

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.599 OF 2022

S.G. Nandedkar & Ors.

...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant
Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Ms. Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **23.11.2022**

PER : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

SPEAKING TO MINUTES

1. This matter has been disposed of by this Tribunal on 08.08.2022. Today, the matter is taken on Board for 'Speaking to Minutes' at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Kolge.

- (a) In title, Applicant No.1's name instead of 'Shri Shankar Gangadhar Nandekar' it be corrected as 'Shri Shankar Gangadhar Nandedkar'
- (b) In title, Applicant No.3's name instead of 'Shri Pratap Jaydeo Kumar' it be corrected as 'Shri Pratap Jaydeo Kuwar'

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

. 12/1 13
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.47 of 2021 in O.A. No.280 of 2017 with O.A. No.241 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PunathilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that arrears of pension is being given to the Applicant. GPF, GIS, Leave Encashment and difference of the 7th Pay Commission is still not been given to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. We find there has been an inordinate delay in getting these benefits.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, issue notice to Shri V.K. Gautam, Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department as to why action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 should not initiated against you</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>23.11.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1160/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Nitin L. Khairnar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Gosavi, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Shri Anand Rayate, Additional Settlement Commissioner, Land Records, Pune is present.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the disqualified list of candidates published by the Respondent on 15.11.2022 and so far as the present applicants are concerned, particularly at Sr. Nos 92 & 93 in the impugned list of disqualified candidates are to be quashed and set aside. Further, the Respondents be directed to issue Hall ticket to the applicants for the examination which is scheduled between 28.11.2022 to 30.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that the applicants were held ineligible because they were not having the requisite educational qualification as per clause 2 of the advertisement. Learned P.O submits that the Respondents have decided to allow the applicants to appear for the said examination as they were having the equivalent Certificate of D.C in Construction Surveying which is equivalent as per G.R dated 28.2.2010.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O on instructions submit that the link for the issuance of Admit Card will be opened tomorrow after 12.00 noon</p> <p>5. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders Date : 23.11.2022</p>
	<p align="center">O.A.No.1180 of 2016 (O.A.No.237/2015 Nagpur) with O.A.No.110/2017 with O.A.No.401/2017 (O.A.No.658/2015 Nagpur_)</p> <p>R.M. Janbandhu & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant No.49, Ms. Shilpa M. Sonawane is present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.77 of 2022 in O.A. No.759 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Fifteen days time is granted for the compliance of the order dated 05.08.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.759/2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson </p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.02 of 2022 in O.A. No.1047 of 2016</p> <p>P.P. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks short time to file Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.642 of 2022 with O.A. No.643 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. DurgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file Rejoinder</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.01.2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 23.11.2022

M.A. No.226 of 2022 in O.A. No.354 of 2020

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl.
Versus
K.R. Gupta & Ors. (Org. Appl.)Resps.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri J.J. Carlos, learned Sr. Counsel for the Respondent No.5. None for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).
2. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.
3. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.527 of 2022</p> <p>M.M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 3. Admit. 4. Adjourned for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 04.01.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1168 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. KhadseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The challenge is to the order dated 18.10.2022 of revision from the post of Sister Tutor to Staff Nurse on account of benefit of reservation taken in promotion, though the Applicant does not belong to S.C. category.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. wants to file Reply and submit that the Applicant has never produced her caste certificate.</p> <p>4. Caveat filed, waives service.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.11.2022.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.205 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.K. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Jr. learned Advocate holding for Shri A.H. Joshi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.7 2. Admit. 3. Adjourned for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 21.12.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.488 of 2022 in O.A. No.809 of 2022</p> <p>N.D. TasgaonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that there is no delay as the challenge is to the order dated 06.12.2021 which is well within limitation.</p> <p>3. Hence, in view of above submission M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A. No.809 of 2022</p> <p>N.D. TasgaonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 04.01.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>23.11.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 666/2022 in O.A 965/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.J Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O produces copies of the appointment order in respect of the three applicants by which they have been reinstated in service w.e.f 14.9.2022 and they have been allowed to join their duties from 23.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Copies of the appointment orders of the applicants are taken on record and marked as Exh. 'A'.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1171 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Thakur (Gaikwad)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 04.01.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1155 /2022 with M.A.No.662/2022</p> <p>B.D. Modak & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 04.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.325/2018 with O.A.No.13/2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhao & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.325/2018, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.13/2020, Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1 and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 are absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.11.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.318/2022</p> <p>J.Y. RathodApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent, adjourned to 07.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.515/2019</p> <p>N.T. NeheteApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent, adjourned to 30.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 23.11.2022</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.711/2020 with O.A.No.712/2020</p> <p>R.K. Kudtarkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that this case is not covered by the judgment of Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Hence, matter requires detail hearing. Adjourned to 04.01.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p>  <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p>  <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1029 of 2016</p> <p>Maha Raj PadvidharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent, adjourned to 30.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	
--	--

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.993/2021</p> <p>A.R. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Kolge submits that there is delay on the part of the Respondents under Section 10 of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity).</p> <p>3. Mr. Anup Kumar Singh, Additional Director General of Police is present. He states that there is delay on the part of their office due to misunderstanding that since the O.A. is pending in M.A.T., no action was taken after receipt of the report of the Enquiry Officer on 24.08.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we direct the office of Additional D.G. of Police to issue the show cause notice today i.e. 23.11.2022. Reply to be filed by the Applicant within two days thereafter i.e. 25.11.2022. Generally as per the procedure 15 days time is required to be given to the delinquent officer. However, learned Advocate waive off that period stating that Applicant will submit reply within two days. Further action should be taken within one week thereafter as the applicant is due to retire on 30.11.2022.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 30.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1154 /2022 with M.A.No.661/2022</p> <p>A.K. Kadam & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 04.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.11.2022

O.A.No.1123 /2022

M.K. Salvi ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant is directed to serve copy of O.A. to the office of learned C.P.O.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. Adjourned to 04.01.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1124 /2022</p> <p>S.M. SalviApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is directed to serve copy of O.A. to the office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 04.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1147 /2022</p> <p>W.N. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 04.01.2023. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 23.11.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.632/2022 in O.A.No.770/2022 With M.A.No.663/2022 in O.A.No.805/2022 With M.A.No.634/2022 in O.A.No.843/2022 With M.A.No.636/2022 in O.A.No.905/2022 with M.A.No.635/2022 in O.A.No.844/2022 With M.A.No.681/2022 in O.A.No.915/2022 With M.A.No.367/2022 in O.A.No.1011/2022</p> <p>Dr. A.K. Rathod & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc. Applications are moved by learned Advocate for transfer of O.As from M.A.T., Mumbai and Nagpur Bench to M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985.</p> <p>3. M.As is taken on board at the request of learned Advocate as she has come from Aurangabad in view that the matter was scheduled on today's board.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that in O.A.No.1011/2022, O.A.No.770/2022, O.A.No.805/2022, O.A.No.843/2022, the applicants are within the territorial jurisdiction of Bombay Bench. The Applicants filed the present M.A.s to transfer the O.A.s to Aurangabad Bench on the ground that Aurangabad Bench has passed the order in identical matter wherein the similar issue regarding regularization of the Medical officers was decided.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal. She pointed out that the State is likely to challenge the order of M.A.T. bench Aurangabad before the Hon'ble High Court, Aurangabad Bench.

6. M.A.No.630/2022 in O.A.No.722/2022 with M.A.No.631/2022 in O.A.No.752/2022 are fixed on 29.11.2022. These M.As are taken on board at the request of learned Advocate.

7. Learned Advocate further request that M.A.No.630/2022 and M.A.No.631/2022 are filed in O.A.No.722/2022 and O.A.No.752/2022 respec. for transfer of O.A.No.722/2022 and O.A.No.752/2022 filed at M.A.T. Bench Nagpur to M.A.T., Bench Aurangabad under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985.

8. In view of the submissions of learned Advocate for the Applicants. M.As are allowed. O.A.s be transferred to Aurangabad Bench.

9. M.As for transfer of O.A.s are hereby allowed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.529 of 2022</p> <p>Shri M. M. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="right">Tribunal's orders Date : 23.11.2022</p>
	<p align="center">O.A. No.31 of 2020 with O.A. No.22 of 2021 with O.A. No.765 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. Zote D.M. Bhonde T.P. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that M.A. No.164/2022 filed for transfer of Original Applications from Nagpur and Aurangabad to Mumbai is still pending and requested for time. 3. There seems to be joint enquiry against 36 delinquents and being aggrieved by it, Original Applications are filed at Nagpur, Aurangabad and Mumbai. 4. Await for the decision of M.A.No.164/2022. 5. S.O. to 21.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.550 of 2022</p> <p>D. S. YadavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.746/2021</u></p> <p>Shri K.D. Baraf & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit to show that the benefit claimed in the O.A. for grant of honorarium Rs.15,000/- p.m. is already granted to Applicant Nos.1 & 2 by Respondent - Collector, Palghar. According to him, Applicant Nos.3 to 6 are also entitled for the same relief. Additional Affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. sought one week's time to take instructions from the Department about Additional Affidavit filed by the Applicant today.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 23.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.898 of 2022</p> <p>N. S. VaidyaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one weeks time is granted for fling reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.616 of 2022 in O.A.No.1032 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. <li>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay caused in filing O.A. In prayer clause all that it is prayed to condone the delay without specifying the period of delay. In fact, it ought to have been specifically mentioned in prayer clause. <li>3. The office has also raised objection but not complied with. <li>4. Perusal of M.A. however reveals that the delay is nearabout 14 years. <li>5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2022. <li>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <li>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. <li>11. S.O. to 20.12.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.694 of 2022</p> <p>P. P. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1063 of 2021</p> <p>B. V. ChouguleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Choudhari holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Choudhari, learned Counsel appearing for Shri Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that his senior is not available.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.437 of 2022</p> <p>S. A. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that issue as to whether a Government servant carrying pay scale of - Rs.5500 to 9000 falls in Group 'B' or Group 'C' is not yet decided by the Division Bench and, therefore, requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2022 with connected O.A.No.711/2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.907 of 2022</p> <p>S. P. MalusareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Kale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1098 of 2022</p> <p>Shri D. S. SulApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1054 of 2022 with O.A.No.674 of 2022</p> <p>V. D. Suryawanshi ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 (O.A.674/2022).</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept with O.A. No.674/2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1066 of 2022</p> <p>K. D. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.11.2022

O.A.No.1067 of 2022

R. C. Deshmukh

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. Solanke, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 04.02.2022 whereby his 30 days period treated as without pay leave and also challenged order dated 04.02.2022 whereby another 33 days period is treated as earned leave. According to Applicant, though he was present in the office in those 33 days, it was treated as absence and considered as earned leave.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.11.2022

O.A.No.1140 of 2022

R. B. Sathe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. Solanke, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 02.05.2022 thereby rejecting his claim for change of date of birth in the service book as 26.02.1972 in place of 01.06.1967.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 848 of 2022</p> <p>V. B. SaravadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and will be filed soon.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.866 of 2022</p> <p>M. B. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.924 of 2022 with O.A. No.950 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S. V. Nawale S. G. PawarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. R. Wankhede & Shri S. S. Kompelli, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule. Learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.11.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.670 of 2022 in O.A.No.1167 of 2022</p> <p>P. J. MahaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. <li>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of six months caused in filing O.A. <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2022. <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. <li>9. S.O. to 14.12.2022. <li>10. This matter be kept with connected O.A.No.1113/2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>