

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.138 of 2021 and O.A. No.139 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Koli R.R. Koli ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 2.8.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.6.2023 23.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.710/2023</p> <p>N.S. KumbharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.07.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 21.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 23.06.2023

O.A.No.709/2023

V.D. HunchikattiApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicant who was working on the post of Senior Clerk was appointed under 100% visually impaired category on 09.07.2015. Applicant has passed Marathi typing examination on 06.09.2016. It is incumbent on the part of the Respondent to complete Applicant's probation. Applicant's probation period should have been completed on 13.07.2016.

3. Learned Advocate prays twofold:

(i) Applicant period of probation should be completed w.e.f. 13.07.2016.

(ii) Applicant should be considered for promotion.

4. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 14.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.06.2023

O.A.No.681/2023

A.S. AllewarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant is appointed as Talathi on 04.10.2023 in Solapur District. Learned Advocate relies on Rule 8 of the Revenue and Forests Department dated 29.10.1997 which reads as below:

“(8) Examination when to be held – The Collector of the district shall conduct the examination. The Examination shall be held in Marathi once in a year in the month of August and /or September.”

3. Learned Advocate further produced chart which is as below :

Sr. No.	Year of SSD Exam	As per 1997's Gazette, in which exam to be conducted	Month of actually conducted exam	Chances taken to clear exam
1	2014	Aug./Sep.2014	10 th Sep., 2014	1 st Chance
2	2015	Aug./Sep.2015	---	2 nd chance, due to illness form not filled.
3	2016	Aug./Sep.2016	21 st Sept., 2016	Form not filled, chance has not been considered
4	2017	Aug./Sep.2017	24 th Oct, 2017	3 rd chance exam was conducted in October instead of August /September and for seniority date of exam has been considered

4. Learned Advocate further states that the examination being conducted in the month of September then applicant would have not been time barred or exceeded the period of four years.

5. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions.

6. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to concerned Respondents.

7. Learned C.P.O. is directed to take instructions as how the Respondent interpreted the said Rules.

8. Adjourned to 05.07.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.649 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Bade & 19 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO on instructions from the School Education Department informs that no DPC is scheduled for interviews for filling up the post of Deputy Education Officer. Ld. CPO submits that department is only collecting information.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.7.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.6.2023 23.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.185 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.D. Nikhade & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants seek declaration that examination notification dated 17.5.2017 issued on the basis of new Recruitment Rules dated 5.7.2016 cannot govern and are not applicable to the vacancies of the post of Dy. Education Officer (Group-B) in Maharashtra Education Services (MES) (Administration Branch) to the extent to which earlier Rules dated 10.3.1978 govern.</p> <p>3. Applicant no.1 is working as Assistant Teacher (Secondary) in private school at Chandrapur and applicant no.2 is working as Lecturer in Junior College at Nandurbar.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that applicants were qualified and suitable for competing for the post of Dy. Education Officer, MES as per advertisement dated 17.5.2017. He refers to new rules dated 5.7.2016 according to which the candidate should be either in MES or District Technical Services (DTS). He refers to definition Rule 2(e) of DTS which means DTS, Group-C under the control of ZP and not any other establishment. The applicants are working in private schools and not ZP.</p> <p>5. Alternatively he mentions that as per GR dated 5.7.2016 the applicant is working on the post of Assistant Teacher as mentioned in Schedule-B in Part-II of the said GR. However, they are working in private schools.</p> <p>6. The schedule cannot be read in isolation without considering definition of feeder cadre i.e. DTS Group-C as defined under rule 2(e) of 2016 Rules. Ld. Advocate</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

submits that 2016 Rules are not applicable to the present applicants who are working as Secondary Teacher in private schools. He submits that when the posts in feeder cadre are vacant the erstwhile rules are applicable even though all posts are filled up thereafter in time. We cannot appreciate the submissions of the Ld. Advocate on the applicability of Rules of 2016 to the present applicants to consider whether they fall in the feeder cadre as defined in Rule 2(e) of 2016 Rules. We are of the view that existing rules at that time are applicable when the posts were advertised.

7. Hence, we do not see any merit in the OA and the same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
23.6.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.6.2023

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.06.2023

O.A 618 & 676/2023

S.B Gone ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who is from the cadre of Circle Officer is posted as Awal Karkun on 7.4.2018 in Food and Grains Distribution, Pune. Thus he has completed more than 5 years in the cadre of Awal Karkun.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on G.R dated 21.11.1995, wherein it is mentioned that ordinarily the transfer between the two cadres from Awal Karkun and Circle Officer is to be made as per the seniority and the persons should not be continued for more than 2 years in that particular cadre. Thus, inter-se transfer is prescribed so that the officer of both the cadre of Circle Officer and Awal Karkun should get experience and work in both the cadre. Learned counsel for the applicant further pointed out to G.R dated 29.10.2020 by which the period of such transfer is increased from 2 to 3 years. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Respondents issued the transfer order on 3.8.202 and he was transferred from the post of Awal Karkun to Circle Officer, Land Acquisition Office, Pune. He was not allowed to join as there was no post available. Again on 9.9.2022 the Respondents again issued the order of transfer as Awal Karkun in Home Branch, Collector Office, Pune. He made representation on 14.9.2022 that he should be posted as Circle Officer. Again, he made representation on 27.3.2023 for his transfer to the post of Circle Officer. The applicant then filed O.A 676/2023 challenging the transfer order dated 9.9.2022. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant is given discriminatory treatment as is evident from the fact that one Mr Bal Krishna Salunke has worked as Circle Officer on several on occasions.
4. A query is made to the Respondents where the applicant was posted during the year 5.8.2022 to 9.9.2022.
5. The Respondent-State is directed to keep one post of Circle Officer vacant and nobody should be transferred and posted on one post of Circle Officer at Theur, Chinchwad and Chakan.
6. S.O to 27.6.2023. Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-

J. V.
 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
 Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.06.2023

O.A 720/2023

Shri Laxmikant V. Aygole, ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who is working as Awal Karkun, Record Room, Solapur is not transferred to Establishment Branch, Collector Office, Solapur by order dated 17.6.2023, which is challenged in this Original; Application on the ground that preference given by the applicant is not considered and further junior to the applicant, i.e., Respondent no. 2 is given the choice posting.

3. Learned P.O to take instructions in the matter.

4. S.O to 27.6.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.06.2023

O.A 719/2023

Smt Rajkanya K. Salunke ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant challenges the order dated 17.6.2023 by which the applicant is transferred from the post of Circle Officer, City Survey Office, North Solapur, Solapur to Awal Karkun, General Branch, Collector, Solapur.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the ground is twofold.

(i) She has given 10 choice posting which were not considered and all the posts, except the post of Circle Officer, Solapur is not filled up.

(ii) The G.R dated 9.4.2018 lays down that as per clause C-1 of the Appendix states that the civil servant generally should not be transferred to the same post again.

4. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions and verify from the Respondents as to why the option of the applicant is not considered.

5. S.O to 27.6.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.06.2023

O.A 632/2023

Shri R.K Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant working as Sub-Inspector, State Excise, challenges the transfer order dated 4.5.2023 and prays that Respondents no 1 & 2 be directed to repost the applicant to his earlier posting, i.e, at 'W' Division, Mumbai Suburban.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits the Respondent no. 3, who is transferred in place of the applicant has taken charge, hence interim relief is not prayed at this stage.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.7.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
9. S.O to 7.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>23.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 712/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Eknath P. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has earlier filed O.A 469/2023 praying that the Respondent-State be directed to give posting to the applicant either at Sinnar or Niphad as Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was arrested, in view of registration of C.R 011/2023 dated 2.3.2023, under Section 7 of the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. During the pendency of the Original Application, the impugned order of suspension dated 2.6.2023 is passed, which is challenged in the present Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that from the filing of F.I.R dated 2.3.2023, the applicant is not paid salary, even though he was not suspended.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Original Application is not served to the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Original Application to the Respondents today itself.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for both the sides to explain at what stage the suspension order cannot be passed after registration of the F.I.R.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O is directed to file detailed affidavit in reply and the matter will be heard finally.</p> <p>8. S.O to 7.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>23.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 708/2023</u></p> <p>Manisha S. Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Rati S. Sinhasane, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits and explains that the repetition of the post of Awal Karkun at Kagal and Panhala as mentioned in the seven preferences because there were two or three posts shown in the details of the vacant post submitted by the Respondents. So there was more than one post of Awal Karkun at Kagal and Panhala. This submission made by the learned counsel for the applicant so far as the posts and the description given is acceptable. However, the word used in the G.R dated 9.4.2018 in Stage-II, " means "place".</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that in the G.R dated 9.4.2018, as per Annexure, Stage-II, wherein it is stated that the employee should give 10 places of their choice of posting at the time of transfer. The said Stage-II is reproduced below:-</p> <p>टप्पा क्र.२ : बदलीपात्र कर्मचा-यांनी प्रतिवर्षी १५ एप्रिलपर्यंत बदली प्राधिकारी कार्यालयास सादर करावयाची महिती :-</p> <p>बदलीपात्र कर्मचा-यांनी खालील मुद्यांबाबतची माहिती, सोबत जोडलेल्या विवरणपत्र-१ मध्ये भरून ती बदली प्राधिकारी कार्यालयास एप्रिल अखेर पर्यंत सादर करावी.</p> <p>बदलीपात्र कर्मचा-यांनी त्यांच्या परसंतीच्या ठिकाणी पदस्थापना मिळावी यासाठी कमाल १० ठिकाणांचा परसंतीक्रम सादर करावा. (कर्मचा-यांनी परसंतीक्रम न दिल्यास प्रशासनाच्या सोईनुसार त्याची बदलीने पदस्थापना करण्यात येईल). तसेच सादर परसंतीक्रमामध्ये किमान २ विकल्प अवघडदेवनातील नमूद करण्यात यावेत.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to amend the Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant may move application for amendment.</p> <p>5. S.O to 7.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.06.2023

O.A 347/2023

Shri S.R Damse ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The Respondents are directed to file reply within two weeks and if reply is not filed, matter to proceed without reply. However, if at all reply is filed, copy of the same to be served in advance to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O to 6.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 23.06.2023

**C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023 with
M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023**

A.D. Nargunde & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.619/2023

2. Not on board. Suo moto taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Ms. Mahajan.

3. Learned Advocate Ms. Mahajan has submitted that by order dated 03.05.2023 status quo was granted by this Tribunal in O.A.No.619/2023 and thereafter it was continued on 09.06.2023 and 12.06.2023. The same is not continued by this Tribunal in order dated 21.06.2023.

4. In view of above, matter is adjourned to 05.07.2023. Status quo, if any, to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.410 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. Kumavat & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms.Jawla Jose, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.2, 4 & 5. None for the Respondent No.1,3,& 6 to 24.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she requires two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 23.6.2023

O.A. No.424 of 2020 with O.A. No.398 of 2021 with
O.A. No.172 of 2022 with O.A. No.80 of 2021 with
O.A. No.81 of 2022 with O.A. No.632 of 2021 with
O.A. No.713 of 2022

Dr. K.B. Batte & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.172/2022 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. The perusal of record reveals that this group of O.As was adjourned from time to time awaiting the decision of Cabinet about leave encashment and increment to ad-hoc medical officers as well as because of the pendency of W.P. filed before the Hon'ble High Court which have bearing over the issue involved in this group of O.As.

3. Learned P.O. submits that till date no decision is taken by the Cabinet and W.P. is also subjudice. She, therefore, requested to adjourn the matter for four weeks

4. S.O. to 21.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.6.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.954 of 2022</p> <p>A. U. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment stating that in criminal case application for discharge made by the Applicant is already heard and orders are awaited. The orders in discharge matters have some bearing over the issue involved in the present matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.6.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.563 of 2022 in O.A.No.889 of 2022</p> <p>P. N. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is not a Part-Heard matter and, therefore, it needs to be placed before the regular Single Bench.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- V** (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 23.6.2023

O.A.No.622 of 2020

M. R. Ahire

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. sought adjournment on the ground that Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. is unable to remain present due to some personal difficulty. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 08.06.2023, the directions were issued to learned P.O. to produce minutes of DPC. That apart, the Applicant was also sought time to seek necessary information under RTI and to produce the same. However, none has produced minutes of DPC.

3. In view of above, the matter be treated as De-Part Heard and be kept for hearing afresh.

4. S.O. to 21.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.6.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K. P. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. requested for adjournment stating that Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. This is Part-Heard matter about recovery of retired person, therefore, O.A. needs to be decided at the earliest.</p> <p>4. The O.A. be kept on 30.06.2023 with specific directions that no further time will be granted. The order be communicated to Shri A. J. Chougule as well as to Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.6.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1184 of 2023</p> <p>D. J. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that matter is being handled by learned P.O. Shri A. J. Chougule but due to some personal difficulty, he is unable to remain present today and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 23.6.2023

O. A. No.705 of 2019 with O.A.706 of 2019

V. D. Kamane & Ors.Applicants
P. S. Parab & Ors.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 along with corrigendum order dated 11.05.2023 in view of the order passed by the Tribunal on 02.05.2023. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to take instructions on Affidavit and corrigendum order filed by learned P.O. today.

4. S.O. to 07.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 23.06.2023

O.A. No.180 of 2023

M.V. Sakpal,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is not present.
2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. Learned Presenting Officer requested for two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Two weeks time is granted.
4. S.O. to 07.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 23.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.148 of 2023</p> <p>A.G. Dhore & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is not present. 2. Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned C.P.O. requested for time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Two weeks time is granted. 4. S.O. to 07.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.390 of 2023</p> <p>P.M. Mire & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that they require three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Three weeks time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.286 of 2023</p> <p>R.R. Saraf,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1,2,3 & 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks two weeks time to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.</u></p> <p>1. Dr. G.B. Taware (OA.1037/2019) 2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath (OA.1039/2019) 3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar (OA.1040/2019) 4. Dr. N.B. Masaram (OA.1041/2019) 5. Dr. V.P. Dojjad (OA.1042/2019) 6. C.G. Patil (OA.1237/2019) 7. Dr. M.M. Pawar (OA.1238/2019) 8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal (OA.1239/2019) 9. Dr. P.N. Rathi (OA.1240/2019) 10. Dr. A.B. Pandav (OA.1241/2019)</p> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.423 of 2021</u></p> <p>Vikas S. Mehta ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.137 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.T. Ghusar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>