

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.15 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.145 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.312 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.572 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.848 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.920 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. also informs that 'Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration), Mumbai' was engaged with urgent work relating to review of 'Administrative Subjects' and therefore could not personally remain present as directed on 08.11.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits another list of 110 Police Constable including 26 Female Constables & 84 Male Constables who stands relieved from the establishment</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>of 'the Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' from 11.11.2023 to join on establishments of other (i) Commissioners of Police & (ii) Superintendents of Police.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. then states based on information received from officer present on behalf of Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai that all 110 'Police Constables' who have been relieved on 11.10.2023 are those who had sought 'Inter District Transfer' on grounds of 'Serious Illness in Family' or for posting of 'Husband & Wife' together as spouse is working either in other police establishments (i) Commissioners of Police & (ii) Superintendents of Police or serving as 'Government Servants', on Municipal Corporation' or 'Zilla Parishads' or in any Organizations/ Boards etc. under the 'State Government'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant then states that the criteria which was considered earlier by the Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to relieve '130 Police Constables' was total service of '10 Years for Female Constables' and '20 Years for Male Constables' while this time '110 Police Constables' have been relieved based on criteria of 'Serious Illness in Family' or posting together of 'Husband & Wife'. However, there are still some cases of Applicants who fulfill these four criteria but are yet to be relieved by the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. on the basis of information from officer present on behalf of the the Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai then states that why such cases could not be considered as yet is because of cancellation of approvals granted earlier to accept these 'Police Constables' on other establishments of (i) Commissioners of Police & (ii) the Superintendent of Police. Hence, all such (i) Commissioners of Police & (ii) Superintendents of Police are hereby directed to forthwith revoke such cancellation orders if approvals were granted earlier and within 'One Week' submit information 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' about 'Vacant Posts' on their establishments so that remaining 'Police Constables' who fulfill these four criteria can also be relieved forthwith to go on 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' has so far relieved 130 'Police Constables' on 04.10.2023 and 110 'Police Constables' on 04.11.2023 which is appreciable given the large number of 'Vacant Posts' at the level of 'Police Constables'. However, on being asked the officer present on his behalf states that since beginning of 2023, about 380 'Police Constables' from other police establishments including 'SRPF' have joined on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' therefore now has more scope to reconsider all pending cases of Applicants as many of these may have equal merit but cited grounds are different from those considered earlier to relieve 130 'Police Constables' on 04.10.2023 and 110 'Police Constables' on 11.11.2023.</p> <p>8. The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' is expected to take into due consideration the fact that Applicants must be having compelling 'Personal Reasons' to seek legal redressal of their grievances by invoking 'Section 19' of 'The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.</p> <p>9. The Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' has certainly acted upon the directions given earlier but it is noted with displeasure that no such promptness has been displayed by (a) Commissioner of Police, (i) Nashik City & (ii) Pimpri-Chinchwad & (b) Superintendents of Police, (i) Satara & (ii) Ahmednagar who were required to implement within 'One Week' the directions given to them on dated 05.10.2023; so that consequent action could be taken by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. The (a) Commissioners of Police, (i) Nashik City & (ii) Pimpri-Chinchwad & (b) Superintendents of Police, (i) Satara & (ii) Ahmednagar therefore to urgently and in case by 'Next Date' of hearing provide information about 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constables' on their establishment to the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. Learned P.O. to personally inform 'Joint Secretary / Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' to remain present on 'Next Date' of hearing with information about what instructions have given by 'Home Department' to the 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik City' with respect to directions given on 14.09.2023 and 08.11.2023.</p> <p>11. Learned P.O. informs that as all Applicants in O.A. Nos.15/2023, 145/2023, 312/2023, 572/2023 & 848/2023 & 920/2023 have been relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' on 04.10.2023 and 11.11.2023 these can be disposed off. Therefore as nothing survives in O.A. Nos.15/2023, 145/2023, 312/2023, 572/2023 & 848/2023 & 920/2023 these are disposed off with 'No Order as to Costs'. However as all Applicants in O.A. No.191/2023, 207/2023, 215/2023, 265/2023, 541/2023, 609/2023, 831/2023 & 859/2023 have not yet been relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' these are not to be disposed off and kept for hearing on 'Next Date'.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 12.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1061 of 2023 with O.A. No.1062 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. Jorkar S.B. Koli,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces letter received from 'Special I.G.P. (Administration) in office of D.G.P., Maharashtra State' dated 22.11.2023 which mentions that as per directions given on 18.10.2023 the case of the Applicant in O.A. No.1061/2023 was placed for due consideration before the D.P.C. The D.P.C. has decided that the Applicant is not fit to be promoted to the post of 'P.S.I.' on the grounds of invalidity of his 'Schedule Tribe Certificate'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is accordingly informed to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.1061/2023 based on the letter of 'Special I.G.P. (Administration) in office of D.G.P., Maharashtra State' dated 22.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. further states that the issue being raised by Applicant now relates only to promotion to the post of 'P.S.I.' and therefore this O.A. No.1061/2023 be placed before appropriate 'Division Bench'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also fairly admits that 'Schedule Tribe Certificate' of the Applicant was invalidated by Order dated 10.03.2022 of the 'Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Pune Division' and then concedes that based on the decision</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>of D.P.C. conveyed by letter from 'Special I.G.P. (Administration), in D.G.P., Office Maharashtra State' dated 22.11.2023, the Applicants case no longer relates to 'Transfer' but it is now about 'Promotion' to the post of 'P.S.I.'. Therefore O.A. No.1061/2023 may be placed for further hearing before appropriate 'Division Bench'.</p> <p>6. The learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.1061/2023 accordingly consents to de-tag it from O.A. No.1062/2023. O.A. No.1061/2023 relates only to subject of 'Transfer' as Applicant has already been promoted to the post of 'P.S.I.' by order of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' dated 23.05.2023.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. therefore informed to file separate 'Affidavit-in-Reply' by 'Next Date' for further hearing of O.A. No.1062/2023.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 06.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1464 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Kotkar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar' by letter dated 25.10.2023 has informed other (i) 'Commissioners of Police and (ii) Superintendents of Police' that approval in respect of '54 Police Constables' who have been allowed to join their establishment on 'Inter District Transfer' will be valid for period of 'One Month'.</p> <p>3. The Applicants are to be accepted on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' by the 25.11.2023. Taking into consideration that 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' may require some more time to convey acceptance of the Applicants at Sr. No.17, Sr. No.21 and Sr. No.24, of the letter dated 25.10.2023, the 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar' is directed to extend the period of 'One Month' beyond 25.11.2023 till such time as acceptance of the Applicants is conveyed by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2023.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption: "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 20.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.720 of 2023 in O.A. No.1464 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Kotkar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.720 of 2023 in O.A. No.1464 of 2023 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.720 of 2023, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.720 of 2023 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she has no instructions regarding filing of 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon seeks 'One Week' time to argue the matter for 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1274 of 2023</p> <p>A.S. Mote,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. is directed to convey to the 'Superintendent of Police, Pune Rural' to forthwith furnish information required for filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on his behalf to learned P.O, before hearing on 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1129 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. DaundkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. Shri S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time on behalf of 'Finance Department', as they are still considering the course of action to be taken with regard to continuation of the Applicant on the post of 'Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport Undertaking, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation (NMMC).' Time of 'Two Weeks' granted to 'Finance Department' on request of learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chandra barty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.504/2023 in O.A.348/2023</u></p> <p>N.B. Bhonde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 24th November, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 22.11.2023 22.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1423/2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that the requisition from the Wait List Candidates is sent by the Government i.e. Respondent No.2 on 09.11.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23rd November, 2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 22.11.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.11.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.988/2023</u></p> <p>R.D. Kanade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that none is present on behalf of Respondent No.1 - Urban Development Department.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23rd November, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A / Chairperson 22.11.2023 / 22.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.856/2023 with O.A.873/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.N. Joshi & Ors. Dr. A.P. Jagtap & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents 1 to 4 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 15.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 28th November, 2023.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"><tr><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%; vertical-align: bottom;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 22.11.2023</td><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%; vertical-align: bottom;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.11.2023</td></tr></table> <p>(skw)</p>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 22.11.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.11.2023
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 22.11.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.11.2023		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.707/2023</u></p> <p>A.O. Dahad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is by consent fixed on 15.01.2024, as the Respondents want to consider and discuss about the Recruitment Rules for the post of Director of Ayush.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 22.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.707 of 2023 in O.A. No.1451 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 21.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and OA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1446 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.N. Masule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.692 of 2023 in O.A. No.1421 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.K. Kharat & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1421 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.K. Kharat & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.622 of 2023 in O.A. No.984 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Sasane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his advocate both the absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. Applicant to serve copy of MA and OA in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. & MA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A. No.1118 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.T. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 9 to 11 months in filing the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant was removed from service pursuant to DE on 26.4.2012 . He made number of representations for release of his retiral benefits. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment and order dated 30.9.2021 passed by this Tribunal in MA No.184/2021 in OA No.574/2020 Mrs. Lalita D. Veer Vs. The Dy. Director of School Education, Nashik & Ors. He states that there is recurring cause of action. He refers to various correspondences made to various authorities for release of his retiral dues.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that cause of action started on 2.1.2017. He states that OA is not maintainable. He points out that mere representations is not sufficient to condone the delay.</p> <p>4. In this case it is seen that there are various amounts claimed by the applicant. It is a fact that applicant was removed from service on 26.4.2012. I therefore impose a cost of Rs.2000/- on the applicant and condone the delay. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1102 of 2023 with O.A. No.1082 of 2023 with O.A. No.1170 of 2023 with O.A. No.1171 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.T. Bandichhode Dr. S.V. Deshpande Dr. R.M. Raval Dr. S.R. GuravApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. & Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.1170/2023 on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. further seeks some more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in other remaining O.As. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 900/2021</u></p> <p>Smt V.A Kolekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 957/2023</u></p> <p>Dr D. Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing before 2nd D.B on 13.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 981/2023</u></p> <p>R.A Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1212/2023</u></p> <p>Shri U.M Bokade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1220/2023</u></p> <p>D.S Devmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Thombre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed today.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1288/2023</u></p> <p>L.G Gavande & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 394/2020</u></p> <p>Smt V.S Karambe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Part Heard.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 349/2022</u></p> <p>Smt P.D Thorat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1395/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.M Bhoir & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav holding for Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.697 of 2023 in O.A. No.206 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.H. Choudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kailas Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed an application dated 22.11.2023 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 21.11.2023 passed in the above MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that the word 'Leave Encashment' is to be added in para 7 of the order dated 21.11.2023 after the word 'gratuity'.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.11.2023 22.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1096 /2017</p> <p>S.S. KarleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Puman Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 01.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.666/2017 with O.A.No.1118/2018</p> <p>G.P. Wadekar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. V. Maindad, learned Counsel for Respondent no.4 is absent.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 11.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.35/2021 in O.A.No.229/2019 with O.A.No.408/2022</p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 01.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.309/2017</p> <p>P.B. WankhedeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.G. Ambetkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Matter is taken up today as matters of the year 2017 are taken up for hearing and to dispose of. In all fairness matter is fixed on 29.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Ambetkar is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.11.2023

O.A.No.285/2017

R.K. PadmaneApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant challenges letter dated 29.09.2016 and also G.R. dated 13.08.2004 and 09.07.2008 issued by the Revenue and Forest Department to the extent of declaring physically disabled (locomotor person) (Asthivyaga) ineligible for getting promotion to the post of Maintenance Surveyor, Group-C, Grade-3/ Nimtandar/ Theodolite Surveyor from the quota of 3% reservation for physically disabled person. He also prays for the directions to be given to the Respondents that the case of the Applicant is to be considered before DPC Committee for his promotion to the post of Group-C, Grade-3 from the year 2003. Applicant is appointed on 03.03.2000 on the post of Clerk from the reservation quota of physically disabled. In the year 2010 he was promoted to the post of Maintenance Surveyor. Since then he is working as Maintenance Surveyor / Nimtandar, Group-C, Grade-3.
3. Learned Counsel seeks one week time to file rejoinder.
4. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 06.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.670/2021 with M.A.No.392/2021</p> <p>A.S. Chandanwale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. In view of above, adjourned to 06.02.204.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.668/2022 with O.A.No.533/2023</p> <p>S.S. MisaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.533/2023 and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.As. though adjourned to 02.02.2024 is taken up at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bhosale. In view that O.As are adjourned learned Counsel Mr. L.S. Deshmukh is not available today.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bhosale adjourned to 02.01.2024. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.555/2020</p> <p>D.N. LohkareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.V. Ugale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. though adjourned to 10.01.2024 is taken up at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Ugale.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. to serve the copy of reply to learned Counsel.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 29.11.2023 H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.382/2017</p> <p>A.N. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that interim relief was granted by order 05.05.2017. Applicant retired on 30.06.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.11.2023 P.H.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.397/2017</p> <p>A.N. ShirsatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 24.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.497/2017</p> <p>G.D. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 11.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 217/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.M Belbandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 490/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Magdum ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 844/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 89/2023 in O.A 967/2022</u></p> <p>M.G Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that proposal for granting interest on Pension and Gratuity was submitted to the Office of the Accountant General on 6.11.2023. She requests two weeks' time.</p> <p>3. Time granted. S.O to 7.12.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 861/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.L Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 7/2023 with O.A 1012/2016 with O.A 1013/2016 with O.A 763/2017 with C.A 11/2023 with O.A 1013/2016 with O.A 642/2016 with O.A 764 & 766/2017</u></p> <p>S.S Mirgal & Ors B.D Kadam & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sahil A. Pandire i/b Preeti Walimbe, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, matter adjourned to 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 103/2023 in O.A 972/2022</u></p> <p>Shri H.S Alaspure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks four weeks' time to implement the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 595/2023 in O.A 385/2022</u></p> <p>Shri C.B Talwar & Ors ... Applicants Intervenors</p> <p>Between</p> <p>S.K Hankare & Ors .. Ori Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants-Intervenors, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the Original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mrs Mahajan prays for two weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 89/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. If the Respondents want to file rejoinder, they should file before the next date or the matter will proceed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.12.2023. Interim relief, if any to continued till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 118/2023</u></p> <p>Smt M.B Raut (Anarse) ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Kupade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing before 2nd D.B on 10.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 823/2023</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Taware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.B Taware, applicant in person, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing before 2nd Division Bench on 13.12.2023. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1003/2023 with O.A 1159/2023</u></p> <p>P.D Shirsat R.K Kawale</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant in O.A 1003/2023, Shri S.T Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 1159/2023, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and Shri R.B Khot, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 in O.A 1003/2023 and Shri H.S Shinde, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 in O.A 1159/2023.</p> <p>2. The matter is placed on 30.11.2023 on the point the interim relief.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>22.11.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 1349/2023 with O.A 1237/2023 with O.A 1184/2023 with M.A 695/2023 in O.A 1237/2023</u></p> <p>K.N Sangale M.T Ligade D.S Mengane ...Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>M.A 695/2023 in O.A 1237/2023</p> <p>V.B. Pagar & OrsIntervenors</p> <p>In the matter between</p> <p>Maruti T. Ligade ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, Shri M.D Lonkar and Shri M.Y Dongarge, learned advocate for the applicants in respective Original Application, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Respondents are filing reply in O.A 1237/2023 and in other two O.As, Respondents will file reply within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Deore submits that he has filed M.A 695/2023 seeking intervention in Original Application No. 1237/2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar for the applicant in O.A 1237/2023 have no objection for intervention.</p> <p>5. M.A 695/2023 allowed.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar submits that he will amend the Original Application within one week and submit copy of the same on the other side.</p> <p>7. S.O to 13.12.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 759/2023</u></p> <p>R.N Kaningdhwaj ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A127/2023</u></p> <p>R. V Kokare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply. Permission granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 849/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Bhagat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 521/2023 in O.A 329/2023</u></p> <p>A.R Jadhavar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Tanvi Pathak holding for Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medhā Gadgā) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rn H Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 171/2023</u></p> <p>S.A Rasankar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 671/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Sase & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1319 of 2023</p> <p>V.A. Lokare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder', if any on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.B. Patil,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1215 of 2023</p> <p>A.G. Pawar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. It is also taken on record. 4. O.A. is admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing.' 5. S.O. to 04.12.2023 (H.O.B.). <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. Pawar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.11.2023

O.A. No.871 of 2023

Dr. M.P. Bankar,Applicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. It is take on record.
3. O.A. is admitted with liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder', if any.
4. S.O. to 23.01.2024.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

NMN