

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.333 of 2021 in O.A.No.206 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicant(Org. Respondent.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Mr. S.R. NaraleRespondents.(Org. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>2. The State by filing M.A.No.333/2021 has moved the application on 03.09.2021 thereafter seeking extension of time for more 3 months which is already over i.e. the time mentioned in the impugned order.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.10.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. By letter dated 23.09.2021 issued by one Mr. S.M. Ajalikar, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department, the learned P.O. pointed out that the file is being sent to M.P.S.C. for concurrence.</p> <p>9. We take serious note of this lethargy on the part of the Respondents to implement the order passed by this Tribunal on 12.03.2021, wherein the Tribunal has directed to disposed off the appeal dated 31.08.2020 within three months i.e. on or before 09.06.2021 was granted.</p> <p>10. We direct learned P.O. to inform the progress in the matter as the appeal was decided on 28.06.2021. We find the appeal was decided in considerably reasonable period. However there is delay in taking final decision in the appeal as the file remained pending with the Finance Department from 28.06.2021 till today.</p> <p>11. In view of this we direct M.P.S.C., Secretary to take action on this letter in accordance with the law.</p> <p>12. Adjourned to 04.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.683 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. KulkarniApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.10.2021. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O. to 04.10.2021. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadga) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.652 of 2015</p> <p>S.K. NikaljeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the compulsory retirement and so also initiation of Departmental Enquiry (D.E) during his employment. There is another D.E. initiated against the Applicant. During the pendency of the O.A. applicant retired on 31.07.2017 and he expired on 30.09.2017. The widow on record is the Legal representative.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate submits that the legal representative is ready to accept the order of compulsory retirement and claims all the pecuniary benefits which are due to the deceased. Secondly, as the original applicant is deceased D.E. does not survive.</p> <p>4. This issue is very short. The Respondent-State is directed to make statement about the dues payable to the legal representative of the original applicant as to when they are going to disburse the amount. The concerned officer who has calculated the dues is directed to remain present.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.09.2021 F.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.39 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. JawadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.727 of 2019</p> <p>J.H.K. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.473 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.790 of 2020</p> <p>S.U. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by widow of deceased Government servant for retiral benefits. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the husband of the Applicant was appointed as Jr. Clerk in the year 1959 and thereafter posted at various places. In the year 1974 he was transferred to Pandhara Sub-Division, Malagaon Colony, but he did not joined there and remained absent from duty. He expired on 06.08.2004, however this O.A. is filed in 2020 for grant of family pension etc. Thus <i>prima-facie</i> O.A. is not within limitation.</p> <p>3. On 12.04.2021 when the matter was taken up for admission that time also point of limitation was noted. Learned Advocate for the Applicant was expected to take necessary steps in this behalf. However, till date no step is taken to file M.A. for Condonation of Delay though enough time is availed.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file M.A. for Condonation of Delay. Indeed the Application for Condonation of Delay ought to have been filed along with O.A. but no steps are taken to file M.A., thereon the objection was raised in this behalf at the time of admission of O.A.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is at liberty to file M.A for Condonation of Delay and it be filed within a week, if not filed within a week this O.A. will stand dismissed without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.717 of 2021</p> <p>S. A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the mid-term and mid-tenure transfer order dated 09.09.2021 whereby she is transferred from the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Meghwadi Division, Jogeshwari, Mumbai to Assistant Commissioner of Police, Mira Bhayandar, Vasai-Virar Police Commissionerate. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> on the ground that the impugned transfer order is mid-term and mid-tenure and not in consonance with the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act. 4. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that in view of serious default report, the Applicant was required to be transferred and accordingly the PEB in its meeting dated 30.08.2021 transferred the Applicant along with 91 other police officials. He further submits that the Minutes of PEB were approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. 5. Learned P.O. has tendered the file for perusal of the Tribunal. The perusal of Minutes dated 30.08.2021 reveals that it was pertaining to transfer of 92 police officials and transfers were ordered on administrative grounds. The Minutes are totally silent about alleged default report. 6. The default report appears to have been sent on 30.08.2021 to Director General of Police and on the same day, the PEB Minutes are recorded. If the transfer was on default report, it ought to have been referred in the Minutes of PEB but the Minutes of PEB are totally silent on this point. It is on this background, learned Counsel for the Applicant strongly urged that the default report was not at all placed before the PEB and it was prepared antedated. 7. In view of above, it is necessary to know whether the default report was placed before the PEB and secondly why the reasons or grounds are not recorded in the Minutes of PEB. 8. Learned P.O. submits that he can file affidavit of Director General of Police to the effect that the default report was before PEB and on consideration of the same, the transfer was effected. 9. Affidavit be filed on or before 28.09.2021. 10. S.O. to 28.09.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.221 of 2021</p> <p>K.M. GundApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkash Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was dismissed in default for non-compliance of condition order, but it is restored in view of order passed in M.A. No.366/2021 and M.A. No.367/2021 today.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit-in-Reply on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.366 of 2021 in M.A. No.367 of 2021 in O.A. No.221 of 2021</p> <p>K.M. GundApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkash Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. notice was issued on 23.03.2021 and in terms of order Affidavit-in-Service was required to be filed three days before returnable date. However, Affidavit-in-Service was not filed, and therefore, O.A. seems ^{came} to be dismissed in default in terms of condition order.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in COVID-19 Pandemic situation he could not attend the Tribunal to file Affidavit-in-Service, and therefore, requested to Condone the Delay caused in filing O.A. and also prayed to restore O.A.</p> <p>4. Reasons mentioned in M.As. deserves to be accepted in view of COVID-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown. Both M.As are therefore allowed and O.A. is restored to the file.</p> <p>5. M.As. are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.600/2017

Shri S.G. Dhanawade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents for some time.
2. The Applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion in the post of Range Forest Officer w.e.f.25.10.2011 on the ground that his junior Shri Ravindra Ghadge has been promoted w.e.f. 25.10.2011. The Applicant is promoted and assumed the charge of the post of RFO on 25th July, 2016.
3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant is comparing his case with promotion of Shri Ravindra Ghadge who is promoted from Reserved Category. Whereas, the Applicant though belongs to NT(B), he is promoted from Open Category as seen from promotion order.
4. In case of deemed date of promotion, a Government servant is required to establish that his junior from same category is promoted, so as to consider his claim for deemed date of promotion. Whereas, in the present case, the Applicant is comparing his case for deemed date of promotion with Ravindra Ghadge, who is from Reserved Category.
5. In view of above, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to satisfy how Applicant is entitled for deemed date of promotion since there is nothing on record to indicate that junior from Open Category is promoted, so as to claim deemed date of promotion.
6. S.O. to 28th September, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
23.09.2021

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.470 of 2021</p> <p>N.A. AshtekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.281 of 2018</p> <p>R. J. Pandharpur ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that after long time for the first time, the matter is listed for final hearing but the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for final hearing to give one opportunity.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.385 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. RawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>3. O.A. is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.136 of 2017</p> <p>C. D. Panem & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of orders passed by the Tribunal in O.A. reveals that the issue of absorption / regularization of the Applicants who were appointed as contract employee is under consideration before the Committee constituted for this purpose in terms of G.R. dated 08.05.2018 but no final decision is taken by the Committee.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the Respondents as to present status of the issue pending before the Committee and how much time will take for final decision so that this O.A. if possible could be disposed of by giving suitable directions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.617 of 2021</p> <p>M.K. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.599 of 2021 with O.A. No.600 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. Chowdhar P.L. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.584 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. MaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SheteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.548 of 2021</p> <p>I.G. ChappalwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms.Surabhi Agarwal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KakadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.532 of 2021</p> <p>I.G. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.510 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Pendharkar @ A d/o. K. DoijadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 12.10.2021. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.501 of 2021</p> <p>D.K. GawaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.724 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. DeokarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Pravin Padave, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The Applicant being aggrieved by order dated 01.09.2021, whereby the Respondent No.2 is posted in his place, has filed the present O.A. since consequential posting orders are not issued about his transfer.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was serving as Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, F/S Ward, Mumbai by virtue of his transfer and posting order dated 30.05.2019. He has not completed normal tenure of three years but by impugned order dated 01.09.2021, the Respondent No.2 is posted in his place without giving posting order in respect of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that his client is displaced abruptly without following the procedure of law.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. has tendered the file which discloses that the Applicant was continuously absent and was not discharging his duties delinquently which affected the office work. Therefore, the proposal was sent to the Government for initiation of D.E. as well as for transfer of the Applicant. It is on this background, in view of the letter of Commissioner for Cooperation, Pune, the Government posted the Respondent No.2 in place of the Applicant by letter dated 01.09.2021 with approval of the Hon'ble Chief Minister. Admittedly, the Respondent No.2 had already joined in place of the Applicant.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. True, the Applicant is left without posting since 01.09.2021 and the matter seems not placed before the Civil Services Board while posting Respondent No.2 in place of the Applicant. However, the fact remains that the Respondent No.2 had already joined on 02.09.2021 and secondly the file for disciplinary action against the Applicant for dereliction in duty is under process.
7. The Respondents indeed ought to have passed appropriate posting and transfer order of the Applicant while displacing him by order dated 01.09.2021. Learned P.O. submits that the order to that effect will be issued soon.
8. *Prima-facie*, the transfer is with approval of Highest Competent Authority on the ground of complaint and secondly the Respondent No.2 has already joined in place of the Applicant. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant inter relief.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2021.
10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
15. S.O. to 14.10.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>23.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 742/2010</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Dhane & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the applicants are direct recruits and now they working as Police Inspectors or on higher posts. In this matter there are no private Respondents.</p> <p>3. In this Original Application the seniority in the cadre of Police Inspector as on 1.1.2007, more particularly with regard to the seniority position of the officiating P.S.Is.</p> <p>4. Learned Presenting Officer, on the basis of record that the officiating P.S.Is in the seniority list from Serial Nos 713 to 1143 have retired on different dates since 2010.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicants Mr Lonkar submits that as the applicants are already promoted to the post of Police Inspectors and higher posts, nothing survives in this matter as of today. However, he prays that liberty be granted to the applicants in case adverse orders are passed against the applicants in W.P nos 7270/2010 & 7281/2010 pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of with liberty to the applicants to seek legal recourse, if any adverse orders are passed against the applicants in W.P nos 7270/2010 & 7281/2010 pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>23.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1180/2010 with O.A 367/2012</u></p> <p>Shri R.M Ingale & Ors [O.A 1180/2010] Shri S.R Awachat & Ors [O.A 367/2012]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 1180/2010 and for the private Respondents no 3 to 6 in O.A 367/2012, Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the private Respondents 58 to 61 in O.A 1180/2010 and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. None for the applicants in O.A 367/2012.</p> <p>2. Both the Original Applications are clubbed together as the issues involved are the same.</p> <p>3. The applicants Mr M.D Salve and Mr L.M Wayal in O.A 367/2012 are private Respondents in O.A 1180/2012.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits list of the 57 Original Respondents along with dates who have retired or expired.</p> <p>5. Shri Jagdale, learned counsel for the private Respondents 58 to 61 in O.A 1180/2010 states that he has no instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicants Mr Lonkar submits that all the applicants are at present working as Police Inspectors or they are promoted to higher posts or might have retired from service, and therefore, nothing survives in the Original Applications. However, he prays that liberty be granted to the applicants in case adverse orders are passed against the applicants in W.P nos 7270/2010 & 7281/2010 pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, both the Original Applications are disposed of with liberty to the applicants to seek legal recourse, if any adverse orders are passed against the applicants in W.P nos 7270/2010 & 7281/2010 pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1083 of 2016</p> <p>A.D. LikhharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.S. Gangal, learned Senior Counsel along with Mr. A.R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that already amendments are carried out on 05.11.2020, however, till today the additional affidavit-in-reply is not filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The learned Senior Counsel makes query whether the Respondents want to file additional reply.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. informs that she will have to verify and last chance be given for the same.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 21.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.270 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to verify whether the applicant can be accommodated in the list of candidates who will be send for training and whether the applicant is entitled for the same.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.224 of 2021 in O.A. No.423 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Mehta ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.10.2021.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.9.2021 23.9.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.250 of 2021 in O.A. No.1049 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.B. Pandit ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed praying for an order on the same line as has been passed by this Tribunal in OA No.160 of 2018 and OA No.521 of 2018 by order dated 21.5.2021. He also prayed for expediting hearing of the OA.</p> <p>3. Hearing of OA is expedited and fixed on 29.11.2021.</p> <p>4. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar. J.) Chairperson 23.9.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.254 of 2021 in O.A. No.476 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.D. Brande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.10.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">23.9.2021</td> <td align="center">23.9.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	23.9.2021	23.9.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
23.9.2021	23.9.2021								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.249 of 2021 in O.A. No.919 of 2018</u></p> <p>Y.L. Belgiri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed praying for an order on the same line as has been passed by this Tribunal in OA No.160 of 2018 and OA No.521 of 2018 by order dated 21.5.2021. He also prayed for expediting hearing of the OA.</p> <p>3. Hearing of OA is expedited and fixed on 29.11.2021.</p> <p>4. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2021 Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.282 of 2021 in O.A.No.552 of 2021</p> <p>K. T. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V.Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.713 of 2021 With O.A.No.721 of 2021</p> <p>K. S. Ukirde M.M. BhoirApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri L.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In both the Original Applications, the Applicants have challenged their transfer order inter-alia contending that those are in defiance of provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005) and sought interim relief. 3. In O.A.No.713/2021, the Applicant was transferred by order dated 30.08.2021 from the post of Tutor within three weeks from the date of posting in Institute of Nursing Education, Mumbai. 4. Whereas in O.A.No.721/2021, the Applicant is transferred by order dated 30.08.2021 from the post of Tutor, G.T. Hospital, Mumbai to Sassoon Hospital, Pune. 5. Learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Sanjaykumar Sawant, Administrative Officer submits that the Respondents intend to withdraw both the Original Applications since those are not passed after following due procedure of law. He further submits that necessary orders of withdrawal of transfer orders and reinstatement of the Applicants on the post from which they are transferred from will be issued within a week. Statement is accepted. 6. Learned Counsel for the Applicants, therefore, submits that Original Applications be disposed of in view of the statement made by learned P.O. 7. In view of above, the Respondents shall pass order of withdrawal of impugned transfer orders and reposting of the Applicants on the post held by them before impugned transfer orders within a week. 8. Original Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.610 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. IngaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 09.08.2021. Admittedly the Applicant is relieved in pursuance of transfer order. Therefore now question of grant of interim-relief does not survives and O.A. needs to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. 07.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.Ā. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.727 of 2021</p> <p>P. N. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 10.06.2021 whereby his request for inter district transfer has been rejected. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 22.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.728 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. KhaladkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.10.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 27.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. Naik & Or.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R.Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In present O.A., the Applicants have challenged the order of punishment of withholding increments for <u>post</u> departmental enquiry. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 22.10.2021. 10. The office objection be removed within a week. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.283 of 2021 in O.A.No.553 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V.Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.317 of 2021 in O.A.No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. Naik & Or.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R.Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.317 /2021 is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 681 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. S. Nivargi (Kotnis)Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shivani Kalekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. and tendered a letter of the Applicant to that effect. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A..</p> <p>4. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.410 of 2021</p> <p>S.T. ZawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.407 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. DhangareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.180 of 2021</p> <p>F.MD. I. SourajApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghatе, learned Advocate holding for Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.477 of 2020</p> <p>M.S. MarkandApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 16.06.2019 which seems to be only Show Cause Notice and not final notice of recovery, therefore O.A. itself seems to be pre-mature. The Applicant should have replied to the notices and if adverse order is passed then he could avail further legal remedy thus <i>prima-facie</i> O.A. is pre-mature.</p> <p>3. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks one week time to take instructions from the Applicant to know whether he has given reply to Show Cause Notice and Respondents have passed final recovery order.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.350 of 2021 in O.A. No.213 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. KhamkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Tejal R. Dicholkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhijeet Kandarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for Condonation of Delay in pending O.A. No213/2020 in which State – Respondents are already served and appeared through P.O. therefore issuance of notice of M.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021. <i>has reply.</i></p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.806 of 2020</p> <p>G.B. PilgarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>3. Adjourned 28.10.2021 with liberty to file rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.349 of 2021</p> <p>V.E. MhatreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI .**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.135 of 2020</p> <p>J.R. ChoudharyApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.B. Ghorpade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.334 of 2021</p> <p>V.B. Kale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 16.11.2021 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.562 of 2020</p> <p>A.V. Khandre & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dr. Gunratan Sadavate, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 17.11.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.409 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. PathakApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.10.2021, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.483 of 2021</p> <p>A.F. MurdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant points out that in the present matter charge-sheet dated 07.08.2020 for Department Enquiry was served on the applicant on 23.10.2020 for the incident which occurred in 2015. She further points out that in view of Rule 27(2)(b)(ii) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 interim stay be granted.</p> <p>3. In view of above, we grant interim relief to stay the Departmental Enquiry till further orders.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.428 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>3. Adjourned 13.10.2021 with liberty to file rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.511 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. ThakurApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.10.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.71/2020 in OA No.492/2019</u></p> <p>D.L. Melge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.134/2020 in MA No.71/2020 in OA No.492/2019</u></p> <p>D.L. Melge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has prayed for restoration of OA No.492 of 2019 along with MA No.71 of 2020 which was dismissed on 4.2.2020.</p> <p>3. It is dismissed on technical grounds. Hence, we recall the order dated 4.2.2020.</p> <p>4. OA No.492 of 2019 and MA No.71 of 2020 are restored to file.</p> <p>5. MA No.134 of 2020 is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.72/2020 in OA No.493/2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Vhanmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2021 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2021 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.135/2020 in MA No.72/2020 in OA No.493/2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Vhanmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has prayed for restoration of OA No.493 of 2019 along with MA No.72 of 2020 which was dismissed on 4.2.2020.</p> <p>3. It is dismissed on technical grounds. Hence, we recall the order dated 4.2.2020.</p> <p>4. OA No.493 of 2019 and MA No.72 of 2020 are restored to file.</p> <p>5. MA No.135 of 2020 is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.9.2021</p> <p align="right">2</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.755 of 2012</p> <p>D.S. MujgondApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office of the Joint Director, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship to file affidavit stating that four years of B.E. degree is to be counted as experience as per the Recruitment Rules of 1983.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>