### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.08.2017.

O.A.No,449 of 2017

V.S. Waigankar & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned 1. Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting 2. Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-
  - (a) Affidavit from General Administration Department is received, however, it is a short and lacks reply of all the grounds of challenge.
  - Detailed affidavit-in-reply answering all paragraphs, grounds and points needs to be filed.
  - Four week's time may be granted.
- In the background that prima facie claim of applicants is based on concluded issue, one week shall be more than enough. Hence one week's time is granted.
- S.O. to 31.08.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

23/8/2017 DATE: CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

Shrisma B. A. Ban

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smr. M.K. Raj pyrohit C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ag 70 318 2017.

# Tribunal's orders O.A. No.92 of

Dr. M.S. Bhadke

-Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- It is considered necessary to put certain questions 2. to Shri Pradeep Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department in the nature of court questions/crossexamination on the affidavit filed by him on 20.7.2017.
- Shri Pradeep Vyas, Principal Secretary is 3. directed to appear before this Tribunal at 11 A.M. on 31.8.2017 for answering questions as referred to in para no.1 along with file in which the decision referred to in para 6(d) of his affidavit is recorded.
- If it is not possible for Shri Pradeep Vyas to 4. appear on 31.8.2017, he should give a date of his choice after 5.9.2017.
- 5. S.O. to 31.8.2017.
- Hamdast and steno copy is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 23.8.2017

23/8/2017 DATE: CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairmae)

Hon'ble Sari M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shrismi fynam Mchayan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smi : H. G. Goned C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

31/8/2017:

3FE

(sgj)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

23/8/2017

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon the Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

DATE: CORAM:

APPEARANCE:

Strismt: PYNam

Advocate for the Applicant

Adj. To. 19 9 2017

Shri/Smt K.S. GalKW C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

. Tribunal's orders

#### 23.08.2017

#### O.A No 529/2017

Shri A.D Dhakne

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

- Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned 1. advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Presenting Officer prays for four 2. weeks' time to file affidavit in reply answering O.A with reference to each paragraph, point and ground.
- 3. Time as prayed is granted.
- S.O to 19.9.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi(V) Chairman

Akn

# Tribunel's orders O.A. No.606 of 2017

Shri P.P. Ramteke & Ors.

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. This Tribunal directed the respondents to respond to the OA by granting four weeks time by order dated 10.7.2017.
- 3. Today Ld. PO prays for four weeks time.
- 4. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants urges that he wants to argue on interim relief.
- 5. Ld. PO prays for two weeks time to argue on interim relief.
- 6. The Respondent should file response, if any, within one week.
- 7. Hearing on interim relief would be done on 7.9.2017.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, (1) Chairman 23.8.2017

DATE: 23/8/2017

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt DY & Sadavayte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt.: Axchana B.k. C.RO/ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Steno copy and hamdagt is allowed.

HE

(sgj)

(G,C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.381 of 2017

Shri A.S. Mahaldar

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.

The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 08.09.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.08.2017

the Shin, Ich JIV AGARWAL

- (Vice - Chairman)

Hoo ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEADANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

- Shrism : Als S. Scere concers C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adi To OA is admitted

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.148 of 2017

Smt. M.H. Patole & others

... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned C.P.O. submits that it is possible that a decision could be taken in respect of the number of employees including the Applicant and, therefore, longer date is being sought.

S.O. to 09.10.2017.

Hen ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)

Hom'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) I

APPEARANCE:

M. B. Laclain Advocate three Applicant Stri Sm. . Hild . 4

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj To 5.0. +0 9

(R.B. Malik) 23.817 Member (J) 23.08.2017

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.106 of 2017

Shri R.V. Pawar & Ors.

... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K. the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the Applicants do not want to file Rejoinder.

The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 18.09.2017.

Sd/-

: \c

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.08.2017

CORAM:

Hen ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice Chairman)

Hen ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APH AKANCE:

Shrichia K. D. J. Cocolole

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smi.: Acr chana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. Tout. O. A. cos advicted

S.-O. + O. 18 9 17

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

|Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.34 of 2017

Shri V.S. Nawle

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate submits that the Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.

this statement, the Application is admitted and appointed for final GA Boundwaden hearing on 15.09.2017.

m'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice - Chairman) Bon'hie Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Brat A J

(R.B. Malik) 23817 Member (J) 23.08.2017

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

# O.A. Nos.780, 781 & 782 of 2017

Smt. A.D. Nawalkar & Ors.

... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Kumar Katyarmal, A.S.O. Medical Education & Drugs Department, Mumbai.

I have perused the order dated 23.08.2017 made by the State in Medical Education & Drugs Department, thereby the transfers herein impugned of the Applicants have been stayed. It is directed that in the event the stay is vacated by the Government, the order of transfers cannot be infatuated for the period of one week thereafter.

The Original Application stands adjourned for 20.09.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik Member (J) 23.08.2017

n'the Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL.

Den'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt ... A J C

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

(Vice Chairman)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2, E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.965 of 2016

Shri B.A. Puri

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.

The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 15.09.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 23 Member (J)

23.08.2017

DATE: 2.3

<del>ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice Chairman)

Don'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. L. U.S. . S.

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents eu. A. 0

 $(G,C|P_c) = J/2260(B)/(50,000; -2.2015)$ 

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of -20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### M.A. No.167 of 2017 <u>In</u> O.A. No.343 of 2017

Shri K.H. Raul

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Under the Sr. No.13 of the subject of the Division Bench, this is a matter of the Division Bench and it be placed before currently functioning Division Bench on 13.09.2017.

Sd/-

lik

(R.B. Malik) 23 8 17 Member (J) 23.08.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 288 7

CORAM:

Hon ble Shri R. H. MALIK (Member) T

APPEARANCE:

Shri Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) T

APPEARANCE:

Shri Shri Shri R. Applicant

C.P.O. H.O. Tot the Respondents

before Division Bench

Dud

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.791 of 2017

Shri P.V. Rathod

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 20.09.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 20.09.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.08.2017

fon 'ble Skri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Du'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. To K. B.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

209

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.790 of 2017

Shri S.L. Chaudhari

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Reserving the right of the Applicant to request for grant of interim relief on the next date, issue notice returnable on 06.09.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit compliance and notice.

S.O. to 06.09.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.08.2017

Sd/-

<del>Me Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>

(Vice - Chairman) Blue ble She R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri 18mt A. J. Ch

C.P.O.T.P.O. for the Respondents

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.639 of 2016

Shri H.J. Nazirkar

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

This is a Part-Heard OA. As the arguments have been heard, it transpired that the W.P.No.8850/2016 (Shri Noreshwar R. Shende V/s. S. B. Nangnure & Ors.) is now being heard by the Hon'ble High Court and the parties informed that the Hon'ble High Court has been requested by the Hon'ble Supreme Court to decide that matter early. It appears to me, however, that although this O.A. has not been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and, therefore, there is no hitch in continuing with the hearing. As of today, this O.A. stands adjourned to 31.08.2017.

DATE: 238 17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Mombor)

APPEARANCE: Vice chairman

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri75mt.: K.S. G. on Leonad

C.P.O. F.O. for the Respondents 4to 122

A.V. Roendice adel 2021

Loca R. No. 3

Adj. For the 31817

Sd/-

23,08.17

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.08.2017

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.242 of 2017 In O.A. No.451 of 2017

Dr. S.D. Wathore

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

L.O.

Mentioned by Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. He submits that the Applicant has been transferred to Thane which he satisfied with and, therefore, on his request the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to

-(Vice - Chairman) ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

C.P.O. For the Respondents Good Keeper

o.A.cis dispose

Sd/-

23.0817

(R.B. Malik) Member (J)

23.08.2017

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. Nos.277 & 278 of 2017

Shri M.S. Shete & 1 Anr. ... Applicants V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The Applicants are currently under suspension w.e.f. 18.03.2017. Although in so far as the issue of review of the suspension is concerned, initially on behalf of the State, I was told that the review would be taken only after one year. However, in view of the judgment rendered by me in O.A.No.1096/2016 (Shri A. S. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & 1 Anr.), dated 21.04.2017, in which large number of judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble Bombay High Court considered, it comes about that in the ultimate analysis review has to be taken quarterly. Therefore, the review ought to have been taken by now, Keeping the O.A. pending. I direct that the Suspension Review Committee should meet to take a decision about the suspension of the Applicants within six weeks from today and communicate its decision to the Applicants within one week thereafter.

S.O. to 11.10.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 23.8.17 Member (J) 23.08.2017

DATE: 23/8/7

CORAM:

Wen'the Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice Chairmen)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Sant A. J. Boundicescalako

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Sant B. J. B. D. Corolic

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To.

Adj. To.

PH

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.770 of 2017

Shri S.M. Soundane

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri, A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaiwkad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Prasad R. Shinde, Section Officer, Revenue & Forest Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai.

A compilation whereof the first page and the last page have been initialed by me, is taken on record. There is no other document relevant here for as per the statement of the learned P.O. on instruction. The Original Application stands adjourned to 04.09.2017.

Time to carry out the amendment is extended by two weeks from today. Hamdast.

Sd/-

23.8.17

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 23.08.2017

CORAM:

When 'the Shri. RAJIV AGARWAI

(Vice - Chairman)

Don'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A V. Bendicer Jeken

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Accordence for the Respondents

holding took S. G. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S. G. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S. G. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S. Cord

Adj. To

Holding took S

OANO 177/17

Order dt.:- 048 17
Communicated by
Resp. No. by
Hon & Resp. No. 2
By I asi on :- 03 8 12

Learned cf. O'states on instructions

that enguly profiled completed a
within four months.

Learned Advocate for the
applicant states on instructions

that let the OA be disposed
by keeping all grounds and
remedies open.

Hence OA is accordingly

lisposed.

Sd/-

23/8/2017

directions and Registrar's orders

9/8/2012 DATE:

CORAM:

ton'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) 1140 the Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shrister: M.D. Lewker

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smi: K.S. FEIKWa C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ay To 23/8/12 at the regret of cdv for the ann.

DATE: 23/8/2012

CORAM:

Bog ble Jastice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPENSINCE:

M. D. Lower

's dynamic for the Applicant

DRO/ED, for the Respondent/s

+dy to Admit

OA shall come up for Final nearing in due course.

O.A. 198/17

Admit. 0A shall come up for hearing indue course Let the enquiry be completed, adverse to the applicant, without not be implemented without leave of this Tribunal.

Sd/-

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.350 OF 2017

Shri B.A. Bandkar

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Miss Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM:

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE :

23.08.2017

#### ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Shri Shrikant Singh Secretary's affidavit answering show cause against costs was tendered on 2.8.2017, and it was taken on record. After perusal of affidavit, this Tribunal found that the Affidavit had failed to furnish plausible reply to the notice of show cause against costs, and hence prima facie, the affidavit was not satisfactory. Therefore, learned P.O. was called to find out as to whether the officer wants to file additional affidavit or say if, Tribunal should proceed to hear the aspect of notice of show cause against costs.
- 3. Today when additional affidavit has not come up, this Tribunal thought it proper to have the case heard.
- 4. Parties were called to make submissions.

- 5. At this stage, learned P.O. states that there is a communication gap between the learned P.O. and the Secretary. The Secretary, Shri Shrikant Singh believes that some order is passed by this Tribunal on 02.08.2017 directing him to file some affidavit and he is waiting for its copy, while any express order is on record, though this Tribunal had expressed grave dissatisfaction about Shri Shrikant Singh's affidavit.
- 6. Thereafter, learned P.O. sought time for filing additional affidavit. However, all these aspects were not recorded in the order dated 02.08.2017.
- 7. Learned P.O. further states that there is communication gap on her part, because she has failed to communicate as to what had exactly transpired before the Tribunal on 02.08.2017, and exact developments ought to be communicated. Therefore learned P.O. prays for a week's time to communicate exact development, and to find out, if the Secretary, Shri Shrikant Singh volunteers to file additional affidavit.
- 8. In view of submissions and prayer of learned P.O., hearing is adjourned to 07.09.2017.

A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

(sgj)

D:\PRK\2017\08 AUG\24.08\O.A.350-17.doc

# THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1172 OF 2016

**DISTRICT: SANGLI** 

S.M. Govande

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Shri S.B. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM:

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE :

23.08.2017.

#### ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri S.B. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. It is seen that Respondents have taken corrective measure. Charges Nos.2 and 3 from the charge-sheet, (copy whereof is on record at page 20) have already been deleted from O.A. paper book. The disciplinary proceeding as regards Charges No.3 and 4 has been continued.
- 3. It is alleged that modification is issued by the corrigendum dated 25.04.2017, which Applicant has placed on record, continues to violate the mandate of Rule 27(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
- 4. During the course of admission hearing Applicant has tried to show that though corrigendum is issued, Charge No.1 as well as Charge No.4 remained to be the same. Therefore, this Tribunal had directed the Principal Secretary, by order dated 03.07.2017, to file affidavit to test and show if charge sheet is hit by Rule 27(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.



- 5. Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department has filed the affidavit. Perused the affidavit if Principal Secretary.
- 6. During the hearing, today this Tribunal had directed learned P.O. for the Respondents and Officers assisting learned P.O. to arrange Charge No.1 as seen originally in charge-sheet dated 09.09.2014 copy whereof is at page nos.26 to 29 and Charges No.1 and 6, seen at page No.71 to place in juxta position and mark the position which are concurrent so that difference can be identified.

Learned P.O. accordingly did that exercise and argued the case. Representative of Government too was permitted to address.

- 7. It is seen that imputation and charge namely Charge No.4 seen at page No.29 and Charge No.4 seen at page No.71 are concurrent and those are hit by Rule 27 (4), since it is not shown that the imputations relate to the misconduct within four years of the date of superannuation.
- 8. In so far as Charge No.1 is concerned, certain text namely:-

"बाब क्र.१ : कामे वाटप करतांना सार्वजनिक बांधकाम विभाग, शासन निर्णय क्र.सीएटी ०७/०६/प्र.क्र. ९४ / इमा.२, दि. १६.११.२००६ नुसार मजूर सहकारी संस्था, सुशिक्षित बेरोजगार अभियंता व पात्र नोंदणीकृत कंत्राटदार यांच्यामध्ये ३३:३३:३४ या प्रमाणात वाटन करावयाचे असतांना नसे करण्यात आले नाही."

(Quoted from page 26 of O.A. paper book)

is concurrent with the text appearing at page No.71. Remaining description is slightly different. However the period referred to in page No.71 is from 30.06.2008 to 15.09.2011 which is 4 years prior to the date of superannuation of applicant. Thus prima facie, Charge No.1 too is also bad in view of Rule 27(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

- 9. Thus it is very well borne on record that charges subject matter are prima facie untenable being served in violation of Rule 27(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
- 10. Admit. Heard on interim relief.

- 11. On what has transpired and what is recorded in foregoing paragraphs, the Applicant has made out strong case for grant of interim relief by way of stay. No loss damage whatsoever will be caused to the State, if enquiry remains stayed. It is also a common ground that other delinquents have been treated with lenience because they have admitted the misconduct. This fact would also weight in favour of the applicant.
- 12. Hence interim relief is granted in terms of prayer clause paragraph 8. Paragraph No.8 reads as follows:-

"Applicant prays to stay the Enquiry against him as per the impugned letter / order dated 15/10/2016 EX. 'M' herein addressed to the Respondent No.3 by the Respondent No.1 and as per the Charge Sheet dated 09/09/2014 bearing No.09/09/2014, G.R.No. SHIBHANKA2012/ (161/2012) Dakshata – 1 EX. 'C' till the final hearing of this Original Application so also, the Letter dated 25/04/2017 addressed to the Respondent No.3 by the Respondent No.1 EX. 'N' be stayed till the final hearing and final disposal of this Original Application. "

(Quoted from page 18 of O.A. paper book)

- 13. It is clarified that State shall be free to reconsider and withdraw charge-sheet.
- 14. O.A. to come up for hearing in due course.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

prk