

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.12.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 53/2021 in O.A 1091/2017</u></p> <p>Smt R.A Shaikh & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that the Respondent-State has filed Writ Petition before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 17.12.2021.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 12.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1034 of 2021</p> <p>V.B. BelgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged Suspension order dated 28.09.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> on the point of competency, non-existent ground for suspension and prolong suspension beyond 90 days. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.01.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. Matter is expedited.</p> <p>10. In the mean time, Respondents shall consider review of suspension in view of G.R. dated 09.07.2019.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.999 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. BhagwatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset it needs to be stated that this is a case disclosing total inaction, laxity on the part of Respondents which deprived the Applicant from getting his retiral benefits which needs to be dealt with sternly.</p> <p>3. The Applicant stands retired from the post of Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Thane on 31.05.2011. At the time of retirement no D.E. was pending or initiated against him. The Applicant made several representations to release retiral benefits but in vain.</p> <p>4. It is only in 2015, charge-sheet was served upon the Applicant for initiation of D.E. under Rule 8 of MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Surprisingly, D.E. is still pending.</p> <p>5. It is on the above background after waiting for all most 10 years, the Applicant has filed O.A. for releasing retiral benefits.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that even provisional Pension, G.P.F., G.I.S. is not granted which was required to be released forthwith after retirement.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. As such, it is disgusting to note that D.E. initiated after 5 years from retirement is still not completed though period of more than 6 years is over from initiation of D.E.</p> <p>8. Leaving aside legality of belated initiation of D.E., Respondents ought to have released remaining retiral benefits but the same were also not released. Respondents are therefore required to explain why his retiral benefits (other than Gratuity, Regular Pension) are not paid.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. states that today his first date and she will take instructions as to why D.E. is pending and no retiral benefits which were entitled to be released were not paid.</p> <p>10. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from Respondent No.1 – Principal Secretary, Department of Education and to appraise the Tribunal in afternoon session.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>11. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>12. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. on instructions all that stated that D.E. is still pending and it will be completed soon. This is the only statement she could make which is totally unacceptable.</p> <p>13. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2011 without there being any initiation of D.E. or criminal prosecution against him but he was deprived of all retiral benefits despite various representations made by him. D.E. was initiated belatedly on 21.05.2015 and till date it is pending with the Enquiry Officer.</p> <p>14. According to learned P.O., Enquiry Officer was appointed in the month of August, 2015. But still it is incomplete which shows total laxity and dereliction in duties by the concerned.</p> <p>15. Indeed, in terms of Circular dated 07.04.2008, D.E. was required to be completed within six months and maximum within a period of one year. It further provides that where D.E. is not completed within one year extension from the competent authority is required to be obtained. Furthermore, importantly Clause 2 of Circular dated 07.04.2008 specifically provides that if D.E. is not completed within five years then Head of the Department is required to fix responsibility for delay in completion of inquiry and to initiate disciplinary action against the concerned.</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>16. It is thus apparent that there is no control of Respondent No.1 and 2 over the matter which resulted in deprivation of retiral benefits to the Applicant for ten years. The Tribunal has come across several such instances of huge delay on the part of department due to laxity and negligence for non completion of D.E. within stipulated period and had issued directions from time to time but in vain.</p> <p>17. In view of above, Respondent No.1 – Principal Secretary, Department of Education is directed to file affidavit explaining the delay in completion of D.E. and also to explain the steps taken by the concerned from time to time for completion of D.E. He should further explain what steps he proposed to take against the concerned in term of Circular dated 07.04.2008.</p> <p>18. This O.A. being filed for grant of retiral benefits which are withheld for ten years, needs to be expedited and will be disposed of on next date after considering affidavit of Principal Secretary, department of Education , Mantralaya, Mumbai.</p> <p>19. Affidavit in terms of above be filed on or before 06.01.2022.</p> <p>20. In the meantime, Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.01.2022.</p> <p>21. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>22. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>23. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>24. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>25. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>26. S.O. to 06.01.2022</p> <p>27. Hamdast granted to learned P.O.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.978 of 2021</p> <p>R.R. JirepatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. However, there is no compliance to the order passed by the Tribunal on 07.12.2021 whereby notice was issued to Respondent No.2 as to why <i>suo-motu</i> cognizance of Contempt of order passed by the Tribunal should not be taken.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. One week time is granted to file Reply to the Show Cause Notice in terms of Clause No.4 of order dated 07.12.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.736 of 2021</p> <p>V. D. WakhareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.540 of 2021</p> <p>E. P. Patil ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri R. M.Kolge, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vvr (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.697 of 2020</p> <p>A. J. A. R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional affidavit of the Applicant along with an applications which are subject matter of inquiry. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard partly.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1000 of 2018 with O.A. No.1231 of 2019</p> <p>L.G. Lonkar P.R. Vanjari & OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. These two O.As. are kept together for hearing since issue involved is common. 3. In O.A. No.1231/2019 on last date matter was adjourned on the request of learned P.O. for filing Sur-Rejoinder. However no Sur-Rejoinder is filed today. 4. In so far as, O.A. No.1000/2018 is concerned it is for Final Hearing. 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the representations made by the Applicant as well as proposal moved by Department are pending at the level of Government to consider the issue of regularization of the Applicant. 6. However, perusal of O.A. reveals that Respondent No.1 – Government has not filed Affidavit-in-Reply which seems necessary in view of submission advanced by learned Advocate for the Applicant that the issue of regularization is under consideration before Government. 7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time for Final Hearing on the ground that he wants to tender certain Judgment which are not available today with him. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Adjourned for Final Hearing to 13.01.2022.</p> <p>9. In the mean time, learned P.O. to take instructions from Respondent No.1 – Government and to appraise the Tribunal about the decision on proposal made by the Department, if any, and is also at liberty to file Reply.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 13.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1013 of 2021</p> <p>R.V. GarjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order of recovery dated 02.02.2021 whereby recovery of Rs.1,23,689/- (Rupees One Lakh Twenty Three Thousand Six Hundred and Eighty Nine Only) is sought after retirement.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.01.2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>CA.49/2020 in OA.822/2019 with</u> <u>CA.50/2020 in OA.823/2019 with</u> <u>CA.51/2020 in OA.824/2019 with</u> <u>CA.52/2020 in OA.825/2019 with</u> <u>CA.53/2020 in OA.826/2019 with</u> <u>CA.43/2021 in OA.400/2019 And</u> <u>CA.44/2021 in OA.821/2019 with</u> </p> <p> Dr. G.P. Jangid (CA.49/2020) Dr. S.K. Balwant (CA.50/2020) Dr. D.A. Wani (CA.51/2020) Dr. N.B. Swami (CA.52/2020) Dr. M.M. Bagwan (CA.53/2020) Dr. C.D. Chapte (CA.43/2021) Dr. A.G. Dighe (CA.44/2021) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. </p> <p> 2. ²⁰²¹ Ld. PO submits that writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court is pending. She therefore prays for time. </p> <p> 3. S.O. to 8.2.2022. </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.12.2021 22.12.2021 (sgj) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.819 of 2021</p> <p>V.R. KatkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 21.10.2020 as well as order of posting on revocation at Nagpur instead of Mumbai or Pune. The Applicant had made representation for change of posting on revocation of suspension. However, learned P.O. submits that recently by order dated 03.12.2021, request of the Applicant is rejected.</p> <p>3. Respondents have already filed Reply and the matter is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U.S. SorateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed on Friday. Learned Advocate, Shri C.T. Chandratre for Respondent No.2 also sought time to file Reply stating that he has filed Vakalatnama today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.590 of 2021 in O.A.No.1037 of 2021</p> <p>J. H. Wagh & OthersApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed simpliciter for direction to relieve the Applicants who are transferred on 29.08.2021 by order of Respondent No.1 – Director General of Police. It is really surprising that though the period of four months is over from the date of transfer till date the Applicants are not relieved and they are constrained to approach the Tribunal. It appears that order passed by the Director General of Police are not being followed and implemented by his subordinates.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. requested to keep the matter tomorrow so as to take instructions in the matter and to make a statement.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.475 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. LawateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.2 who is added in the O.A. during the pendency. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.498 of 2020</p> <p>R. R. SalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to 23.12.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.916 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. TawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.749 of 2019</p> <p>V.G. DavariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gajanan Kukde, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Gajanan Kukde holding for learned Advocate Shri M.V. Thorat sought adjournment on the ground that Shri M.V. Thorat is unable to remain present due to some personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.01.2022.</p> <p align="right"> ^h Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.121/2021

Shri D.D. Jadhav

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3.
4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
5. Interim relief to continue till next date.
6. S.O. to 19th January, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
22.12.2021

(s.kw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.Nos.428 to 431/2021

Shri N.R. Tekam & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. The learned P.O. submits that the representation of the Applicants for transfer will be considered in PEB in January, 2022, and therefore, requested to adjourn the matter for two weeks.
3. Adjourned to 17th January, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
22.12.2021

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.671 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be given.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.01.2022.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.243 of 2021</p> <p>A.P. SansareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.S. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. However, learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of day or latest by tomorrow. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.80 of 2021 with O.A. No.81 of 2021 with O.A. No.632 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. J.K. Pagare Dr.A.R. Patil Dr. A.S. ThakreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply of all these three O.As. is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day or latest by tomorrow. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.412 of 2021 in O.A. No.781 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. DmelloApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.928 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. DhamaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. No.928/2019 challenge is to punishment of reduction in pension in D.E.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. No.928/2019 reveals that copy of deposition of witness examined in the enquiry are not produced on record.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to produce the copy of deposition of witness by next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.01.2022.</p> <p>6. O.A. No.937 of 2019 be also kept along with O.A. No.928/2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 613 OF 2013

DISTRICT : MUMBAI.

Smt V.V Shinde & Ors)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

DATE : 22.12.2021

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

ORDER

1. This matter was filed by the applicants on 8.7.2013, wherein the applicants who are directly appointed to the post of Sales Tax Inspectors have challenged the final seniority list dated 1.1.2011 mainly on the point that their quota of 40% was not maintained during the period 2005 till 2009.

2. In the year 2005 the advertisement for the post of Sales Tax Inspector was issued and in response to that the applicants have applied and thereafter they were appointed on 5.1.2009, after

completion of the recruitment process. It is case of the applicants that the post of Sales Tax Inspectors are recruited through three channels, i.e. 40% through direct recruitment, 30% by Limited Departmental Competitive Examination and 30% by promotion from the feeder cadre.

3. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the applicants that the 40% quota of direct recruits to the post of Sales Tax Inspectors was not maintained for the years 2005, 2006, 2007 & 2008, till the applicants were appointed on 5.1.2009. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the Sales Tax Inspectors who were appointed through Limited Departmental Competitive Examination or by promotion from the feeder cadre were appointed in excess of their respective quota, which is 30% each. Thus, the applicants have suffered injustice as their quota was reduced. The appointment of Sales Tax Inspectors who were appointed through the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination and by promotion from feeder cadre were more than their respective quota and thus their appointment are fortuitous.

4. At the time of hearing, the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants, we made two queries:-

- (i) Whether any junior Sales Tax Inspectors promoted from the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination or by promotion from the feeder cadre is shown senior to the applicants after 5.1.2009?

To this query, learned counsel answered in the negative.

- (ii) What is the number of posts available in the years 2005, 2006, 2007 & 2008 with a break-up of the posts which were

more than 30% of their quota, which were filled in excess of their respective quota? How many posts or percentage of quota was reduced in these years?

Learned counsel submitted that he has no instructions about these details and therefore, he is unable to demonstrate the facts and figures of the years 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 on the point of quota of 40% for direct recruitment, 30% by promotion through Limited Departmental Competitive Examination and 30% by promotion from the feeder cadre.

5. Learned counsel for the applicants has submitted that he needs time to get those details and hence the matter be adjourned.

6. We have made it clear to the Members of the Bar that the Tribunal will give priority to all the old matters in order to clear the backlog of old matters. This being the matter of 2013, learned counsel for both the sides was specifically informed accordingly. The matter appeared on Board on 30.3.2021 and at that time we have also raised the query about rota-quota. Thereafter, the matter appeared on Board on a number of occasions, i.e. on 16.6.2021, 21.9.2021, 28.9.2021, 25.10.2021, 16.11.2021, 22.11.2021, 29.11.2021, 15.12.2021, 17.12.2021, 20.12.2021, 21.12.2021 and today. As we made clear in the order dated 29.11.2021 that a last chance was given to the learned counsel for the applicants to comply with the orders passed on 30.3.2021, as the matter was adjourned on four to five occasions on that ground alone.

7. Learned C.P.O has produced copy of the latest seniority list of the year 2015 and submitted that all the applicants who were appointed as Sales Tax Inspector in the year 2009 were promoted

to the post of Sales Tax Officer and posted at the place where they are working today.

8. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the applicants that the issue involved in this matter is pertaining to the placement of the applicants in the seniority list and it is not about the issue of promotion, and therefore, we allowed the learned counsel for the applicants to proceed with the matter as all the other Sales Tax Inspectors and Sales Tax Officers are not required to be made Party-Respondents. However, we made it clear that the matter will be heard on day to day. Thereafter, at this stage, we can grant only one day's time to the learned counsel or the applicants to get the necessary information from the applicants and to demonstrate the reduction of quota in four years from 2005 to 2008, as claimed by the applicants.

9. S.O to 23.12.2021.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 22.12.2021

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.12.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 271/2018</u></p> <p>Mr Pradeep P. Patankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 17.2.2018 issued by Respondent no. 2, whereby his promotion to the post of P.S.I was cancelled and he was reverted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant is going to retire on 31.1.2022. However, during the pendency of the Original Application, the issue regarding the reversion of the applicant was considered by the Respondent-State and by order dated 20.10.2020 he was again promoted to the post of P.S.I.</p> <p>4. In view of this, the Original Application has become infructuous. However, learned counsel for the applicant states that the issue regarding grant of deemed date still remains as his juniors were promoted. The applicant has submitted representation on 1.2.2021 for grant of deemed date to the Respondent no. 2, i.e. Director General of Police, and the same is still pending.</p> <p>5. We, therefore, direct the Respondent no. 2 to take decision on the representation made by the applicant on 1.2.2021 on or before 10.1.2022 as the applicant is going to retire on 31.1.2022.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of as infructuous.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.944 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. A.M. Barelikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.12.2021 22.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1025 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.12.2021 22.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.8 of 2020 in O.A.No.399 of 2018</p> <p>P.V. KorpaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Copy of the reply filed by the Respondent-State opposing the review is to be furnished today itself to the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 05.01.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.670 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Chandanwale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P., Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply and same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.1.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 22.12.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.12.2021</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.475 of 2019 in M.A.No.569 of 2019 in O.A.No.925 of 2017</p> <p>D.K. GunjalApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Bhide with Mr. M.B. Gawade & Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.8 to 10, 16, 52 & 84(A).</p> <p>2. M.A.No.569/2019 is filed for condonation of delay. M.A.No.475/2019 is filed for amendment of O.A.No.925/2017.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that he will file affidavit-in-reply in M.A.No.569/2019 and serve the copy in advance to the Applicant and also to the office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.01.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.457 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. N.A. NetkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents on instructions from Mr. Haresh Gangadhar, Senior Clerk, Deputy Director, Health Services, submits that the proposal of regularization of the applicant is now sent from the office of Director of Health Service to Principal Secretary, Public Health Department.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.01.2022. Officer from the Public Health Department to remain present to give instructions to learned C.P.O.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.869 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. VidyagarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the instructions from Mr. Bhalchandra P. Mali, Under Secretary, M.P.S.C. Mumbai the learned P.O. submits as only para-wise remarks are received, she would require 3 weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Copy of the reply to be served on the learned Advocate through e-mail on or before 12.01.2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.01.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1002 of 2021</p> <p>U.R. BharatiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that the Applicant dismissal is without giving show cause notice by the Disciplinary Authority though the Enquiry Officer has submitted the report of exonerating her from all the charges including forgery.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. is directed to consider the objection taken on the procedure followed by the disciplinary authority which goes to the root of the matter. She is directed to produce the copy of show cause notice which is served on the delinquent officer.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 17.01.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1014 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. WadakarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate along with Mr. Sachin P. Patil & Mr. D. Jaybhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that the Applicant is claiming reservation in the Sports Quota.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. submits that the interviews for the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) are ongoing and interviews will continue till the month of January 2022, so she seeks short time.</p> <p>4. Respondent No.5, Director of Sports is directed to verify the Sports Validity Certificate of the Applicant on or before 04.01.2022 and accordingly communicate the same to the Applicant as well as the office of C.P.O. Mumbai.</p> <p>5. The learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply on next date.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 07.01.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.12.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 154/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Samant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Kale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The officer from the office of the Collector, Thane is directed to file a short affidavit stating that whether all the posts of Talathi pursuant to advertisement dated 28.2.2019 are filled in or is there any vacant post left. Also make a statement as to how many candidates from the E.W.S category were appointed and when the appointment orders were issued.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.244 of 2021</p> <p>R.D. MahajanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.A. Hariyan, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for grant of interest on delayed payment of G.P.F.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the claim of the Applicant for interest has been rejected by Respondent No.1 by communication dated 01.01.2020. However, the Applicant has not challenged order dated 01.01.2020 which she needs to challenge specifically.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore seeks permission to amend O.A. and sought time.</p> <p>5. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.724 of 2021 FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</p> <p>A.M. DeokarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Matter is taken on today's board for Speaking to the Minutes to rectify one typographical mistake in Clause No.4 of order dated 21.12.2021. 3. O.A. No.724/2021 was disposed of on 21.12.2021, in view of the order passed by the Government dated 20.12.2021. The Applicant was serving as Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Society F/S Ward, Mumbai and was transferred which was under challenge in O.A. However, subsequently by order dated 20.12.2021 reinstated the Applicant in his previous post as Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Society F/S Ward, Mumbai. 4. However, in Clause No.4 in place of 'F/S' Ward, Mumbai, it is mentioned 'B' Ward, Mumbai. As such 'B' Ward be corrected as 'F/S' Ward. 5. Necessary correction accordingly be made and fresh order be uploaded. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.975 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. ZawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A. the Applicant is claiming salary for the period from 21.03.2009 to 10.07.2009. He stands retired on 30.04.2016. As such, he has filed this O.A. belatedly without any Application for Condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Office has also raised objections on the point of limitation but that has been not complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for grant of time to file M.A. for Condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. Three weeks time is granted for filing M.A. for Condonation of Delay, if not filed within two weeks this O.A. will stand dismissed without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.01.2022.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^{v*} (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.974 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. ZawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed for grant of interest on delayed payment. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2016. Retiral benefits were paid belatedly. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.01.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.566 of 2021 in O.A. No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for Condonation of delay of One years and 10 months caused in filing O.A. No.994/2021</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.01.2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Miscellaneous Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN