M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 23.03.2022
	M.A. No.504 of 2021 in O.A.No.900 of 2021
	Shri B. R. GirmeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>By proposed amendment, the Applicant wants to raise legal issue about applicability of Section 242 of Maharashtra Zilla Parishads &amp; Panchyat Samitis Act, 1961 which can be raised in oral submission.</li> <li>Accordingly, heard learned Counsel for the Applicant</li> </ol>
	and learned P.O. for the Respondents on merit.
	3. Original Application is disposed of on merit.
	4. Hence, this M.A. does not survive and disposed of
	with no order as to costs.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member(J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

#### I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.969 of 2019</u>
	R.D. AvhadApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO is directed to find out the status of the vacancies of the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise as per the advertisement dated 13.1.2017.
	3. S.O. to 31.3.2022 at 12.00 noon.
	Sd/- ' Sd/-' '
	(MedhalGadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.3.2022 23.3.2022 (sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
directions and negloward and	Date : 23.03.2022
	O.A.No.245 of 2022
	J.M. Gund & OrsApplicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The O.A. raises issue in respect of 9 answers to 9 questions as erroneous. In the 2 <sup>nd</sup> answer key of the examination for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector which was conducted pursuant to the advertisement dated 02.09.2021 the learned C.P.O. objects that the Applicant No.1, Mr. J.M Gund has raised objections only about 6 questions and not 9 questions and therefore it is to be restricted to only those 6 questions and answers He further submits that the Applicant No.2 Mr. A.E Mankar did not raise any objection to the 1 <sup>st</sup> answer key therefore he has no locus to raise this objection at this stage. At Exhibit-F, page 79 objections ar not of the Applicant No.1, but these objections ar raised by Mr. Anirudha Khopade who is not th Applicant in the present O.A. The Applicant ha raised objections of Question Nos.11, 17, 53, 60, 9 and 105.
	3. The learned C.P.O. after taking instruction from the M.P.S.C. informs the Tribunal that genera merit list was displayed and thereafter cut-off will b published and recommendations will b subsequently made.
	4. M.P.S.C. not to proceed further and ho hand till filing of affidavit-in-reply. Adjourned 28.03.2022 for reply.
	Sd/
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J Member(A) Chairperson

prk

9

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 23.03.2022
	O.A.No.95 of 2020
	P.D. SakundeApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.   Respondents.
	1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadeka
	learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.I
	Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for th Respondents.
	2. SPEAKING TO MINUTES IN JUDGMEN DATED 08.03.2022.
	(I) At paragraph 12 (b), INSTEAD OF
	(b) The Respondents are directed consider the candidature of th Applicant in Open General Categor as recommended by Responder No.1, M.P.S.C.
	BE READ AS
	(b) Respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider the candidature of the Applicant in Open General Categor and Respondent No.1, M.P.S.C. recommend it accordingly within twe weeks and seniority is also to be maintained accordingly.
	ALSO ADD
	(c) We apply the principle of no work a pay in respect of the seniority ar therefore he will get the pay from the date of his joining.
	II. At paragraph 3, line 5, instead of 'spor
	category' be read as 'Open Category'.
	III. At paragraph 4, last line, delete word 'three
	IV. In the judgment instead of word 'medal' read as 'silver medal'.
	V. At paragraph 7, line 3, instead of '130', read as '142'.
	VI. At paragraph 9, line 7, instead of '193', read as '192'.
	VII At paragraph 11, line 3, instead of work be read as 'or'.
	<ul><li>3. Praecipe dated 23.03.2022 is disposed of.</li></ul>
	Sd/- Sd/-
	(Medha)Gadgjil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J
	Member(A) Chairperson

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1068 of 2021</u>
	S.B. Umbrajkar & 29 OrsApplicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O. to 20.4.2022 by way of last chance.
	Sd/- Sd/-
	Sd/- Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.3.2022 23.3.2022 (sgj)

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.360 of 2021</u>
	Dr. D.R. DeoreApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri S.B. Deore, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files reply.
	3. Admit.
	4. S.O. to 4.5.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.
	Sd/-
	(Medha/Gadgu) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.3.2022 23.3.2022 (sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A.No.268 of 2022
	Shri Yogesh DeoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	<ol> <li>The Applicant has challenged the orders dated 28.12.2021 as well as order dated 08.02.2022 whereby he was frequently shifted and transferred from one place to another and sought interim relief.</li> <li>O.A. was taken up for consideration of interim relief in the morning session but on request of learned P.O., it was</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>kept for second session to take instructions from the Respondents.</li> <li>Learned P.O. on instructions fairly states that no PEB was taken before issuance of transfer orders dated 28.12.2021 and 08.02.2022. As such, the Commissioner, Railway Police in individual capacity passed these orders.</li> <li>The Applicant being Police Inspector, his tenure is two</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>years at a Police Station or branch, 4 years in a District and years in a Range in terms of Section 22(N) (c) of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951.</li> <li>6. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was serving at Kalyan Railway Police Station and by order dated 14.12.2020, he was transferred at Palghar Raikway Police Station. However, the Respondents by order dated 18.12.2021 temporarily shifted him from Palghar Railway Police Station to Main Control Room Railway Police Mumbai. However, again the Respondents transferred the Applicant to Mumbai Central Railway Police Station by order dated 08.02.2022. Thus, prima-facie, the Applicant is subjected to [PT.O. transfer twice in a short period without recommendation of PEB.</li> </ul>

(a) Note of differe Memorandia of Coran. Apost Classe, Tribunal's orders or directorists and Registrar's orders.

Tribunal's orders

7. In view of above, I am satisfied that *prima-facie* impugned transfer order is not in consonance with the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951. Interim relief in terms of Clause 10(a) is granted in respect of transfer order dated 08.02.2022.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.04.2022.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

14. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

ivsm.

 $\Lambda$ Sd/v٧ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.03.2022

O.A.No. 155 of 2019

M. K. Atkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A., challenge is to the order of punishment post departmental inquiry. In D.E., twenty-two witnesses were examined. During the course of argument, one submission was advanced by learned Counsel for the Applicant that the record does not indicate what was exact cross examination to the witnesses and on this line, submission was advanced that there was no fair opportunity of cross examination on the witnesses.

3. In view of above submission, the directions were given to learned P.O. to show original record of inquiry containing deposition of witnesses.

4. Learned P.O. has tendered the record of D.E. which is at page Nos.165 and 339 of PB.

5. Perusal of deposition of witnesses reveals that opportunity of cross examination was given to the Applicant and he put certain questions to the witnesses.

6. Having gone through the record of inquiry, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly concedes that the Applicant was given opportunity to cross examination of witnesses and is not a case of denial of opportunity to cross examination the witnesses. He made specific statement that he will not raise the issue of non opportunity of cross examination in the matter. His statement is recorded accordingly.

7. Arguments on merit are continued.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	23.03.2022
	<u>C.A 53/2021 in O.A 1091/2017</u>
	Smt R.A Shaikh & Ors Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors       Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. On 2.2.2022 time was sought by the learned A.G.P to file affidavit in rejoinder to the affidavit in reply filed by the Respondents. Matter was adjourned to 10.2.2022.
	3. However, the matter was listed on 10.2.2022.
	4. The Contempt Application is filed for compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 9.5.2019. We request the learned P.O to bring this fact to the notice of the learned A.G.P.
	5. S.O to 13.3.2022.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	23.03.2022
	<u>0.A 243/2022</u>
	D.P Pathare Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors       Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Prasad Warkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O is directed to file short affidavit in reply before the next date.
	3. S.O to 25.3.2022.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	23.03.2022
	<u>0.A 915/2019</u>
	N.V Bhadule & Ors Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors      Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.
	3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 14.6.2022.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	[ <i>PT.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	23.03.2022
	<u>0.A 84/2020</u>
	Shri S.K Mane Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors       Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.
	3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 15.6.2022.
	Sd/- 7
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	Akn
	[PT.O.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

t

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>23.03.2022</u>		
	<u>O.A 10/2021</u>		
	Shri N.N Ghode Applicant		
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors      Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that he will be filing affidavit in rejoinder during the course of the day.		
	3. S.O to 6.4.2022. $1^{\circ}$ Sd/- $2^{\circ}$		
	Sd/-		
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		
	Akn		
	[P.T.O.		

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.848 of 2021</u>		
	M.D. Chopde & 4 OrsApplicants		
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	<ol> <li>Pursuant to order dated 29.10.2021 and 21.3.2022 as per our directions today Shri Anil Eknath Kulkarni, Joint Secretary, Home Department is present. The issue is explained to him.</li> <li>Ld. PO submits that some time is required to deal with it.</li> <li>Hence, we are keeping the matter on 31.3.2022. Home Department to report us about compliance of order dated 29.10.2021.</li> </ol>		
	5. S.O. to 31.3.2022. Sd/-		
	Sd/- / -		
	(Medika Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.3.2022 23.3.2022 (sgj)		

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	O.A. No.139 of 2022				
	Dr. S.	D. Kale		Appli	cant
	The S <sup>-</sup>	Vs. tate of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respo	ondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentine Officer for the Respondents.				e for the Presenting
	<ol> <li>Shri Uday Warunjikar, Ld. Advocate files hi Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.7 in the above OA.</li> <li>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate undertakes the file Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent no.5.</li> <li>S.O. to 20.4.2022 for reply.</li> </ol>			files his ove OA.	
				ertakes to	
			Sd/-		>
		Sd/-	/		<u></u>
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.3.2022	/ (Mridula Bl Chairp 23.3.2	person	
	(sgj)				
					[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	l's orders
	<u>M.A. No.154 of 2022</u>	2 in O.A. No.161 of 2022
	S.H. More & 7 Ors. And.	Interveners
	V.G. Sude & Anr. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents
	Intervener, Shri M.D. L	nosle, learned Advocate for th onkar, learned Advocate fo Gaikwad, learned Presentin
	2. The office objection court-fees to be paid, if not a	s, if any, are to be removed an already paid.
	3. Issue notice before 6.4.2022. The respondents a	admission in MA returnable o are directed to file reply.
	Respondents intimation/no authenticated by Registry, of M.A. Private service is COVID-19 Pandemic situ	tized and directed to serve of tice of date of hearing dul along with complete paper boo allowed in view of this presentation. Respondents are put be taken up for final disposal ng.
	the Maharashtra Administra	ce is ordered under Rule 11 ative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule such as limitation and alterna
	post/courier and acknow produced along with affida	e done by hand delivery/ spec vledgement be obtained an vit of compliance in the Regist ant is directed to file affidavit
		Sd/-
	Sd/-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.3.2022 (sgj)	'(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.3.2022

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>Tribunal's orders</b> <u>O.A. No.517 of 2021</u>			
	P.C. K	Lumbhar		Applicant
	The S	Vs. tate of Maharashtra ک	& Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Present Officer for the Respondents.			ned Advocate for arned Presenting
	2.	Reply is already fil	ed.	
	<ol> <li>Admit.</li> <li>S.O. to 15.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty rejoinder, if any.</li> </ol>			
				vith liberty to file
		64/	Sd/-	
		Sd/- (Medha/Gadg(1) Member (A) 23.3.2022	/ (Mridula Bhat Chairper 23.3.202	son
	(sgj)			

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders					
	<u>O.A. No.635 of 202!</u>					
	M.D.	Jadhav		Appl	licant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.		& Ors.	Resp	ondents	
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advoca the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			lvocate for ned Chief		
	2.	Reply is already file	ed.			
	3.	Admit.				
	4. S.O. to 6.4.2022 for final he		or final hearing	aring with liberty to file		
	rejon	nder, if any.	Sd	/-	11	
		Sd/-	•	-		
	(sgj)	(Medha Gadgd) Member (A) 23.3.2022	(Mridula Bl Chairp 23.3.2	erson		
					[ <i>P.T.O.</i>	

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.694 of 202</u>		<u>021</u>
	Dr. R.	C. Kuwar	Applicant
	The S	Vs. tate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Office	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadeka Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwa er for Respondent No.1 and Sh cate for Respondent no.2.	ad, learned Presentin
	2.	Reply and rejoinder are alread	dy filed.
	3.	Admit.	
	4.	S.O. to 6.4.2022 for final hea	
		۸ Sd/-	Sd/- 2
	(sgj)	Member (Å) C	ila Bhatkar, J.) haiiperson 23.3.2022

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
2	3.03.2022		
	<u>0.A 211/2022</u>		
S	hri G.R. Walundra Applicant Vs.		
r.	he State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
(	l. Heard Shri Ansari F. Ahmed i/b Shri A.A Gharate, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
	Matter is kept tomorrow at 10.30 on the point of naintainability of the Original Application.		
	3. S.O to 24.3.2022.		
	Sd/-		
(	Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tri	bunal's orders
	23.03.2022	
	<u>M.A 445/20</u>	<u>21 in 0.A 833/2021</u>
	Shri S.S Joshi Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharash	tra & Ors Respondents
		f Bhosle, learned advocate for Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O
		ated 28.2.2022 in para 2, suo minutes the word 'affidavit in as 'affidavit in reply.'
	3. Learned counse he is filing affidavit in r	el for the applicant submits that rejoinder today.
	4. S.O to 12.4.202	22. // Sd/- // フ
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	/ ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	
·		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	23.03.2022		
	M.A 01/2022 in O.A 04/2022		
	Shri C.M Kadam Applicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.		
	2. No reply is given to the query raised by the Tribunal in para 7 of the order dated 20.1.2022.		
	3. Last chance is given to the Respondents to file reply with regard to the query raised by the Tribunal in para 7 of the order dated 20.1.2022. Otherwise the Tribunal will delete Charges No. 1, 7, 8 & 9.		
	3. S.O to 30.3.2022. /, Sd/- 7		
	Sd/-		
	(Medha Gadgil) <sup>//</sup> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn		

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	lers	
2	3.03.2022		
<u>i</u>	.A 41/2021 in O.A 1052/2016 w h R.A 23/2019 in O.A 1052/2016 h O .A 1052/2016	<u>71th M.A 700/2019</u> 5 with R.A 23/2019	
	hri V.S Patil .S Kalekar Vs.	Applicants	
Т	he State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents	
1 C	None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S aikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
2 p	Learned P.O submits that the Writ Petition is ending and request for time.		
3	S.O to 12.4.2022.	. , , , . Sd/-	
	Sd/-		
I	lember (A)	idula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
Al	n		

Date : 23.03.2022

O.A.No.617 of 2021

M. K. Mohite

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is seeking deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Circle Officer. He made representation to Collector, Raigad on 13.11.2018 and thereon the Collector forwarded the proposal dated 11.12.2018 to the Divisional Commissioner, Navi Mumbai (Resp.2). However, till date, no decision is taken by the Respondent No.2.

3. In O.A., prayer is restricted to consider the representation and to pass appropriate order. The Respondent No.2 – Divisional Commissioner has not filed reply in the matter.

4. The reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.3 – Collector only stating that he had already forwarded proposal to Respondent No.2 – Divisional Commissioner.

5. In view of above, Original Application deserves to be disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 – Divisional Commissioner, Kokan Division, Navi Mumbai to take decision on the proposal dated 11.12.2018 forwarded by the Gentmissioner, Raigad and to pass appropriate order in accordance to law/ rules within six weeks from today. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.

6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Date: 23.03.2022

M.A. No.156 of 2022 in O.A. No.999 of 2021

Chief Executive Officer	Applicant
Versus	
Shri A.R. Bhagwat	Ori. Applicant
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None present for Original Applicant, through served with notice.

2 This is second M.A. filed for extension of time for compliance of order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.999/2021 on 24.01.2022. The Applicant stand retired from Government service on 31.05.2011 from the establishment of Zilla Parishad (Z.P.), Thane. His retrial benefits were not paid, and therefore he was constrained to file O.A. No.999/2021. It is after 4 years from the retirement he was served with charge-sheet but no further steps were taken to complete D.E. Therefore, O.A. was disposed of giving direction to release retiral benefits with cost of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) within a month. Further, directions were given to complete D.E. within two months including passing final order.

3. Thereafter, M.A. No.110/2022 was filed for extension of time. By order dated 09.03.2022 two weeks time was granted for compliance of clause 'B' of operative order. Now, again this 2<sup>nd</sup> M.A. is filed for extension of time stating that Department is taking necessary steps which are shown in the Annexure filed with M.A. It appears that Z.P. authority has not realized the seriousness of the matter and still are proceeding with snail pace. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time.

4. M.A. is therefore dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 23.03.2022	
	O.A. No.57 of 20	22
	B.D. Kshirsagar	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, le the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents an learned Advocate for the Responde	, learned Presenting d Shri Ashok Misal,
	2. This O.A. is filed for directi and gratuity. Since the Applica Parishad (Z.P.) service, specific learned Advocate for the Applica falls within the jurisdiction of this	ant retired from Zilla query was raised to nt that how this O.A.
	3. Learned Advocate for tendered appointment and pro shows that the Applicant is Z.P Advocate for the Applicant also position.	omotion order which . employee. Learned
	4. Allowed to withdraw O.A. no jurisdiction as the Applicant is	
	5. The Applicant is at appropriate forum.	liberty to approach
	6. No order as to costs.	
		Sd/-
		.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 23.03.2022
	O.A.No.802 of 2021
	N. B. KolekarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. In pursuance of order passed by this Tribunal on 10.03.2022, learned P.O. again requested for time til 29.03.2022 stating that because of session of assembly, the matter is still pending at Government level.
	3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Trib	unal's orders
	Date : 23.03.2022	
	0.	A.No.115 of 2021
	H. C. Salokhe	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents.
	Counsel were continuo Sharma, learned Couns requested for adjournr	ord reveals that the Applicant and his usly absent. However, today Ms Nidhi el for the Applicant appears but again nent on the ground that she was not he matter and is not prepared for the
	2. Smt. Archana B.	K., learned P.O. is present.
	3. In the interest argument.	of justice, one day time is given for
	4. S.O. to 24.03.20	22.
		Sd/-
	vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		IPTO

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 23.03.2022	
	O.A. No.467 of 20	21
	A.A. Chavan Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	The state of mental association	
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learn Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	ned Advocate for the , learned Presenting
	2. Today learned Advocate for filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on beh In the interest of justice, it is taken	half of the Applicant.
	3. Learned P.O. submits that s just now she want time and reques	ince Rejoinder is filed sted for adjournment.
	4. S.O. to 11.04.2022.	
		Sd/-
		. Kurhekar) Iember (J)
	NMN	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 23.03.2022
	O.A. No.269 of 2021
	P.G. SaliApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Pranav Dhakare, learned Advocate holding for Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate Shri Pravan Dhakare submits that Advocate Shri Balraj Kulkarni is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties and requested for adjournment.
	3. S.O. to 08.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 23.03.2022
	O.A. No.1034 of 2021
	V.B. BelgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
	3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
	4. S.O. to 05.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

ounal's orders
.A. No.181 of 2022
Applicant
rashtra & OrsRespondents.
A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate and Smt. Archana B.K., learned for the Respondents.
of learned P.O. two weeks time is davit-in-Reply.
04.2022.
Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
- - -

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 23.03.2022
	O.A. No.160 of 2022
	S.S. ShegarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri V.B. Somawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
	3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	Date: 23.03.2022	
	O.A. No.103 of	2022
	A.N. Sayyed Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Applicant Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwad for the Applicant and Shri</li> <li>Presenting Officer for the Respo</li> </ol>	A.J. Chougule, learned
	2. Today learned P.O. has fi behalf of Respondent No.2. It is	led Affidavit-in-Reply on taken on record.
	3. On request of learned granted to file Affidavit-in Respondent No.1 & 3.	P.O. one week time is -Reply on behalf of
	3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.	
		Sd/-
	(4	A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 23.03.2022
	O.A. No.97 of 2022
	A.D. NagareApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
	3. S.O. to 07.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

╁

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 23.03.2022 M.A. No.111 of 2022 in O.A. No.124 of 2022
	S.B. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. two days time is grated to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>
	3. S.O. to 25.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 23.03.2022
	M.A. No.580 of 2021 in O.A. No.500 of 2021
	H.G. Kulkarni & OrsApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is grated to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance.
	3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŧ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 23.03.2022		
	M.A. No.534 of 2021 in O.A. No.949 of 2021		
	к.v. к	oli	Applicant
	Versu The St	s tate of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	<ol> <li>Enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in- Reply to M.A. and O.A. Notices were issued in the month of November 2021 but till date no Reply is filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>M.A. and O.A. shall proceed without Reply and be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> </ol>		
	4.	S.O. to 20.04.2022.	
			Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN		