Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019) MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

of 20

Original Application No. FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders	
directions and Registrar's orders	M.A. No.370 of 2022 in O.A. N	<u>0.1174 of 2019</u>
	V.V. Panse	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi Joshi, learned Advocate for the Appli B.K., learned Presenting Officer for th	
	2. The office objections, if any, court fees to be paid, if not already pa	are to be removed and aid.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.7.2022. The respondence reply.	n in MA for amendment dents are directed to file
	4. Applicant is authorized an Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along w of MA & OA. Private service is al put to notice that the case may be ta at the stage of admission hearing.	ith complete paper book
	5. This intimation/notice is o the Maharashtra Administrative Tr 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	limitation and alternate
	6. By Hand delivery, speed served and acknowledgement be along with affidavit of compliance week. Applicant is directed to fil and notice.	in the Registry within one
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	
. •		
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.103 of 2022		
	A.N. Say	yyed Vs.	Applicant
	1	e of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentine Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that since subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson. 3. Hence, the matter may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson. 		
			s to Transfer, the same may
			be placed before Hon'ble
	4. 5	S.O. to 30.6.2022.	
			sd/-
			(Medha Gadgal) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.826 & 828 of 2021</u>	
	T.M. Rathod A.K. ChausApplicants Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he has obtained NOC from previous Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan and he is filing his Vakalatnama in the present matters.	
	3. Vakalatnama of Ld. Advocate Shri S.S. Dere be taken on record and Ld. Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan is discharged from the above matters.	
	4. S.O. to 30.6.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022	
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.272 of 2022	
	R.G. Sanadi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere ho learned Advocate for the Applicat learned Presenting Officer for the	lding for Shri A.S. Patil nt and Shri A.J. Chougule
	 Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that si subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same r be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson. 	
	3. Hence, the matter may b Chairperson.	be placed before Hon'bl
	4. S.O. to 30.6.2022.	
		Sd/-
		(Medla Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

,	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders MA No.346/2022 in MA No.345/2022 in OA No.1065/2021	
		R.A. JoshiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
		Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
		2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 3 months and 5 days in filing the MA for restoration.	
		3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.	
		4. For the reasons stated therein delay is condoned and MA for condonation of delay is allowed.	
		A Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022 (sgj)	

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>MA No.345/2022 in OA No.1065/2021</u>	
	R.A. Joshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Applicant and Shri A.J. Chor Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the appl recalling the order of dismissal restoring the OA to file.	licant has filed this MA for dated 20.1.2022 and for
	3. Ld. PO submits to the orde	er of the Court.
	4. For the reasons stated ther is restored to file.	rein MA is allowed and O.
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>MA No.347/2022 in OA No.1065/2021</u>
	R.A. JoshiApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Present Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA condoning the delay in filing the present OA.
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed a court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	4. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable 21.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing d authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper b of OA & MA. Private service is allowed. Respondents put to notice that the case may be taken up for final dispo- at the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Ru 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open.
	7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to served and acknowledgement be obtained and produ- along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of complia- and notice.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgif) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.342 of 2022 in O.A. No.1110 of 2019</u>	
	B.R. Sangle Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, lea Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for recalling the order of dismissal dated 17.12.2021 and for restoring the OA to file.	
	3. Ld. PO submits to the order	of the Court.
	4. For the reasons stated therein is restored to file.	n MA is allowed and OA
	5. OA is adjourned to 7.7.2022	2
		Sd/-
		(Medlfa Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
1	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders MA No.344/2022 in MA No.342/2022 in OA No.1110/2019	
	B.R. SangleApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 5 months and 20 days in filing the MA for restoration.	
	3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.	
	4. For the reasons stated therein delay is condoned and MA for condonation of delay is allowed.	
	۸ Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022 (sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	rance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	S.A. Patil Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentine Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that since subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson. 3. Hence, the matter may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson. 	
	4. S.O. to 30.6.2022.	
		ا Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil)∕ Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.49 of 2022</u>	
	P.S. Renushe Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	
;	 Heard Shri S.S. Dere holding for Shri A.S. Patil learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that since subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson. 	
	3. Hence, the matter may Chairperson.	be placed before Hon'ble
	4. S.O. to 30.6.2022.	
		Sd/-
	(sgj)	(Medla Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
		•
4		

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	ders or	
	M.A. No.234 of 2021 in O.A. 1	No.444 of 2021
	S.M. Khedkar & Ors.	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, lean Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presen Respondents.	Smt. Archana D.K. &
	2. The applicants are prosecutin action. For the reasons stated in the l as prayed for is granted, subject to requisite court-fees, if not already p accordingly.	the Applicants paying
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M.A. No.233 of 2021 in O.A. No.443 of 2021	<u>l</u>
	S.S. Nilwan & OrsApplic Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespo	
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers Respondents.	$D.K. \alpha$
	2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to s as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applican requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA dispaccordingly.	ts paying
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadg Member (A 23.6.2022	iðf))
	(sgj)	
ı		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.231 of 2021 in O.A. N</u>	No.442 of 2021
	Mrs. S.V. Kelkar & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	·Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, lean Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presen Respondents.	Sint. Archana B.K. &
	2. The applicants are prosecuting action. For the reasons stated in the M as prayed for is granted, subject to requisite court-fees, if not already p accordingly.	the Applicants paying
		, Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.205 of 2021 in O.A. N</u>	lo.391 of 2021
	S.S. Dixit & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learn Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presen Respondents.	Smt. Archana B.K. α
	2. The applicants are prosecuting action. For the reasons stated in the M as prayed for is granted, subject to requisite court-fees, if not already pa accordingly.	the Applicants paying
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	
		· .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.236 of 2021 in O.A. N</u>	No.446 of 2021
	S.S. Salunkhe & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, lear Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Preser Respondents.	Smt. Archana B.K. &
	2. The applicants are prosecuting action. For the reasons stated in the M as prayed for is granted, subject to requisite court-fees, if not already p accordingly.	the Applicants paying
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	
•		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.235 of 2021 in O.A. No.445 of 2021</u>	
	S.N. Rane & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, le Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Press Respondents.	, Smt. Archana B.K. &
	2. The applicants are prosecutive action. For the reasons stated in the as prayed for is granted, subject the requisite court-fees, if not already accordingly.	o the Applicants paying
		Sd/-
		(MedhalGadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.230 of 2021 in O.A.</u>	No.441 of 2021
	V.B. Chafekar & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, lea Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Prese Respondents.	. Smt. Archana B.K. &
	2. The applicants are prosecutin action. For the reasons stated in the as prayed for is granted, subject to requisite court-fees, if not already accordingly.	MA, leave to sue jointly the Applicants paying
		Sd/-
		(Medh# Gadg#) Member (Å) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.229 of 2021 in O.A. No.440 of 2021	
	N.N. Kadam & OrsApplicant Vs	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponde	ents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate fe Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers fo Respondents.	.κ. α
	2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same ca action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants p requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA dispose accordingly.	paying
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022	
	(sgj)	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

Original Application No.

I N

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.207 of 2021 in O.A. No.393 of 2021</u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	R.D. Sawant & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, lea Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Prese Respondents.	Smt. Archana B.K. &
	2. The applicants are prosecutin action. For the reasons stated in the as prayed for is granted, subject to requisite court-fees, if not already p accordingly.	MA, leave to sue jointly the Applicants paying
		۸ Sd/-
·		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022
	(sgj)	-

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000–4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

•

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate		
(nuvocate	•••••	
		versus
The Sta	ate of M	laharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	h,	Tribunal's orders
		LATER ON : Date : 23.06.2022
		O.A.No.21 of 2021
		S.S. Mali & OrsApplicants
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Mr. Kiran P. Rajput, learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17 and 18 is now present.
		2. Learned Advocate Mr. Rajpur submits that he is appearing along with Mr. Ghumare, learned Advocate and is ready for arguments.
		3. Adjourned to 14.07.2022 at the request of learned Advocate as he comes from Aurangabad.
		Sd/
		(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>C.A. No.53 of</u>	2021 in O.A. No.1091 of 2017
	R.A. Shaikh & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents
		M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting dents.
	2. The above matter is fixed for arguments and ord on 30.6.2022.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgif Member (A) 23.6.2022 (sgj)	y ,
		•

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribun	nal's orders
	Date : 23.06.2022	
	O.A.N	No.750 of 2021
	M.S. Rathod	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharas	htra & OrsRespondents.
	 SPEAKING TO MINUTES 1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In order dated 21.06.2022 in paragraph 	
	no.4 instead of the word 'Respondents' it be read as 'Applicants'. 3. Adjourned to 23.06.2022.	
	∩ Sd/-	" ' <u>'</u> '. Sd/
	(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	
		[PT.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.585 of 2022</u>	
	S.D. Suryawanshi & Anr. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, lear Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwaa Officer for the Respondents.	med Advocate for the d, learned Presenting
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant DE which is pending since 2017. He case on the identical charges is also commenced. 11 witnesses have been case while there are total 122 witnesse on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble case of Capt. M. Paul Anthony Vs. Bl & Anr., 1999 (82) FLR 627 in respec criminal case is pending. He prays fo the enquiry.	e submits that criminal o pending and it has examined in criminal s. Ld. Advocate relies Supreme Court in the harat Gold Mines Ltd. t of staying the DE if
	3. We have perused the record ar submissions of the Ld. Advocate for the	nd also considered the e applicants.
	4. Ld. PO states that she needs tin as it is the first date. She submits that in Police Force and facing serious c 365, 366 of IPC.	applicants are officers
	5. Under such circumstances we grant any interim relief at this stage.	e are not inclined to
	6. The office objections, if any, a court fees to be paid, if not already paid	re to be removed and
	7. Issue notice before admission re The respondents are directed to file repl	
	8. Applicant is authorized and c Respondents intimation/notice of da	directed to serve on te of hearing duly
y		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	 authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules 			
	1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.			
	10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.			
	Sd/- Sd/-			
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022 (sgj)			

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

DISTRICT of 20 Original Application No. Applicant/s (Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 23.06.2022 M.A.No.253 of 2022 in O.A.No.391 of 2022 D.C. Ghoderao & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for 1. the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A. is allowed. ١ Sd/-Sd/-7 (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson prk

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

	MUMBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
T	ne State of Maharashtra a	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 23.0	06.2022
		O.A.No.249 of 2022
	S.S. Kambl	leApplicant
	Vs. The State o	of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Hea	rd Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for
		ant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned enting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Affi	davit-in-reply is already.
	3. Mat	tter is admitted and placed for Final
	Hearing on	07.07.2022.
		Sd/-
	Sd/-	
	(Medha G Member	
	prk	

Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learn Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to removed and court fees to be paid, if not alrest paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to se on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with compl paper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admiss hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier noi to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Mredua Bhatkar, -	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.06.2022			
 D.C. Ghoderao & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learne Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to removed and court fees to be paid, if not alrest paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to set on Respondent intimation/notice of date of heard duly authenticated by Registry, along with compliance for the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply 	•				
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learn Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to removed and court fees to be paid, if not alrest paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to se on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with compl paper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admiss hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- Sd/- (Mredir Gadul) (Mridula Bhatkar, -		O.A.No.391 of 2022			
 The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learn Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to removed and court fees to be paid, if not alree paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to see on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with compl paper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admiss hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Mreidula Bhatkar, - 					
 the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learn Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to removed and court fees to be paid, if not alreat paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to see on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with complipaper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admiss hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribul (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Media Gadall) (Mridula Bhatkar, and Star). 		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.			
removed and court fees to be paid, if not alree paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to see on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with compli- paper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admissi- hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Medha Gadul) (Mridula Bhatkar, c		the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned			
 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to see on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with complipaper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admissible hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- Kd/- (Medua Gadgh) 		removed and court fees to be paid, if not already			
on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hear duly authenticated by Registry, along with compl paper book of O.A. Private service is allow Respondents are put to notice that the case may taken up for final disposal at the stage of admiss hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under R 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Medha Gadgal) (Mridula Bhatkar, J					
 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribut (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier not to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Medha Gadgli) (Mridula Bhatkar, Journal Complete Comp		on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission			
to be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in Registry within one week. Applicant is directed file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J		11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribuna (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as			
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J		to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to			
(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J		7. Adjourned to 07.07.2022 for interim reply.			
(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J		Sd/- Sd/-			
prk		(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>M.A. No.364 of 2022 in O.A. No.585 of 2022</u>			
	S.D. Suryawanshi & AnrApplicants Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents			
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. 'MA disposed off accordingly.			
	Sd/- Sd/-			
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.6.2022 23.6.2022			
	(sgj)			

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.571 of 2022</u>		
	T.A. JagtapApplicantApplicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Shri A.B. Hotkar, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentin Officer for the Respondents.		
·	2. The applicant is challenging the order date 20.5.2022 declaring him ineligible for the post of Assistar Motor Vehicle Inspector (AMVI).		
	3. <u>The respondents are directed to keep one post of</u> <u>AMVI vacant</u> .		
	4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court fees to be paid, if not already paid.		
	5. Issue notice before admission returnable of 27.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.		
	6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.		
	7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.		
	8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produce along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within or week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.		
	Sd/		
	(Medha Gadgil)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson23.6.202223.6.2022		
	(sgj)		

Ŀ

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.44 of 2022				
	C.G. Shinde	Applicant			
	Vs. The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents			
	Heard Shri U. Applicant and Ms. S.P Officer for the Respon	V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting dents.			
	 In the above matter date of 1.8.2022 is already g on Board. However, at the request of Ld. Advocate for applicant the matter is taken. Respondent No.3-Smt. Manisha Mahindra Ma working as Office Superintendent in the office of DGP be served through the department. Though she is served has not filed any appearance till today. Hence, she nee be served. 				
	4. S.O. to 7.7.202				
	Sd/-	· Sd/- 2			
	(Medha Gadgi Member (A) 23.6.2022	I) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.6.2022			
	(sgj)				
		•			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>23.06.2022</u>			
	<u>O.A 573/2022</u>			
	Shri B. T Lohar Applicant			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents			
	1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.			
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that the impugned order dated 26.10.2021 passed by the Respondent towards recovery of an amount of Rs. 5,63,720/- from his gratuity be quashed and set aside and the said amount be released.			
	3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.			
	4. S.O to 30.6.2022.			
	Sd/- '			
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson			

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>23.06.2022</u>		
	<u>O.A 588/2021</u>		
	Shri Sandeep S. Gamre Applicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned P.O submits that he will take instructions from the Respondents today and the Respondents are considering to accommodate the applicant either at Andheri or Wadala.		
	3. S.O to 24.6.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	23.06.2022			
	<u>O.A 567/2022</u>			
	Shri Kishor Shankar Parmar Applicant Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents			
	1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.			
	2. The applicant, who retired on 30.6.2020 as a Sweeper in the office of Respondent no. 1, Police Surgeon, Police Hospital, Nagpada, has submitted application dated 30.9.2020 for taking his son Shri Avinash K. Parmar, as Sweeper.			
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant belongs to Scheduled Caste (Bhangi) category and therefore, he is entitled to get the employment on compassionate grounds as per clause 1(c) of the G.R dated 10.11.2015, which was issued pursuant to the recommendations of Lad Page Committee.			
	4. The Respondents should take note that the application dated 30.9.2020 made by the applicant is not decided by the Respondents within one month as provided in the G.R dated 10.11.2015.			
	5. Thus, there is a delay on the part of the Respondents in deciding the application of the applicant dated 30.9.2020.			
	6. In view of the above, the Original Application is disposed of with directions to the Respondents to decide the application dated 30.9.2020 made by the applicant within one month, i.e. on or before 22.7.2022.			
	Sd/- '2			
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson			

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No.

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	Date : 23.06.2022			
	O.A.No.523 of 2022			
	P.V. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Meharashtra & Ora Bearandonta			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Nooman Shaikh i/b. Mr. Zen Jurist, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. Applicant working as Police Head Constable prays for directions that the Respondent be directed to decide representation dated 31.03.2022 judiciously for promotion on the post of Assistant Police Sub Inspector.			
	3. Learned P.O. submits that three months time may be granted for deciding the said representation dated 31.03.2022.			
	4. Time granted. We direct the Respondents to decide the said representation till 30.09.2022.			
	5. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of.			
	Sd/- 5d/-			
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson			
	prk			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>C.A. No.18 of 2022 in O.A. No.614 of 2021</u>			
	B.D. IndaleApplicant			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents			
	Heard Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. Pursuant to order dated 28.10.2021 passed by this Tribunal in above OA, the respondents were directed to comply with the said order within two months. However, proposal is sent to the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Solapur on 24.4.2022 and subsequently sent to the Accountant General on 25.4.2022.			
	3. There is significant delay in complying with the order by respondent no.1. Respondent No.1 is directed to file affidavit explaining delay.			
	4. S.O. to 7.7.2022.			
	Sd/-			
	(Medha Gadgi) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.6.2022 23.6.2022			
	(sgj)			

[*P.T.O.*

Date : 23.06.2022

O.A.No.21 of 2021

S.S. Mali & Ors.. Vs.Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. S.S. Ghumare, learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17 and 18 is absent

3. Learned C.P.O. submits that today she will be in a position to get the addresses of Private Respondents No.7 to 19. She will furnish those addresses to the learned Advocate till tomorrow.

4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to furnish the address to Ms. Alka Masal, Research Officer, M.A.T. and thereafter Ms. Masal is directed to send notice immediately to those Private Respondents. This is to be done without fail.

5. Today though notice was issued to Mr. Ghumare, learned Advocate he is absent. He is directed to communicate to the Tribunal or to appear before the Tribunal and make proper submissions. It is not correct to inform through the Counsel of other side without coming before the Tribunal.

6. Registrar is directed to send this notice and the order to Mr. Ghumare.

7. Adjourned to 14.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member(A)

prk

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

٠

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	orders or Tribunal's orders				
	<u>O.A. No.613 of 2021</u>				
	S.S. S	shedjale		Appli	cant
	The S	Vs. tate of Maharashtra &	Ors.	Respo	ondents
	Manc	Heard Shri Yogesh /ikar, learned Advoca hekar, learned Chie ondents.	licant and	Ms. S.P	
	2.	Reply is already file	d.		
	3.	Admit.			
	4.	S.O. to 21.7.2022 fo	r final hearing	.	
		Sd/-	n S	.2 <i>^</i> d/-	h
	(sgj)	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.6.2022	/ (Mridula Bl Chairp 23.6 2	erson	
	(~2))				
		r			
					[<i>P.T.O</i> .