

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 181/2020 IN R.A 08/2020 IN O.A 399/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.V Korpale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind Awasmol, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, during hearing, learned P.O, cited the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 21.1.2020 in Standard Chartered Bank Vs. MSTC Ltd, C.A 501/2020 arising out of S.L.P (C) 20093/2019. Copy of the same is given to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks adjournment to go through the same and make his submissions.</p> <p>4. Matter is therefore de-part-heard and placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson for placing it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Actn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 460/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.N Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.K Bhangoji, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant had applied for the post of Stenographer (Lower Grade), pursuant to the advertisement dated 20.12.2013, issued by Respondent no. 2. According to the learned counsel for the applicant, list of selected candidates as well as wait list was published on 21.12.2015. However, the date is not mentioned on the document which is enclosed at Exh. 'D'. He further mentions that he had made representation on 4.4.2016 to consider his name.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to satisfy this Tribunal regarding the period of validity of the waiting list along with the necessary rules on the subject. He seeks two weeks' time for the same.</p> <p>4. As per request of the learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to 6.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 477 /2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to quash the charge sheet in the departmental enquiry against him issued on 5.9.2018, which was served on the applicant on 22.6.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has retired on 31.5.2012 and hence it is beyond the permissible period of four years.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 2.9.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 2.9.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

Akn

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1103/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.T Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Bhide, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during hearing, learned counsel for the applicant produces a communication dated 11.6.2021, addressed to the applicant by the office of the Principal Accountant General, Respondent no. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant states that applicant is satisfied with the orders regarding the same as far his pensionary benefits are concerned. She further submits that the exercise in calculating his pension and other retirement benefits needs to be completed in a stipulated period and expeditiously. Learned counsel further submits that provisional pension has not been paid to the applicant for the last 2 ½ years.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that instructions would be given to the concerned Respondents to complete the exercise expeditiously.</p> <p>4. As the matter is very old, there is need to complete the exercise on top priority. We, therefore, direct the Respondents and in particular Respondent no. 2 to ensure that the necessary calculation regarding pensionary benefits of the applicant are completed and paid to him within a period of four weeks and pending the same, the applicant should be granted the provisional pension within one week.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the Original Application is disposed of with liberty, if required.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p>

Atm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 26/2020 in O.A 1125/2013</u></b></p> <p>Smt P.S Sakhare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to 10.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px;">Sd/-</span> <span style="margin-left: 200px; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px;">Sd/-</span> </p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-left: 50px;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</span> <span style="margin-left: 150px;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</span> </p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 254/2021 in O.A 476/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.D Brande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed this Misc Application seeking condonation of delay of three years. Applicant retired in the year 2012 and is seeking time bound promotion from 2006.</li> <li>3. Issue notice returnable on 2.9.2021.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O to 2.9.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> <span style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Sd/-</span>      <span style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Sd/-</span>  <b>(P.N Dixit)</b>      <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b>      <b>Chairperson</b> </p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 35/2017 in O.A R.A 07/2016 in O.A 1235/2009</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.T Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she has filed the affidavit in reply today and copy given to the learned counsel for the applicant. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the orders in compliance with the decision given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 29.6.2021, necessary orders in respect of the applicant will be issued expeditiously as the file is under submission to the Hon'ble Minister.</p> <p>4. We direct the Respondents to produce the order within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O to 12.8.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>23.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 10/2021 in O.A 426/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Mule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that against the order dated 11.4.2019 passed by this Tribunal, the Respondents have filed Writ Petition Stamp No. 2966/2021 before the Hon'ble High Court on 5.2.2021. She further submits that the same is yet to be listed for hearing and seeks two weeks' time to inform the progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Sd/-</span>                      <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Sd/-</span>  (P.N Dixit)                      (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Vice-Chairman (A)                      Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>23.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 8/2021 in O.A 848/2018 with M.A 344/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.S Salunkhe &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for one weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.7.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px;">Sd/-</span> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px; margin-left: 100px;">Sd/-</span> </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>23.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 27/2021 in O.A 1228/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.K Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the file is under submission and the Respondents have not filed any application seeking extension of time for implementing the order of this Tribunal dated 12.2.2021.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to comply with the order of this Tribunal within a period of two weeks and also file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O 6.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.342 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 20.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>23.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 14/2021 in O.A 1062/2019</u></p> <p>Dr B.T Karvekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 16.7.2021, through A.A Athalye, District Health Officer, Ratnagiri Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri, Respondent no. 1, along with necessary order of compliance in response to the orders issued by this Tribunal dated 9.2.2021. She further mentions that nothing remains pending in the same.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.8.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N)Dixit Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. . . . . of 20

I N

Original Application No. . . . . of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.456 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.S. Dahifale . . . . . ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. . . . . ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px;">Sd/-</span>                      <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px;">Sd/-</span>  (P.N. Dixit)                      (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Vice-Chairman                      Chairperson  23.7.2021                      23.7.2021  (sgj) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.170 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Wakhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Milind Jadhav, Head Clerk, Office of Additional Commissioner of Police, South Region, Mumbai, submits that the matter is pending with the Pay and Accounts Office and necessary payments will be made within two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.8.2021 for implementation and informing the progress.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021				



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.72 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Shevare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time for informing the progress in the matter. Meanwhile the respondents are directed to take decision in the light of GR dated 7.5.2021 regarding promotions and inform the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.363 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.S. Chaudhary &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 &amp; 2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that the matter may be adjourned by three weeks. Meanwhile the respondents should decide the representation of the applicants and communicate the same to the applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021				



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.597 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.B. Panari &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 23.7.2021	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.7.2021				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.308 of 2020 in O.A. No.698 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.G. Sangar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he will shortly file affidavit in rejoinder after affirmation and submits that he serve unaffirmed copy of the same on the Ld. PO.</p> <p>3. The MA is for condonation of delay of 2 years and 5 months.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 23.7.2021 23.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.226 of 2020 in O.A. No.495 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.A. Shaikh &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2021.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Vice-Chairman</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">23.7.2021</td> <td align="center">23.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Vice-Chairman	Chairperson	23.7.2021	23.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(P.N. Dixit)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)								
Vice-Chairman	Chairperson								
23.7.2021	23.7.2021								



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.552 of 2018 in O.A. No.931 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.V. Patil , ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pleadings in MA are complete and it will be heard after three weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  23.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  23.7.2021</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.07.2021

O.A. No.404 of 2021

A.C. More .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 15.04.2020, whereby claim for appointment on compassionate ground has been rejected.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.08.2021.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.405 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.P. Andhere</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 04.04.2021, whereby his claim for Deemed Date of Promotion has been rejected.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.08.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>R.A. No.04 of 2021 in O.A. No.323 of 2019 with R.A. No.05 of 2021 in O.A. No.321 of 2019 with R.A. No.06 of 2021 in O.A. No.322 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A.T. Rajam</b> <b>S.A. Koyande</b> <b>M.Y. Raorane</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as most last chance for filing Reply to R.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">   <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.325 of 2020 in O.A. No.61 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Appl. (Org. Resp.)</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>N.D. Bhosale ..... Resp. (Org. Appl.)</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Respondent (Org. Appl.) and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) is present.</li> <li>This M.A.325/2020 is filed to delete the name of Respondent No.5 who is joined in his personnel capacity.</li> <li>Learned P.O. submits that Respondent No.5 has passed impugned order in his Official capacity as Deputy Commissioner of Police, and therefore, there was no reason to join Respondent No.5 in personnel capacity.</li> <li>Since, Respondent No.5 has already filed Reply to the O.A. and other Respondents have filed their Reply to O.A. It would be appropriate to decide M.A. along with O.A. on merit.</li> <li>Since pleading in O.A. is complete it be kept for Final Hearing.</li> <li>S.O. to 20.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.379 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>R.M. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has instruction from his client by communication dated 15.07.2021 to withdraw the O.A. He therefore requested for permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.297 of 2020</p> <p>M.N. Chaudhary .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Advocate Shri D.B. Khaire has sent his leave note and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.141 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. A.E. Gawali</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 24.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. Waghmode .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Matter pertains to retiral benefits, and therefore, in the interest of justice adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity for the Applicant.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 26.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.326 of 2021</p> <p>L.J. Kale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.560 of 2020</p> <p>M.D. Rathod .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 &amp; 3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</li> <li>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 26.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">N Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.113 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.R. Raskar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondents No.1,2,3 &amp; 5.</li> <li>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.202 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.R. Jadhavar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondents No.3,4 &amp; 5.</li> <li>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 26.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>  Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.318 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>D.P. Kharmate</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed, hence I am not inclined to grant further time. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  v~  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.323 of 2021</p> <p>D.G. Vasgadekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.316 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Dr. R.B. Nirmale</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 &amp; 3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.305 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Wagh</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.296 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Agarkar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondents No.1,3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.225 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Gawade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondents No.2 &amp; 3.</li> <li>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 26.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.54 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. Gore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">             Sd/-              (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.520 of 2020 to O.A. No.522 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.K. Harugade</b>  <b>B.D. Salunkhe &amp; Ors.,</b>  <b>S.S. Chewale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer along with Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder. However, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Thus O.A. be kept for hearing with another connected matters.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">N Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.07.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.713 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>U. R. Rajput</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 30.05.2020 whereby her services were terminated on the basis of letter dated 29.05.2020 issued by the Respondent No.2 - Director Medical Education and Research, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Perusal of letter dated 29.05.2020 issued by Respondent No.2 reveals that since the Applicant's caste validity certificate was invalid, her services were terminated.</p> <p>4. Thus, this is not a simple case of grant of retirement benefits but basically challenge is to the order of termination. This being the position, the Applicant ought to have filed O.A. before Division Bench.</p> <p>5. When these aspects were brought to the knowledge of learned Counsel for the Applicant, he fairly concedes the legal position and sought permission to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>6. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1062 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr.B.T. Karavekar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though this O.A. is disposed of by order dated 09.02.2021, it was listed for compliance of certain direction given by the Tribunal in the Judgment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that in pursuance of direction given by the Tribunal, now proposal to initiate D.E. against Dr. Ratna Raokhande is moved and after approval further steps will be taken. She has also tendered file for the perusal of Tribunal which shows that matter is processed and pending for the approval of Hon'ble Minister.</p> <p>4. Thus steps are being taken in compliance of the direction given by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of above, no further orders are required with hope that appropriate action would be initiated against the concerned without loss of time.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.345 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.S. Modhe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or sections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.318 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.J. Yemal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

[P.T.O.]

A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

al Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

ce Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.328 of 2021

P.A. Bodekar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 30.7.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
23.7.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.]

MUMBAI

Page No. of 20

Page No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Tribunal's orders or  
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.396 of 2021

N.G. Parmar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.

3. Reply should be filed by respondent no.1.

4. S.O. to 12.8.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
23.7.2021

(sgj)

P.T.O.


**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A. No. of 20

IN

Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Cases, Office Memoranda of Coram, Reference, Tribunal's orders or Applications and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.767 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.B. Kamalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13.8.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.7.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.07.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.592 of 2015</b></p> <p><b>J. S. Shinde</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal has already passed order on 03.06.2021 that in view of pendency of W.P.No.892/2015 and stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court to implement the judgment delivered in O.A.No.1215/2013 which is foundation for the relief claimed in O.A.. this O.A. cannot be proceeded till the decision of the Hon'ble High Court. We need to wait for some time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No. 912 of 2015</b></p> <p><b>S G. Deshpande &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms N. G. Gohad holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.38 is present. Shri M. R. Patil, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.19,27, 31 and 44 is absent.</li> <li>2. Rest of the Respondents though served are absent since beginning.</li> <li>3. Today, the matter is for final hearing but learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that she wants to take instructions from the Applicant since some of them are retired.</li> <li>4. O.A. is of 2015 and needs to be decided expeditiously.</li> <li>5. Two weeks time is lastly granted for final hearing with specific direction that no further time will e given.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 12.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member(J)</b></span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.793 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>A. P. Khairnar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. both requested for grant of time for final hearing.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that he wants to amend O.A. in view of certain development details of which are unknown to him.</li> <li>4. One week time is lastly granted to take necessary steps.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 30.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 23.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.691 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>S. M. Morey</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare holding for Shri A. S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the matter is being conducted by Shri A. S. Deshpande from Aurangabad who is unable to attend today and requested for grant of time .</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. also stated that she is not ready with the matter.</p> <p>4. Since O.A. is of 2016, it needs to be heard expeditiously.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is lastly granted with specific direction that no further time will be granted on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.07.2021

O.A.Nos. 315, 425 with 426 of 2016

S. J. Wayachal & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned C.P.O. at the very outset pointed out that one more O.A.No.436/2016 is subjudice on the same issue and all these Original Applications need to be heard together.

3. Learned C.P.O. therefore, requested to tag O.A. No.436/2016 with these O.A.s for hearing. She further sought time to argue all these O.A.s together.

4. The office is directed to list O.A.No.436/2016 along with these O.A.s on next date for final hearing.

5. Since, these O.A.s are of 2016, it will be heard on next date without fail and no further time will be granted.

6. S.O. to 10.08.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.487 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.N. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged his transfer order dated 19.07.2021, contending that he has been transferred in contravention of G.R. dated 09.07.2021, whereby Government had given instructions to effect 15% transfer considering the seniority.</li> <li>3. It is pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant that several other employees have completed more than four years still not transferred but the Applicant who has completed only three years is transferred and it amounts to discrimination.</li> <li>4. He therefore sought stay to impugned order.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. requested for short time to take instructions as to why Seniority Rule is not followed in terms of G.R. dated 09.07.2021.</li> <li>6. The Applicant is not yet relieved nor any one is posted in his place as confirmed by learned P.O. by instructions from Shri Vijay Gosavi (ACF). I am therefore inclined to grant Status-Quo as on today.</li> <li>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p>14. Private service is allowed.</p> <p>15. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 23.07.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.482 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.P. Valvi</b> .....<b>Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....<b>Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. is filed only for direction to the Respondents to complete D.E. within stipulated period so that the Applicant could get his retiral benefits.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant stands retired as Deputy Commissioner (Social Welfare) on 31.01.2020. Before retirement he was subjected to D.E. by issuance of charge-sheet dated 29.09.2015. The Applicant has submitted his Reply and Enquiry Officer who was appointed.</li> <li>4. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in D.E. after appointment of Enquiry Officer no further steps were taken and it is simply pending without any progress.</li> <li>5. Whereas, learned P.O. sought time to take instructions and know status of D.E.</li> <li>6. It is really appalling to note that though D.E. was initiated in 2015 and the Applicant retired on 31.01.2020, till date D.E. is not completed and the Applicant is deprived of getting retiral benefits. In deed in terms of various Circulars, Departmental Proceeding Manual and decisions rendered by the Tribunal from time to time, D.E. ought to have been completed within six months.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[P.T.O.]</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders

7. In this behalf by Circular dated 07.04.2018 Government had issued instructions to complete D.E. maximum within six months and where it is not completed in six months extension is required to be obtained from competent authority. It further provides where Departmental Enquiry is pending for more than five years the Government is required to inquire as who is responsible for the delay and should take necessary disciplinary action against the concerned person. Despite all these things it is common phenomenon that Departmental Enquires are kept pending for years together. Needless to mention that pension is not bounty and it is right of retired person which is also recognized as right to property.

8. In view above, this O.A. needs to be disposed of by giving suitable directions.

9. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions from the Respondents and appraise the Tribunal on 27.07.2021.

10. Hamdast granted.

  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN