

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.79 of 2022 in O.A. No.605 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karvekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time for compliance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.3.2023 for reporting compliance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>23.2.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>23.02.2023</u>
	<u>O.A 68/2021</u>
	Smt S.R Koli ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O produces on record copy of G.R dated 14.12.2022. On enquiry learned C.P.O submits that the G.R is applicable from the date of the issuance.
	3. In view of the G.R dated 14.12.2022, we hold that the applicant is entitled for voluntary retirement from 14.12.2022 and the period from 17.2.2020 to 14.12.2022 is to be treated as condoned absence. The applicant is not entitled to any service benefits for the said period on the principle of 'No Work No Pay'.
	4. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.409 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Nerkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate and Applicants are absent.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.4.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.2.2023 23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.69 of 2023</u></p> <p>Y.U. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Siddiquie, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that his case is covered by the judgment and order dated 23.9.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.6776 of 2022 Inspector of Panchayats & District Collector, Salem Vs. S. Arichandran & Ors.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.3.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.113 of 2023</u></p> <p>Prathamesh K. Bidwe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.220 of 2023</u></p> <p>Bhagyashri H. Tupsundare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale i/b. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant who has applied for the post of PSI prays for measurement of height which is measured as 156.6 cms. and required height is 157 cms. It is also submitted that thereafter her height was measured by Medical Officer, Class II, Govt. Hospital, Nashik and it was 158 cms.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the candidate has right to make appeal about such issues before appellate authority i.e. Chairman, MPSC, Higher Officer of Police Department and Sports Department.</p> <p>4. Per contra Ld. PO on instructions submits that now the height is measured digitally by the MPSC in all the recruitment and so there is precision.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO seeks time to produce copy of physical standard test report and requests that the matter may be kept back.</p> <p>6. Kept back.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.02.2023
	<p align="center">O.A.No.220/2023</p> <p>B.H. TupsundareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order, learned P.O. has produced the copy of physical standard test report, wherein the height of the applicant measured digitally before the appellate authority is 156.4 cms and measured regularly is 156.6 cms.</p> <p>3. We find that the applicant has not met with the required standard of 157 cms and considering the report of the Committee consisting of (a) Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Nashik, (b) Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Director of Police Academy and (c) Chairman, M.P.S.C. being constitutional body, we do not apparently wish to interfere in this procedure</p> <p>4. Hence, there is no merit in the application and the same stands dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.12 of 2022 in O.A. No.431 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.D. Sarangkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of letter dated 22.2.2023 of Section Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to Commissioner, Health Services, Mumbai by which it is communicated that the Government has given approval to payment of interest as prayed by the applicant and ordered by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that department needs two weeks time to comply the order for making payment.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.3.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20_____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20_____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2023 in O.A. No.96 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. M.M. Mahind & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate and Applicants are not present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.3.3023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>23.2.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>23.2.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1047 of 2022 with M.A. No.61 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dilip S. Sabde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate and Applicant are absent.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 2.2.2023 passed by this Tribunal in above matter, OA and MA does not survive and the same are dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>23.02.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 834 & 878/2022</u></p> <p>Dr V.N Waghmare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajra i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants pray that these two matters be de-tagged from the present group of matters on the ground that the issue has been resolved and the applicants have been called for interview.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 2.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.02.2023
---	---

O.A.No.825/2023

D.B. KalokheApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 16.03.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.216/2023</p> <p>N.V. BhamareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.03.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 09.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.02.2023

O.A.No.218/2023

Y.B. ChemateApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Akashay Kapadia, learned Advocate i/b Mr. G.K. Birajdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2023. Applicant is directed to serve all the concerned Department.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 01.03.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson