of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunai's orders or directions und Registrar's orders	Date : 23.09.2019. ^{Tribunal's} orders
	O.A.No.539 of 2019
	A. M. MoreApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for
	the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti
	Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Respondent
	No.2.
	2. In the present matter, during the course of hearing,
	learned P.O. had filed additional documents at page nos.107
	to 124 on 11.09.2019. Therefore, on the request of learned
	Counsel for the Applicant time was granted to file additional
	affidavit in respect of those documents taken on record at page nos.107 to 124.
	3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed
	additional affidavit with some documents at page nos.125 to
	137. It is taken on record.
	4. Learned P.O. seeks short adjournment on the
	ground that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad who is entrusted with the
	matter due to personal difficulty is not present.
	5. The matter is adjourned to 25.09.2019.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm
	· •

M.A./R.A /C.A. No.

of 20

IN.

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
· · · · · ·	Date : 23.09.2019.	
	O.A.No.806 of 2019	
	S. D. BariApplicant	
	Versus	
•	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwdekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant ha	
	filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.	
	3. S.O. to 26.09.2019.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	
	. .	

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.09.2019.

O. A. No.936 of 2019

Arun Bhaidas ChavanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms B. R. Khichi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

4

Date : 23.09.2019.

O. A. No.940 of 2019

....Applicant

D. N. Lad

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.09.2019.

O. A. No.937 of 2019

S. A. Dhamale

....Applicant

211

Versus

2

The State of Maharashtra & Drs.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. 5.0. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-¥⁻ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

•1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the second
fice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	Date : 23.09.2019.
	M. A. No.372 of 2019 in O. A. No.694 of 2019
	G. B. ShindeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
·	2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2019.
	 Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	8. \$.O. to 22.10.2019.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Trihunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders Date : 23.09.2019

25:05:2019.

O. A. No.927 of 2019

R. P. More

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. ' S.O. to 01.10.2019.

vsm

W:

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

 2°

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.09.2019.

M. A. No.453 of 219 in O.A.No.238 of 2016

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicants (Orl. Respondents)

Versus

 $\mathbf{2}$

M. A. PatilRespondent (Ori. Applicant)

1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

2. O.A.238 of 2016 is decided by this Tribunal by judgment dated 25.06.2019 whereby the impugned order dated 06.10.2015 and 21.11.2015 were quashed and set aside. The Respondents were directed to release the pension of the Applicant and extend the monetary benefits within two months from the date of judgment.

3. The period of two months is over by 25,08.2019.

4. Learned P.O. submits that file is under process and seeks three months time to implement the judgment.

5. Whereas learned Counsel for the Applicant opposes the application on the ground that enough time is already availed by the State.

6. In M.A., the State has given details of the steps taken to implement the order and the matter seems to be in process. I am, therefore, inclined to grant one month's more time for implementation of order.

7. In view of above, M.A.No.453/2019 is disposed of with directions to implement the judgment passed by this in O.A.No.238/2016 within a month from today. No further time will be granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

8. No order as to costs.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders Date : 23.09.2019.

O.A.No. 929 of 2019

....Applicant

S. A. Dhamale

Versus

9

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Original Application is filed for direction to release retiral benefits which was initially held up due to punishment of D.E.. Now, the D.E. has already completed and the punishment of imposition of 6% reduction of pension for one year is imposed. The order of punishment is challenged by the Applicant by filing independent O.A. which is also subjudice.

3. In so far as present O.A. is concerned, it pertains to grant of retiral benefits only. In view of decision in D.E. now, the retiral benefits are required to be released in terms of order passed in D.E. and as per entitlement of the Applicant in Rules.

4. In view of above, the Respondents can be directed to ensure release of retiral benefits of Applicant as per his entitlement in rules in terms of decision passed in O.E. and shall take necessary steps in this behalf within a month from today.

5. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with following direction.

(A) The Respondent is directed to release reteiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement in Rules and in terms of order passed in D.E. within a month from today.

(B) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./O.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Begistrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 23.09.2019.
	O.A.No. 550 of 2019
	S. D. Patil & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the
	Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has file
	reply on behalf of the Respondent-No.3. It is taken on record
	Besides, learned P.O. has also tendered the copy of orde
	dated 13.09.2019 stating that the order of transfer date
÷	
	08.06.2018 itself is cancelled. It is taken on record an
	marked as 'X' for identification.
	3. Original Application was filed to implement th
	transfer order dated 08.06.2018 whereby the Applicant wa
	transferred from Sangali to Kolhapur. However, the sa
	order itself is now cancelled by order dated 13.09.2019. Thu
	the order itself being cancelled, the question of
	implementation does not survive.
	Application is disposed
	with no order as to costs.
	Sd/-
	\ u ~ =
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member(J)
	vsm
	≁

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	(Spl MAT-F ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL	-2 E.
	MUMBAI	
M.A./R.A./C.A. No.	of 20	
IN		
Original Application No.	of 20	
	TINUATION SHEET No.	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
		:
	Date : 23.09.2019.	1
	O.A.No. 813 of 2019	1
	A. G. Wadnere	Applicant
	Versus	:
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	lents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Officer for the Respondents.	d Presenti
	2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to	1
	order dated 14.08.2019 but the same has been	
	view of the representation made by the Applican 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairl	
	in view of redressal of grievance of the Applicat	
	not survive. Learned Counsel further states	
	received a letter from his client that O.A. be get o	isposed of
	4. For the aforesaid reasons, O.A. is disp	osed of w
	no order as to costs.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	1
		:
	-	
	: :	•
		1
		·

(G.C.P.)	J	2959(B)	(50,0003-2017)
----------	---	---------	----------------

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 23,09.2019.
	O.A.No.159 of 2018
	Dr. M. D. Magare & OrsApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Mancheakr, learned Chief resenting Officer for the Respondents.
	 The present O.A. is filed by the Applicant challenging the orders dated 01.02.2018 as well as 05.02.2018 whereby the benefit of academic grade pay given to the Applicant was withdrawn. However, today learned C.P.O. has produced the order issued by the Government dated 26.07.2019 to show that the impugned orders dated 01.02.2018 and 05.02.2018 are recalled and directions have been given to restore the annual grade pay to the Applicant amongst others in pursuance of G.R dated 10.11.2009. The order dated 26.07.2019 is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification. Thus, grievance of the Applicant is already redressed and O.A. requires to be disposed of.
	4. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.938 of 2019

P. P. Suryawanshi

)..... Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)....Respondents

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Counsel for the Applicant Smt. Archana B. K., Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 23.09.2019.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 11.09.2019 whereby he was transferred from Thane to Brihanmumbai. Here it is material to note that in impugned transfer order dated 11.09.2019, the Applicant is shown transferred on 'family reasons' invoking Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005).

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant never requested for transfer, and therefore, the reason mentioned in the impugned order is *ex-facie* incorrect which rendered the order illegal.

4. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that the transfer order has been approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister being highest competent authority and opposes interim relief.

5. True, the Applicant had completed normal tenure but the impugned order is passed in September and not in April-May as required under the provision of 'Act 2005' and

it has trapping of mid-term transfer and consequently must comply rigor of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of 'Act 2005' which is completely missing in the present case.

6. Perusal of file reveals that the matter was placed before the Civil Services Board (CSB) only to consider the request transfer of the Respondent No.2 who was not in fact due for transfer. There was no proposal of the transfer of the Applicant before CSB. All that CSB accepted the request of the Respondent No.2 and recommended for posting at the place of Applicant at Thane. Later, when the file was placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister, he passed the order to shift the Applicant from his post for giving posting to Respondent No.2 at Thane. No reason even for namesake is forthcoming for displacing the Applicant.

7. Thus, prima-facie, it was not a case of transfer in public interest or for administrative exigency. Indeed, in transfer order, the Applicant is shown for 'family reasons' as if the Applicant himself made request which is not so. As such, prima-facie impugned transfer order dated 11.09.2019 is not in consonance with Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005'. I am, therefore, inclined to grant interim relief.

8. In view of above, interim relief is granted in terms of Prayer Clause 10(a) of O.A..

9. Hamdast granted.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2019.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

2

14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

16. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

U . **. 1**7 Sd/-<u>.</u> بل

(A.P. KURHEKAR) MEMBER (J)

E:\VSO\2019\Order and Judments\Sept. 19\O.A.938 of 2019 tranfer (O).doc

O.A. No.904 of 2019

C.D. Tikekar Vs. .. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 14.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 23.9.2019

(sgj)

O.A. No.905 of 2019

A.D. Sorte

.. Applicant

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 14.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 23.9.2019

(sgj)

R.A. No.13 of 2019 in O.A. No.35 of 2019

Y.L. Dharavane Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Applicant

..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 18.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, RA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 23.9.2019

(sgj)