

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.54 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Tilekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today MA is called out for arguments. There is delay of 10 years 7 months and 10 days as the order of termination dated 10.10.1997 of the applicant from the post of Clerk-cum-Typist is challenged by filing OA on 14.1.2019. When it is pointed out that such delay is difficult to be condoned, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has submitted that she wants to delete prayer clause 10(a) of the OA and she wants to maintain other prayers. Ld. Advocate submits that in view of deletion of prayer clause 10(a) the prayer of pensionary benefits of particular period so also payment of 50% of salary between 1997 to 2008 is prayed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that in view of remaining prayers the matter is to be dealt with by the Single Bench as per assignment of subjects in view of office order dated 25.5.2021.</p> <p>4. To be placed before the Single Bench.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri A.G. Kanase, Superintending Engineer, Mumbai, Regional Electrical Inspection Circle, submits that the matter of pension will be finalized within ten days and decision on interest thereon will be decided within one month. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 23.9.2022 / 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.775/2022 with O.A.No.776/2022 with O.A.No.777/2022 with O.A.No.778/2022 with O.A.No.779/2022 with O.A.No.790/2022 with O.A.No.791/2022 with O.A.No.793/2022 with O.A.No.796/2022 with O.A.No.830/2022</p> <p>V.R. Sarole & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar Assoc, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.775/2022, O.A.No.776 /2022, O.A.No.777/2022, O.A.No.778 /2022, O.A. No.779/2022, O.A.No.793/2022 & O.A.No.796/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.777/2022, O.A.No.778/2022, O.A.No.793/2022 and O.A.No.796/2022 reply is not filed by the Private Respondents.</p> <p>4. Private Respondents are directed to file reply forthwith.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.892 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar appears for Respondent No.3. He seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.554 of 2022 in O.A. No.931 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.U. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.53/2015, 912/2015 & 1078/2016</u></p> <p>D.G. Deshmukh S.G. Deshpande & Ors. R.D. Wardhane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Counsel with Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri U.V. Bhosle, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.19 in OA No.912/2015, Shri Uday Warunjikar for Respondent No.24 in OA No.912/2015 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.38 in OA.912/2015 and Respondents No.2 & 3 in OA No.1078/2016.</p> <p>2. Shri D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel files affidavit in reply dated 19.9.2022 of Shri Salunkhe, Secretary, PWD. Ld. Counsel for the applicants wants to file reply to this affidavit.</p> <p>3. Shri A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Counsel informs that Shri R.S. Apte, Senior Counsel is going to represent Respondent No.38 in OA No.912/2015.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.9.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>R.A.No.17 of 2022 in O.A.No.200 of 2020</p> <p>M.P. JamadarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. four weeks time granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.10.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.421 of 2016</p> <p>R.K. GhodkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file reply, otherwise matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.803 of 2022</p> <p>Rajya Sahakri Gr. D Employees Madhyavarti Mahasangh, KolhapurApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav Nankar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate files affidavit of service. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter to be removed from the caption of 'For Dismissal' and be shown under the caption of 'Due Admission'.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha)Gadgil Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.835 of 2017</p> <p>U.D. KshatriyaApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>OA No.672/2022 with OA No.909/2021 & OA No.141/2022</u></p> <p>F.H. Shaikh & Ors. A.M. Chandanshiv V.S. Telang Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.672/2022, Shri S.S. Dere, learned advocate for Applicants in OAs. No.909/2021 & No.141/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and KLT Law Associates for Respondent No.3 in OA No.672/2022.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate submits that the issue of the applicants is squarely covered by the judgment and order dated 10.1.2018 passed by the Rajasthan High Court, Bench at Jodhpur in S.B. Civil W.P. No.327/2018 (Rajendra Singh Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.).</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to verify.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.481 of 2022 in O.A. No.792 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. D.C. Godse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable in MA on 21.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.9.2022 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.482 of 2022 in O.A. No.792 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. D.C. Godse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.909 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Rupnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anita Murgude holding for Shri S.M. Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.938 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.N. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Akshata K. Rawate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Date : 23.09.2022	O.A.No.193 of 2022
B.S. ThakurApplicant
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.
<p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>	
<p>2. Reply is filed today. Adjourned to 14.10.2022 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p>	
<p>Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p>	<p>Sd/- <i>Z</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
prk	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 23.09.2022

O.A.Nos.717, 718, 588, 610, 777 & 776 of 2020

V.D. Lakade & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.

3. Admit. Adjourned to 14.11.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil/
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.54 of 2022 in O.A.No.248 of 2014</p> <p>I.S. MulgaonkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For compliance adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.620 of 2019</p> <p>M.S. KhadseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Anita Murgude, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that Respondents No.2 to 8 are not served. She seeks time to serve them.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 18.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.904 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Rathod & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants insist for interim relief. However, we are of the view that reply is required in this matter as it involves the employment at mass level i.e. four lakhs candidates have applied for 2700 posts and therefore we feel that in the interest we give time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 3.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.157 of 2022</p> <p>D.Y. Bhamare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.10.2022. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.931 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.U. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants submits that juniors to the applicants were asked their choice of Revenue Division, however, the applicants are not and therefore it means the applicants are not considered for promotion to Group A Senior Superintending Agriculture Officer. The main contention raised by the Ld. Advocate is that the applicants have passed the departmental examination for Class I officers and therefore now they are appointed as Class I officer by way of nomination after they appeared for MPSC examination. Ld. Advocate submits that the applicants have already passed the departmental examination when they were on Class II post. Hence, there is no necessity to appear for the examination for Class I post again. She points out rule 8 of Recruitment Rules dated 5.8.1983 and submits that they cannot be denied promotion.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO on instructions informs that proposal has been submitted to the Govt. wherein three posts are kept aside for seeking orders as to whether promotions can be given to two applicants and one more candidate, subject to passing of the departmental examination on promotional post.</p> <p>4. Applicants seek their consideration for promotion on the ground that they have passed the examination. Applicant No.1 was working as Taluka Agriculture Officer and applicant no.2 as Technical Officer. They have passed the examination on 17.1.2002 and 11.6.2003 respectively. Ld. Advocate submits that though were nominated for Class I post on 7.7.2009 and they have already completed probation and granted permanency. During the last DPC held in</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders


September, 2022 their names were considered and they have not been called upon to indicate their choice for Revenue Division. They should now be given regular promotion and they were exempted as per Rule 8 of Recruitment Rules.

5. Ld. CPO opposes on the ground that she refers to Examination Rules of 20.6.1981 wherein it is stated that they are required to pass the departmental examination. Ld. CPO submits that proposal as to whether these two applicants can be issued orders of promotion has been submitted to the Government for orders subject to passing examination.

6. However, in view of the fact that posts are likely to be filled up, we grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(b) and we direct respondents to keep vacant one post at Pune and one post at Amravati respectively.

7. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
23.9.2022

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.9.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.82 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.D. Mundhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that respondent no.2 be directed to consider claim of the applicant for appointment to the post of Police Bandsman. The interim relief is in the nature of final relief. Hence, it is heard finally at the interim stage.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed on behalf of respondent no.2. Both applicants have applied through NT-D category. Pursuant to advertisement dated 5.2.2018 respondent no.2 wants to fill in 215 posts of Police Constables out of which 10 were reserved for Bandsman in NT-D category. The examination was conducted on 12.3.2018. The physical test was conducted on 12.3.2018. The applicants cleared the physical test. Applicant no.1 secured 90 marks and applicant no.2 secured 94 marks out of 100. On 7.4.2018 practical and written examination were conducted. Applicants secured 79 and 74 marks respectively out of 100. Provisional list was published on 8.5.2018. The names of the applicants were not appearing in the provisional list and hence OA is filed on 28.1.2021. No post was reserved in advt. for NT-D category. Cut off marks was 159 out of 200. Applicants secured 169 and 168 respectively and therefore applicants have made respondent no.3 & 4 as party respondents as they are Sr. No.9 & 10 in the provisional list of Bandsman as they have secured less mark than the applicants. Ld. Advocate submits that for 10 posts of Bandsman there was no reservation either vertical or horizontal. In support of his claim he relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.2641/2019 in SLP No.23223/2018 Saurav Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of U.P. & Ors. decided on 18.12.2020.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. After hearing Ld. Advocate and as we have mentioned the issue is short we are of the view that it appears that department has exfacie violated the law of migration of the reserved category vertically. The applicants have mentioned their caste as NT-D. They were meritorious in view of the marks secured by them than the last two candidates in the select list of Bandsman. We do not want to disturb the appointments given to respondents no.3 & 4 because we do not find any fault of these two persons as they have put in service of four years.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO informs that in the affidavit respondent no.2 has denied the case of the applicants.</p> <p>6. We make it clear that we express our view that law of the land which is settled in the case of Indra Sawhney Vs. Union of India AIR 1993 SC 477 and R.K. Sabharwal & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. AIR 1995 SC 1371 in respect of migration, on the basis of merit irrespective of caste or category the candidate belongs to. Ld. CPO express her agreement with this view.</p> <p>7. Under such circumstances we call upon respondent no.2 to inform whether applicants can be accommodated against any vacancies of the 2018 selection for Bandsman. We also call upon respondent no.2 whether in any other way the applicants can be accommodated in future vacancies.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 28.9.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.835 of 2017</p> <p>U.D. KshatriyaApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.803 of 2022</p> <p>Rajya Sahakri Gr. D Employees Madhyavarti Mahasangh, KolhapurApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav Nankar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate files affidavit of service. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter to be removed from the caption of 'For Dismissal' and be shown under the caption of 'Due Admission'.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.421 of 2016</p> <p>R.K. GhodkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file reply, otherwise matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.17 of 2022 in O.A.No.200 of 2020</p> <p>M.P. JamadarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. four weeks time granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.10.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.892 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar appears for Respondent No.3. He seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri A.G. Kanase, Superintending Engineer, Mumbai, Regional Electrical Inspection Circle, submits that the matter of pension will be finalized within ten days and decision on interest thereon will be decided within one month. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.54 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Tilekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today MA is called out for arguments. There is delay of 10 years 7 months and 10 days as the order of termination dated 10.10.1997 of the applicant from the post of Clerk-cum-Typist is challenged by filing OA on 14.1.2019. When it is pointed out that such delay is difficult to be condoned, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has submitted that she wants to delete prayer clause 10(a) of the OA and she wants to maintain other prayers. Ld. Advocate submits that in view of deletion of prayer clause 10(a) the prayer of pensionary benefits of particular period so also payment of 50% of salary between 1997 to 2008 is prayed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that in view of remaining prayers the matter is to be dealt with by the Single Bench as per assignment of subjects in view of office order dated 25.5.2021.</p> <p>4. To be placed before the Single Bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.775/2022 with O.A.No.776/2022 with O.A.No.777/2022 with O.A.No.778/2022 with O.A.No.779/2022 with O.A.No.790/2022 with O.A.No.791/2022 with O.A.No.793/2022 with O.A.No.796/2022 with O.A.No.830/2022</p> <p>V.R. Sarole & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar Assoc, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.775/2022, O.A.No.776 /2022, O.A.No.777/2022, O.A.No.778 /2022, O.A. No.779/2022, O.A.No.793/2022 & O.A.No.796/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.777/2022, O.A.No.778/2022, O.A.No.793/2022 and O.A.No.796/2022 reply is not filed by the Private Respondents.</p> <p>4. Private Respondents are directed to file reply forthwith.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.157 of 2022</p> <p>D.Y. Bhamare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.10.2022. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.904 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Rathod & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants insist for interim relief. However, we are of the view that reply is required in this matter as it involves the employment at mass level i.e. four lakhs candidates have applied for 2700 posts and therefore we feel that in the interest we give time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 3.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.620 of 2019</p> <p>M.S. KhadseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Anita Murgude, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that Respondents No.2 to 8 are not served. She seeks time to serve them.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 18.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.54 of 2022 in O.A.No.248 of 2014</p> <p>I.S. MulgaonkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For compliance adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha^UGadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 23.09.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.Nos.717, 718, 588, 610, 777 & 776 of 2020</p> <p>V.D. Lakade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 14.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil/ Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....))

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.193 of 2022</p> <p>B.S. ThakurApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed today. Adjourned to 14.10.2022 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.938 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.N. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Akshata K. Rawate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.909 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Rupnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anita Murgude holding for Shri S.M. Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.482 of 2022 in O.A. No.792 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. D.C. Godse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.481 of 2022 in O.A. No.792 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. D.C. Godse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable in MA on 21.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA No.672/2022 with OA No.909/2021 & OA No.141/2022</u></p> <p>F.H. Shaikh & Ors. A.M. Chandanshiv V.S. Telang ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.672/2022, Shri S.S. Dere, learned advocate for Applicants in OAs. No.909/2021 & No.141/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and KLT Law Associates for Respondent No.3 in OA No.672/2022.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate submits that the issue of the applicants is squarely covered by the judgment and order dated 10.1.2018 passed by the Rajasthan High Court, Bench at Jodhpur in S.B. Civil W.P. No.327/2018 (Rajendra Singh Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.).</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to verify.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.82 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.D. Mundhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that respondent no.2 be directed to consider claim of the applicant for appointment to the post of Police Bandsman. The interim relief is in the nature of final relief. Hence, it is heard finally at the interim stage.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed on behalf of respondent no.2. Both applicants have applied through NT-D category. Pursuant to advertisement dated 5.2.2018 respondent no.2 wants to fill in 215 posts of Police Constables out of which 10 were reserved for Bandsman in NT-D category. The examination was conducted on 12.3.2018. The physical test was conducted on 12.3.2018. The applicants cleared the physical test. Applicant no.1 secured 90 marks and applicant no.2 secured 94 marks out of 100. On 7.4.2018 practical and written examination were conducted. Applicants secured 79 and 74 marks respectively out of 100. Provisional list was published on 8.5.2018. The names of the applicants were not appearing in the provisional list and hence OA is filed on 28.1.2021. No post was reserved in advt. for NT-D category. Cut off marks was 159 out of 200. Applicants secured 169 and 168 respectively and therefore applicants have made respondent no.3 & 4 as party respondents as they are Sr. No.9 & 10 in the provisional list of Bandsman as they have secured less mark than the applicants. Ld. Advocate submits that for 10 posts of Bandsman there was no reservation either vertical or horizontal. In support of his claim he relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.2641/2019 in SLP No.23223/2018 Saurav Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of U.P. & Ors. decided on 18.12.2020.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. After hearing Ld. Advocate and as we have mentioned the issue is short we are of the view that it appears that department has exfacie violated the law of migration of the reserved category vertically. The applicants have mentioned their caste as NT-D. They were meritorious in view of the marks secured by them than the last two candidates in the select list of Bandsman. We do not want to disturb the appointments given to respondents no.3 & 4 because we do not find any fault of these two persons as they have put in service of four years.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO informs that in the affidavit respondent no.2 has denied the case of the applicants.</p> <p>6. We make it clear that we express our view that law of the land which is settled in the case of Indra Sawhney Vs. Union of India AIR 1993 SC 477 and R.K. Sabharwal & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. AIR 1995 SC 1371 in respect of migration, on the basis of merit irrespective of caste or category the candidate belongs to. Ld. CPO express her agreement with this view.</p> <p>7. Under such circumstances we call upon respondent no.2 to inform whether applicants can be accommodated against any vacancies of the 2018 selection for Bandsman. We also call upon respondent no.2 whether in any other way the applicants can be accommodated in future vacancies.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 28.9.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.931 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.U. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants submits that juniors to the applicants were asked their choice of Revenue Division, however, the applicants are not and therefore it means the applicants are not considered for promotion to Group A Senior Superintending Agriculture Officer. The main contention raised by the Ld. Advocate is that the applicants have passed the departmental examination for Class I officers and therefore now they are appointed as Class I officer by way of nomination after they appeared for MPSC examination. Ld. Advocate submits that the applicants have already passed the departmental examination when they were on Class II post. Hence, there is no necessity to appear for the examination for Class I post again. She points out rule 8 of Recruitment Rules dated 5.8.1983 and submits that they cannot be denied promotion.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO on instructions informs that proposal has been submitted to the Govt. wherein three posts are kept aside for seeking orders as to whether promotions can be given to two applicants and one more candidate, subject to passing of the departmental examination on promotional post.</p> <p>4. Applicants seek their consideration for promotion on the ground that they have passed the examination. Applicant No.1 was working as Taluka Agriculture Officer and applicant no.2 as Technical Officer. They have passed the examination on 17.1.2002 and 11.6.2003 respectively. Ld. Advocate submits that though were nominated for Class I post on 7.7.2009 and they have already completed probation and granted permanency. During the last DPC held in</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

September, 2022 their names were considered and they have not been called upon to indicate their choice for Revenue Division. They should now be given regular promotion and they were exempted as per Rule 8 of Recruitment Rules.

5. Ld. CPO opposes on the ground that she refers to Examination Rules of 20.6.1981 wherein it is stated that they are required to pass the departmental examination. Ld. CPO submits that proposal as to whether these two applicants can be issued orders of promotion has been submitted to the Government for orders subject to passing examination.

6. However, in view of the fact that posts are likely to be filled up, we grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(b) and we direct respondents to keep vacant one post at Pune and one post at Amravati respectively.

7. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
23.9.2022

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.9.2022

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.454 of 2022</p> <p>H.A. AnsariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2016 but because of his long absence, the Department has not sanctioned retiral benefits, and therefore the Applicant has approached this Tribunal. When the matter was taken up for admission that time it was noticed that the Dairy Department had already forwarded proposal to the Government for decision about absence of 1703 days from 02.02.2012 to 30.09.2016. Therefore matter was adjourned awaiting the decision by the Government. 4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order passed by the Government dated 21.09.2022 whereby the Government has sanctioned Extra Ordinary Leave of 1703 days after clarifying that said period will not be considered for pension purposes or any other purposes. In letter dated 22.09.2022 issued by The Commissioner of Dairy Development Department it is further stated that the Applicant had rendered more than 20 years services and provisional Pension is being granted. 5. Now, in view of above communication, Respondents will have to take further steps for grant of final retiral benefits to the Applicant as per his entitlement in Rules. 6. O.A. is therefore disposed of with direction to the Respondents to complete the process of release of Pension and other retiral benefits to the Applicant as per his entitlement within a period of six weeks from today. If retiral benefits are not paid within six weeks, it shall carry interest at the rate applicable to G.P.F. from the date of default till the date of payment. 7. Applicant is at liberty to avail legal remedy in respect of order dated 23.09.2022, if so desire. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.941 OF 2022

Dr. (Miss) Gulshan K.M.A. Ansari ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri Afroz A. Siddiqui – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad –Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 23rd September, 2022

PER : Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Afroz A. Siddiqui, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who has worked as Assistant Professor in Grant Medical College, Mumbai, challenges the communication dated 31.8.2022 holding her ineligible for applying to the post of Assistant Professor-Pathology, pursuant to the advertisement dated 13.8.2021.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant points out to the Certificate of Experience and educational qualification of the applicant. There is no dispute about the educational qualification. However, her experience as Assistant Professor is questioned by M.P.S.C on the ground that she has not worked as Senior Resident for one year. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the directions given by the Medical Council of India

ref

dated 28.10.2020 wherein in Annexure-I(A) in Department of Pathology, the hierarchy is mentioned as follows:-

Professor
Associate Professor
Assistant Professor
Tutor/Demonstrator/Sr. Resident.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was appointed and has worked as Assistant Professor in Grant Medical College, Mumbai from 20.8.2019 to 11.12.2020 as a bond service. The applicant was working as Assistant Professor which is higher to the Senior Resident. Learned counsel for the applicant further relies on the note for the purpose of working out the deficiency, wherein it is mentioned that any excess teaching faculty in higher cadre can compensate the deficiency of lower cadre of the same department only.

5. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant does not have experience of Senior Resident and therefore her case was not considered by M.P.S.C.

6. Prima facie, we find that there is substance in the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant. We also considered the experience of 1 year 4 months put in by the applicant for the post of Assistant Professor for which she wishes to apply. However, as per MCI norms non-clinical post i.e. Pathology does not require one year experience.

7. Under the circumstances, we direct the M.P.S.C to allow the applicant to appear for the interview which is going to be held from 27.9.2022 to 29.9.2022. However, the same will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.10.2022.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

14. S.O to 21.10.2022. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(~~Medha~~/Gadgil)
Member (A)
23.9.2022

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.9.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

G:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2022\9 September 2022\OA.941.22.J.9.2022-GMKAAnsari-S.O.21.10.22.doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.946 OF 2022**

Dr. Shital A. Khadse ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri D.D. Rananaware – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad –Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson

Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 23rd September, 2022

PER : Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri D.D. Rananaware, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who has worked as Assistant Professor in Government Medical College, Ambajogai, challenges the communication dated 31.8.2022 holding her ineligible for applying to the post of Assistant Professor-Pathology, pursuant to the advertisement dated 13.8.2021.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant points out to the Certificate of Experience and educational qualification of the applicant. There is no dispute about the educational qualification. However, her experience as Assistant Professor is questioned by M.P.S.C on the ground that she has not worked as Senior Resident for one year. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the directions given by the Medical Council of India

rg

dated 28.10.2020 wherein in Annexure-I(A) in Department of Pathology, the hierarchy is mentioned as follows:-

Professor
Associate Professor
Assistant Professor
Tutor/Demonstrator/Sr. Resident.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was appointed and has worked as Assistant Professor in Government Medical College, Ambajogai from 18.11.2017 to 11.7.2021 as a bond service. The applicant was working as Assistant Professor which is higher to the Senior Resident. Learned counsel for the applicant further relies on the note for the purpose of working out the deficiency, wherein it is mentioned that any excess teaching faculty in higher cadre can compensate the deficiency of lower cadre of the same department only.
5. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant does not have experience of Senior Resident and therefore her case was not considered by M.P.S.C.
6. Prima facie, we find that there is substance in the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant. We also considered the experience of 3 years 8 months put in by the applicant for the post of Assistant Professor for which she wishes to apply. However, as per MCI norms non-clinical post i.e. Pathology does not require one year experience.
7. Under the circumstances, we direct the M.P.S.C to allow the applicant to appear for the interview which is going to be held from 27.9.2022 to 29.9.2022. However, the same will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.
8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.10.2022.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

14. S.O to 21.10.2022. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
23.9.2022

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.9.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

G:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2022\9 September 2022\OA.946.22.J.9.2022-SAKhadse-S.O.21.10.22.doc

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.947 OF 2022

Dr. Rashmi S. Kulkarni ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri D.D. Rananaware – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad –Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 23rd September, 2022

PER : Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri D.D. Rananaware, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who has worked as Assistant Professor in Government Medical College, Aurangabad, challenges the communication dated 31.8.2022 holding her ineligible for applying to the post of Assistant Professor-Community Medicine, pursuant to the advertisement dated 13.8.2021.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant points out to the Certificate of Experience and educational qualification of the applicant. There is no dispute about the educational qualification. However, her experience as Assistant Professor is questioned by M.P.S.C on the ground that she has not worked as Senior Resident for one year. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the directions given by the Medical Council of India

Ng

dated 28.10.2020 wherein in Annexure-I(A) in Department of Pathology, the hierarchy is mentioned as follows:-

Professor
Associate Professor
Assistant Professor
Statistician (Tutor/Asstt. Prof.)
Tutor/Demonstrator/Sr. Resident.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was appointed and has worked as Assistant Professor in Government Medical College, Aurangabad from 1.8.2019 to 9.8.2020 as a bond service. The applicant was working as Assistant Professor which is higher to the Senior Resident. Learned counsel for the applicant further relies on the note for the purpose of working out the deficiency, wherein it is mentioned that any excess teaching faculty in higher cadre can compensate the deficiency of lower cadre of the same department only.

5. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant does not have experience of Senior Resident and therefore her case was not considered by M.P.S.C.

6. Prima facie, we find that there is substance in the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant. We also considered the experience of 1 year & 8 days put in by the applicant for the post of Assistant Professor for which she wishes to apply. However, as per MCI norms non-clinical post i.e. Community Medicine does not require one year experience.

7. Under the circumstances, we direct the M.P.S.C to allow the applicant to appear for the interview which is going to be held on 26.9.2022. However, the same will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.10.2022.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
14. S.O to 21.10.2022. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)
23.9.2022

Sd/-
(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson
23.9.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.569 of 2021</p> <p>M.A. SalveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order dated 15.07.2022 Respondent No.5 – The Paramount Health Services & Insurance TPA Pvt. Ltd., (Insurance Company) was added and notice is served upon it but nobody is present from Insurance Company.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.5 was added in the O.A. for limited purpose of production of original medical bills which are necessary for adjudication of the matter.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he had also issued legal notice to Insurance Company for getting original medical bills to which Insurance Company had replied that the Original bills are destroyed, it being old record. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file short Affidavit of the Applicant along with the communication by the Insurance Company.</p> <p>5. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.372 of 2022 in O.A. No.519 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. has been filed to keep post of Assistant Commissioner of State Tax, Pune, (PUN-VAT-D-804) vacant till the decision of O.A. There is no interim relief. 3. Today learned P.O. however submits that the said post is already filled-in subsequently. 4. In view of above, M.A. has become infructuous and disposed of with no order as cost. 5. O.A. is adjourned to 10.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.674 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. SuryawanhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in response to the Affidavit-in-Reply filed by Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.583 of 2021</p> <p>R.T. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate Shri K.S. Jadhav adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.284 of 2022</p> <p>Y.A. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Today matter is for hearing admission at the stage of admission but the Applicant and his Advocate are absent. They seem not interested in the matter. O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.957 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. MekaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file copy of deposition along with Affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.101 of 2022</p> <p>S.T. GhawaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.09.2022
	<p>C.A.No.42 of 2021 in O.A.No.678 of 2020 with O.A.No.679 of 2020 with O.A.No.680 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. MujawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the pendency of Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court adjourned to 04.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.560 of 2022 in O.A.No.27 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. KhadseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ketan Dabke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is moved to expedite the O.A.</p> <p>3. We have taken up drive of deciding the O.A. of 2015, so it is not possible to take matter in October, 2022. Hence, we keep this matter on 09.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.49 of 2022 in O.A.No.992 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. P.N. ChaudhariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and M^s. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 26.09.2022 for compliance. Officer from the concerned Department is directed to remain present each and every date of this Contempt.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.09.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.60 of 2022 in O.A.No.231 of 2022</p> <p>Y.U. BawadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the applicant to file medical certificate along with affidavit about his sickness on 25.05.2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.63 of 2022 in O.A.No.937 of 2021</p> <p>B.S. SalunkheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that pursuant to order dated 01.02.2022 passed by this Tribunal the Superintendent of Police, Sangli has submitted letter dated 15.03.2022 to the Accountant General office regarding payment of interest. Thereafter remainder letters were sent on 29.06.2022 and 20.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.10.2022. Last chance granted to comply the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.135 of 2020</p> <p>J.R. ChoudharyApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar (Amicus Curiae) learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar wants to recuse as Amicus Curiae.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate is permitted to recuse.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to go to the office of Joint District Registrar, Class I, Lower Grade, Palghar at the earliest.</p> <p>5. Mr. Bhima Jadhav, Superintendent, from the office of Director, Town Planning who is present in the Tribunal is hereby directed to furnish the name of the person to whom Applicant is required to approach.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 27.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">१९ ॥</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.771 of 2022</p> <p>R.T. KaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that today during the course of the day affidavit of service will be filed. Matter be removed from the caption 'For Dismissal' after filing of affidavit of service by learned Advocate and be placed under the caption 'Due Admission'.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.10.2022</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.845 of 2022</p> <p>D.D.PujariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance given to learned Advocate to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.10.2022 for dismissal.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.634 of 2022</p> <p>R.J. LavteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that affidavit of service is already filed on 28.07.2022, matter be removed from the caption 'For Dismissal' and be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1179 of 2019</p> <p>C.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file counter Affidavit, if any. 4. S.O. to 07.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.33 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. DhumalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Prachi H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay caused in filing O.A. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged communication dated 14.12.2020 whereby claim of HRA has been rejected. Thus, O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 14.12.2021. However, it is filed on 13.01.2022. As such, delay is of about one month. The Applicant contends that because of COVID-19 he could not file O.A. earlier. Considering the ground I am inclined to condone delay.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, delay is condoned and M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.33 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. DhumalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Prachi H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A. for condonation of delay which is allowed today in terms of order passed in M.A. No.22/2022. 3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 20.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.747 of 2022</p> <p>V.H. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 & 4 and also filed Reply of Respondent No.2 to the Rejoinder of the Applicant. 4. Adjourned for Final hearing. 5. S.O. to 07.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2022</p> <p>G.R. GavitApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.782 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. P.N. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder within a week, if so desire.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.162 of 2022 with O.A. No.163 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. Inamdar, Legal Heirs, M.M. Inamdar & Ors., D.Y. Ghadage & Ors. Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1,4,7 & 8 in both O.As. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed by remaining Respondents.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">\</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.769 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. WalimbeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.817 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Walanj & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.820 of 2022</p> <p>P.D. PadwalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.354 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. GoleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N.R. Maurya, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 3. On request of leaned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 4. O.A. is adjourned for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 07.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.195 of 2022 with O.A. No.1061 of 2021</p> <p>T.S. Sable B.G. KardeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 16.09.2022 the Applicant as well as the Respondents both were to file Additional Affidavit about the benefits of contributory pension scheme received by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. On request two weeks time is granted to the Applicant as well as Respondents to file necessary Affidavit along with Annex.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>