(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 645 of 2017

Shri M.A. Damale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 21.12.2017 for affidavit in reply.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 23/11/17

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi

Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri ~~/Smt.~~ K.B. Bhise

~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 21/12/17 for Reply.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE: 23/11/17 CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi ~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~ APPEARANCE: ~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri /~~Smt.~~ K.B. Bhise ~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents ~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 29/11/17.	**O.A.No. 1115/2016** **Mr. V.M. Padwal ... Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents** 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he wants to rely over certain decisions of this Tribunal. At his request, S.O. to 29th November, 2017. Sd/- **(M.T. Joshi)** **Vice-Chairman** **23.11.2017** skw

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 1135/2016

Mr. A.D. Hire ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The present Applicant is claiming interest on delayed payment of salary. In Para No.8 of the Affidavit-in-reply, the concerned Respondent has clarified that upon resignation from the Applicant, the salary was drawn from the office and as he did not turn up to collect the same, ultimately it required to be re-deposited to the R.B.I. on 06.08.2013 and 25.09.2013 respectively.

3. The record would show that during the pendency of the present application, the salary is paid by cheque.

4. Considering all these facts on record, the interest on delayed payment cannot be granted. The O.A. is, therefore, dismissed without any order as to costs.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.S. Dere
Advocate for the **Applicant**
~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.B. Bhise
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the **Respondents**
~~Adj. To.~~ O.A. is dismissed.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.11.2017.

C.A. 63 of 2017 in O.A. 900 of 2016
With
C.A. 64 of 2017 in O.A. 961 of 2016

Mrs. Archana K. Khannande & Ors. ....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Amita Chawaре, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Advocate for the Respondents.

2. Shri Sanjay Ramchandra More, Dy. Secretary is present. He states that:-

a) Totality of compliance would be reported within 2 weeks.

b) Time may be granted to reply show cause notice.

3. S.O. to 14.12.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

nmn

DATE: 23-11-2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkum~~ ~~(Member)~~ A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Ms. Amita Chawaре holding S. S. Deshmukh
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : N. K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passed in tribunal column

~~Adj. To~~.....

S.O. to 14-2-2017

Sawash

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

M.A.449/1026 in O.A.No.1059 /2016

Mr. M.D. Khot ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned P.O. for the Respondent No.1 and Mr. C.T.Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. The delay of one and half year in filing the O.A. is sought to be condoned by the present application. According to the Applicant, the merit list was published on 16th June, 2014. The said merit list could have been challenged within a period of one year. However, since delay is occurred of a period of one and half year from the date of lapse of the said period, the present application is filed.

3. The Applicant submits that as his Advocate advised the Applicant for filing suit before the District Court and thereafter, however, the said Advocate did not proceed with the matter for a period of one year, the delay had occurred.

4. Mr. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 submits that in fact, the select list ought to have been challenged immediately after publication and not after the lapse of the same. Thus, the delay of two years and five months and there is no explanation for this period.

5. The learned P.O. submitted that, in fact, the present Applicant could not have been selected and for that purpose, she relies on the ratio of **Chairman, U.P. Jal Nigam & Anr. Vs. Jaswant Singh & Anr. (Appeal (Civil) 4790 of 2006, dated 10.11.2006).**

6. Whether the Applicant could have been selected or not is to be decided in the O.A. Presently finding that the

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE: 23/11/17 CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ Justice M.T. Joshi (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~ APPEARANCE: ~~Shri/Smt.~~ : S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant ~~Shri/Smt.~~ : A.B. Kalalgi ~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents No 1 C.T. Chandratre Rep R.No. 2. ~~Adj. To~~: M.A. is Allowed.	Applicant has unable to file the O.A. in this Court due to wrong advice from the legal practitioner, the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned without any order as to costs. Sd/- **(M.T. Joshi)** **Vice-Chairman** **23.11.2017** skw

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.405/2017

Mr. D.R. Rajmane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The present Applicant is seeking promotion to the post of Chief Intelligence Officer under the Home Ministry as the officials junior to him in the cadre of senior Intelligence Officers were promoted.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, the only reason from the side of the Respondents for not granting to the present Applicant is that he has not passed Hindi Language Examination, and therefore, he was not found fit for promotion.

4. The relevant Recruitment Rules of 2008 titled as "Recruitment Rules for the Deputy Commissioners, Additional Deputy Commissioners, Assistant Commissioners, Chief Intelligence Officers and Senior Intelligence Officers in the State Intelligence Department, 2008" would show that, if a person is appointed to any of this post, then as per the Rules, he would be required to pass Hindi and Marathi Language Examination, unless he is exempted. Thus the reading of the Rule would show that there is no pre-condition of passing of the said Examination.

5. In that view of the matter, the impugned decision of the concerned Respondent of suppressing the present Applicant in promotion will have to be set aside.

6. The Applicant in his O.A. has taken exception to the promotion of seven other employees who had in fact not passed this examination. The documents submitted by the Respondents and more particularly, Exh. 'E' (Page

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

20) would show that the promotion of those seven employees have been cancelled by the Respondents vide order dated 5th May, 2017.

7. In view of this Rule, as there is no pre-condition, of passing the Examination, the exercise of the concerned Respondent of cancelling the promotion of seven employees also appears to be wrong one.

8. In the circumstances, the following order.

9. The O.A. is hereby allowed without any order as to costs. The concerned Respondents are directed to issue order of promotion of the present Applicant, if he is found otherwise suitable and fit for promotion within a period of four weeks from the date of this order by suitably adjusting the promotion of seven employees.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ S. Sceregaonkar
~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ O.A. is allowed.

(G.C.P.) J 2360(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 23/11/17

CORAM : Justice M.T. Joshi

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Mrs. K. S. Gaikwad

~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. To.~~ O.A. is allowed.

O.A.No.109/2017

Ms. U.S. Salunkhe ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The present Applicant is seeking annulment of the order of recovery from ~~him~~ here claimed by the Respondent No.1 on the ground that excess payment of Rs.46,306/- is made to her when she was initially appointed to the post. It is an admitted fact that the present Applicant was not any way instrumental in getting the salary fixed by the officials.

3. In view of this fact, in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. (Civil Appeal No.11527 of 2014), dated 18.12.2014***, the following order.

4. The O.A. is hereby allowed without any order as to costs. The impugned communication dated 02.12.2015 is hereby quashed and set aside. The amount already recovered be refunded to the present Applicant within a period of eight weeks from this order, failing which the amount recovered shall carry interest at the rate of 8% p.a.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 District

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE: 23/11/17 CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~ APPEARANCE: ~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri ~~Smt.~~ K.B. Bhise ~~C.P.O~~/P.O. for the Respondents ~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 21/12/17 for Reply.	**O.A. No. 645 of 2017** **Shri M.A. Damale ... Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents** 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. S.O. to 21.12.2017 for affidavit in reply. Sd/- **(M.T. Joshi)** **Vice-Chairman** **23.11.2017** vsm

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1135/2016

Mr. A.D. Hire ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The present Applicant is seeking interest on delayed payment of the salary. In Para No.8 of the Affidavit-in-reply, the concerned Respondent has clarified that upon resignation from the Applicant, the salary was drawn from the Office and as he did not turn up to collect the same, ultimately, it required to be re-deposited to the R.B.I. on 06.08.2013 and 25.09.2013 respectively.

3. The record would show that during the pendency of the present application, the salary is paid by cheque.

4. Considering all these facts on record, the interest on delayed payment cannot be granted. The O.A. is, therefore, dismissed without any order as to costs.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. K.B. Bhise
~~C.P.O / P.O.~~ for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ O.A. is dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1099/2017

Mr. V.S. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. V.R. Kolekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 9th January, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 9th January, 2018. Issue of grant of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ V.R. Kolekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : A.B. Kololgi
~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 9/1/18.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1059 /2016

Mr. M.D. Khot ... **Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned P.O. for the Respondent No.1 and Mr. C.T.Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. Affidavit-in-reply by the concerned Respondents is already filed in the O.A. Therefore, the O.A. is admitted. Removed from Board.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Be placed before the Division Bench as and when available. Liberty to file Rejoinder is hereby granted in the meantime.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE : 23/11/17
CORAM : Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : A.B. Kolgi
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents No. 1
C.T. Chandratre for Resp. No. 2.
Adj. To.....
Order passed in the Tribunal's column.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No: of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 655 of 2017

Shri M.B. Patil **... Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. **... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file the reply.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since last four months Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.12.2017 for reply.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O / P.O.~~ for the Respondents holding for N.K. Rajpurohit
~~Adj. To~~
S.O. to 25/12/17.

Vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.825/2017

Mr. S.S. Sawant ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submitted that the Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is filed. He also submitted that he would take instructions as to whether the Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents 1 & 2 is required. At his request, S.O. to 2nd January, 2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge.....
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise.....
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Reply filed by R.No.3 filed.
~~Adj to~~.....
S.O. to 2/1/18.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.666 of 2017
With
M.A. 465 of 2017

Shri G.P. Wadekar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

DATE : 23/11/17
CORAM : Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : N.Y. Chavan
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ : S.O. to 9/1/18.

1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is admitted fact that the W.P. is pending in the Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble High Court seized of the issued.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 09.01.2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

vsm

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 1095 of 2016

Shri B.S. Killedar & Ors. **... Applicants**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. **... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. for the respondents files on record a true copy of the order dated 21.11.2017 decided by the concerned respondents. Learned P.O. submits that as per decision of order dated 21.11.2017, the present applicant is not entitled for the benefits which he claimed for. The order of decision is taken on record. Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed.

3. S.O. to 14.12.2017.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : K.S. Gaikwad
~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj To:~~ S.O. to 14/12/17.

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.866/2017

Mr. S.R.Zagade ... **Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submitted that the Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 will be filed during the course of the day. If it would be filed, the copies be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 4th January, 2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S. Suryawanshi
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents
Reply filed by R.No.1.
~~Adj. To~~
S.O. to 4/1/18.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 23/11/17 CORAM : Justice M.T. Joshi ~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~ APPEARANCE : Shri S.S. Dere ~~Advocate for the Applicant~~ ~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.S. Gaikwad ~~C.P.O~~ P.O. for the Respondents ~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 10/1/18.	**O.A.No.897/2015** **Mr. S.M. Sagvekar ... Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents** 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the order of promotion remained to be filed. 3. At his request, S.O. to 10th January, 2018. Sd/- **(M.T. Joshi)** **Vice-Chairman** **23.11.2017** skw

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.175 of 2017

Shri S.S.Ahire ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned P.O. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.D. Rupawate, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply for respondent nos.1 to 3. Learned Advocate for respondent no.4 files affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 29.11.2017.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S.P. Manchekar
~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents
Reply filed by A.G.O. for R.Nos.1 to 3 & R.No.4.
~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 29/11/17.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI.

Original Application No. " of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 436 of 2017

Shri S.S. Mane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that Affidavit-in-Rejoinder would be filed during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2017 for admission hearing.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ R.M. Kolge.
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri /Smt.~~ : Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O/~~ P.O. for the Respondents
Holding Pocr K.S. Gaikwad
~~Adj. To~~.....
S.O. to 13/12/17.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE: 23/11/17 CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi ~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~ APPEARANCE: ~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad ~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents Reg. Rejoinder filed by Appli. ~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 30/11/17	**O.A.No.702/2016** **Mr. A.R. Naik ... Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents** 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant files Affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record. In the circumstances, S.O. to 30th November, 2017. Sd/- **(M.T. Joshi)** **Vice-Chairman** **23.11.2017** skw

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.912 /2016

Mr. K.R. Dabhade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. C.T.Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for Respondent No.1.

2. None for Respondent No.2. Though the Affidavit-in-reply has been filed, in the circumstances, S.O. to 8th January, 2018. In case nobody appeared on behalf of Respondent No.2, then the application would be heard on that day.

Sd/-
(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ C.T. Chandratre
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri /Smt.~~ Ms. S. Suryawanshi
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents
Hone Resp. R.No.2.
~~Adj. To~~
S.O. to 8/1/18.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.911 /2016

Mr. Y.A. Kale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. C.T.Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for Respondent No.1.

2. None for Respondent No.2. Though the Affidavit-in-reply has been filed, in the circumstances, S.O. to 2nd January, 2018. In case nobody appeared on behalf of Respondent No.2, then the application would be heard on that day.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17.

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt.~~ C.T. chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S. Suryawanshi

~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents

None for R.No.2.

Adj. To 2/1/18.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. 397 of 20 17 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 397 of 2017

Shri A.N. Shirsat ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Arguable case is made out. Admit. Remove from the board. Be placed as and when the Division Bench is available.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

vsm

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ O.A. is Admit.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. ..... of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.207 of 2017

Shri A.J. More & Ors. **... Applicants**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. **... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat , the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants do not wish to file any Rejoinder.

3. Arguable case is made out. Admit. Remove from the board. Be placed as and when the Division Bench is available.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

Vsm

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ M.V. Thorat
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O./~~ P.O. for the Respondents
holding for N.K. Rajpurohit.
~~Adj. To~~.....
O.A. is admit.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 1115 /2016

Mr. V.M. Padwal ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The present Applicant is claiming interest on delayed payment of salary. In Para No.8 of the Affidavit-in-reply, the concerned Respondent has clarified that upon resignation from the Applicant, the salary was drawn from the office and as he did not turn up to collect the same, ultimately it required to be re-deposited to the R.B.I. on 06.08.2013 and 25.09.2013 respectively.

3. The record would show that during the pendency of the present application, the salary is paid by cheque.

4. Considering all these facts on record, the interest on delayed payment cannot be granted. The O.A. is, therefore, dismissed without any order as to costs.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.B. Bhise
~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ O.A. is dismissed.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.305 /2017

Mr. S.C. Paithankar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 filed. It is taken on record. Pleadings are complete. Arguable case is made out.

3. Admit.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Be placed before the Division Bench as and when available.

Sd/-
(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
23.11.2017

skw

DATE: 23/11/17
CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ (Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Reply filed by R.No.2.
~~Adj. To~~
O.A. as Admit.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1011 of 2017 with M.A. No.462 of 2017

Shri L.P. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Removed from board. Applicants shall have to seek notice from Registry.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
23.11.2017

(sgj)

DATE: 23-11-2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt.: S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Order passed in tribunal colum

Adj. To.....

Removed from board

Sd/-

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1009 of 2017

Shri C.D. Shahane ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Removed from board. Applicant shall have to seek notice from Registry.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
23.11.2017

(sgj)

DATE: 23-11-2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'~~ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : punam mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : K. B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passed in tribunal colum

Adj. To.....

Removed from board

Sd/-

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1094 of 2017

Shri S.T. Morale ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Removed from board. Applicant shall have to seek notice from Registry.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
23.11.2017

(sgj)

DATE : 23-11-17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : S. S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : Savita Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passed in tribunal colum

~~Adj. To~~ :

Removed from board

SBKad

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

23.11.2017

O.A No 1029/2017

Shri D.K Kulkarni ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that applicant gives up ground contained in para no. 7.6.

3. Learned P.O has tendered for perusal copy of para wise remarks signed by Joint Commissioner. Perusal of these reveals that averments contained in para no. 7.4 & 7.7 have been replied without application of mind and even without reading that paragraph.

4. In view that conduct of Joint Commissioner of Police, Pune is reckless, Commissioner of Police, Pune is directed to file own affidavit for answering para 7.4 to 7.7 of O.A.

5. It is hoped that Commissioner of Police, Pune would read para nos 7.4 to 7.7 and all related papers before drafting of the affidavit.

6. Learned P.O prays for two weeks' time for filing affidavit. Time as prayed is granted.

7. S.O to 14.12.2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 23-11-17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : K. B. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : Archana B. K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Order passed in tribunal colum

Adj To:

S.O to 14-12-2017

Hamdast

Saxad

Akn

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

23.11.2017

O.A No 990/2017

Shri K.R Kedar & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O prays for time to file reply to show cause notice.

3. For fling reply to notice S.O to 28.11.2017.

Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 23-11-2017
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. : S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / ~~P.O.~~ for the Respondent/s
order passed in tribunal colum
~~Adj. To:~~.....
S.O. to 28-11-2017

Sakad

[P.T.O.