M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Dr. B.T. Karvekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
				05 of 2022
				Applicant
				Respondents
				earned Advocate for learned Presenting
	2.	Ld. PO seeks time	for compliance	
	3.	S.O. to 29.3.2023 f	for reporting co	mpliance.
	(sgj)	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023	Sd (Mridula Bl Chairp 23.2.	hatkar, J.) person

Original Application No.	of 20	District Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The	State of Maharashtra	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's order	r	Tribunal's orders
	23.02.2023	
		O.A 68/2021
	Smt S.R Koli The State of M	Applicant Vs. Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard	Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate cant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned
	dated 14.12.1	ed C.P.O produces on record copy of G.R 2022. On enquiry learned C.P.O submits R is applicable from the date of the
	that the appl from 14.12.2 14.12.2022 is applicant is r	w of the G.R dated 14.12.2022, we hold icant is entitled for voluntary retirement 022 and the period from 17.2.2020 to to be treated as condoned absence. The not entitled to any service benefits for the not the principle of 'No Work No Pay'.
	4. With stands dispos	he above directions, Original Application ed of.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha/Gadg Member (A)	ii) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A. No.409 of 2022 S.P. Nerkar & OrsApplicantly Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponded to the Respondents. Advocate and Applicants are absolute. Admit.	ents Officer	
	3. S.O. to 6.4.2023 for final hearing. Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.2.2023 23.2.2023 (sgj)		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribun	al's orders	
	<u>O.A. No</u>		No.69 of 2023	
	Y.U.	Shaikh Vs.		Applicant
	The S	vs. State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri A.A. S icant and Ms. S.P. M er for the Responden	anchekar, learned	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that his of is covered by the judgment and order dated 23.9.2022 pass by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.6776 2022 Inspector of Panchayats & District Collector, Sa Vs. S. Arichandran & Ors.			23.9.2022 passed ppeal No.6776 of
	3.	S.O. to 2.3.2023.		
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)	Sd/- (Mridula Bha	utkar, J.)
	(sgj)	Member (A) 23.2.2023	Chairper 23.2.20	rson

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.02.2023

R.A.No.27 of 2022 IN O.A.No.1084 of 2022

R. M. Kadam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate of Review Applicant pleads that respondent authorities have misconstrued the order of Tribunal dated 02.11.2022 and placed the original Applicant under suspension. In view of this, learned Advocate submits that it may be clarified that taking action of suspension was not order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.1084/2022. He also argued that the O.A. may be heard on merit by issuing notice to respondents and for that purpose, recall the earlier order dismissing the O.A. O.A. at admission stage itself.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has not been able to show that there is any typographical error or error on face of record or any new facts which were not before the Tribunal addavailable justifying review application.
- 4. After considering all facts before me, I am of the considered opinion that the order passed does not fall in ambit of Review under Section 22(3)(f) of Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.

ORDER

- (A) Relief sought in R.A.No.27/2022 in O.A.No.1084/2022 in terms of para 24(a) and (b) are rejected.
- (b) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)

4

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.113 of 2023

Prathamesh K. Bidwe

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
23.2.2023

Sd/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
23.2.2023

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.220 of 2023

Bhagyashri H. Tupsundare

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale i/b. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. In this matter the applicant who has applied for the post of PSI prays for measurement of height which is measured as 156.6 cms. and required height is 157 cms. It is also submitted that thereafter her height was measured by Medical Officer, Class II, Govt. Hospital, Nashik and it was 158 cms.
- 3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the candidate has right to make appeal about such issues before appellate authority i.e. Chairman, MPSC, Higher Officer of Police Department and Sports Department.
- 4. Per contra Ld. PO on instructions submits that now the height is measured digitally by the MPSC in all the recruitment and so there is precision.
- 5. Ld. PO seeks time to produce copy of physical standard test report and requests that the matter may be kept back.
- 6. Kept back.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgal) Member (A) 23.2.2023 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023

(sgj)

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
Advocate	•••••	.)	
	L	versus	
The Sta		harashtra and others	
The Sta	LE OI WIE	marashtra and others	D 1 / .
			Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	,	Tribuna Date : 23.02.2023	l's orders
		O.A.No	0.220/2023
		B.H. Tupsundare	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents.
			Jagdale, learned Advocate for As. Archana B.K., learned as Respondents.
		produced the copy of p wherein the height of	hysical standard test report, of the applicant measured ellate authority is 156.4 cms is 156.6 cms.
		the required standard the report of the Comm Surgeon, District Hosp Kumar, Director of Chairman, M.P.S.C. be do not apparently wish	ing constitutional body, we to interfere in this procedure no merit in the application
		(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	al's orders	
	C.A. No.12 of 202	22 in O.A. No.431 of 2018	
	B.D. Sarangkar Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra &	de OrsRespondents	
	Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Der learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwa learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO produces copy of letter dated 22.2.2023 Section Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralay Mumbai to Commissioner, Health Services, Mumbai which it is communicated that the Government has given approval to payment of interest as prayed by the application and ordered by the Tribunal.		
	3. Ld. PO submits the time to comply the order for	nat department needs two weeks or making payment.	
	4. S.O. to 9.3.2023.		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023	

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	C.A. No.7 of 2023 in O.A. No.9	96 of 2021
	Dr. M.M. Mahind & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for the Respondents. Advocate and present.	d Presenting Officer Applicants are not
	2. S.O. to 23.3.3023. Interim relief t	o continue.
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula B Member (A) Chairr 23.2.2023 23.2.	person
	(sgj)	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	O.A. No.1047 of 2022 with M.A. No.61 of 2023 Dilip S. SabdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate and Applicant are absent. 2. In view of the order dated 2.2.2023 passed by this Tribunal in above matter, OA and MA does not survive and the same are dismissed.			
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.2.2023 23.2.2023 (sgj)			

Original Application No.	of 20	D	DISTRICT	
			App	olicant/s
(Advocate)			
	versus			
The S	tate of Maharasht	ra and others		
			Respo	ndent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's	orders	
	23.02.2023	3		
		O.A 834 & 8	78/2022	
		hmare & Ors Vs. Maharashtra & O	Applicants	
	1. Hear learned ad	rd Shri Karan Ga	ajra i/b Shri A.A pplicants and Sm	Desai,
	these two modern of matters	natters be de-tagge on the ground t	the applicants prayed from the present hat the issue has a have been called	group been
	3. In v 2.3.2023.	riew of the abov	e, matter adjourn	ed to
	 Sd/-	•	Sd/-	1
	(Medha Gad Member (A		(Mridula Bhatkaı Chairperson	

Original Application No.	of 20	I	DISTRICT		
			Applicant/s		
(Advocate		.)			
		uamay a			
		versus			
The	State of Ma	aharashtra and others			
			Respondent/s		
(Presenting Officer	•••••)			
Office Notes Office Memorando of Co					
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders of	r	Tribunal's	orders		
directions and Registrar's order	rs	Date: 23.02.2023			
		O.A.No.	825/2023		
•		D.B. Kalokhe	Applicant		
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.		
		1. Heard Ms. V.K.	Jagdale, learned Advocate		
		holding for Mr. C.T. Cha	andratre, learned Advocate		
		for the Applicant and M	Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned		
		Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
		2. At the request of l	earned Advocate adjourned		
		to 16.03.2023.			
			K K 1.		
		. 1	\\\\ Sd/-		
		Sd/-	/ (M:1.1. D1.41		
		(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		
		prk			

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.02.2023

O.A.No.216/2023

N.V. Bhamare

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.03.2023.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench, under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
- 8. Adjourned to 09.03.2023.

So

/k² ∽ Sd/- 1,

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Tribunal's orders

Date: 23.02.2023

O.A.No.218/2023

Y.B. Chemate

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Mr. Akashay Kapadia, learned Advocate i/b Mr. G.K. Birajdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2023. Applicant is directed to serve all the concerned Department.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
- 8. Adjourned to 01.03.2023.

Sd/-

1.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson