

**C.P.NO.37/2023 IN REVIEW APPL. NO.05/2022 IN  
O.A.NO.981/2019  
(Dr. Ravindra K. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.901/2023**  
**(Aitwar V. Sambayya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Anand D. Kawre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2 MPSC. In the interest of justice, time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 25-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.107/2023**  
(Sanjay Nagamwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.491/2023**  
(Kailash Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.493/2023**  
(Dnyaneshwar Dhongade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.572/2023**  
(Mukund Unhale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.573/2023**  
(Prabhakar K. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.574/2023**  
(Pralhad S. Lokhande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.575/2023**  
(Mangala M. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**  
**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for applicants and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-09-2024. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.659/2024**  
**(Indrajeet N. Garad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Today was the last chance granted for the respondents to file affidavit in reply. Request, therefore, stands rejected.
3. Matter be placed for hearing on 03-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.434/2024**  
**(Anil P. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Anil P. Ghodke, applicant – party in person and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that parawise reply is already received, however, the person who is to swear the affidavit is not available today. He has sought some accommodation. Granted.

3. S.O. to 27-08-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO.38/2024 IN O.A.NO.805/2023**  
**(Sarjerao D. Kharat & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G.Suryawanshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned CPO has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 30-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO.59/2023 IN O.A.NO.629/2021**  
**(Dr. Archana V. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the order, contempt of which is alleged in the present petition has been complied with by the respondents. Learned Counsel has placed on record copy of order dated 12-08-2024. Same is taken on record. In view of the submission of the learned Counsel following order is passed:

**ORDER**

Contempt Petition stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.785/2023**  
**(Sushant V. Tope Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.C.Swami, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant tenders affidavit in rejoinder to the affidavit in reply submitted by the respondents. Same is taken on record. Copy thereof be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.502/2024**  
**(Balaprasad G. Kendre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M.Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. He further submits that till date the complete paper book of the O.A. was not received and therefore it could not become possible to file affidavit in reply. Time is granted as prayed for.

3. S.O. to 25-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO.74/2023 IN O.A.NO.949/2022**  
**(Gajanan P. Rohankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Krishna Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, learned Counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar communication dated 15-07-2024 between additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Amrawati and the Project Officer Kinwat, Dist. Kinwat. Same is taken on record. We hope and trust that the Project Officer will act upon the direction given by the Additional Commissioner in the said letter.

3. S.O. to 07-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.948/2023**  
**(Mangesh M. Bedare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Krishna Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted by way of last chance. If reply is not filed on or before the next date, matter will be heard without reply of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 18-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.482/2024**  
**(Tushar V. Deore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.P.Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Though ample opportunities are granted, respondents have failed to file reply.

3. Matter be placed for hearing on 29-08-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.473/2024**  
**(Manisha G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Milind S. Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel submits that rejoinder affidavit is not to be filed. List the matter for hearing on 03-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.543/2024**  
**(Mahendra U. Harpalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance for filing reply till 23-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO.07/2024 IN O.A.NO.82/2019**  
(Devendra R. Dandgavhal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. When the present petition is taken up for consideration, learned P.O. submitted that the respondents are in process of implementing the order, however, since certain particulars are to be collected, some time is required for complying with the order of the Tribunal.

3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that within four weeks entire compliance is likely to be made. In view of the submission so made, matter stands adjourned after four weeks. It is clarified that non-compliance of the order within four weeks would entail in issuance of notice of contempt in Form III of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996.

4. S.O. to 25-09-2024.

6

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO.62/2024 IN O.A.NO.729/2023**  
**(Ashok A. Keskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At present, issue notice to respondent nos.3 & 4, returnable on 25.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**M.A.ST.NO.723/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO.724/2023  
(Pratibha A. Atnerkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.M.Bhokariker, learned counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.NO.287/2024 IN O.A.No.739/2024**  
(Gajanan V. Kotalwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.288/2024 IN O.A.No.740/2024**  
(Rekha G. Narwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.289/2024 IN O.A. No.741/2024**  
(Dhondiba D. Musale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.290/2024 IN O.A.No.742/2024**  
(Seema W. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.291/2024 IN O.A.No.743/2024**  
(Kailas G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.292/2024 IN O.A.No.744/2024**  
(Girish G. Kurude Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.293/2024 IN O.A.ST.No.745/2024**  
(Gawlan G. Dumne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.294/2024 IN O.A.ST.No.746/2024**  
(Annasaheb D. Vadje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.295/2024 IN O.A.ST.No.747/2024**  
(Sambhaji D. Ghorband Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A.NO.296/2024 IN O.A.ST.No.748/2024**  
(Datta N. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R.Sapkal, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri V.R.Bhumkar, Shri V.G.Pingle learned Presenting Officers and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. When the present group of matters is taken up for consideration, it is noticed that the applicants

=2= M.A.NO.287/2024 IN O.A.No.739/2024 & Ors.

have not availed alternate efficacious remedy. Learned Counsel pointed out that representation is made on 16-04-2024 making out the grievance which is raised in the present O.A. If such is the case then the applicants were expected to wait for the reasonable period which is six months as provided under the provisions of the Administrative Tribunals Act. After having noticed the provisions as aforesaid, the learned Counsel for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw all these O.As. with M.As. with liberty to file the same at the appropriate time. In view of the submissions of learned Counsel for applicants following order is passed:

ORDER

[i] All these O.As. with M.As. stand disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs with liberty as prayed for.

[ii] The order passed today may not be an impediment for the authorities to decide the representation submitted by the applicants and the same be decided on its own merit.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.872/2024**  
**(Shirish R. Yadav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks leave to correct prayer clause (C) so as to delete the prayer for giving suitable date i.e. the date on which his juniors are promoted. If the aforesaid prayer is deleted, there may not be any objection as about the limitation. Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. In so far as the objection as about the documents placed at Annexure-I is concerned, learned Counsel submits that he will submit an affidavit stating therein that he has received the said documents only and not other documents annexed to the same. It is also stated that, if in the meanwhile documents are received he undertakes to place the same on record.

4. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17.10.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.889/2024**  
**(Pravin B. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. After having gone through the pleadings in the present O.A., it appears to us that the present matter is likely to be settled in view of the earlier orders passed by this Tribunal. Moreover, G.R. dated 24-08-1976 which is referred to is quite explicit. In the circumstances, learned Counsel has prayed for keeping the present matter before the Lok Adalat scheduled on 28-09-2024.

3. Learned P.O. submits that the issue is being agitated before the Hon'ble High Court. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the matter in which he is appearing for the party, some observations are made and he will place on record the said order dated 10-04-2024 passed in Writ Petition No.3617/2024 (Shivsing Ghusinge & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.). Hence, list the matter before Lok Adalat Scheduled on 28-09-2024.

4. Formal notice may be issued to the respondents. The learned P.O. shall take instructions from the respondents and require the authorized person to remain present before the Lok Adalat scheduled on 28-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.685/2024**  
**(Satish S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27-08-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.NO.274/2024 IN M.A.ST.NO.297/2024 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.259/2024  
(Satish S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-08-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.906/2018**  
**(Chetan S. Ahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-08-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122/2024**  
**(Harish Ramchandra Das Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Nitin Gadre, Member (A) (Nagpur Bench)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER : (through Video Conferencing)**

Today the present matter was taken up for hearing by Video Conferencing. Hon'ble Member (A) Shri Nitin Gadre joined on Video Conferencing from Nagpur Bench. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer joined on Video Conferencing from the office of Chief Presenting Officer, Aurangabad. The applicant is, however, absent.

2. The office has informed that the applicant has sent Email praying for next date in the matter and also prayed for physical hearing. Considering the request of the applicant and considering the availability of the Members on the Bench, as well as, learned Presenting Officer, the matter stands adjourned to 13.09.2024 at 3.30 p.m.

3. The applicant may remain present at M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad to make his submissions. Hon'ble Member (A) Shri Nitin Gadre would join on V.C.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A. NO. 181/2024 IN O.A. NO. 468/2022**  
**(Mrs. Smita Sanjay Ingle Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants in the present Misc. Application/original respondents and Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned counsel for the respondent in the present Misc. Application/original applicant.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that because of interim order passed by this Tribunal on 08.06.2022 restraining the respondents from making the promotions on the post of Sr. Clerk in Aurangabad Region, though about 11 posts are available in the cadre of Sr. Clerks on which the eligible employees are to be promoted, the actual promotions have not been effected. Learned C.P.O. submits that the respondents have already promoted the applicant to the post of Sr. Clerk and she has been posted at Pune. Learned C.P.O. submits that in fact the grievance of the applicant stood redressed

::-2-::

**M.A. NO. 181/2024 IN**  
**O.A. NO. 468/2022**

and there is no impediment in vacating the interim relief granted on 8.06.2022. Learned C.P.O. in the alternative submitted that the respondents may keep one post of Sr. Clerk in Aurangabad Region vacant until further orders by the Tribunal.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent in the present M.A. i.e. original applicant, though initially opposed for any modification in the interim order passed by the Tribunal on 08.06.2022, subsequently submitted that if 01 post of Sr. Clerk in Aurangabad Region is kept vacant, the applicant may not have any objection for modification of the interim order.

4. In view of the submissions made, the interim order passed by us on 08.06.2022 is modified as under:-

**MODIFIED ORDER:**

“Respondents shall keep 01 post of Sr. Clerk in Aurangabad Region vacant until further orders by this Tribunal.”

::-3-::

**M.A. NO. 181/2024 IN**  
**O.A. NO. 468/2022**

5. The Misc. Application stands allowed in the aforesaid term, however, without any order as to costs.

6. O.A. to come up for hearing on 06.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1049/2023**  
(Shivaji Rajaram Kachare & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Dambe, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. 04 weeks' time granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 25.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503/2024**  
**(Emam Najir Mirza Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.09.2024. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A. 398/2021 WITH M.A. 05/2023 IN O.A. ST. 1666/2021**  
**(Sadashiv s/o Sampatrao Shingare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The applicant has filed the present Misc. Application seeking condonation of delay, which has occasioned in filing the accompanying Original Application by him. The applicant has filed the O.A. seeking directions against the respondents for payment of Gratuity, G.P.F., Pension amount and pensionary benefits etc. It is the contention of the applicant that at the relevant time no punishment was imposed upon him at least he was not aware of imposing of any punishment on him. It is the further contention of the applicant that he was pursuing the matter before the respondent authorities and as such the Original Application could not be filed by him within the prescribed time.



::-2-::

**M.A. 398/2021 WITH M.A. 05/2023**  
**IN O.A. ST. 1666/2021**

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the reasons as are assigned are not sufficient and the delay of more than 06 years cannot be condoned on such grounds.

4. We have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant, as well as, the learned Presenting Officer appearing for the State authorities. It is true that the delay, which has occurred, is of the period of more than 05 years. However, having considered the fact that the O.A. is filed seeking relief, which is in respect of pension and pensionary benefits for which there is always continuous cause of action and when it relates to survival of the Government employee, we need to take a lenient view in such matters. Hence, we pass the following order:-

**ORDER**

(i) The Misc. Application stands allowed. The delay occasioned in filing accompanying O.A. is condoned.

::-3-::

**M.A. 398/2021 WITH M.A. 05/2023**  
**IN O.A. ST. 1666/2021**

(ii) Accompanying Original Application be registered in accordance with law.

(iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**M.A. 05/2023 IN O.A. ST. 1666/2021**

**(Sadashiv s/o Sampatrao Shingare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Present Misc. Application is filed by the applicant seeking amendment in the accompanying Original Application. It is the contention of the applicant that during pendency of the Original Application he came to know about the punishment imposed upon him and now he intends to take all such averments in the O.A. with contextual prayers. In view of the fact that the amendment is sought of the event, which subsequently came to the knowledge of the applicant, we are inclined to allow the Misc. Application. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

- (i) The Misc. Application stands allowed. The amendment be carried out in O.A. within a period of 02 weeks.
- (ii) There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**O.A. ST. 1666/2021**

**(Sadashiv s/o Sampatrao Shingare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**

**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. After the amendment is carried out, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 08.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 08.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122/2024**  
**(Harish Ramchandra Das Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Nitin Gadre, Member (A) (Nagpur Bench)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER : (through Video Conferencing)**

Today the present matter was taken up for hearing by Video Conferencing. Hon'ble Member (A) Shri Nitin Gadre joined on Video Conferencing from Nagpur Bench. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer joined on Video Conferencing from the office of Chief Presenting Officer, Aurangabad. The applicant is, however, absent.

2. The office has informed that the applicant has sent Email praying for next date in the matter and also prayed for physical hearing. Considering the request of the applicant and considering the availability of the Members on the Bench, as well as, learned Presenting Officer, the matter stands adjourned to 13.09.2024 at 3.30 p.m.

3. The applicant may remain present at M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad to make his submissions. Hon'ble Member (A) Shri Nitin Gadre would join on V.C.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 894 OF 2018**  
**(Shivaji B. Satote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2. The Original Application is disposed of with the consent of all the parties at admission stage.

3. Admittedly, GPF amount has been paid to the applicant twice. It is also not disputed by the applicant that the amount of GPF which has been paid to him twice, refunded by him. At present the applicant is rightly in receipt of GPF amount as admissible to him.

4. In view of the same, nothing survives for further consideration in this Original Application. The Original Application is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2024**  
**(Kaveri V. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Joslyn Menezes, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri S.B. Mene/B.D. Kamble, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, is **absent**.

2. Even though the last chance is granted, no affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No. 4.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 04.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Later on :-**

4. Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri B.D. Kamble, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed.

5. S.O. to 04.09.2024 for admission hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1043 OF 2023**  
**(Sham H. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the respondents have been duly served through hand delivery and the affidavit of service will be filed within a week.

3. Learned P.O. submits that she appears for respondent No. 2 and submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant today itself.

4. S.O. to 30.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**



**O.A. Nos. 264, 265 & 266 all of 2023**  
(Dr. Vaishali A. Phegade & 2 Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri D.M. Hange & A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all these matters are covered by the earlier decision taken by this Tribunal and the G.R. is also issued by the Government for condonation of technical breaks/grant of annual increments. Learned counsel thus submits that the present matters be listed in the ensuing Lok Adalat.

3. Learned Presenting Officers have no objection for the same.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants is requested to file consent form in the office.

5. In view of above, list all these matters in the ensuing Lok Adalat.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 431/2023 in O.A. No. 845/2023**  
**(Vishwanath S. Kirtane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Vsamatkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, time is granted for filing synopsis of events to explain the delay.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164 OF 2021**  
**(Balu A. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.08.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 687 OF 2024**  
**(Sudhir H. Fulari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.S. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant. Learned P.O. submits that other respondents are adopting the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 3.

3. S.O. to 10.09.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 330/2024 in O.A. No. 807/2024**  
**(Sonaji S. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Bhumkar, learned counsel holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the applicants were working in the Health Department before their retirement and at present they are retired employees. The applicants have made similar prayers on similar cause of action in Original Application.

3. In view of the same and for the reasons stated in the application, the M.A. No. 330/2024 is hereby allowed. The applicants are permitted to sue jointly by filing one Original Application, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. The M.A. No. 330/2024 stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807 OF 2024**  
(Sonaji S. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Bhumkar, learned counsel holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 18.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 351/2024 in T.A. 23/2024 (W.P. 15858/2023)**  
**(Ranjit M. Satpute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Nade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents in M.A., returnable on 21.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 21.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 365/2024 in O.A. No. 868/2024**  
(Balaji Deorao Pawle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents in M.A., returnable on 26.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 26.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 875 OF 2024**  
(Rajaram Jabaji Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 26.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 26.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 897 OF 2024**  
(Govind Haribhau Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The office has raised an objection that page Nos. 28, 29 to 32 are not readable.

3. Leave to file typed copies of the aforesaid pages forthwith.

4. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 09.09.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

//2//

O.A. No. 897/2024

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**9. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to call record and take instructions as to whether the departmental charge-sheet has been served on the applicant or not till this date.**

10. S.O. to 09.09.2024.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 02 OF 2021**  
**(Kiran Santosh Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. In this Original Application, there is only one prayer that suspension of the applicant as per Annexure A-5 may be quashed and set aside by directing the respondents to reinstate the applicant as Jailor Group-II in Jalgaon District Prison, Jalgaon.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that by order dated 17.02.2021 the applicant came to be reinstated in service by revoking the suspension order. It thus appears that nothing survives for further consideration in the present Original Application.

4. S.O. to 29.08.2024 for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668 OF 2022**  
**(Kishor C. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 22.10.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. Nos. 324, 328, & 330 all of 2024**  
**(Dr. Manohar T. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As.

2. In compliance with order dated 12.08.2024, Shri Prashant D. Patil, Chief Administrative Officer, Dy. Director of Health Services office, Nasik is present in person.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits various documents. Same are taken on record. It appears that the Government is now requesting for two weeks period.

4. In view of above, S.O. to 06.09.2024 as a last chance for hearing in **urgent admission category**. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

5. Shri Prashant D. Patil, Chief Administrative Officer, Dy. Director of Health Services office, Nasik, who is present today, need not remain present on the next date of hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. Nos. 325, 326, 327 & 329 all of 2024**  
(Dr. Ranjana V. Lende & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

Shri R.S. Pawar, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 326/2024, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 06.09.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2018**  
**(Shivaji B. Satote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent No. 2.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.09.2024 for compliance of the earlier directions.

**MEMBER (J)**



**O.A. Nos. 895/2018, 209/2019, 643/2019,  
696/2019, 96/2021, 127/2021, 551/2021,  
145/2023, 690/2023 & 691/2023**  
(Murlidhar E. Sakhare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As., Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 4 in O.A. No. 895/2018 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned special counsel for respondents in O.A. No. 895/2018 and for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in O.A. 209/2019, are present.

Shri G.B. Rajale, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 in O.A. No. 643/2019, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 06.09.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 896 OF 2018**  
(Madhukar D. Madarase & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.09.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2022**  
**(Shital Ajay Gundewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.Y. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 6 to 8

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 09.09.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2023**  
**(Ramsing H. Sulane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Shinde, learned counsel holding for Shri D.B. Thoke, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has already submitted written notes of arguments, but copy of the same has been given to learned counsel for the applicant today itself.

3. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 30.08.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 906 OF 2024**  
**(Savita Bhaskar Nath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Kale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities for some time.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that Shri Rajendra Aadhar Wade, Awwal Karkoon has informed to Sub-Divisional Officer, Bhusawal by communication dated 21.08.2024 that on the vacant post of the applicant viz. Smt. Savita Nath because of her transfer order dated 14.08.2024, Shri Rajendra Wade has joined the post at Bhusawal. In view of the same, the applicant is not entitled for interim relief.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has already exercised six options and out these options, two options i.e. Bhalod, Tq. Yawal, Dist. Jalgaon and Nashirabad, Tq. Jalgaon are still vacant.

4. In view of above, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.09.2024.

//2//

O.A. No. 906/2024

**5. In the event, if some other employees are transferred and posted meanwhile on the aforesaid two vacant posts, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present Original Application.**

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

//3//

O.A. No. 906/2024

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 05.09.2024.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**M.A. No. 383/2023 in O.A. St. No. 1196/2023**  
**(Vishwas C. Mohite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 in M.A. with the submission that other respondents are adopting the same. Leave granted. The affidavit in reply is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 09.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A. No. 530/2023 in O.A. St. No. 2216/2023**  
**(Shaikh Mumtaj Shaikh Ibrahim Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file synopsis of events to explain the delay. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 10.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 17/2024 in O.A. St. No. 2449/2023**  
**(Pandurang G. More & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Rajashree C. Sawale, learned counsel holding for Shri S.N. Pagare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 05.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 22.08.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620 OF 2018**  
**(Baban D. Gadekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for the applicant is absent, when the matter is called out. On the last date, learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to make appropriate submission on the ground that the applicant has completed temporary service of 09 months prior to his regular appointment.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 09.09.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Special Board of ensuing Lok Adalat**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

The Registrar of this Tribunal has informed to the Bench that out of 187 matters so far listed on Lok Adalat Board, in 130 matters consent has been received. It is further informed that in other matters, consent is likely to be submitted during course of the day.

2. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 624 OF 2024**  
**(Dinesh B. Nerkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.08.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.09.2024 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**