

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.298/2016

Shri S.R. Koli ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Applicant and Advocate are not present. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Smt. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri I.S. Shaikh, Assistant Commandant, SRFF, Solapur. The learned P.O. informs that the order terminating the services of the Applicant dated 13.9.2013 has been recalled and he has been reinstated in service on 18.8.2016. She also submits that after taking a decision on how to treat the period in the interregnum, the other aspects of the matter will be decided.

S.O. to ^{13th} 14th October, 2016.

DATE: 23/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Present Applicant and~~
~~Advocate absent.~~
Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri Smt. K.S. Gaikwad~~

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

(skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 23-9-16
Member (J)
23.09.2016

~~Adv. to~~ S.O. to 13/10/16

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.857/2016</u></p>
<p>DATE: <u>23/9/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/mt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/mt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>NO. 122</u></p> <p><u>S.B. Deshmukh for R.No.3.</u></p> <p><u>(V.P. Ahmed)</u></p> <p>Adv. ID. <u>20/9/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(skw)</i></p>	<p>Shri P.U. Bisen ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>The Vakalatnama of Mr. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard the submissions of both the sides. Four weeks' time has already elapsed. The learned CPO requests for one week's time in the matter. I have perused the Prayer Clauses (a) & (b) and I make it clear that on the next date, unless there is insurmountable hurdle, this OA may have to be disposed of. It may shown as hearing as expedited OA.</p> <p>S.O. to 30th September, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>MZ</u> (R.B. Malik) <u>23 9 16</u> Member (J) 23.09.2016</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer:.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/9/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/mt. <u>CT. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/mt. <u>Savita Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>C.P.O./T.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>O.A. is disposed of:</u> <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.874/2016</u></p> <p>Shri. R.M. Tribhuvan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>This OA can be disposed of by consent at this stage itself. I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>I have taken on record an order dated 1/7th September, 2016 issued by the Government in Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Protection Department. The suspension of the Applicant has been revoked and that is the ultimate relief sought in the OA. In view of the revocation of the suspension, the OA works itself out and is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (R.B. Malik) 23-9-16 Member (J) 23.09.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.873/2016

Smt. U.D. Dobade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

This OA, not only can be, but has got to be disposed of here and now because the facts merit the said course of action to be adopted.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

It is not necessary for me to set out in detail the facts herein involved and it would be suffice to mention that the ultimate relief sought in this OA is a direction to the Respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 27.9.2013 made by the Applicant. The copy of the letter dated 2nd July, 2016 from the Information Officer of the Respondent No.2 would show that, that particular representation was not traceable in the Office of the said Respondent though it was received and inwards at the serial number mentioned therein. Therefore, it is very clear that more than three years having elapsed, no further laxity could be shown, and therefore, I had absolutely no hesitation in brushing aside the request of the learned P.O. for time, etc. and I direct that this OA is disposed of with a direction to the Respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation hereinabove detailed within a period of four weeks from today and communicate its outcome to the Applicant within one week thereafter. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman) *have*
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) *+*

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~C.T. Chandratre~~
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri ~~A.J. Chougule~~
P.O. for the Respondents

o.A. is disposed
At To
of. *@ccf*

Sd/- *1/16*
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
23.09.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1253/2009

Shri A.P. Tikar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3. None for Respondent No.4.

This ^mis an application for Speaking to Minutes is presented in a disposed of OA No.1253/2009. Heard the submissions of both the sides. The learned P.O. is hereby directed to ascertain from the concerned Office as to whether after the order dated 5.4.2014 (Pages 71 to 75 of the disposed of OA), the other allowances like D.A, etc. were being paid to the deceased Applicant.

Adjourned to 4th October. 2016 Steno-copy allowed.

DATE: 28/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RATIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

CPOT/P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3

None for Respondent No. 4.

Adj. To 4/10/16

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
23.09.2016

(skw)

NE
-9-16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Official Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.291/2016

Shri S.A. Tamboli ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S. Solanke holding for Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

The order issued by the Joint Director, Public Health (Finance and Administration, Mumbai) dated 22nd September, 2016 is taken on record. The learned Advocate for the Applicant also accepts the position, and as far as the relief in this OA is concerned, nothing remains. However, this OA cannot be disposed of today because of the non-compliance with the mandate of Para 2(c) of the order dated 8.9.2016 of the Hon'ble Chairman. For that limited aspect of the matter, adjourned to 7th October, 2016.

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri S. Solanke holding
for P.V. Patil
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

(skw)

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
23.09.2016

Handwritten signature and date: 23-09-16

Adv to S.O. to 7/10/16

Handwritten signature

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.563/2016

Shri G.G. Giri ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Rejoinder is not filed. OA is admitted. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MaLiK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Advocate and~~
~~Advocate for the Applicant~~
~~Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad~~

~~CRO/P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To

O.A. is admitted.
Liberty to mention
granted.

[Signature]

v'

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
23.09.2016

[Signature]
3.9.16

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.722 to 726 & 782/2016

Shri S.D. Shelar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~B.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri ~~K.B. Bhise~~

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Applicant

Adj. in O.A. 722/16, O.A. 724/16,
O.A. 725/16;

O.A.s are Admit.
Liberty to mention granted.

(Signature)

(skw)

Sd/-
R.B. Malik
Member (J)
23.09.2016

ME
3-9-16

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.938/2016

Shri V.V. Chavn & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

The liberty is reserved for the Applicants to apply for interim relief on any subsequent date. In the meanwhile, this OA be placed along with OA 614/2014 on 29th September, 2016. The Respondents must file their Affidavits-in-reply howsoever short or long, at least for the purpose of interim relief on the next date.

Issue notice returnable on 29.09.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 29th September, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
23.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. for the Respondents nos. 1 to 3.

C.T. Chandratre for

R. No. 4.

Adj. B.

S.O. to 29/9/16.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 23/9/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3. C.T. Chandratte for R. NO. 4. Adv. To M.A. is Allowed.</p>	<p><u>M.A.375/2016 in O.A.938/2016</u></p> <p>Shri V.V. Chavn & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri C.T. Chandratte, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>RB</i> (R.B. Malik) 23.9.16 Member (J) 23.09.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.864/2016

Shri H.B. Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

This OA be placed along with OA 300/2016 and OA 353/2016 because this Applicant and those two Applicants are co-defendants in the pending D.E. Four weeks' time was already there at the disposal of the Respondents and still the Affidavit has not been filed. The Affidavits-in-reply in those two OAs have been filed, and therefore, I direct the Respondents to give a copy of the Affidavit-in-reply in this matter also to the Applicant by that date. However, in view of the above circumstances, this OA is formally admitted and kept along with 2 other OAs for hearing on 7th October, 2016.

S.O. to 7th October, 2016.

Sd/- _____
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
23.09.2016

7/16

(skw)

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 7/10/16.

with
OA 300/16
OA 353/16.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 23/9/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>J.N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To: s.o. to 14/10/16.</u></p> <p><i>@adj</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A.328/2016</u></p> <p>Shri P.T. Sonkamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>Shri Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks an adjournment to amend the OA because the administrative appeal has been dismissed. On his request, the OA is adjourned to 14th October, 2016 for filing the amendment application.</p> <p>S.O. to 14th October, 2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>allk</i> (R.B. Malik) 23.09.16 Member (J) 23.09.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.642/2016

Shri G.J. rasal & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Perused the order dated 11.8.2016 made by the Hon'ble Chairman with particular reference to Para 3 thereof. The OA proceeds without Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and is adjourned for Rejoinder, if any, to the Affidavit-in-reply of Respondents 1 and 4.

S.O. to 7th October, 2016.

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Anshu B.K.~~

~~P.O.T.F.O. for the Respondents~~

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.

~~Adj. To S.O. to 7/10/16.~~

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 23.9.16
Member (J)
23.09.2016

(skw)

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.767/2016

Shri A.B. Toraskar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.K. Bhangoji holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3. None for Respondent No.4.

Affidavit-in-reply of Respondents 1 to 3 is taken on record. The OA proceeds without the reply of Respondent No.4. The OA stands adjourned for Affidavit-in-rejoinder to 14th October, 2016. Interim order to continue till then.

S.O. to 14th October, 2016.

DATE: 23/9/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri. R.B. MALIK~~
~~(Member)~~
Hon'ble Shri. R.B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri C.K. Bhangoji
Holding for R.K. Mendadkar
Advocate for the Applicant

(skw)

Shri K.B. Bhise
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents NO. 1 to 3.

None for R. NO. 4.
Reply filed by R. NO. 1 to 3.
Adj. by _____

S.O. to 14/10/16.

[Signature]

Sd/-

[Signature]

(R.B. Malik) 23.9.16
Member (J)
23.09.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/9/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. P.N. Pathare Applicant No. 2 Present. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Blise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/10/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">FOB <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1216/2012</u></p> <p>Shri S.K. Rajput & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>The Applicant No.2 Mr. P.N. Pathare is present. The Division Bench is not sitting today. The Applicants have not been remaining present as would become clear from the order made by the Division Bench on the last occasion. Now, one of the Applicants is present. The OA stands adjourned before the Division Bench to 21st October, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>Malik</u> <u>23-9-16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.09.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>