

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.559 of 2021 in O.A.No.986 of 2021</p> <p>U. V. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. No.986 of 2021. 3. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged office order dated 20.04.2019 passed by Joint Commissioner of Police, (Administration) on behalf of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai stating that so long instructions are not issued from the Government in respect of payment of risk allowance being paid to police personnel working in Bomb Detection and Disposal Squad (BDDS) Unit, the Risk Allowance/Special Allowance should not be paid to them. 4. The Applicant is Police Hawaldar working in BDDS Unit, CP Mumbai. He was getting Risk Allowance / Special Allowance in terms of G.R. dated 22.11.1995. Later in view of 6th Pay Commission, the Government had issued another G.R. dated 03.09.2011 thereby determining how the Special Allowance / Risk Allowance to be paid. The Applicant and other police personnel serving in BDDS Unit were admittedly getting this Special Pay Allowance in terms of G.R. dated 03.09.2011. 5. However, the problem arises in view of the implementation of 7th Pay Commission w.e.f. 01.01.2016. <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Indeed, the office of CP, Mumbai had sent letter to Respondent No.1 – Additional Chief Secretary, Home on 02.04.2019 seeking guidance / direction about allowances to be paid to police personnel serving in BDDS in view of the 7th Pay Commission report. It is on this background, the CP office, Mumbai by office order dated 20.04.2019 has stopped the payment of Special Pay Allowance awaiting communication from the Government on letter dated 02.04.2019. Though, the period near about three years is completing, no decision is taken till date.</p> <p>7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. has pointed out that pay and allowances are continued in respect of two units namely Quick Response Unit by G.R. dated 19.08.2020 and the police personnel serving in Special Operation Squad by G.R. dated 21.10.2020. However, no such decision is taken in respect of police personnel serving in BDDS Unit.</p> <p>8. In view of above, this M.A. and O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions to Respondent No.1 to take appropriate decision on letter dated 02.04.2019 forwarded by CP, Mumbai to Government.</p> <p>9. The Respondent No.1 is directed to take the decision about pay and allowances payable to police personnel in BDDS Unit in view of letter dated 02.04.2019 forwarded by CP, Mumbai within four weeks from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>10. Misc. Application and Original Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.69 of 2022</p> <p>S. S. ThakreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1059 of 2021</p> <p>D. V. GuravApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1022 of 2021</p> <p>U. K. AnvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Ghuge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.940 of 2021</p> <p>S. D. SavaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijit Pawar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that due to strike of a Government servants, she is unable to file affidavit in reply today. However, she undertakes to file the same within three days.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. should file affidavit in reply in the office till Monday with copy to other side.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.66 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A. E. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply. There seems to be no proper service of O.A. on Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to take necessary steps for issuance of notice to Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.802 of 2021</p> <p>N.B. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.927 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. KhairnarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission but learned P.O. seeks permission to tender Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. The perusal of order dated 27.01.2022 reveals that the learned P.O. made statement to file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day or by Monday and accepting her statement O.A. was kept today for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that for 2/3 days she was out of Mumbai, and therefore could not file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.733 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. KolteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.03.2022 along with O.A. No.802/2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.872 of 2021</p> <p>S. C. PujariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought permission to tender affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file the same. The copy of same be furnished to other side.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.719/2021</u></p> <p>Shri U.S. Sorate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. K.S.s Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondent No.1 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered some additional documents with paging and requested to take it on record. Allowed to file the documents.</p> <p>3. Arguments are partly heard.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for tomorrow i.e. on 24th February, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 23.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.107 of 2022 in O.A.No.1022 of 2021</p> <p>U. K. AnvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Ghuge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.107 /2022 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.139 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. S.D. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.129 of 2022</u></p> <p>Shri Pandit G. Mahale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for considering his representation dated 20.12.2021 for grant of 3rd benefit under Time Bound Promotion Scheme, submitted to respondents no.2 to 6 within stipulated period.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that OA is premature because period of six months is not over as yet.</p> <p>4. We direct the respondent no.3 to decide the said representation dated 20.12.2021 within a period of six months from 20.12.2021 and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant. OA disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.2.2022 23.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.161 of 2022</u>
	<p>V.G. Sude & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.97 of 2022 in O.A. No.161 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.G. Sude & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 23.2.2022 23.2.2022 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.173 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.N. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <div style="background-color: black; color: white; padding: 5px; width: 100px; margin: 0 auto;">Sd/-</div> <div style="margin-top: 5px;"> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2022</p> </div> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <div style="background-color: black; color: white; padding: 5px; width: 100px; margin: 0 auto;">Sd/-</div> <div style="margin-top: 5px;"> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2022</p> </div> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.57 of 2021 in O.A. No.88 of 2021</u></p> <p>Shri Utkarsh V. Devkule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We take this Suo Motu speaking to minutes of our order dated 24.1.2022 passed in the above CA No.57 of 2021 in OA No.88 of 2021.</p> <p>3. Shri D.K. Chavan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not given notice, so also the applicant Shri Utkarsh V. Devkule is not given notice because the applicant is already promoted to the post of Naib Tahsildar at Solapur and hence the earlier order passed by this Tribunal is complied with.</p> <p>4. The correction is pertaining to one Shri A.S. Gengane, who is applicant in OA No.178 of 2022 and we are hopeful that said correction will clarify the issue and will reduce further litigation.</p> <p>5. Last line of para 9 of the said order dated 24.1.2022 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"So Mr. Gengane was given ad hoc post as a stop gap arrangement."</i></p> <p>6. It is to be read as:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"So Mr. Gengane's promotion, which was already given, is to be treated and continued as ad hoc promotion by way of stop-gap arrangement."</i></p> <p>7. Speaking to minutes disposed off.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 23.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 23.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>23.02.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 770/2014</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra Rajya S.V.J.P.V C. Karmachari Sanghtana ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants that he wants to file affidavit in rejoinder to the affidavit in reply filed by Shri Nitin Gadre, Addl. Chief Secretary, Finance Department, two weeks' time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.3.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.02.2022

O.A.No.1115 of 2019

The Senior Audit Officer, Indian Audit & Associate
Dept.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3.
2. The learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale seeks permission to make Finance Department as party Respondent.
3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out today itself.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2022.
5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 30.03.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.318 of 2020 in O.A.No.1115 of 2019</p> <p>The Senior Audit Officer, Indian Audit & Associate Dept.Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Org. Applicant in O.A.No.1115/2019 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.318/2020 is filed for deletion of the name of Respondent No.4 i.e. The Senior Audit Officer, Indian Audit & Associate Dept from the array of the Respondents in O.A.No.1115/2019. The learned Advocate Mr. Joshi pointed out that the said Department has conducted the audit of the office of Conservator of Forests and Director for the period from 01.03.2004 to 30.06.2005 and raised objections about regularization with regard to three Group-D employees.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale states that the said party is the necessary Respondent because the said Department is the one who has raised the objections.</p> <p>4. We accept the submissions made by the learned Advocate Mr. Joshi and direct the learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale to delete the name of Respondent No.4, The Senior Audit Officer, Indian Audit & Associate Dept from the array of Respondents.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1007 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. PujariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.379 of 2021</p> <p>M.N. GujarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Mr. D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.3. Reply is already filed. Admitted.4. S.O. to 20.04.2022. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.364 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. Kadam & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is already filed. Matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.71 of 2020</p> <p>M.K. RupanwarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is already filed. Matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.03.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.106 of 2022 in O.A.No.806 of 2020</p> <p>G.B. PilgarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.03.2022.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p>
	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.02.2022

C.A.No.1 of 2022 in O.A.No.370 of 2019

L.T. Rathod

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.S. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In this matter we direct the Respondent No.3 to comply with the order of this Tribunal dated 13.10.2021 within two weeks.
3. Adjourned to 07.03.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.04 of 2018</p> <p>S. M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel along with Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time for getting information she required to take from the department and file before the Tribunal. The information requires by the Tribunal is that till now the department is considering the successful candidates from the list of examination from 2013. But it is not clear up to what time they will continue with this policy. This should be clarified by the department.</p> <p>3. As pointed by learned Counsel for the Applicant there is no file ^{noting} by the department which shows that till now department is only considering successful candidates from the list of examination from 2013 till now and on what basis the decision / policy has been taken that department should also file.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. further submits that now D.G. Police has just taken over the charge and in view of this situation, the department needs eight days time to finalize the list and file before the Tribunal. Considering practical problem with department, three weeks time is granted to finalize the list and publish the list of successful candidates along with their marks and copy be supplied to learned Counsel for the Applicants also. This O.A. was filed on 01.01.2018 so the list of successful candidates along with their marks should be</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.110 of 2022 in O. A. No. 999 of 2021</p> <p>Chief Executive Officer & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Shri Ashok R. Bhagwat ...Ori. Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time for compliance of order dated 24.01.2022 passed by the Tribunal whereby directions were given to release the retiral benefits within a month. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that steps are being taken and file is in process at the final stage. She, therefore, requested for two months further time for compliance of the order. 4. Issue notice before admission to Original Applicant returnable on 09.03.2022. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 10. S.O. to 09.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.916/2021</u></p> <p>Smt. A.S. Taware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is for regularization of services filed by the Applicant who is working in the Office of Government Pleader, High Court, Mumbai. The Applicant has placed reliance on the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1105/2016 (Arvind Rane Vs. Government Pleader, High Court, Mumbai) decided on 21.09.2021.</p> <p>3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the decision given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1105/2016 is challenged before Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.1231/2022 and operation of the order is stayed by order dated 02.02.2022. The copy of order dated 02.02.2022 is tendered by learned Advocate for the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant requested to adjourn the matter awaiting the decision of Hon'ble High Court, Mumbai in Writ Petition No.1231/2022.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for final hearing to 30th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 23.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>