

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.162 of 2019</p> <p>N. t. GabhaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.635 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. ShivadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is for final hearing. 3. However, learned Counsel has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 4. Heard partly. 5. Matter is adjourned to 26.11.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.635 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. ShivadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is for final hearing. 3. However, learned Counsel has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 4. Heard partly. 5. Matter is adjourned to 26.11.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 22.11.2019, Tribunal's orders O.A.No. 1113 of 2019</p>
	<p>S. D. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is working as Assistant Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation by virtue of deputation order dated 24.07.2019 passed by the Respondent No.1(State of Maharashtra). By order dated 24.09.2019, the Government deputed him as Assistant Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation while he was working as Assistant State Tax Commissioner, Solapur and accordingly relieved. However, the Respondent No.2 i.e. Joint Commissioner, (VAT Admn.) Solapur by communication dated 11.09.2019 directed the Applicant that he was not relieved by the office of Joint Commissioner in accordance to Rules, and therefore, he was directed to report on the post of Assistant State Tax Commissioner, Solapur.</p> <p>3. Indeed, it is the Government who passed the order of deputation for two years and accordingly Applicant has joined as Assistant Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation. This being the position, prima-facie in absence of order from the Government he could not be recalled.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. I am, therefore, satisfied that prima-facie case is made out in favour of the Applicant.</p> <p>5. In view of above, interim relief is granted in terms of Para 10(a) of the O.A.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2019.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 20.12.2019.</p> <p>13. Handast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1116/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.V. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Tribunal has already passed the order in O.A.1114/2019 directing Registrar to place the matter before appropriate Bench as <i>prima-facie</i>, it pertained to Division Bench. This O.A. is identical to O.A.1114/2019.</p> <p>3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he needs urgent relief, and therefore, requested for placing the matter before appropriate Bench on Monday.</p> <p>4. Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate Bench at the earliest.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.11.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.11.2019.

O.A.No.1114 of 2019

R. K. Sakore

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Basically, the relief claimed is for permission to participate in the examination to be conducted for appointment of IAS by selection as per select list-2018. The appointment by selection to IAS is governed by the IAS (Appointment by Selection) Regulations, 1997.

3. The Applicant claims to be entitled for participation in examination on the basis of up gradation of ACR 2012-2013. However, by impugned communication dated 20.11.2019, it was informed to the Applicant that as per letter of GAD dated 15.11.2019 his proposal for participation in examination itself is returned to the department on the ground that up gradation of ACR is not in accordance to G.R. dated 01.11.2011.

3. As such, the issue basically pertains to participation in examination to be conducted for selection and prima-facie, it pertains to Division Bench.

4. Registrar is, therefore, directed to examine the matter and be fixed before appropriate bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.11.2019.

1072
O.A.No.1702 of 2019

K. B. KolekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit of Shri Abhijit Chowdhary, Collector, Sangali along with posting order of the Applicant whereby he was posted at Islampur in terms of order passed by the Government.

3. In terms of order dated 13.11.2019 passed by this Tribunal, the Collector was directed to explain why the order passed by the Government on 23.10.2018 as well as 20.08.2019 about posting of the Applicant at Islampur is not implemented.

4. In Affidavit, it is stated that the Collector was of the opinion that order passed by the Minister on 23.08.2018 was not in accordance to law, and therefore, he sought directions from the Government finally. The Government confirmed its earlier order. The Collector in his Affidavit stated that there was no intention to delay implementation of the order of the Government. He, therefore, requested to condone the delay and disposed of the application. True, there is a delay in implementation of the order passed by the Government. However, ultimately it is implemented and Applicant is posted at Islampur. Therefore, no further action is warranted and O.A. deserves to be disposed of.

5. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.1105 of 2019</p> <p>Shrikant A. PaduleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Drs.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.11.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 29.11.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.924 of 2019</p> <p>R. P. NavaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is under typing and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.869 of 2019</p> <p>T. B. KokareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is under typing and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W^{vv} (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



vsm

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.851 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. HirveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is under typing and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted, It be taken on record.3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.4. S.O. to 06.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Date : 22.11.2019.

O. A. No.1098 of 2019

P. G. Mahale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 20.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 529/2019</u></p> <p>Shri L.Z Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files sur-sur-rejoinder on behalf of Respondents no. 2 & 3.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.11.2019 at the request of learned advocate for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N^lDixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 293 & 294/2019</u></p> <p>Shri U.S Gawandi [O.A 293/2018] Shri J.D Kshirsagar [O.A 294/2018] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicants as well as learned Advocate for the applicants are absent. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N¹Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>LATER ON : Date : 22.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.NO.876 OF 2017</p> <p>J.R. Sonkavade Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Mukadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.A. Mukadam, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate submits that Shri A.V. Sakolkar is not able to remain present today. At his request adjourned to 25.11.2019.</p> <p>3. In case learned Advocate does not remain present on that day, the matter will be taken up for further hearing without waiting.</p> <p>4. Earlier there was no Advocate present the matter was dismissed for want of prosecution. Order is modified accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 876/2017</u></p> <p>Shri J.R Sonkavade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Ms Archana B.K learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.NO.314 OF 2019</p> <p>S.S. Panindre Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During Final Hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that after going through the reply filed by the Respondents, he is of the considered opinion that he needs to withdraw the O.A. He therefore seeks adjournment for taking instructions from his client.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 29.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.NO.665 OF 2019</p> <p>D.D. Kamble Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits, matter pertains to Division Bench, as there is enquiry under Rule 8 of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979</p> <p>3. Place it before Division Bench.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

22.11.2019

O.A 1108/2019

Shri V.D Suryawanshi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 25.11.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 338/2019 in O.A 600/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.M Dhule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of leaned advocate for the applicant for filing rejoinder in the Misc Application, adjourned to 2.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>R.A 13/2019 in O.A 35/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Y.L Dharavane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 29.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 515/2019</u></p> <p>Shri N.T Nehete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole , learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 2.12.2019 at the request of learned advocate for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 367/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 2.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N^l Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 965/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.J Pagare ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri C.T Chandratre holding for Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant submits that Shri Jagdale is busy in another Court. Heard Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 9.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N¹ Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 791/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.G Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.K Oak , learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 2.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.NO.27 OF 2019 (Subject : Deemed date of promotion)</p> <p>D.R. Nalwad Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions, learned Advocate for Applicant submits, he may be permitted to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, permitted to withdraw the O.A. with liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 625/2019 in O.A 874/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Ramzan B Shaikh ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.L Dhone, learned advocate holding for Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 6.12.2019.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O to 6.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 563/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Mohd. H.H Kacchi ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 3. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 2.12.2019 at the request of the learned advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>