred out from this post on 19.07.2023 and has been on 'Compulsory Waiting Period'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that the Applicant had also joined on promotion as 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' on 'Vacant Post' by Transfer Order dated 29.07.2021 after being assigned to 'Konkan - 2 Division' as per the provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021'</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>which includes Four Districts of 'Raigad, Thane, Mumbai Suburban, Mumbai City District'. whereas, the Respondent No.2 who was also promoted had joined on the 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, (P.W.), District Palghar' as per Transfer Order dated 27.04.2022 having been assigned to 'Konkan - 1 Division' which includes of Three Districts of Palghar, Ratnagiri &amp; Sindhudhurg'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant then specifically referred to provisions of 'Rule 8' of 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021' which clearly states that once any Government Servant is allotted to particular Revenue Division subsequent to either Promotion or Appointment in 'Group A' and 'Group B'; then change of Revenue Division is permissible only after tenure of minimum 3 Years in the allotted 'Revenue Division'.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant has been transferred from post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' without any recommendation of 'C.S.B'. Further, its 'Mid-Term' and 'Mid-Tenure' transfer in contravention of the provisions of Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4(5) of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. stated on the basis of 'File Noting' that no 'Interim Relief' be given to Applicant as 'Transfer Order' dated 22.11.2023 has been issued after the recommendation of 'Competent Transferring Authority' and with prior approval of next 'Superior Transferring Authority' and thus was in accordance with the provisions of Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4 (5) of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'</p> <p>8. The 'File Notings' of P.W.D. reveal the Respondent No.2 who was on 'Compulsory Waiting Period' was initially proposed to be transferred to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Jawhar Division, District Palghar' based on recommendations</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>made by C.S.B. on 28.06.2023 and this was approved by 'Competent Transferring Authority' and next 'Superior Transferring Authority' on 19.07.2023 as per provisions of 4 (4) (ii) and read with Section 4(5) of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. The 'File Notings' of PWD further reveal that the Transfer Order of Respondent No.2 to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Jawhar Division, District Palghar' was not issued because of 'Oral Instructions' received from the 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' who is the 'Competent Transferring Authority'. The 'Oral Instructions' of 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' were diligently recorded in 'File Notings' by both 'Secretary (Roads), P.W.D.' and 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D.' as per orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in T.S.R. Subramanian and Ors. Vs. Union of India &amp; Ors. (2013) 15 SCC 732. Thereupon, office note was submitted to 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' &amp; 'Competent Transferring Authority' by the 'Secretary (Roads), P.W.D.' and 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D.' seeking further directions as to whether or not to issue the Transfer Order of Respondent No.2 to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Jawhar Division, District Palghar', as it has been approved on 19.07.2023 by 'Hon'ble Chief Minister' as next 'Superior Transferring Authority'. The 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' &amp; 'Competent Transferring Authority' however gave directions that Respondent No.2 be instead posted on the post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' in place of Applicant and returned the file to the 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D.'. The Secretary (Roads) and 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D.' again re-submitted office note stating the fact that post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' was not vacant as the Applicant was serving on it and she had not completed her Natural Tenure of 3 Years. The subsequent 'File Notings' show that 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D. &amp; 'Competent Transferring</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Authority' thereupon ~~Suo-Moto~~ recommended that Respondent No.2 be posted in the place of the Applicant as 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' and Applicant to be posted on the 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Jawhar Division, District Palghar' and submitted the file for prior approval to 'Hon'ble Chief Minister' as next 'Superior Transferring Authority'. Thereafter 'Hon'ble Chief Minister' as next 'Superior Transferring Authority' approved the proposal although it was without recommendation of C.S.B. and was submitted 'Suo-Moto' by 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' and 'Competent Transferring Authority' and returned the file to 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D. on 22.11.2023.

9. The proposal for transfer of the Applicant from the post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' was without recommendation by C.S.B. Infact the only proposal which was submitted for consideration of the 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' and 'Competent Transferring Authority' was that of the Respondent No.2 who was to be posted on 'Vacant Post' of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Jawhar Division, District Palghar' as it was recommended by C.S.B. Therefore it is established that the Transfer Orders of Applicant were issued on 22.11.2023 is in contravention of the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in (2013) 15 SCC 732 T.S.R. Subramanian and Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.. The 'Additional Chief Secretary, P.W.D.' and 'Secretary (Roads), P.W.D.' had more than one occasions re-submitted file with all facts for reconsideration of the 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' and 'Competent Transferring Authority'. However, even after it was mentioned that the post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' was held by Applicant since 29.07.2021 and she was not due for transfer; yet the 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge of P.W.D.' as 'Competent Transferring Authority' imported the name of Respondent No.2 and 'Suo-Moto' recommended his transfer on the post occupied by the Applicant and then sought approval from next 'Superior Transferring Authority' who is 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra State'.

10. The Transfer Order of Applicant issued on 22.11.2023 is *prima-facie* outcome of 'Arbitrary Exercise' of 'Statutory Powers' in contravention of Section 4(4)(ii)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>and Section 4(5) of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' and violation of 'Rule 8' of 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021' which have been framed under 'Article 309 of Constitution of India'. The Balance of Convenience' at this stage therefore is in clear favor of the Applicant. Hence, it is case where 'Interim Relief' is justiciable to be granted to Applicant in terms of Para 10 (a) of this O.A. No.1475/2023. Accordingly, by way of 'Interim Relief' the Applicant is allowed to continue on the post of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel Division, District Raigad' till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>11. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.12.2023.</p> <p>13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>15. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

16. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

17. S.O. to 21.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circumstances,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.323/2023**

**B.N. Dhole** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Bhagyashri Mangale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The matter is fixed for argument on Prayer Clause (a) only to 29.01.2024. At that time, the status of DE will be informed.

3. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Member-A Chairperson**  
**23.11.2023 23.11.2023**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.721 of 2023 in O.A. No.1465 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Jature, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.721 of 2023 in O.A. No.1465 of 2023 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.721 of 2023, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.721 of 2023 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1465 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Jature, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicants who are 'Police Head Constable - Wireless Operators were by 'Transfer Order' dated 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022 of the 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' been transferred to the establishment of various (a) Commissioners of Police (b) Superintendents of Police. However, 'D.C.P. Wireless, Mumbai City' for reasons unknown has not relieved the Applicants for more than 'One year'; inspite of 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' repeatedly directing the 'DCP Wireless, Mumbai City' to relieve the Applicants forthwith; irrespective of whether or not substitute Police Head Constables, Wireless Operations are made available as replacements to the Applicants. Further, the Applicants themselves have been repeatedly requesting the 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' and 'DCP Wireless, Mumbai City' to relieve them to join at their respective places of postings as per 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State' Orders dated 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. The 'D.C.P. Wireless, Mumbai' was represented during hearing today by Shri S.S. Hundekar, ACP, PC &amp; IT (Wireless) and Shri A.N. Shaikh, Law Officer.</p> <p>4. The 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' being the 'Head of Organization' has to get the Orders dated 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022 implemented by 'D.C.P. Wireless, Mumbai City'. The 'DCP, Wireless, Mumbai City' therefore to inform about the compelling reasons for his defiant inaction and by when he intends to implement the order of 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' dated 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. seeks time to personally convey to the 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' and 'D.C.P. Wireless, Mumbai' the observations made above and take instructions by 28.11.2023 from both as to how they intend to give effect to the 'Transfer Orders' of 'Police Head Constable Wireless Operators' issued on 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022 by the 'Additional Director, General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.11.2023 for 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2023 O.A.No.1423 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. Chavan ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the M.P.S.C. has received requisition for recommendation of candidates belonging to Open Category.</p> <p>3. However, the M.P.S.C. seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.644 of 2023</b> <b>in</b> <b>O.A.No.460 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K. B. Ghodke &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Gaikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. and M.A. both stand only on one point i.e. whether the rules of game can be changed when the entire recruitment process is over.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.12.2023 (F.O.B.)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. Kurule, S.R. Phulpagar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that O.A. No.1293/2023 &amp; O.A. No.1294/2023 be tagged along with O.A. No.26/2022 &amp; Others due to similarity of facts and grounds which relate to 'Inter District Transfer' of 'Police Constables' from the establishment of the 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' to establishments of other (i) The Commissioners of Police and (ii) The Superintendents of Police.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.391 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N.D. Patil, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instructions from officer present on behalf of 'Joint Director of Vocational Education and Training, Pune' states that the proposal to consider the request of the Applicant for transfer to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Office Superintendent, BTRI, Sangli' has been submitted on 09.11.2023 to the 'Director of Vocational Education and Training, Mumbai'. The 'Director of Vocational Education and Training, Mumbai' must therefore consider the proposal submitted by 'Joint Director of Vocational Education and Training, Pune' on 09.11.2023 and decide within next 'Two Weeks' regarding the transfer of the Applicant to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Office Superintendent, BTRI, Sangli'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.46 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.P. Gharat &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder dated 25.4.2023 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.292 of 2023 in O.A. No.46 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.P. Gharat &amp; Ors ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that there is delay of one year and seven months in filing the OA and prays for condoning the same. He states that delay has been caused because of the medical emergency of applicant's father who was seriously ill.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO objects to condone the delay and states that OA was filed without MA for condonation of delay. He states that MA was filed belatedly and therefore the OA is not maintainable.</p> <p>4. Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the fact that MA is filed for condoning the delay, the delay is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1155 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Khandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 30.10.2023 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1151 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.D. Dange &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the order passed by this Tribunal on 12.10.2023 has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to take instructions as to why the order of this Tribunal has not been complied with and make statement positively.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(Handwritten Signature)</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1076 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. K.V. Male ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1073 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri Ashish Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Respondents No.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant prays for interim relief.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.2 &amp; 3 files affidavit in reply dated 9.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.11.2023. Prayer for interim relief will be considered.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.938 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.U. Pathak ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.12.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.692 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A. Jankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Applicant in person, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. Applicant in person files rejoinder.</li><li>3. Admit.</li><li>4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.635 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</li><li>3. Admit.</li><li>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant was suspended on 23.11.2019 and subsistence allowance is not paid to him.</li><li>5. Ld. PO to take instructions as to why applicant has not been given the subsistence allowance.</li><li>6. S.O. to 30.11.2023 for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.718/2023 in RA.14/2023 in OA.43/2013</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  S.B. Mosamkar ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents. None for the Respondent-Original Applicant.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 4.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA &amp; RA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.577 of 2023 in O.A. No.1156 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.A. Mangaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. P.H. Hendre, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1089 of 2023

P.D. Suryavanshi ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. PO waives service of notice.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgi)  
Member (A)  
23.11.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1079 of 2023

R.Y. Kamble

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
23.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.547 of 2023 in O.A. No.1079 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.Y. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. C. F. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that MA is filed to condone the delay of 5 months and 17 days. He states that the applicant came to know that the Risk Allowance was sanctioned to other colleagues on 24.3.2021. The applicant made representation on 6.9.2021. This OA is filed on 22.8.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA and states that there is delay of more than one year.</p> <p>4. However, for the reasons mentioned above the delay is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.520 of 2023 in O.A. No.800 of 2023</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra State Food Officers Welfare Association ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.12.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1466 of 2023

C.S. Sutar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
23.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.703 of 2023 in O.A. No.1447 of 2023

S.V. Chandratre ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-  
(Medhā Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
23.11.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1447 of 2023

S.V. Chandratre

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medhā Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
23.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 550 of 2023 in O.A. No. 1089 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.D. Suryavanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.11.2023 of respondents no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that there is a delay of 15 months. Ld. Advocate states that the applicant had certain medical issues and hence there is delay in filing this OA.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO opposes the contentions of the Ld. Advocate for the applicant and states that delay is of more than 15 months and reasons for which have not been explained.</p> <p>5. However, for the reasons mentioned above the delay is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 23.11.2023  
O.A.No.1450 of 2023

Dr. M. Nayyar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Vinod Singh holding for Shri A. A. Desari, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.12.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 04.12.2023. This O.A. be tagged along with O.A. No.1406/2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2023 O.A.No.1340 of 2023</p> <p>K. N. Tadvi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the list of candidates who are short listed for interview for Open Sports Category, Group-A who played at international level is provided by the M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. Perused the list. There is total 15 candidates in the list. The copy of the said list is provided to the Applicant to verify the eligibility of these candidates. The list provided by the M.P.S.C. is taken on record and marked as 'Exhibit-A'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.74 of 2023 With O.A. No.75 of 2023</p> <p>P. P. Kasture K. P. Bholane .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2023 O.A.No.82 of 2023</p> <p>B. M. Makwana &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amar Bodke holding for Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 08.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2023 O.A.No.70 of 2023</p> <p>H. D. More &amp; Ors .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 23.11.2023

O.A.No.988 of 2023

R. D. Kanade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 30.11.2023.

3. S.O. to 30.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.11.2023

O.A.No.1454 of 2023

**Y.S. Tekawade** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Ganesh Gaikwad, Section Officer, Home Department is present today pursuant to the order dated 21.11.2023.

3. Learned C.P.O. submits that Home Department has taken a view that 9 Months training period cannot be extended to 12 Months on their part and Police Personnel who have cleared Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (LDCE) have to undergo training of 9 Months.

4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant produces the orders dated 04.08.2022, 05.08.2022, 08.08.2022 & 18.08.2022 in O.A.No.725 of 2022 wherein a similarly situated Police Personnels who had cleared Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (LDCE) were subsequently sent for training along with Direct Recruits of Batch 122 where the training is undergone for 12 Months while training for those who have cleared Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (LDCE) is only for 9 months. However, as the Applicants showed their willingness to Execute Bond to undergo extended training from 9 Months to 12 Months, those Police Personnel were sent for training of 12 Months along with Direct Recruits.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant provides a list of Police Constables who had been sent for training like Applicant along with Direct Recruits Batch of 2018.

6. The earlier order dated 21.11.2023 was perused along with the list of Police Constables which is given by the learned Counsel for the Applicant is taken on record and marked as Exb. '1' which discloses that 13 Police Constables who were similarly placed like Applicant and were sent for training with Direct Recruits Batch of 2018. In such circumstances, we direct the Home Department to send the Applicant for training in Batch 124 of Direct Recruits which will commence tomorrow and Applicant shall Execute Bond of undergoing 12 Months training, if otherwise eligible.

8. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1414/2023 with O.A.No.1436/2023 with O.A.No.1437/2023</b></p> <p>A.S. Shirsat .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. O.As. though are adjourned to 08.12.2023 is taken up at the request of learned P.O. Ms. Manchekar.</p> <p>4. Today learned C.P.O. files reply. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the Government wants to vacant the interim stay granted by this Tribunal as the entire process is stayed by the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. O.As. are peremptorily adjourned to 06.12.2023. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1398/2023</b></p> <p>A. Karwande .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.R. Purandare, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1360/2023</b></p> <p>B.N. Borse .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.A. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1283/2023</b></p> <p>N.B. Pathan .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1261/2023</b></p> <p>V.H. Shipkule &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1001/2023</b></p> <p>S.D. Davbhat &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masurkar, holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 21.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1166/2023</b></p> <p>S.D. Chougule .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.B. Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.309 of 2017</u></p> <p>P.B. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is wrongly shown on board.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1128/2023</b></p> <p>A.T. Sakore .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Today learned Counsel has filed rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>5. Admit. Place for Final Hearing before Division Bench II.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 04.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1019/2023</b></p> <p>Dr. M.P. Chavan .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.P. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing before the Divisions Bench-II.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gádgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1031/2023</b></p> <p>V.R. More .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that short reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1114/2023</b></p> <p>Y.B. Kadam .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.689/23 in O.A.No.740/2023</b></p> <p>P.R. Gurav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kolge.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 24.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.368 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.H. Aangre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant an Ex. P.I. challenges the order dated 2.5.2014 dismissing him from service from the post of PI and also challenges further order dated 11.5.2016 passed by the appellate authority and he prays for consequential reliefs.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.12.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2017</u></p> <p>C.J. Gudewar &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 4.12.2023.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.840 of 2017 with M.A. No.412/2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.914 &amp; 915 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.V. Patil B.R. Ghaytad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.928 of 2017</u></p> <p>G.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.956 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.D. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.11.2023 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.466 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.R. Tambe &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.158 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.R. Putta ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  23.11.2023 23.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1267 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K.B. Bhagat, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 07.12.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1336 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. Shaikh, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that instruction has been received from the Office of 'District Collector, Pune' and accordingly seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.30/2021 in O.A.No.790/2014</p> <p>V.D. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day she will file reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.80/2023 in O.A.No.729/2019</b></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that today during the course of the day he will file rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.80/2023 in O.A.No.729/2019</b></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that today during the course of the day he will file rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.83/2023 in O.A.No.166/2018</b></p> <p>S.P. Jedhe .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Learned P.O. to take note that the matter will be considered on the next date</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.84/2023 in O.A.No.168/2018</b></p> <p>A.V. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ansari Faiyaz Ahmed holding for Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Ansari Ahmed prays for two weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.105/2023 in O.A.No.1201/2018</b></p> <p>A.D. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry to take note that name of Mr. A.A. Gharte is wrongly typed, instead name of Mr. M.D. Lonkar is to be shown.</p> <p>3. It is to be noted that the matter was pending in the concerned Department of Mantralaya from 1987. Order was issued on 07.11.2023 pursuant to the order dated 07.06.2022 passed in O.A.No.1201/2018.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that the applicant is waiting for the revised pension and arrears since 1987. Order was passed on 07.06.202 wherein arrears are not granted to the Applicant for the period from 1987 to 1990. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant is 75 years old and very sick.</p> <p>5. Apology is to be tendered by the concerned Respondents.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>R.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.No.187/2021</b></p> <p>D.N. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>R.A.No.9/2023 in O.A.No.570/2017</b></p> <p>B.G. Kingare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant, in person, is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.257/2023 in O.A.No.212/2023</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .....Applicants (Org. Respd.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>S.V. Kadari .....Respondents. (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 14.12.2023. HOB.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Medha Gadgil</b>) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b>) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.337/2023 in O.A.No.971/2019 with M.A.No.338/2023 in O.A.No.973/2019 with M.A.No.339/2023 in O.A.No.1022/2019</b></p> <p>R.P. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Commissioner Tribal Development has given hearing on 22.11.2022. However, the Applicant sought time before the Commissioner.</p> <p>3. In view of above, adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gaikwad) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.886/2023</b></p> <p>S.M. Ghuge .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted to learned C.P.O. to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.799/2023</b></p> <p>R.S. Lodhe .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kadam.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.667/2022</b></p> <p>R.H. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Despite specific order dated 05.10.2023 there is no communication from the Respondent i.e. from the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Deputy Secretary, Rural Development Department is directed to remain present.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.870/2022</b></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Patil . . . . . Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ashish Vernekar holding for Mr. S.R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the reply is not filed matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1268/2022</b></p> <p>B.D. Kamble &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.3 to 42.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that he adopted the reply filed by the Government.</p> <p>4. As reply is filed by learned P.O., pleadings are complete, matter to be admitted and place for Final hearing.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 11.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.300/2023</b></p> <p>D.D. Gaikwad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.N. Biradar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Matter is wrongly shown in the board of Division Bench as the subject of the O.A. is assigned to Single Bench.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. be placed before appropriate Single Bench on 25.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.411/2023</b></p> <p>S.N. Bagul &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.684/2023</b></p> <p>M.D. Mohite .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing before Division Bench II.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.686/2023</b></p> <p>J.V. Tiwade .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that he has filed rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing before Division Bench II.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.01.2024 with liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.787/2023</b></p> <p>A.R. Deokar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.3 and 4.</p> <p>2. Today learned Counsel Mr. Dere has served the copy of reply to learned Counsel Ms. Purva Pradhan and to learned C.P.O. Ms. Manchekar.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing before Division Bench II.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.11.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.965/2023</b></p> <p>P.J. Waghmare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.M. Kale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**23.11.2023****O.A 394/2020**

Smt V.S Karambe ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. We put a query to the learned C.P.O, whether by Government Resolution the post of Steno-Typist can be abolished when in the recruitment rules dated 31<sup>st</sup> July, 1997, for promotion to the post of Lower Grade Stenographer, Steno-Typist is the feeder cadre.

3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions.

4. S.O to 24.11.2023 at 1.00 pm.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

AKn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson