

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.463 of 2022</u></p> <p>Ranjeet R. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 19.5.2022, Ld. PO informs that the office of Respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Mumbai has sent notice to the office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai for service of show cause notice on the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO admits that the impugned notice dated 6.5.2022 is not yet served on the applicant.</p> <p>4. The show cause notice is pertaining to calling upon the applicant for giving explanation as to, why his services should not be terminated for want of valid sports certificate. The applicant is not yet served with the show cause notice. In view of the relief prayed by the applicant, this OA is disposed off with the following order.</p> <p align="center"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The respondents should give minimum fifteen days time to give explanation to the show cause notice of termination after proper service on the applicant. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.5.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.481 of 2022</u></p> <p>Ramesh S. Khapale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the impugned show cause notice dated 6.5.2022 of termination and also submits that the said show cause notice is not served on him. However, he has filed the present OA on the basis of <i>of on</i> securing the show cause notice from other source.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on verification submits that he did not receive instructions in respect of service of the impugned show cause notice on the applicant.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances, this OA is disposed off with the following order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The respondents should give minimum fifteen days time to give explanation to the show cause notice of termination after proper service on the applicant. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.5.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.299 of 2022 in O.A. No.1066 of 2021</u></p> <p>Vijay D. Salok & 30 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA for interim relief is moved on account of issue of promotion order dated 19.5.2022 by respondent no.1. In the main OA the applicants have challenged the seniority list dated 7.1.2021 in the cadre of Sales Tax Inspector and seeks directions against respondent no.1 to correct seniority list by placing the applicants above the candidates recommended through Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (LDCE). This OA is filed on 28.12.2021. During pendency of this OA, orders of promotion are issued on 19.5.2022. The interim relief sought by the applicants is not coming in the way of promotions as such except said promotion orders are to be conditional i.e. subject to outcome of the OA only qua the civil servants from Sr. No.249 to 353.</p> <p>3. At the outset it is noted that the applicants seek relief not only against respondent no.1 but the relief claimed if granted is going to affect the seniority of civil servants from Sr. No.249 to 353. The MA is not served on respondents no.3 to 13, who are made respondents in representative capacity. It is made clear that applicants are required to serve this MA on respondents no.3 to 13 within one weeks time and respondent no.1 is directed to furnish addresses of respondents no.3 to 13 to the applicants along with email id. Service on WhatsApp is also allowed.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts of the matter and relief prayed is allowed with following exparte ad-interim order:</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

ORDER

The promotions can take effect as per the impugned order however it is subject to outcome of the OA as the issue of seniority is the basic and it is to be adjudicated only after proper deliberation. Respondent no.1 is hereby directed to mention this order in the orders of promotion whenever passed only qua the civil servants from Sr. No.249 to 353.

S.O. to 24.6.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.5.2022

(sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.204 of 2022</u></p> <p>Tushar S. Bitake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has taken circulation today on apprehension that on 18.5.2022 the final appointment orders are issued. The apprehension expressed is that all the appointed Constables are going to be sent for nine months training at Latur. If successful in the OA he would not be able to enjoy the fruits well within time if he is going to miss the present training.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that Government has not yet fixed the date of announcement of training at Latur. He pointed out that present matter is fixed on 8.6.2022.</p> <p>4. Considering the submissions of Ld. PO, no need to pass any interim order as it is posted earlier.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 8.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.5.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>